

0127

215-22



THE
V I N T N E R
AND
T O B A C C O N I S T ' s
A D V O C A T E,
BEING
R E M A R K S upon,
AND A
Full ANSWER to
THOSE
Scandalous PAPERS
Published in
The DAILY COURANT,
Under the Title of
The OCCASIONAL FINANCIER,
And under the Names of
CARUS and *MEANWELL,*

L O N D O N:
Printed for T. REYNOLDS, over-against the
Fountain-Tavern in the Strand. (Price 1s.)
M DCC XXXIII.



REMARKS

UPON A

Scurrilous PAPER,

CALL'D

The Occasional FINANCER.



Remember to have read *some-where* of *some State*, wherein it was the Custom, that if any one would propose a *new Law*, he must do it with a ROPE about his NECK, that in case it were judg'd *prejudicial*, and *detrimental to the Commonwealth*, he might very *fairly* be HANG'D UP for his *Pains*, without farther *Ceremony*. I heartily wish that LAW had been in Force amongst us; if it were, I am apt to believe that *some Laws* which have been proposed of late Years for the *public Benefit* of PRIVATE POCKETS, would hardly have been ventur'd to have made their Appearance in the HOUSE.

A 2

What

(4)

What brought this into my Head more particularly at this time, is a *Paper* that now lies before me call'd the OCCASIONAL FINANCIER, the *Author* of which, with a *thorough-paced Assurance*, contrary to the Sense of every Man in the Kingdom, who has not either a Place or a Pension; excepting those *worthy Gentlemen* who are paid for prostituting their Pens in this Cause; is pleas'd downright to assert, that the Design of converting the *Customs* into EXCISES will not only bring in a considerable Increase to the publick Revenues, but is calculated for the Health, Ease, Liberty, and Safety of the whole collective Body of the People of *England*. After such a Position what may not one expect from such a modest Writer! Poor unfortunate *Britons!* to what are you reduced by your own Corruption! Was it not enough to know there was such a Design on Foot, which manifestly takes away the Liberties of every Subject dealing in the Commodities to be excised, but you must be bantered out of your Senses, have a Jest wantonly made of your Miseries, and be told it is for your Benefit; just as a Mother tells a Child when she whips it, that 'tis for its Good, and because she loves it! sure he thinks we have already put on our Wooden Shoes; or else he would not dare to insult us after this manner: but let us take a full View of this doughty Performance, for which, as it is a Master-piece, I don't doubt but the Author has receiv'd a handsome Reward from his great and munificent Patron.

The

(5)

The first Thing that occurs then is the Motto,

*Non Civium Ardor, prava jumentum,
Mente quatit solida.* Horat.

which, in my Opinion, is one of the worst, he could have chosen; because the foregoing Line by no means *quadrates* with a certain Person's Character. For, although I won't deny but that Gentleman may be *Tenax repositi*, when he is set upon Mischiefs; I never heard that he was an *ARISTIDES*, and consequently the Epithet *justum* does not in the least suit him. Neither are the Words *mente solida*, which are meant to express a Man of heroick Courage and Resolution, a Jot more agreeable to the Idea the World entertains of him; for every one that knows him knows, that he was never cut out for a Hero. I would desire the Author therefore to amend it, and substitute in its stead,

*Non Civium Ardor, justa tumentum,
Mente quatit rigida.*

The Meaning whereof is, that not all the Cries and Petitions of the whole collective Body of the People, standing up in Defence of their just Rights and Liberties, can prove his cruel and harden'd Heart, if he can carry his Point. Proceed we now to Paragraph the first.

' 'Tis the common Artifice of those who
' have a Point to carry in the popular Way,
' who would inflame the Multitude without
' just Reason, to dwell on Generals only,
' and avoid industriously any distinct State
' of

(6)

of the Question they pretend to debate —
 This has been notoriously the Conduct of
 the *Craftsman*, and his Associates, as in
 most other Cases, so particularly in that
 Thread of Harangues with which they have
 lately amused the Publick concerning *Excises*.
 Nor was so doing only sufficient for
 their Purposes; but as on one Hand they
 would lead their Readers to make particu-
 lar Conclusions from the most uncertain
 and indistinct general Propositions; so, on
 the other, they have stretch'd and ex-
 tended Particulars to the most comprehen-
 sive Generals; putting one for the other in-
 differently, as best serv'd the Purposes they
 have been labouring.

The Author here, like the rest of his Bro-
 ther Advocates for Excises, charges the *Crafts-*
man, and those who have written on the
 same Side, with what *himself* and the others
 of his Tribe are notoriously guilty of them-
 selves; I mean dwelling on Generals only,
 and avoiding industriously any distinct State
 of the Question they pretend to debate. For
 this Reason I shall take him to Pieces Para-
 graph by Paragraph, that he may have no
 Room to retort the same Charge upon me.
 As to inflaming the Multitude, if they are
 inflamed it has been with the naked Truth,
 with a bare Representation of Matter of Fact,
 and not with any Rhetorical Flourishes. If
 the true Picture of a general Excise is so hi-
 deous that it won't bear the Light, can the
Craftsman help that? And is he obliged to
 dress the Monster up in agreeable Colours to
 set it off, and impose upon his Readers? No,
 he leaveth the slavish Task for such honest
 Gentle-

(7)

Gentlemen as the *Occasional Financer*, and his
 Brother-Advocates.

An Excise upon a single Article or two
 of Luxury has been sometimes treated of,
 and considered as a *general Excise*; that is,
 as one on all the mere Necessaries of Life.
 The particular fraudulent Trade driven by
 a few Brewers of, and Dealers in Wine,
 with the Arts of the Engrossers and Oppres-
 sors of the Tobacco Business, have at other
 Times been treated as the whole collective
 Body of the Trade of *England*; while the
 Liberty the Dealers in Wine take of de-
 frauding their Fellow Subjects both of their
 Money and their Health, by selling the vi-
 lest Compositions for four or five times their
 real Value, has been asserted in a Style and
 Terms that belong only to the whole col-
 lective Rights and Liberties of the People
 of *England*. — Are Articles of pure Lux-
 ury then become the mere Necessaries of
 Life? Are a few Dealers in Wines and To-
 bacco swoln to the whole Body of *British*
 Merchants and Traders? And is the fair,
 the ample Catalogue of the Rights and Li-
 berties of the whole *English* Nation, shrunk
 to the mean, the scandalous Licenses of
 Fraud and Poisoning? Oh Patriotism, what
 art thou become! Oh *Caleb*, what wilt thou
 not attempt to prove!

The next Charge is, that an Excise upon
 one single Article or two of Luxury has been
 treated as a general Excise, that is, says he,
 as one on all the mere Necessaries of Life. —
 Here our Author, who is pleased to task the
Craftsman with quibbling, is guilty of a very
 wretched Quibble himself, as well as of a di-
 rect

(8)

rect Falshood. For, in the first place, is only one single Article or two of Luxury charged with an Excise? I believe, if he will be pleased to recollect, he will find an ample Catalogue of them, almost as ample as that of the Rights and Liberties of the *English* Nation. Then, as to his shuffling about a general Excise, and defining it to be an Excise on all the mere Necessaries of Life, it is absolutely false; that would indeed be General Excise with a Vengeance, since it would be an universal Excise: But when the Generality of Commodities are liable to that Kind of Taxation, then a People may be truly and properly said to be under a general Excise, and whether that is not pretty near our Case, I leave all Traders to judge. Our Author then inveighs against the fraudulent Trade driven by the Brewers of Wine, and the Arts of the Engrossers and Oppressors of the Tobacco Business. I dare say every Body would be glad to see a stop put to the adulterating of Wines, but not by an Excise; however, the Nation will, I believe, come very willingly into his Patron's Scheme, and consent to an Excise upon Wines and Tobacco, upon one Condition, *viz.* provided he will previously take off the Excise from *Malt, Beer, Soap, and Candles*: But to have all the Commodities now charged with an Excise remain still charged therewith, and *Wine* and *Tobacco* added to inflame the Reckoning, and all this in a Time of Peace, is enough to inflame the most passive People in Christendom.

