96-24 重複118-9

IMPORTANCE

OF THE

BREWERY

STATED:

AND THE EXTREME IMPOLICY OF RENEWING

THE IMPOST

Of Two Pennies Scots, or One Sixth of a Penny Sterling, per Scots Pint,

ON MALT LIQUORS,

BREWED WITHIN CERTAIN TOWNS IN SCOTLAND,

AND PARTICULARLY

WITHIN THE CITY OF EDINBURGH,

AND THE FOUR ADJACENT PARISHES,

DEMONSTRATED.

Humbly submitted, by the Brewers of Edinburgh, to the consideration of the Landed, Commercial, and Manufacturing Interests of Scotland.

EDINBURGH:

PRINTED FOR P. HILL, EDINBURGH, & T. N. LONGMAN, LONDON.

M,DCC,XCVI

IMPORTANCE OF THE BREWERY STATED,

&c.

IT is probable that accident first discovered the art of fermentation; and that the juice of the grape long continued to be the only substance that was esteemed capable of being converted into a vinous and exhilarating liquor. In process of time, it was found that the seeds of many plants were capable of the vinous fermentation, and of being converted into a beverage, if not more palatable, at least as salutary.

The art of distillation, or of separating the ardent spirit from the remaining ingredients of a sermented liquor, is said to have been sirst invented by a Grecian physician, but to have been improved, and brought nearly to its present perfection, by the Arabians under

A

the

the government of the fuccessors of Mahomet.

During many ages this art remained wholly in the hands of apothecaries and compounders of medicines; and ardent spirits were never used except under the direction of physicians, as a cordial in certain diseases.

Were the first inventors and improvers of distillation to rise from their tombs, with what surprise would they behold a liquid which they administered only in particular cases, and in dozes not exceeding a dram, become the general beverage of the people? Physicians sometimes prescribe strong stimulants, which, if taken in large quantities, would prove poisonous; but he would be a bold adventurer who should attempt to persuade us that such medicines would prove salutary as our daily food.

The inhabitants of warmer climates, where the fun ripens into perfection the juice of the grape, feldom tafte the wine which it produces, or the spirit which it yields by distillation. But in our northern climate some exhilarating drink seems absolutely necessary to promote our health and comfort; and the question is, whether sermented liquor, or the ardent spirit derived from it, is best adapted to answer the purpose?

It appears that this question ought to be folved by a reference to the different situations and employments of mankind. Perfons of fedentary professions, and who have few opportunities of taking exercise in the open air, are perhaps less liable to injury from diluted spirits in moderation, than from fermented liquors. But for the great mass of our labouring poor, a class of men whom it ought to be a principal object with every wife legislature to render comfortable and happy, spirits, unless taken occasionally, and by way of cordial, operate as a flow poifon. The frequent use of this liquid gradually creates an infatiable appetite for it, which impels the devoted victim to feek the means of gratification even by the perpetration of crimes. The excessive use of either species of liquor, we admit, brings its peculiar difeases, which it is unnecessary here to discuss. But if excess is to be admitted, the labouring part of the community are certainly less exposed to injury from the too liberal use of good ale and porter, than of ipirits, even when diluted.

A 2

A wife legislature will therefore endeavour, by the imposition of suitable duties, to raise the price of spirits, so as to prevent the excessive use of them among the labouring poor; while, at the same time, it will remove every impediment which prevents the manufacture and consumption of good fermented liquors.

By the late regulations respecting the Distillery, this branch of business, in the lowlands of Scotland, is made to approach somewhat nearer the English Distillery, respecting the quantity of public taxes imposed upon each; but the exemption granted in favour of the highland district must ever operate unfavourably, both to the Brewery and Distillery, in the low country of Scotland.

It is a common, though a very erroneous opinion, that the Distillery is a far greater object of importance to the farmer and landholder for the consumption of grain, and to the public as a source of revenue, than the Brewery; and, through the prevalence of this opinion, the landlords of Scotland have exerted their united efforts to protect and encourage the Distillery, while they have permitted the Brewery to languish under an odious

5)

odious and partial impost, which, like a mill-stone hung about its neck, has operated as a prohibition of that improvement which might create a more general consumption of malt liquors, and yield a greater amount of public revenue.