Be.

(9)

Besides, who told our Author that an Excise would prevent the brewing of Wines? We see plainly every Day that it does not prevent the adulterating of Brandy: Nay, altho' a Crown a Gallon Excise is laid upon Geneva, is it not publickly sold, or something else for it, which has the same Flavour, and answers the same Ends, in almost every Shop in *London* for 7d. or 8d. a Quart? If, therefore, an Excise would prevent the brewing of Wines, why does it not prevent the brewing of Geneva, of which there is more drunk, and which, at a Crown a Gallon, would bring in a greater Revenue to the Crown than Wine? I believe it will puzzle our Author to assign any tolerable Reason for this, which will not hold equally strong in the Article of Wines.

Again, how long has Tobacco been reckoned an Article of pure Luxury? Is it not good part of the Subsistence of our Poor? How many Thousands in this City never taste a Morfel of Victuals till Noon, nay, very frequently till Night, but a small Dram, and a Chew, or a Pipe of Tobacco? To raise the Price thereof (which would be infallibly the Effect of an Excise) would therefore be as effectually starving these Wretches, as laying a Tax upon Flesh-Meat and Bread-Corn. For these unfortunate People (who are at the same time the most valuable Part of our Nation, being the Manufacturers of the Product of our Lands) do not live so hard out of Choice, but because Want of Business reduces them so low, that they can afford themselves no better Sustainance. Considering all Things, therefore, I think it is very happy

B

they

(10)

they can be contented so easily, and we ought not to exasperate them more by enhancing the Price of Tobacco.

But, before I leave this Paragraph, I must obviate one Objection, which, I foresee, will, otherwise be made, by those worthy Gentlemen the Advocates for Excises: It is this; that Tobacco is not one of the mere Necessaries of Life, and that People may subsist without it. True, I grant it, so they may without Small-Beer; thank Heaven, this Island abounds with excellent Springs; there is plenty of good Water: They may do very well without Leather for their Shoes, and wear Wood, as we see our good Neighbours and Allies the *French* have long done; but, perhaps, Things are not altogether ripe for that Fashion. Though, I must confess, I think it ought to have been brought in Vogue before a general Excise; for I'm afraid, the Officers will be very apt to cripple us: But, to return from whence I have digress'd. — Although Tobacco is not, in Fact, one of the mere Necessaries of Life, it is, by long Use, and Custom, become actually so, to most of our poor Manufacturers; to increase the Price thereof, therefore, on Pretence of its not being one of the mere Necessaries of Life, would be full as unreasonable as increasing the Price of Small-Beer, on Pretence of its not being necessary, because People may drink Water: Proceed we now to the next Paragraph.

Without aiming, therefore, at any distincter Reputation of all his Sophistry, than what will follow from a plain Consideration of what appears to have given Occasion for it; I shall state, not what I apprehend to

be

(11)

be the real Design of the Government, which falls not within the Sphere of a Writer of my Class, but what common Report says is the supposed Design, at which these Patriots pretend to be so much alarmed; and then consider the Expediency of that Design in general only; leaving a more minute Discussion of its several Parts to some future Papers; — which I shall do, whether there appears to be really any such Design or not: Because, upon the coolest Examination, it seems such a just and rational Undertaking, as, all Circumstances duly considered, ought to be put in Execution some other Time, though it should not be so just now; and such, when duly weigh'd, I doubt not 'twill appear to all unbiass'd Judges; notwithstanding the Clamour that has been artfully and industriously raised against it.

The Substance of this Paragraph is, first, that our Monsieur *Financier* will state, not what he apprehends to be the real Design of the Government, but what common Report says is the supposed Design, at which the *Craftsman*, &c. pretend to be so much alarmed, after which he will consider the Expediency of that Design in general. He then assures us, that it seems such a just and rational Undertaking, as ought to be put in Execution some other Time, tho' it should not be so just now. — Without quarrelling with him about the Word *Government*, by which (like his Brother-Advocates) it is evident that he means one single Gentleman, nor about the Word *Expediency*, which is a favourite Expression of the same Gentleman's,

B 2

who

(12)

who is very fertil in Expedients, I shall only observe upon the Whole, that the People of *England* are very much obliged to him for his Information, that it is such a just and rational Undertaking; because, as it happens, they have a quite different Opinion thereof. But, perhaps, Monsieur *Financier* may be provided with a weighty Argument for Excises, which the Mass of the People have not.

With respect to the Thing itself, (continues our Author) 'tis said, by those who appear warmest about it in private Conversation, and who pretend to be the best informed in the Point, to be a Design of converting the present Port Duties, or Customs on Wines and Tobacco into an Inland-Duty, or Excise, payable at some one certain Point, or Place, of the Progress of those Commodities, from the Port to the Consumer, which, with respect to Wines, (of which I chiefly treat at present,) is to be accompany'd with such Prohibitions, and Inspections of the Vaults of all Dealers only, as may prevent their balderdashing and increasing its Quantity with any Liquors, that are not Wine.—This is the utmost Size of a Gnat, which these Patriots have, thro' their political Microscopes, shown to the Publick as such a Monster; capable, as they would represent, of devouring the whole Trade, and destroying all the Rights and Liberties of the *British* Nation.

As the Subject of this Paragraph is only guessing at what may be the intended Design, I shall content myself with asking what Sort of Prohibitions and Inspections they must be

(13)

be which will prevent the balderdashing of Wine, and increasing its Quantity with any Liquors that are not Wine. Is an Excise-man to be quarter'd upon every Vintner, or Wine-Merchant, Night and Day? If that be the Case, it will not answer the End; for he must sleep, as well as other Men, and in the mean while the Wines may be adulterated, which, when once done they may defy the Devil to tell with what it is balderdash'd. The only Inspection then that can prevent it, in my Opinion, is, (and I would recommend it, as the best Method, to the Gentleman who patronizes that Scheme) to plant an Excise-man at every Wine-Vault Door Night and Day; who should be relieved by another every two Hours, as the Centinels are upon Guard. That this is but a Gnat every Body must be sensible; how wicked then have they been who have shewn it to the Publick as a Monster capable of devouring the whole Trade, and destroying the Rights and Liberties of the *British* Nation? Proceed we to Paragraph 4.

As to the Motives for such an Undertaking, so far as a private Judgment can reach, it should seem that the principal View which either this, or any other Administration cou'd have in it, must be, an Increase of the publick Revenues; though, upon Examination, it will be found to be accompany'd with divers other very good secondary Consequences; more, perhaps, than any other Tax whatever possibly can be: Which shall be spoken to by and by.—But that a considerable Increase of the Revenues would thence follow cannot be doubted,

(14)

• doubted, and that from two Reasons: First,
 • the Inspection, which prevented Brewing,
 • would increase the Consumption of real
 • Wines regularly imported; which, though
 • charged by the Hoghead with no greater a
 • Duty by way of Excise, than they now
 • pay in Form of a Custom, would alone
 • make no little Addition to the Revenue
 • thence arising: — While, 2dly, the same
 • Inspection, being more minute, and going
 • regularly farther than that of the Custom-
 • House possibly can, would intercept, and
 • consequently destroy, a great part of the
 • Smuggling Trade, which now escapes their
 • utmost Diligence; and bring a farther Ad-
 • dition thereto by that means. — As to the
 • Amount of both those Effects together, tho'
 • divers probable Calculations might be made,
 • yet, perhaps, nothing but actual Experi-
 • ence could determine it with any Certainty.
 • But, however uncertain that may be, should
 • it amount to any Sum between One and
 • Four or Five hundred thousand Pounds,
 • (the Medium between those Extremes be-
 • ing, perhaps, the most probable Sum) the
 • Benefits thence derivable, to the whole Na-
 • tion, to the publick Weal in general, can
 • be no ways a Doubt.

Whatever may be our Author's Opinion
 of the Motives that first occasion'd the set-
 ting on foot this Undertaking, (especially in
 a Time of Peace) it is evident to all unbi-
 as'd Judges, to use his own Term, that it
 was chiefly with a View of influencing E-
 lections of Members for the ensuing Parlia-
 ment: There might be, perhaps, a secondary
 Design (but it would never have answer'd)

not

(15)

not a Jot better or honefter; viz. to improve
 the Revenues of the Crown so far by Degrees,
 as, in Time, to render PARLIAMENTS, those
Scourges and *Terrors* of EVIL MINISTERS
 entirely uselefs; this may possibly be one of
 those very good secondary Consequences which
 our Author means.

But before I go any farther, I can't help
 making one Observation upon our Author's
 Jesuitical Way of Expression; whether it
 proceeded from the Inaccuracy of his Style,
 or any other Cause, I shall not pretend to
 determine. It *should* seem (says he, not it
does seem) that the principal View of this
 or any other Administration must be an In-
 crease of the publick Revenues: It *should*
 seem indeed calculated for the Good of the
 Publick, but it is very evident that it *does*
 not seem so, in the Eyes of the *Body* of the
English Nation.

As to the two Reasons which our Author
 assigns why an Excise upon Wines would in-
 crease the Revenues; viz. first, that the In-
 spection would prevent Brewing, and so in-
 crease the Consumption of Real Wines re-
 gularly imported, which would be an Addi-
 tion to the Revenue; and 2dly, that it would
 intercept, and consequently destroy great part
 of the Smuggling Trade, which would be a
 farther Addition thereto; I can't help being
 so unhappy as to differ with him in my Opi-
 nion on both these Heads. For, I not only
 deny that it would prevent *brewing*, but
 likewise, that it would increase the Con-
 sumption of Real Wines regularly imported,
 imported, or destroy the Smuggling Trade:
 As to the brewing and balderdash, I
 have

(16)

have already answer'd that ; as to the increasing the Consumption of Real Wines, it is as evident as that the Sun shines, that an Excise upon any Commodity lessens the Consumption thereof eight Parts in ten ; and as to its destroying the Smuggling Trade, it is notorious that after an Excise is laid upon any Commodity, ten times more of it is *run*, than was before such Excise was laid ; as the *East India* Company have found by woeful Experience, since the Excise on *Tea* and *Coffee*. To proceed, I agree with our Author, that any Sum between One and Four, or Five hundred Thousand Pounds would be a Benefit to the Nation, if it were not swallow'd up by Commissioners, and their Crew of Subalterns ; but I can by no Means agree with him that any such Sum would accrue to the Publick from this Scheme, because there would be less Wine regularly imported, and more smuggled than at present : Let us now examine the next Paragraph.

With respect to which advantageous Uses of such an Increase, I would not be thought to dictate any thing directly to Judgments so much more capable of applying it properly, but only point out to my Readers, in general Terms, to how many various good Purposes the Wisdom of this or any future Administration, or Legislature, might convert such a Fund. Where, in the first Instance, it might be used to the immediate Relief of all the Land-owners of the Kingdom, by supplying the Place of Part of that unequal Burthen the Land-Taxes have laid on them, for an almost uninterrupted Succession of

(17)

of forty Years : — Or, should it upon Trial answer Expectation, it might in a few Years, upon the farther Progress of the Sinking Fund, furnish the Legislature with a ready and proper Means of diminishing divers of those Taxes that now fall on the mere Necessaries of Life ; such as those on Malt, on Beer, on Soap, on Candles, and on Leather ; and in this Light, after it had eased the Land holder, it might not only ease the Poor in general, but help the Manufacturer, and consequently the most advantageous and staple Parts of our whole national Trade ; while every Branch of it might thereby be carried cheaper to Foreign Markets, as well as be deliver'd so to our own Consumers at home ; and thence make even the Wine-drinkers some amends for that Expence ; so advantageous are Taxes that fall on any of the Arts of Luxury, when compared with such as fall on Trades for raising the Necessaries of Life. And so far would such a Tax be from threatening any thing disadvantageous to what ought to be call'd and look'd upon as the Trade of *England*. — Or, lastly, should it not, by the Wisdom of our Legislature, be applied to the direct Relief of any of these ; but be carried to the Sinking Fund, it would nevertheless prove as considerable, tho' not so immediate a Relief to them all in general, by vastly hastening the Discharge of the public Debt, and thereby removing more speedily that Burthen from them all together ; and in so doing restore the Body Politick much sooner to that Force and Weight which the Discharge of such

(18)

such a Debt would infallibly give it among its Neighbours. — While so far as those Taxes affect our Liberties at home, as these Patriots affect to think, so far it would hasten the Removal of that Danger, and be helping to annihilate those very Inconveniences which they would charge it with increasing.

Our *Author*, having assumed the Name of the *Financer*, is willing in this *Paragraph* to give us a *Specimen* how well he understands the *Finances*, perhaps with a *View* of recommending himself for a PLACE to a CERTAIN GENTLEMAN. — Accordingly, like an apt *Pupil*, he takes Example by that HONOURABLE PERSON, and first throws out a *Sugar-Plumb* to the *Land-holders*, in hopes they'll be GUDGEONS enough to swallow the same Bate a second time. In the next Place he tells us, that it might be a ready Means to diminish divers of those Taxes that now fall on the mere *Necessaries* of Life, as *Malt, Beer, Soap, Candles, and Leather*. I can't help observing here (tho' perhaps our *Author* will think it a little *malicious*) that he seems to have *designedly* omitted SALT in this *Catalogue* of *Necessaries*. I suppose he imagined the Taking off the *Salt-Tax* might be too fresh in our Memories; besides, as SALT is the Emblem of WIT, 'tis very probable that a *certain Person*, who is a notorious Enemy to the *Original*, cannot bear even its *Type*, and therefore is resolv'd, as far as lies in his Power, it shall remain *tax'd for ever*, as a *perpetual Memorial* of his Aversion not only to WIT itself, but to all who have any share of it. As to the Remainder of this *notable Sentence*,
it

(19)

it requires a *Dutch Commentator* to explain it: In this *Light*, (continues he) after it had eased the *Land-holder*, it might not only ease the *Poor in general*, but help the *Manufacturer, &c.* In this *Light!* in what *Light*, in the Name of Wonder! What does this *Blunderer* mean? The *Vivacity* of his *Imagination* has outrun his *Reason* this *Bout*; which I will demonstrate to him by an *Argument*, which, as much a *Financer* as he calls himself, he won't like, because he will find it impossible to evade it. Every one knows that the Sum of *Five hundred thousand Pounds*, taking his Calculation in its utmost Extent, cannot at one and the same Time relieve the *Land-holders*, and diminish the *Taxes* upon the *Necessaries* of Life, or, in other Words, ease the *Poor*: If it relieves the *Land-holders* therefore, it will not at the same Time ease the *Poor*; and if it is apply'd to easing the *Poor*, it will not at the same Time relieve the *Land-holders*.

Lastly, our *Author* enumerates the Benefits that would accrue to the *Publick*, if this Sum of *500,000l.* should be carry'd to the *Sinking Fund*. Therein I agree with the *Gentleman*; IF it should be carry'd to the *Sinking Fund*, and IF the *Sinking Fund* should be apply'd to no other Use than that for which it was originally designed. But will *Monsieur Financier* have the *Front* to assert, that that *sacred Depositum of the Nation*, has never been *perverted* to other Purposes? I believe he hardly will. Proceed we to the next *Paragraph*.