It shall appear from the following statements, that the Brewery, if left unfettered, is better adapted for the consumption of grain, and the production of revenue, than the Distillery. The causes which have prevented this from being verified in Scotland, shall be afterwards considered.

Importance of the Brewery to the Farmer and Landholder, in the Confumption of BARLEY.

Were we to look back to the fituation of Scotland before the establishment of local or towns imposts on the Brewery, we might show, that fermented malt-liquors were calculated to produce an immense consumption of grain. But after these imposts (to be afterwards explained) have laid, as it were, a

grave-

grave-stone upon the Brewer in Scotland, it is not fair to conclude, from the present diminutive proportion of grain consumed in the Scotch Brewery, that it is not capable of consuming a larger quantity. In order to reason fairly upon the subject, let the proportion consumed by the island at large be taken, including England, on whose Brewery no such partial taxes have been imposed.

In England, it is calculated, that the public Brewer, upon an average, uses one quarter of malt in making 2½ barrels of strong beer, and also one quarter of malt in making six barrels of simall beer.

From the best information we can obtain, it appears, that the average quantity of strong beer annually brewed in England by the public Brewers, amounts to above 4,500,000 barrels, and of small beer to nearly 2,000,000 of barrels; besides table-beer, which we shall take no notice of, as we have no access to know the amount. Hence the total average of malt consumed in the public Brewery of England exceeds 2,333,333 quar-

The quantity of strong beer made by the public Brewer in Scotland, in the year ending

7)

ing at Midsummer 1796, was 51,775 barrels; of twopenny ale, 146,238 barrels; and
of small beer 138,193 barrels. At the usual
estimate of 2½ barrels of strong ale, 4 barrels
of twopenny, and 6 barrels of small beer,
each from a quarter of malt, the annual consumption of malt in the Scotch Brewery amounts to 82,611 quarters.

Hence the total average of malt confumed annually in the public Brewery of both kingdoms is 2,415,944 quarters.

Every person, who examines this statement, must be struck with the magnitude of the consumption of grain occasioned by the public Brewery of this island, and must be satisfied that it holds out an immense market to the farmer and landholder.

At the same time, every one must be struck with the very small comparative proportion of malt consumed in the public Brewery of Scotland.

By the towns imposts chiefly has the progress of the Scotch Brewery been checked, the balance turned in favour of the Distillery, and the malt-liquor of England enabled to drive what was manufactured in Scotland out of our own market.

It may now be proper to institute a comparison between the Brewery and Distillary of this island, as affording a premium to the cultivator, by the consumption of grain.

From the best information we can obtain, the average quantity of spirits annually manufactured in England, for home consumption, does not exceed 4,000,000 gallons, and for exportation, 1,000,000 gallons, in all 5,000,000 of gallons. The quantity of spirits drawn from a quarter of grain is there stated at 18 gallons: but suppose the English distillers only obtain 17 gallons per quarter. Hence, the annual consumption of grain by the distillery of England does not exceed 294,117 quarters; but we shall call it 300,000 quarters.

Since the Distillery of Scotland was subjected to the licence-duty, we have no data from which to calculate the quantity of grain annually consumed in that manufacture. But from the general use of spirits in this country, there is reason to suppose, that the quantity is very great. Let us admit, that it equals the amount of grain annually consumed in the Distillery of England; the quantity, then, of grain annually consumed

9)

in the Distillery of both kingdoms does not exceed 600,000 quarters.

The quantity annually confumed by the public Brewery of both kingdoms, was already stated to be 2,415,944 quarters. Hence there is a balance in favour of the Brewery, with regard to the confumption of grain, of 1,815,944 quarters. This balance, which far exceeds the amount of grain confumed by the Distillery of both countries, arises even at prefent; while the Brewery of Scotland, fettered by a partial impost, is prevented from consuming that quantity of grain which would take place, were it in all respects upon a level with the Brewery of England.