So much as to the *primary Motives* for, and advantageous Uses of such a Tax. Be-
sides

(20)

sides which would arise, as mentioned above, divers secondary Benefits of no light Moment to the Nation. The whole Body of *Wine-Drinkers* would find the good Effect of prohibited *Brewing* both in their Purses and Persons. To all the Moderate, who use Wines as a *Cordial*, the genuine Juice of the *Grape* would go much farther than the present abominable Sophistications; and both they, and those who exceed in their Quantities, would find a wide Difference in its more healthful or less dangerous Effects; while the Savings in the Apothecaries Bills would amply compensate the Difference between Neat Wines and such Trash. — Which Consideration of Health is not only valuable to every private Man, but of such Moment to the Publick, that in a well regulated Country, where such a mischievous Trade was grown so general and notorious, such an Inspection as might prevent it, would, perhaps, by wise Men be thought necessary and fit without any Respect to the publick Revenues, but as a Part of the publick Policy only. — The Apothecaries Shops have been wisely and justly subjected to a proper Visitation; and 'tis as much more reasonable that the Vintners Vaults should be so, as the Sound are more numerous and more valuable to the State than the Sick. — By the same Means the fair Dealer would be set on a Level with the Sophisticator, who at present undersells him. Nay, even the seeming Inconveniences of such a Law, and Tax, would prove comparatively, both private and publick Benefits:

(21)

I mean that *increased Price* and *increased Import* of Wines, which some People may object as disadvantageous Consequences of it; but both those, while they made the Merchant Importer some Amends for the Trouble of an Excise, would enable him to give better Prices at home for the Commodities he sends abroad in Exchange for Wines; while the same increased Price and Import would enable the *Portuguese* and *Spaniards*, &c. to allow better Prices for them there also. And thus a great part of the Profits which are now sunk in the Pockets of the Wine-Brewers would be thrown into another Channel, and reach the honest Manufacturers, whose Industry is the Support of the Publick; a Channel, which, at worst, would make the Luxury of the Wine-Drinker much more beneficial, both to the private Trader, and the Nation, than suffering it to be turned, as it now is, to the Encouragement and Support of the vilest and most mischievous of all Frauds; the destroying Numbers by a slow Sort of Poison, under Colour of selling them *Cordials*, and cheerful Entertainment.

Our Author here proceeds to enumerate the secondary Benefits which would arise from an *Excise* upon *Wine*, and which (he says) would be of no light Moment to the Nation. The first of these is, that the whole Body of *Wine-Drinkers* (whereof I presume he is one at the present,) would find the good Effects of *prohibited Brewings*, both in their Purses and their Persons. A very great Blessing truly! and worthy purchasing with an *Excise*! Heaven forbid I should speak irre-

reve-

(22)

reverently of so valuable a Body, four Fifths of whom, at a moderate Computation, are *Gentlemen*, or as they are called in *Holland* *IDLEMEN*, and consequently a dead Weight upon the industrious Part of the Nation: However, I will very readily allow them to be as valuable as he pleases; but yet he must give me Leave to think that another Body of the People, who would suffer greatly by an Excise upon *Tobacco*, are infinitely more valuable, I mean the poor Manufacturers, Artificers, and Labourers. Besides, who told our *Financer* that even these Gentlemen would find any good Effects from thence either in their Purfes or their Persons? I much question it.—The chief Ingredients wherewith *Wines* are said to be adulterated, are *Malt-Spirits* and *Cyder*, and to which, if he pleases, he may add the Whites of *Eggs* and *Isinglass*: Now how long have any of these been thought unwholesome? They are so far from it that they are quite the contrary. So much for their Persons, now how will they be Gainers in their Pockets? It is acknowledged on all Hands, nay even by the *Financer* himself, that an Excise will raise the Price of *Wines*; this then must be a notorious Falshood; proceed we to the rest. To the moderate, he says, the genuine *Juice* of the Grape would go much farther than the present abominable Sophistications.—How does he know this? If the *Neat Wines* go farther it must be from their Strength; now I do aver that we have *Cyders* in *England* of as strong a Body as any *Wine* in *France*, *Spain*, or *Portugal*, and for *Malt-Spirits* I believe they are quite out of the Question. This Argument therefore, like the rest, falls to the Ground. Oh! but (says our *Financer*) both the moderate and those who exceed

(23)

ceed would find a wide Difference in its more healthful, or less dangerous Effects. I have already shewn this to be a notorious Falshood; and as to the Savings in the Apothecaries Bills, I must tell him he is quite on the wrong side of the Question. I must therefore take Leave to inform his Judgment.—First, It is universally agreed by all Naturalists, Philosophers, and Physicians, that our beneficent Mother *Nature* has carefully provided, that the Product of every Nation shall be best suited to the Constitutions of its Inhabitants; accordingly we find that *MALT-LIQUORS* and *CYDER* agree better with a downright *English Constitution*, that has not been debauched, than *WINE*. This being granted, and it was never yet denied by any one that pretended to understand any thing of *Medicine*, I do affirm that in all Illnesses proceeding from bad *Wines*, (for that is the general Excuse of those who find themselves ill after a Debauch) the usual Symptoms are a Vomiting (or at least a Reaching to vomit) and a *Diarrhea*, or Looseness; now 'tis certain that neither of these can proceed from *MALT-SPIRITS* or *CYDER* taken in moderate Quantities; and as for the Whites of *Eggs* and *Isinglass*, they are well known to be both very wholesome and innocent: Let us therefore lay the *Saddle* on the right *Horse*, and instead of ascribing our Illnesses to bad *Wine*, let's attribute them to Excess; instead of charging them upon the Roguery of *Vintners*, and calling them *WINE-BREWERS*, &c. let us honestly charge them upon our Drunkenness, and being Sors.—Our *Financer* in the next Place pretends a mighty Concern for our Healths, and very wisely stumbles upon the visiting of the Apothecaries Shops, as a Precedent
for

(24)

for the visiting of the Vintners Vaults. But let me ask this wise Man of *Gotham*, this *Machiavel* of a Statesmen (like another *Financer* that shall be nameless) is there no Difference between visiting the Apothecaries Shops by the Wardens of their own Company, and Physicians, who are the proper Judges of their Medicines, and his at a seasonable Hour, and not above twice a Year, and the inspecting Vintners Vaults by Excisemen, who are no proper Judges of the Goodness of WINEs, and this not only every Day, but at all Hours of the Night? O WIT! where wast thou when he made this BLUNDER? B. t, by a certain Fatality, the Writers on a certain Side do *nothing* else. Our *Author* immediately afterwards asserts another *notorious Falshood*, *viz.* that the seeming Inconveniencies of such a Tax would prove both private and publick Benefits. In order to demonstrate this, he begs the Question, and takes for granted what every Dealer in excised Commodities knows to be *false*; *v. z.* that the raising their Price increases their Importation. Upon this *sandy Foundation*, the contrary of which is evidently true, does he build all the rest of this notable Paragraph; for which Reason I shall take no farther Notice thereof, but proceed to the next.

Hitherto of the advantageons Effects of such a Tax, in very concise Terms, each Article of which, if set in its full Light, would exceed the Bounds of this Paper. — And what Objections have been urged to counterpoise Considerations of such Weight and general Benefit? Why truly nothing, but some loose Discourses against EXCISES in general; exaggerating their Inconveniencies chiefly with respect to three Points, the Trouble of Visits
and

(25)

and Inspections of Excise Officers give the Trader. An imaginary Influence those Officers may have over them with respect to their Votes in Elections; and the Manner of determining disputed Points by the Commissioners, or Justices of Peace, and not by a *Jury*. — Now, without offering here minute and particular Answers to all these, which has been done already, and would exceed my present Bounds; it may be thought sufficient, perhaps, by unbiassed Judges, to observe of them all in a Body, that they either prove nothing to the present Case, or prove too much; for supposing them of any just weight, they conclude, that Excises in general are such a Mode of levying Taxes, as ought to be entirely abolished; and then our *Lands*, together with the *Customs*, instead of receiving any Ease, must bear the whole Weight of the publick Expence, and be further charged with several hundred thousand Pounds *per Annum*. — But if this be thought too much, then do they prove nothing to the present Point; for while the publick Necessities lay the whole Body of the Dealers in *Malt* or *Beer*; together with the *Leather-Dressers*, the *Soap-Boilers*, and the *Tallow-Chandlers*, all of them Preparers of, or Dealers in, the mere Necessaries of Life under the Inconveniencies of an Excise: What Reason in the nature of Things, what Policy, or what Justice can be urged for exempting the Dealers in WINEs, a gainful and expensive Article of foreign Luxury, from bearing a Part of that Burthen? — Especially if two Points be considered, *1st*, That these have escaped it hitherto, while those have borne it long, and must do so yet longer. *2^{dly}*, That
D the

(26)

the same Act of Justice which distributes their due Share of that Burthen to the WINE-Trade, tends evidently; as I observed above, to shorten the Term of those Inconveniences, (if they are real) both to the private Individuals and the Publick; from which Consideration only every Dealer in those excised Commodities has, as well as the Publick, a just Claim to one upon these.

I have already shewn, in my Observations upon the former Paragraph, what *advantageous* Effects are to be expected from an EXCISE upon WINES and TOBACCO; and I hope I have set that in such a clear Light, that a Reader even of the meanest Capacity, if not a *downright Natural*, may be a tolerable Judge of the Point in Dispute: I shall therefore say no more upon that Head, to avoid being prolix, and tiring my Readers with dull Repetitions, like the Writers on the other Side of the Question. Our Author is pleased to add, that nothing has been urged against Considerations of such Weight and general Benefit, ——— *Risum teneatis Amici?* but some loose Discourses against EXCISES in general; exaggerating their Inconveniences (observe the Softness of the Expression) with respect to three Points, &c. — I shall beg Leave to say something upon each of those three Points. First then, as to the Trouble the Visits and Inspections of Excise Officers give the Trader. ——— Why really I can't help thinking, that for a free-born *Englishman* to have his Warehouse, Shop, and House, even to his WIFE'S BEDCHAMBER, visited, and rummaged, at all Hours of the Day or Night, by every pert Rascal that shall think it worth his While to be troublesome, at the same time that the Fellow,

strip

(27)

strip him of his *Salary*, shall not be worth a *Groat*; nor, perhaps, would not be trusted in an *honest* House, is an Inconvenience, to which even the Gentleman who treats it so mildly would not care to be subject: In plain *English*, 'tis a damnable Hardship, such a Hardship that the *Christians*, who are born Subjects to the Great *Turk*, and are look'd upon by the *Mahometans* as little better than Dogs, are not exposed to the like. ——— Our Author is pleased, in the next Place, to treat the Influence of Excise Officers over those who deal in exciseable Commodities as imaginary; contrary to the Sense of the whole Kingdom, excepting those who have PLACES or PENSIONS, and are lost to all Sense of feeling the Miseries of their Fellow-Subjects. As imaginary as this Influence is, it has been a Grievance universally complain'd of for many Years, and that by both Parties, according as each has been uppermost; it being very certain that any Dealer in excise-Commodities, who ventures to *vote* contrary to the Direction of the Excise Officers, must expect the most tyrannical and barbarous Usage, as has often been found by woeful Experience. Nay, 'tis well if they are contented with harassing him Day and Night with needless Visits and Inspections; ten to one but they hire some worthless Rascal, if they won't in Policy appear against him themselves, to swear that he has defrauded the King of his Duties, and so get him fined exorbitantly by the Commissioners. ——— The third Point, *viz.* the Manner of determining disputed Points by the Commissioners or Justices of Peace, which are generally never a Barrel better Herring; it has been allow'd on all Hands to be so unreasonable, and

D 2

there

(28)

there are so many notorious Instances of their Oppression and Partiality, that none but a *Man*, who has an undoubted Title to the Epithet of *O. S. DURUM*, would have had the Impudence to have call'd it by the soft Name of an *Inconvenience*. 'Tis damnable insufferable Oppression, and were an *EXCISE* once made general, any Man of common Sense would prefer the *French* Method of Dragooning, to lying under the Lash of such harden'd insolent Miscreants as some of them have been: Enough therefore of this melancholly Subject; how does our Author endeavour to elude the Force of these Arguments, for to answer them is beyond his Power. Why truly he says; they either prove nothing to the present Case, or too much; for, supposing them of any just Weight, (continues he) they conclude, that Excises in general are such a Mode of levying publick Taxes as ought to be entirely abolish'd. — Agreed, I heartily join with *Monsieur Financier*, it ought to be entirely abolish'd in every Country that would preserve its Liberty; and as to his pretending to terrify us with saying that our *Lands* and *Customs* (observe the Word *OUR*, in a Man who I dare swear by his slavish Notions never had one Foot of Land) must bear the whole Weight of the publick Expence, I would fain ask him how he did before there was ever such a Thing known as an Excise? For Instance, in the Reign of Queen *Elizabeth*, when the *Spaniards* invaded Us openly with the most formidable Fleet that ever was equip'd since the Days of the ancient *Greeks* and *Romans*, a Fleet call'd *in Terrorem* the *INVINCIBLE ARMADA*; (not a few puny Guard de Costa's) how, I say, did we do then? What slavish Expedients had we

Re-

(29)

Recourse to in those glorious Days? The Answer is easy, she had an able, and a faithful *MINISTRY*; and the Hands, Hearts, and Purse of all the most valuable Part of her Subjects. — Yet had she more Conspiracies to struggle with than any Prince before or since; I urge this, because our *Financier* shall not object the Disaffection of an inconsiderable Handful of People: 'Tis true, if by the *Disaffected*, the Ministerial Writers mean the *Disaffected* to a certain Person, the Case will be extremely alter'd; and in that I rejoice, since I believe it the *ONLY SECURITY* we have for our *LIBERTIES*. Our Author, in the next Place, has the Insolence to urge, our having so many Commodities excised already, as an Argument for laying *WINES* and *TOBACCO* under an *EXCISE* likewise. A very pretty Argument truly! just as if a Man should load an Ass as long as he could stand under it, and then urge that as an Argument for laying on more, till he breaks his Back. Another Argument he uses as a Sugar-Plumb to draw in the Dealers in *Malt*, *Beer*, *Leather*, *Soap*, and *Tallow*, is, that *Wine* is an Article of *Luxury*, whereas their Commodities are the *Necessaries of Life*, therefore *Wines* ought to be excis'd as well as they. Do they so? I think not, unless it would prove an immediate Relief to any one of them; for, as to his fine Pretences of easing the Land-holder, the Manufacturer, or being apply'd to the *Sinking Fund*, we must beg his Pardon if we neither believe nor trust them. Proceed we to the next Paragraph.