Importance of the Brewery as a Source of Public Revenue.

HERE again, from the depressed state of the Scotch Brewery, we are unable to draw any very favourable conclusions from the quantum of revenue it yields to the State. Had things been left to their natural course; had the Brewery of Scotland not been setter-

ed, while its rivals, the Distillery of Scotland and the Brewery of England, enjoyed freedom: it is more than probable that the amount of revenue, produced from it, would now have borne the fame proportion to the population of Scotland, that the revenue arifing from the English Brewery bears to the population of that country. Had not the Brewery of Scotland been fettered in its operations, its produce should have increased with the increasing population and wealth of the country, instead of suffering a lamentable diminution. In viewing the Brewery as a fource of public revenue, it is therefore fair to take into confideration what the Brewery yields to Government in both parts of the island; for to infer from the small amount of revenue yielded by the Scotch Brewery at present, that it is not capable of yielding a greater amount, would be as unreasonable as to affert, that because a man, whose legs are fastened in the stocks, cannot walk, he is hence incapable of walking though he were fet at liberty.

This diminutive proportion of public revenue is chiefly to be ascribed to the town's imposts; for besides their other bad conse-

quences,

quences, being charged by the excise-officer along with the King's duty on ale, they operate as a powerful temptation to evade both. It is a general principle, that where strong temptations exist, frequent deviations from the line of rectitude may be expected. Frailty will ever continue to be the lot of man; and the true way to make him honest, is to remove every temptation which may impel him in the opposite direction.

The annual average of excite-duties on ale and beer in England, exclusive of malt-duty, exceeds

L. 1,800,000

The duty on each quarter of grain made into malt in England is 10 s. 6d.; but as 4 s. per quarter of this duty, which was imposed in May 1780, was intended to affect private Brewers and Distillers only, the law allows the public Brewer a drawback out of his ale-duties equivalent to 4 s. per quarter on the malt he consumes, which reduces his malt-duty to 6 s. 6 d. per quarter; therefore the malt-duty on the above 2,333,333 quarters consumed in the English Brewery,—

B 2 Carried forward, L. 1,800,000

Brought forward, amounts to

L. 1,800,000 758,333

Total of malt and excise duties ? the English Brewery yields,

The ale-daty in Scotland, for the year ending Midsummer 1796, was L/54,900

The duty on each quarter of grain made into malt in Scotland, is 5 s. 3 d.; but as 2 s. per quarter of this duty is allowed to be drawn back in the same way, as above stated, respecting England, the neat duty paid by the Brewer for the malt he consumes is 3 s. 3d. per quarter; therefore the duty on 82,611 quarters of malt, formerly stated to be annually confumed in the public Brewery of Scotland, amounts to

13,424

L. 68,324

Total of malt and excise duty the Scotch Brewery yields,

Therefore the annual average amount of duties the English and Scotch Breweries conjunct-L.2,626,657 ly yield, is

(13)

To this add the duty on that part of the hops which the public Brewery confumes in both parts of the island, and which cannot be less than L. 50,000.

Therefore the amount of all the duties the Brewery of both countries L. 2,676,657 yields, will not be less than

A fource of revenue this, the greatest, the least fluctuating, and most fecure, of any in the kingdom; and which it is highly the interest of Government to increase, by removing those impediments which have diminished the produce, and of consequence the revenue, that might arise from the Scotch Brewery.

But in order to put this matter in a clearer point of view, it may also be proper to institute a comparison between the Brewery and Distillery, in both parts of the island, respecting the revenue which each produces; as was done respecting the grain which each confumes.

The duty levied from corn-spirits manufactured in England for the year preceding July 1705, (the amount of which exceeded

all former years, was confiderably under L. 800,000.