But tho' I think this a full Answer to the general Thread of their Reasoning, I must not omit taking Notice of a particular Point of two that have been urged. — First, it has been

said

(30)

said that such a new Tax would tend to a
General Excise; for in some Places indeed they
 vouchsafe to suppose the Design *particular*, tho'
 in others they treat it as general; and in Or-
 der to have the better Colour for Sophistry in
 so doing, lay down that Proposition, which at
 best is but a sad Quibble; because all Gene-
 rals are made up of Particulars; or else a di-
 rect Falshood, for the Essence of a *general Ex-
 cise*, and in which its Inconvenience chiefly
 consists, is its charging all the mere Necessa-
 ries of Life, and thereby falling hard on the
 Poor; but all Excises on particular Articles
 of Luxury, be they ever so numerous, are so
 far from having any Tendency that Way, that
 when judiciously chosen, they are, it may be,
 the best imaginable Expedients to keep any
 People from the Necessity of falling under such
 a One, as this might, perhaps, amongst others
 prove the present State of our publick Reve-
 nues; together with what farther Demands a
 sudden, an unforeseen and expensive War might
 possibly make on the Nation, should such a
 One happen before this, or some such like Ex-
 pedients should have alter'd our Situation.—
 Another Point much insisted on against Excises
 in general, is, a Danger to our Liberties from
 a supposed Influence of the *Officers* on the *Votes*
 of Electors for Parliament; but, without urging
 the Provision of the Laws in that Case, &c. Let
 it be consider'd, that while the Body of our
 Gentry, from among whom so great a Part of
 the Legislature is chosen, are rich and flourish-
 ing, the Body of the *House of Commons* can
 hardly ever fall into any dangerous Depend-
 cy; whereas, should ever the Gentry be gene-
 rally impoverish'd by heavy and long conti-
 nued

(31)

nued Land-Taxes; thinking Men may, per-
 haps, apprehend that our *Liberties* may be
 risked in a much more dangerous Part and
 Point, than that of Excisemens Influence at
 Elections; and that to such a degree, that
 an Excise which should supply for a few
 Years the Place of but One Shilling in the
 Pound Land-Tax, might probably go much
 farther to secure our Liberties within Doors
 than the Influence of all the Excisemen in
England, were their Number doubled, could
 tend to hurt them without. In one Case
 the Danger is silent and beyond the Re-
 medy of all Law; whereas any considerable
 Attempts of the Other, should they ever
 be made, would but heighten and increase
 Opposition, to a degree that must certainly
 overturn any *Ministry*, I had almost said
 any *Court*, that should attempt it. So that
 even in that Light, as well as in shorten-
 ing the Date and Duration of those Incon-
 veniences in general, this Duty would
 have its Merits in tending to secure, in-
 stead of attacking our Liberties.

Our Author, in this Paragraph, charges the
Craftsman either with quibbling, or telling a
 direct Falshood, I suppose he means in his
 Definition of a General Excise; if that be
 the Case, I must beg Leave to charge the
 Falshood upon him, for an Excise undoubt-
 edly may very properly be said to be ge-
 neral, when the Generality of Commodities
 are subject to that *Handship*, whether they are
 the mere Necessaries of Life or not. But
 since he makes such a Pother about the mere
 Necessaries of Life, as if they were exempted
 from an Excise, I must tell him that he can-
 not

(32)

not name so much as One of the Necessaries of Life, which is not affected thereby; and I am surpriz'd the *Craftsman* should mention the Land-Tax only as affecting *Flesh Meat*, when all *Flesh*, excepting *Pork*, pays no less than *three* Excises, and lies under *greater Hardships* than any other Commodity whatsoever, tho' *Beer* pays *two*, first in *Malt*, and then in *Beer*. For I would feign ask any impartial Man, what is an Excise upon *Hides*, *Leather* and *Tallow*, but a *threefold* Excise upon *Flesh Meat*? — The *Gentleman* afterwards, in his great Zeal for the Projector, tells us that all Excises upon particular Articles of Luxury, be they ever so numerous, are, it may be, the best Expedients imaginable to keep a People from the Necessity of falling under a General Excise. By this I find, tho' should the present Design take Effect, which Heaven forbid! we are likely to be favour'd with more *such Schemes*; for, be they never so numerous, our *Financer* assures us 'tis all for our Good. Our *Author* likewise, after the Example of a *certain Person*, is very fond of Expedients; but I am afraid also, that like the said Person, his Expedients will be found *very destructive*; for which Reason I desire my Countrymen never to try them. He then would terrify us with the Demands which a sudden, unforeseen, and expensive War may occasion, if it should happen before some such Expedients should have alter'd our Situation (for the *worse* I suppose he means). But I believe his Fears are groundless, for several Reasons; first, 'tis impossible any War should happen which our discerning Minister will not foresee; 2dly, I dare swear

we

(33)

we shall never have a War, whilst a *certain Person rules the Roast*, if any prudent *Compliances* can prevent it, as has been more than once experienced by our good Allies the *French* and *Spaniards*. As to his saying that an Excise, upon never so many Articles of Luxury will not pave the Way for a General Excise as he brings no Argument to support this ridiculous Assertion, it deserves no Answer. — Our Author tells us next, as to the Influence of Excisemen over *Elections*, that while the Body of our *Gentry* are rich and flourishing, the Body of the House of Commons can hardly ever fall into any dangerous Dependency; whereas, should they ever be impoverish'd by heavy and long continued Land-Taxes, our *Liberties* would be risked in a much more dangerous Degree within Doors, than they could be without, by the Influence of Excisemen, were their Number doubled. — Now this happens to be a direct Falshood; for our *Liberties* never were, nor ever will be in Danger from the Landed Interest, even tho' they should pay *Four Shillings* in the Pound: No, whenever our *Liberties* are in Danger, 'tis from a Set of *hungry Courtiers*, who, without any more Land than will just qualify them to get themselves chosen in petty Boroughs by the Influence of Excisemen, Custom-house Officers, and *something else* that shall be nameless, tho' perhaps they were never before heard of in the Places for which they are elected. Then, as to his placing our Security in our *Gentry* being rich and flourishing; let them be ever so rich, if they can't live within the Compass of their Incomes

E

they

(34)

they will be liable to be corrupted, and then I would fain know how secure our Liberties would be, when a good Place or Pension is offered them. He then assures us, that any considerable Attempts to undermine our Liberties by the Influence of Excisemen, would but heighten Opposition to a Degree that must overturn any Ministry. — The Gentleman forgets there is a Standing Army. — Come we now to the last Paragraph.

To come therefore to a general Conclusion; this Tax in its Application to diminish that on Land, to decrease some of the Excises on the Necessaries of Life, or to the Sinking Fund, promises fair for becoming both a present and future Ease to the Body of the Subjects of England. — In immediately turning a great Part of the Gains of the Wine-Trade more directly to the Manufacturers, and in its future supplying Part of the Duties on such Necessaries of Life as immediately affect those Manufacturers in general; it seems calculated for the Benefit of the most valuable Branches of our National Trade. In the Relief it might immediately offer the Body of our Gentry, and in shortening the Term of more inconvenient Excises among us, as well as that of the whole Debt; it seems to consult the Safety of our Liberties. — In laying the Venders of Luxury, for all these good Reasons, under a like Excise with those borne by their Fellow-Subjects, whose Trades are much more necessary and useful to the Publick, it appears highly agreeable to the Justice of PARLIAMENT. — And lastly, for all those Reasons, it may

(35)

may be, perhaps, thought suitable to their Wisdom. — So that upon the Whole, if a private Capacity may attempt making any Judgment of that Sort; such a Tax appears to have all those good Qualities so lately recommended from the THRONE to the HOUSE of COMMONS, and which that August Assembly so lately resolved on as their Standard in chusing future Supplies; and that to such a Degree, that I may safely challenge those who have oppos'd it, to name any one of all the numerous Taxes now on Foot in England, that has so many just Recommendations, and so few valid Objections.