L. 800.000 But fay, We have already shown the quantity of grain annually confumed by the English Distillery to be 300,000 quarters; but as Distillers use at least two-thirds of their grain in an unmalted state, the quantity of malt confumed in this manufacture is only 100,000 quarters, for which they pay the full malt-duty of 10 s. 6d. per quarter, amounting to

Total of malt and spirit duty the English Corn Distil-L. 852,500 lery yields,

The duty on licensed stills in the low country of Scotland for the year ending 1794 (which was more productive than the year following, when the distilleries were stopped) amounted to L. 98,329

> L. 98,329 Carried forward,

52,500

Brought forward, L. 98,329 And in the highlands, the duty on licensed stills for the year 1794 was 16,797

Total licence-duty of the Scotch? Distillery for one year,

It is very difficult to form a computation of the malt-duty paid by the Scotch Distillery. On the one hand, it is certain, that the Distillers in this country, like those in England, use a large proportion of unmalted grain; on the other hand, the highland district, which employs a much larger proportion of licenfed gallons than the low district, pays no malt-duty. But let us assume, for the sake of argument, that the Scotch Distillery, like the English, pays duty for 100,000 quarters of malt. This, at 5s. 3d. the full malt-duty in

> Carried forward, L. 115,126

L. 115,126 Brought forward, 26,250 Scotland, amounts to,

Total licence and malt duty of) the Distillery of Scotland for L. 141,376 one Year,

Brought forward duties the English 852,500 Distillery yields,

Total duties the Distillery of? Great Britain yields,

But we have already shown, that the Brewery of Great Britain annually yields L. 2,676,657.

There is, therefore, a balance in favour of the Brewery of Great Britain, as a source of public revenue, amounting to L. 1,682,781.

From the preceding facts, which we have drawn from authentic documents, or have warned the reader when we have had recourse to conjecture, it appears evident that the British Brewery exhibits to the farmer and land-holder one of the greatest markets in the island for the confumption of grain; while it is to Government one of the most productive and permanent fources of public revenue.

17

revenue. We have shown that it consumes more grain than the Distillery, by at least 1,815,944 quarters, while it yields more revenue to the public by at least L. 1,682,781.

Every person who impartially peruses these statements, must be satisfied that the Britisla Brewery is highly entitled to the protection of the farmer, the landholder, the Government, and of every person who is interested in the prosperity of these Kingdoms.

The true interest of the Government and of the public, is to impose such duties upon the Corn-Distillery as may prevent the excessive use of spirits among the labouring part of the community; while, on the other hand, the Brewery is relieved from every local and partial restraint. The only limit which this general principle admits, is to beware of raising the price of corn-spirits so high, that the country may be in danger of being inundated by spirits smuggled from foreign parts. The Home-Distiller takes from the Brewer his yeast, which otherwise would be lost. It is therefore much more the interest of the Brewer, that the people should consume the spirits manufactured at home, than those which are furnished by a foreign, perhaps

a hostile nation. All that we contend for is, the propriety of preventing the excessive use of spirits of any kind, and to render maltliquors, of good quality, accessible to the poor.

The late addition to the licence-duty in Scotland, has not operated to enhance the price of corn-spirits so much as was expected. This may perhaps be partly ascribed to the indulgence granted in favour of the Highland Distillery, which enjoys an exemption from a great part of the duties paid by the low-country Distillery. It is in the recollection of every one, that this was formerly the case when the district of Ferintosh enjoyed an exemption from duties; yet that exemption was guarded by as many legal fences as the present exemption in favour of the Highland district. Similar causes will always produce fimilar effects. The exemption in favour of Ferintosh, being confined to a small fpot, was much more easily guarded than the present, which extends over a large track of country, accessible in all parts by arms of the fea, and comprehending a vast number of islands; from which the utmost vigilance cannot exclude the introduction of lowland Barley, (tg)

Barley, or prevent the spirits extracted from it from passing into the low country.

The Highland Distillers formerly had fome pretentions to an indulgence in point of duties, on account of the high price of fuel. In many places, their only fuel was peat or turf, which a wet summer prevented them from accumulating in sufficient quantity: and fuch furnmers are too frequent in that district. Now, from the late patriotic repeal of the duties on coals carried coastwife in Scotland, for which measure the Right Honourable Henry Dundas deserves immortal honour, they can bring coals by fea perhaps at as cheap a rate as most of the Brewers and Distillers of Scotland can procure the same fuel.

Upon the whole, therefore, the exemption they enjoy seems now unnecessary, and evidently is pernicious to the revenue, to the lowland Distillery and Brewery.

Every one who candidly peruses the preceding statements, must be struck with the languishing condition of the Scotch Brewery, compared with that of England. He must perceive several causes conspiring to produce this effect. The Scotch Brewer

is not so powerfully protected against his natural rival, the Distiller, as the Brewer in England. This protection never can arise from imposing higher duties on distillation, while that district, of all others in the island best adapted for defeating the operation of these duties, enjoys an exemption from the largest part of them.

The Scotch Brewer indeed, in common with the Scotch Distiller, pays only one half of the English malt-duty: but as the malt-tax is charged on the mere bulk, without regard to the intrinsic quality of barley, this feeming indulgence is no more than an equitable allowance for the acknowledged inferiority of the grain of this country.

At the Union, strong ale and small beer brewed in Scotland became immediately liable to the then existing English duties, and have since been subjected to every additional tax that has taken place in England. Of this arrangement the Scotch Brewers never complained: they are ready and willing to pay all the public or national taxes imposed upon their manufactured produce; and their sole ambition is to be put upon an equal

equal footing with their brethren, the Brewers in England.

But in addition to the public taxes which equally affect the English and Scotch Brewery, the Scotch manufacture of fermented malt-liquors is subjected to the grievous and oppressive tax to towns, already mentioned, from which the English Brewer is wholly exempted.

It is believed that this tax, for impolicy and abfurdity, has no parallel in Europe. This is the chief cause of the depression of the Scotch Brewery; and the impropriety of renewing this tax for the city of Edinburgh, and other towns in similar circumstances, it shall be the object of the remaining part of this Work to demonstrate.

The Impolicy of continuing the Impost of Two Pennies Scots, or 1-6th of a Penny Sterling, on Malt Liquors Brewed within the Jurisdiction of the City of EDINBURGH, and other Towns in Scotland.

This impost was first granted to the city of Edinburgh for thirty years, by an act of the

the parliament of Scotland in 1693. The first grant was limited to all ale "brewed, "brought in, or vended, tapped, and sold" within the city, suburbs, and liberties. Many other towns in Scotland have followed the example of the capital, and at different times, both before and after the Union, have applied to Parliament, and obtained grants of imposts upon malt-liquors, nearly in the same terms.

De Foe, in his History of the Union, p. 90. informs us, that the English commissioners who framed that engagement, objected to the continuance of the impost-grants, for which feveral towns were then applying. He observes, " The excise upon liquors pay-" able to private hands indeed was a novel-" ty in England; and it seemed very odd, " that while it was pled for Scotland, that " the country could pay no more excise, « application should at the same time be " made for additional duties on ale to " burghs." He adds, "But the duty being 66 fo small as two pennies Scotch, which is " but i of a penny Sterling, on two quarts " English, it was generally agreed to." This tax might appear trifling to the En-

glish commissioners when such diminutive

quantities

quantities, both of liquor and tax were stated to them. But the ale commonly vended at that time in Scotland, called twopenny, was fold at 17 s. 9 d. per hogshead, and the tax amounted to two shillings, or one ninth of its value; a tax which, had the commissioners examined on a large scale, they would have pronounced far from trisling.

In the 3d of George I. feveral years before the expiry of the former grant, the city of Edinburgh obtained another act, enlarging the term of the former grant for nineteen years more; and extending the impost over the parishes of St Cuthbert's, Canongate, South and North Leith. The preamble recites, " That a great many Brewers having " of late retired out of the city's liberties, " and erected breweries in the confines there-" of, in order to elude the duty, it is necesfary, for the encouragement of the Brewers " within the city and liberties, and in orse der to preserve an equality of trade be-" twixt them and the Brewers in the above-" mentioned adjacent parishes, that the duty " be extended over the faid parishes."