Never certainly was such a Heap of Stuff thrown together to banter Mankind as in this Paragraph: What! is this single TAX to diminish the Land-Tax, abate the Excises on Malt, Soap, Leather, Candles, &c. and likewise to be apply'd to the Sinking Fund. What Rhodomontade is this? Why, 'tis well known that the Whole that it will produce will not more than answer the taking off One Shilling in the Pound upon Land, after all the Charges of collecting it are paid; accordingly that if the utmost that has been propos'd by the Projector, as a Sugar-plumb to draw in the Land-holders not to oppose it. How then, in the Name of Wonder, is it to answer all these other Ends? But as if all this were not enough, it is immediately to turn great Part of the Gains of the Wine-Trade to the Manufacturers; God a Mercy, Monsieur Financier, by my Consent you should be made one of the Committee of Ways and Means; what Schemes should we then have for the

E 2

Good

(36)

Good of the Publick! But the most *admirable* Assertion of all is; that it seems to consult the Safety of our *Liberties*. Did he expect to be believ'd when he affirmed this? Surely he could not; nay, I aver, that he did not believe it himself. And as to his urging that the *Venders* of Luxury ought to be laid under a like Excise with those borne by their *Fellow-Subjects*; we will not dispute it, provided the Excise is taken off any of the *Necessaries of Life*; but, as to the applying it to the *Sinking Fund*, we must beg his Pardon, if we are afraid it will be most of it *sunk in private Pockets*.

I have now done with the *Occasional Financer*; all the other Papers written by that *worthy Gentleman* being founded upon the same Arguments with the first, for which Reason they must stand and fall with that, and the same Answer will suffice for all: As to his *insufferable currility* in some of them, I shall take no Notice thereof, the *best Reply* to such Language (in my Opinion) being what they deservedly met with, I mean to be *burnt* by the Hands of the *Common-Hangman*.

Proceed we now to Mess. *Carus* and *Meanwell*, those two *worthy Seconds*, and finish'd Copies, of Messieurs *Osborn* and *Walsingham*: This *new Reinforcement* to the Band of *Mercenaries*, with equal *Modesty* and *Honesty*, have undertaken a Task, which I am very much afraid, will prove *too arduous*, even for *Gentlemen* of their *consummate Abilities*. This was no less than to make *FREE BRITONS* in Love with *Slavery* and *Oppression*, and to *persuade* them out of their *Feeling*.

As

(37)

As this was an Attempt very unlikely to *succeed*, one would have imagin'd that it must have been supported with some *shew of Argument*; but there is a *certain Fatality* attends all the *Ministerial Writers*, a certain *pernicious Influence*, which reduces them to the *Necessity of blundering most* when they think they are *most arch*; and to hit their *Patron* a *Slap on the Face*, when their Aim is to *wound his Adversaries*: The Consequence of this is, that they *multiply daily* like the *Hydra's Heads*. In short, neither *Argument* nor *Wit* is their Talent; I would advise them therefore to stick to their *old Weapons Scurrility* and *Falshood*, which it must be confess'd they *handle to Admiration*.

To return to Messieurs *Carus* and *Meanwell*, it would exceed the Bounds I have prescrib'd myself in my present Undertaking, should I enter into a minute Examination of all these Gentlemen's extraordinary *Performances*; wherefore I shall only make some cursory Remarks thereon *en passant*, which, however, shall be sufficient to set their *Inconsistences* and *Absurdities* in such a *glaring Light*, that none but *Noodles* will ever be in Danger for the future of being *mised* by their *Sophistry*, if any *simple well-meaning* People have already been so *weak* as to suffer themselves to be *imposed on* by them.

To begin then with Mr. *Carus*, this Gentleman, in his Letter of *March 3*, is pleased to say, 'That the present Dispute between the *Anti-ministerial* and *Ministerial Party*, is at present so high, as to deserve the utmost Cognizance from the Publick.' Did ever any one know such a *Blunderer*? We admit
it

(38)

it does, and have endeavour'd to persuade the Publick to take full Cognizance thereof, which they have accordingly done, and which has occasion'd this *uncommon Outcry* not only from the *whole Band of Mercenari's*, but even from the *Great Author* of the *Letter of a Member of Parliament to his Friends in the Country*.

The *former* (continues Mr. Carus) charge the *latter* with Designs of enslaving and impoverishing the People; and the *latter* retort on the *former's* Endeavours to disturb the Peace, and to endanger the Safety of the Nation. Another *notorious Blunder!* For, if this be the Point on which the present Dispute rests, the only Question that remains to be ask'd will be, *Which Party has best made out their respective Charge?* And in that I appeal to the whole *collective Body* of the People, for I shall not venture to decide it *myself*, for *Reasons* that, I believe, are *obvious* to every *impartial* Person.

As to Mr. Carus, instead of *clearing up* this Matter, he goes on to give us a Dissertation upon *Government* in general, and tells us that we had better have *any Kind of Government* than *none* at all. An *admirable Consolation* truly! I am very glad it dropp'd from his Pen; had it fallen from mine, I am afraid, according to the *present Doctrine* of *Inuendoes*, they would have interpreted it into a *Libel*, and said I meant it as a *Sneer* upon our *present righteous Ad——n*, and that 'twas as much as to say better have *that* than *none*.

Mr. Carus then proceeds to give us such an *admirable Definition* of *Tyranny*, that, in *Justice* to that Gentleman, I must not pass it by

(39)

by. *Tyranny* (says he) is a *Yoke* laid by *few* on the *Necks of many*; a very exact Definition truly! but now let us see what Inference he draws from thence. And consequently (continues he) whenever it grows so *pressing* as to excite a general Desire of Change, the *latter* have it always in their Power to throw off the Subjection of the *former*, and to make what Choice they please of a new *Form of Living*, i. e. of a new *Government* for themselves. Is not this an *admirable Doctrine?* Did Mr. Carus believe this when he laid it down for a *Truth?* Or did he lay it down as a *Snare* to draw in the *Unwary*, that there might be some more *forfeited Estates?* If he did I fancy he will miss his Aim.

I can't help saying, however, that one would be apt to think he did; by his bringing the *Revolution* in *Portugal* as an Instance to support his Doctrine; and observing, that the *Violence* of those in Power, and their *Contempt* of the *Populace*, drove the People on trying their *own Strength*.

Be that as it will, I shall endeavour to shew the *Fallacy* of his *Inference*, even granting his Position to be *true*, (which I can by no Means admit) that *Tyranny* is a *Yoke* laid by *few* on the *Necks of many*: For, if one half oppresses the other, or the greater Number oppresses the less, it would, nevertheless, be equally *Tyranny*. But allowing, I say, his Position to be *true*, his Consequence will by no Means follow: In order to prove this, I must beg Leave to make one Supposition.

Sup-

(40)

Supposing then, for Instance, that, in any State, an inconsiderable Number should find the Means to engross the Riches and Power of the whole Nation; and supposing that by introducing universal Corruption they should be able to keep up a Standing Army in Time of Peace, in order to awe their Fellow-Subjects; which Standing Army should be so quarter'd, as to be able to be drawn together in any Part of the Kingdom in a Week's Time; supposing, I say, this were the Case, how could the whole collective Body of the People withstand a considerable Body of disciplin'd Forces? Is it not notorious that Ten thousand undisciplin'd Troops cannot stand against five hundred regular Forces? I believe Mr. Carus will be puzzled to answer this.

That Gentleman tells us, in the next Place, 'That when Writers, who pretend to reason on political Subjects, speak of the Common-wealth, the Nation, or the Publick, they ought to make Use of those Terms only to express the collective Body of the People.' — Agreed, and pray who uses it in any other Sense? But then let me tell him, that although an inconsiderable Handful of Men who have juggled themselves into Places and Employments, should happen, even against their Consciences, to run counter to the general Sense of the Kingdom, that will

(41)

will not hinder but the Remainder may very properly be called the collective Body of the People. Otherwise this would be an Expression never to be used; since every Individual of the Person, never was, nor, I believe, never will be, unanimously united in one Opinion, in any Nation in the Universe.

Mr. Carus then charges those who oppose the Ministry with exciting Commotions, and bullying themselves with spreading Jealousies, and then runs on into a hundred tread-bare Invectives; but there are two very remarkable Paragraphs, which I must by no Means pass by; because therein the Gentleman gives up all that the warmest Advocates against Oppression and Slavery have ever contended for.