As an indemnification to the proprietors of the annexed parishes, now subjected to the duty,

duty, the city of Edinburgh became bound to pay those who claimed, within a limited time, a certain annual rate, or proportion, from the proceeds of the tax, which was left to be settled by arbitration. But it is very curious, that when all the other proprietors were invited by this act to give in their claim of damages, on or before the 1st of May then ensuing, the Brewers who happened to be also proprietors, and who sustained by far the greatest damage, were expressly excepted.

The only material alteration made upon the fituation of the Brewers within the precincts subjected to the tax by this act, was, that liberty was given to perfons residing beyond the boundaries, and therefore not liable to the tax, to import ale and beer into the city and liberties, upon payment of the said duty for the ale and beer imported only.

In the 9th of Geo. I. after fix years trial of the effects of the last-mentioned act, it being found that Brewers, situated beyond the limits of this duty, still continued to import ale and beer, and to vend them within the precincts without paying the tax; a new act was obtained, which repeals the above-mentioned liberty of importing ale upon payment

25)

payment of the duty for what was imported only; and declares, that it shall not be lawful for any Brewer or feller of ale, who is not subjected to the payment of this duty for all he shall brew, to import or sell any ale within the above extended bounds, unless he shall previously give notice to the magistrates, and agree to be subjected to the duty for all the drink he shall brew, in the same way as the Brewers within the limits are subjected. The penalty annexed to the transgression of this clause is in these words: " And that any Brewer or Brewers who shall " import or fell any ale or beer into the faid " city, suburbs, or liberties thereof, or into " any part of the parishes of St Cuthbert's, " Canongate, South and North Leith, with-" out having given fuch previous notice, " and agreed as aforesaid, shall be charged " with, and liable in the payment of the faid "duty for all the ale and beer, brewed by him " or her during the twelve months immediately of preceding his or her committing such offence, and in all time coming during the continuance " of this act, in the same manner that the Brewers by this act subjected are made liable for the payment of the same."

Other towns in Scotland have followed the footsteps of the capital. There is now hardly a burgh of regality, where an infant Brewery had begun to rear its head, but the proprietor, in order to check its progress, and to give his people a *spiritual* inclination, has folicited and procured an impost-act, with clauses similar to those of Edinburgh.

The consequence now is, that if a Brewer in the parishes of Cramond, Corstorphine, Ratho, Currie, Libberton, Duddingston, Newton, &c, &c. unwarily fend a cask of ale, strong or fmall, to be fold within the neighbouring parishes of St Cuthbert's, Canongate, South or North Leith, he becomes liable to pay to the city of Edinburgh, two pennies on the pint of all the ale and beer he has brewed for twelve months preceding, and is subjected to the payment of the impost for every gallon of malt-liquor he may afterwards manufacture; or should a Brewer within the precincts of Edinburgh, who has regularly paid the impost within his own bounds, fend a calle of ale to be fold in Glasgow, or any other town which has obtained an impost-act, with similar clauses, he expressly becomes liable in

the penalty just mentioned, and must enter also with the said town, and be liable ever after to pay its impost on every gallon he brews. To these consequences he subjects himself, toties quoties, towards every impost-town within whose sacred precincts his manufacture may happen to find its way.

Thus these imposts have caused the different towns where they have been established, as far as regards the manufacture of maltliquors, to resemble foreign, nay, hostile communities to each other. The Genius of Absurdity, that first engendered, has ever affectionately nursed this favourite child, has watched every step of its progress, and infused into it all a parent's folly.