'Obedience, (says Mr. Carus) is due to every Government, so long as those who administer keep to their Duty, that is, remain satisfy'd with that Proportion of Power which is entrusted to them by the Constitution, and make Use of that Power for those Purposes; and for those only, for which it was entrusted with them; this, I think must be granted me as a Principle self-evident.' Mr. Carus is very much in the right of it, no-body will deny this, no more than what follows 'Indeed, continues he, if at any Time Men of prodigious

(42)

‘ digious Artifice should get into the Administration, and should take such Measures, tho’ not directly contrary to the Letter of the Laws, as tended either to extend the Royal Prerogative, or their own Power, beyond the due Bounds, or were calculated to fleece, or to oppress the People; I am ready to grant, an honest and wise Man should oppose, and by every lawful Means he could think of, endeavour to defeat them.’ Do you so, Friend *Carus*, do you grant this, if you do, it is all we ask, or contend for, and we leave you to guess *who those Men are*.

Mr. *Carus*, in another Paper, seems very angry with Mr. *D’Anvers* for calling him an *Hibernian*, as if that was the greatest Abuse imaginable; I don’t know what may be his Opinion, but it is mine that there are a great many very *worthy Gentlemen* of that Nation; *Gentlemen*, who would be far from being *proud* of having such a One as *Carus* for their *Countryman*. — But why all this Anger? Why is the Gentleman so much provok’d at the Appellation of *Irishman*, that he should spend a whole Letter in vindicating himself from the Charge? As if the World cared *one Farthing* what *Countryman such a Mortal* was. Can any one *abuse* him more than he has *abused* himself? Has he not publickly printed him-
self

(43)

self the *abandon’d Advocate* of *Slavery* and *Oppression*? And can there be a *worse Prostitution*? Can *any Name* be more *scandalous*? I believe not, in the Opinion of all *honest Men*; but I have done with a *Wretch* who is *lost to all Sense of Shame*; proceed we now to Mr. *Meanwell*, a Gentleman every Way as well accomplish’d as the former.

This *modest* and *learned* Writer is pleas’d to inform us, in his Letter of *March 6*, ‘ That the Design of History is not merely to inform an idle Curiosity, but to instruct Futurity by the Example and Misfortunes of their Forefathers: This, *continues he*, is making the noblest Use of the Historian’s Labour, and this is the only Intent of the following Essay.’ So then we are to be *instructed*, I find, by this Gentleman, tho’ he will not allow the *Cities* and *Boroughs* who *chuse* them, to give any *Instructions* to their *Representatives*, even when they apprehend their *Liberties* to be in the *utmost Danger*.

The Reason of this is very evident, for he tells us very plainly, ‘ That the *Britons* are the only People under Heaven, who, when they are *most* happy, are least thankful for being so, or labour industriously to make themselves otherwise.’ We thank him very heartily for this Information, but to let him

F 2

into

(44)

into a Secret, nine Parts in ten of the Nation would be very glad if they were not quite so happy as he is pleased to represent them; and that *in that very particular Circumstance* which he, and his *Clan*, would fain persuade us is our *peculiar Felicity*.

Mr. *Meanwell* proceeds to inform us that because the *Rebels*, who cut off *K. CHARLES'S Head*, brought this Nation into the *utmost Confusion* and *Misery*, which by the *Bye* could never have been done without a *Standing Army*; therefore we ought to bear all manner of *Oppression* without a *Murmur*, for fear our *well grounded Complaints* and *Remonstrances* should bring a Friend of his to *lose his Head* by the *Hand of Justice*, which would be a *very great Loss* to Mr. *Meanwell*, whatever it might be to *nine Parts in ten* of the Nation. Admirable Doctrine truly! A fine Use Mr. *Meanwell* makes of his Learning as well as his History!

But since Mr. *Manwell* is pleased to be so very *communicative* of his great *Literature*, as to be willing to read us *Lectures* upon *History* at so small a Price as *Two Pence*; I would fain ask him if he could have pick'd out no other Cause but *Faction*, to which to ascribe that Prince's *unparallel'd Misfortunes* and *Tragical End* with more Justice: I fancy he cou'd, if not, I can for him.

(45)

What does he think, for Instance, of those two worthy Gentlemen, the Duke of *Buckingham*, and Sir *Thomas Wentworth*, afterwards Earl of *Strafford*? These are the *Giant Traitors* who brought that *unhappy Monarch* to the *Block*; these are they that by their *Oppressions* created all the *ill Blood* in the Nation, and 'twas nothing but the King's protecting them, especially the *latter*, too long, that brought all that *dreadful Train* of Calamities that ensued upon him. Let Mr. *Meanwell* then no longer ascribe that Prince's *Fall* to *Pym*, *Hampden*, *St. John*, and *Holles*, but to the *insolent, over-bearing* Temper of Sir *Thomas Wentworth*, who forced his Royal Master upon such *destructive Measures*, as he could not but know must end in his *Ruin*, as they likewise prov'd *his own*, to the *great Joy* of all who *wish'd well* to *England*.

'Tis true that *pernicious Minister* advised the King to one Thing that would, perhaps, have prevented his Fate, tho' it would not have prevented the Destruction of *Strafford*, whom *sooner or later* he must have given up, if he desired ever to come to any Terms with his People. His Advice was this, to send over for a Body of *Irish* Forces, who were all *Papists* to a Man, to keep the *English* in Awe, whilst he pursued his *damnable Schemes*; but that unfortunate Prince, who

(46)

who was by no Means cut out for a Tyrant, was of too good a Temper to follow such *arbitrary* and *bloody Counsel*, which alone could have *secured him*, as the Case then stood.

I believe by this Time Mr. Meanwell wishes he had let his *Historical Lectures* alone, since he finds 'tis very easy to *turn his own Cannon upon him*, and I will engage for one Instance he produces of *Faction's* involving a Kingdom in *Confusion*, I will produce him twenty of *bad Ministers* bringing a Nation to *utter Ruin*: Besides that there never was a *Faction* which did not take its Rise from the *Oppression* or *Mismanagement* of *Ministers*, for which Reason they ought justly to be look'd upon as the *primary Causes* of all the *Mischief* that has ensued.

I have now done with this *worshipful Triumvirate*, until they, or the *great Author* of the *Letter of a Member of Parliament to his Friends in the Country*, from whom they all take their Cue, think fit to produce something more worthy answering out of *their political Budget*, which when they do, I doubt not but they will meet with *deserved Correction* either from myself, or some *abler Hand*.

F I N I S.

ADVERTISEMENT.

London, March 30, 1733.

WHEREAS the Merchants and Traders of this City have received Letters from many Parts of this Kingdom, that it has been industriously propagated by the Officers of the Revenue, that great Frauds have been committed in the Duties upon Wines and Tobacco, and that the Fair Dealers in these Commodities are perfectly satisfy'd with the present *Excise Scheme*: It is hereby declar'd, in Behalf of the Merchants and Traders, that no particular Proof has been yet made of any such Frauds, (tho' the Accusers have been often call'd upon, and deny'd to produce the same) and that they are so far from approving the said Scheme, that they are determined to continue their Opposition to it, by all dutiful and lawful Methods, 'till they are precluded, if they should be so unhappy, by that supreme Authority, on which the Liberties and Fortunes of the whole Kingdom absolutely depends.

A D A R S I E R I A

1947-1948
 W
 The following information was obtained from the records of the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, on the subject of the land in question. The land in question is located in the County of ... State of ... and is owned by ... The land was acquired by the Department of the Interior in the year ... and is now being held in trust for the benefit of the ... The land is being held in trust for the benefit of the ... and is being managed in accordance with the provisions of the ... The land is being held in trust for the benefit of the ... and is being managed in accordance with the provisions of the ...