It is well known, that the great stimulus which has raised the English Brewery to its present pitch of perfection, is the unrestrained freedom with which its produce may be circulated through all parts of the Kingdom. It is this which has set emulation assoat, and excited the Brewers to excel each other in the superior quality of their manufacture. But the imposts have pinioned down the Scottish Brewer within the narrow circle where he resides. He cannot, with prosit,

D 2

enter upon any enlarged or liberal plan of improvement in the quality of his manufacture, because his market is limited to a very narrow extent. For if he send his liquors to the country, and beyond the bounds affected by his imposts, he is undersold by the country Brewer, on whom no such taxes are imposed. If he send his liquors to England, they go loaded with his impost; which, on an article so perishable, must be multiplied by insurance and compound interest before it reaches the consumer: and no person will, purchase his liquors, if he can procure others that are free of such taxes.

It is well known, that some of the most famous Breweries in England, such as Burton, &c. owe much of their celebrity to the peculiar qualities of springs, or streams of water, where they are situated. These sountains and streams, whose properties were perhaps at sirst discovered by accident, are now become of great value to their proprietors. But should a country gentleman in Scotland discover water ever so well adapted for making malt-liquors of superior excellence, or that his situation possessed other local advantages for a Brewery, being in a rich corncountry,

country, with access to water-carriage, such as East-Lothian, Carse of Gowrie, Fifeshire, &c. these advantages would be of little use to him. He might indeed supply the demands of private families in the contiguous town; but he could not fend a fingle gallon to the public dealers and venders, without incurring a heavy penalty, entering with the town, and subjecting his manufacture to the impost on every gallon of his produce. Suppose he has swallowed the pill, and thus secured the market of one town, he discovers, that from his favourable fituation, and the excellence of his manufacture, he is in a condition to supply the demands of another, or of many towns. Here again the impost stares him in the face; and he must not only repeat the payment of the impost on what he fells to these towns, but, in certain cases, be fubjected to each of them for all his produce: and fo on ad infinitum. To fuch an abfurd latitude does the literal interpretation of the impost-acts extend. They are as injurious to the country Brewer, by excluding him from, or interrupting him in the natural market of towns, as they are to the town Brewer,

Brewer, by excluding him from other towns, and from the country.

In the reign of King Charles II. the city of Edinburgh obtained a grant of 10 s. per hogshead on all foreign ale and porter imported into the city and liberties. Under the epithet foreign, were then comprehended English malt-liquors of every kind. While this impost on English porter and ale continued to be levied, the Brewers were in some measure protected, at least within the city and liberties, (to which only it extended), against the rivalship of their southern brethren. But in the year 1786, this tax upon foreign, meaning thereby English malt-liquors, was, in a great measure, abolished in the following terms: " And be it enacted " by authority aforesaid, That at Martinmas " next, the aforesaid impost, or duty on " wines, foreign spirits, foreign ale or beer, « and all other liquors herein before enu-" merated, shall be abolished and discharged " to be levied or collected, fo far as respects 66 every private family, and all others who " do not fall under the description of vint-" ners, and others herein before mentioned; " and it is hereby declared, That grocers

66 and others retailing such liquors do not 66 and within the said description, unless the 66 said liquors are sold and drunk in their

" shops, houses, warehouses, or offices."

The epithet foreign is doubtless very broad, and may mean the malt-liquors of Russia or Holland, as well as those of England. But that English malt-liquors were also intended, appears by the impost-act 1722, in which English and foreign are used as synonymous terms.

However this matter may be, is of no importance; but it appears evident on the very face of the business, that even malt-liquors that are foreign in the literal sense, are better protected, and more encouraged, in the market of Edinburgh, by the impost-laws, than those which are manufactured by her own citizens, who, besides the impost on their manufacture, contribute otherwise, in common with others of equal wealth and rank, to the common good of the city. The impost on foreign or English malt-liquors is now only levied upon vintners, or keepers. of taverns; but as it is impossible to levy a tax of this fort from a few individuals of a great city, by charging it upon the article

pound the matter with the publicans in the best manner they can; and no doubt a vast deal of it escapes without paying the tax. The almost total repeal of this tax puts the Edinburgh Brewers on a much worse footing than they were when their imposts were established, and may be regarded as a breach of public faith with that class of men, as they have not been indulged with a corresponding relaxation of the impost-duty.

While these foreign liquors are thus almost wholly exempted from taxation, the impost, like a harpy, attaches itself to the liquors made within the city and precincts, and is not to be removed by any of the motives which commonly induce the remission of a tax. No wife legislator ever thought of a tax which was to operate beyond the limits of the jurisdiction where it is imposed. It is upon this principle that all our public taxes are regulated; and it is from following out this principle, that the trade and commerce of Britain have been enabled to bear down all competition. It is this wife principle of legislation that makes our navy ride triumphant

phant on the deep, and wafts our commerce around the globe.

There is no reason to suppose, that the original contrivers of these imposts had any idea of their operating beyond the confumption of the district where they are established. But the fact is otherwise, at least with the Brewers in Edinburgh; and is fo, we presume, with other Brewers in similar circumstances. The Edinburgh Brewers obtain a remission of the town's imposts, along with the King's taxes, when they export their manufacture, not to England, but to foreign countries in the literal fense, that is, to countries not comprehended under the denomination of Great Britain. The garrifon of Edinburgh Castle, and those who live within the precincts of his Majesty's Palace of Holyroodhouse, also enjoy an exemption from the town's imposts, being excepted by an express clause in the acts themselves, for all that is brewed and confumed within their limits. The latter feem to need no fupply from the Brewers subjected to the impost, there being four Breweries within the precincts of Holyroodhouse, in the very centre of the district subjected to the imposition.

F.

I'he

The possessions of these Breweries have all along supplied the impost-district with maltiquors, but have paid very little of the tax, and contend they are exempted. A litigation is now pending between them and the Magistrates of Edinburgh upon this point.

The battery at Leith, the barracks at Pierfhill, the failors, whether native or foreign, who frequent the port of Leith, enjoy no exemption. Should even his Majesty order down a powerful squadron to Leith roads, to secure us against invasion; the brave tars, those lords of the ocean, and defenders of their country, must content themselves with ale debased and polluted with the Edinburgh Imposition, as it is styled throughout the acts.

The officers of English regiments have often complained, that when they were marched down to Scotland, their men could no longer obtain good small beer on such easy terms as in England; and were therefore obliged to have recourse to the cheapest, that is the worst, whisky, to the great detriment of their health, their morals, and discipline.

To what cause are we to impute the facts already

already stated, but to the operation of a tax as partial as it is impolitic and absurd?

It is often alleged that the Brewers complain without cause, since they can recover the impost from the consumers of their manufacture. It is true, that were all the Brewers in the island equally affected by the tax, they might recover it from the consumers, by raising the price of their liquors; but there are circumstances connected with the situation of the Brewers subjected to the impost, especially those of Edinburgh and the annexed parishes, which render the recovery of the impost from their customers difficult, if not impossible.

Let the gentlemen who sport these ideas be pleased to condescend upon some definition of what they esteem a full and complete recovery. Do they mean the net sum charged upon their liquors by the exciseman's report? This will come far short of what is necessary to indemnify the Brewer for his risk, and interest of his money, or credit (which is the same thing) while it remains in advance. It is well known, that from the instant the revenue-officer has completed his measurements, the Brewer must pay the tax,

E 2

though

though the liquor should spoil, or be lost. We may also observe, that the main weight of this tax falls upon small beer, a liquor which it is often difficult to preserve in a sound state, and which is liable to many accidents from the time it is gauged, to the time of its consumption. Is the Brewer to have no allowance against risk of losing the article; against interest of money, or credit, in advance; against bad debts; and every collateral circumstance which enters into the price of other taxed manufactures?

But, throwing all these things out of the question, the Brewer here is so completely palfied, that it must appear miraculous a manufacture should be able to exist under such difcouragements. If he fend his Ale beyond the pale of his monopoly, he meets the country Brewer, who can fell on better terms, because he pays no impost. The town Brewer must therefore reduce his prices to the level of the country Brewer; and as he cannot have two prices, one for the country and another for the town, his price must be the fame in both. It is a fact, that the Edinburgh Brewers, and we believe it to be the cafe in all the impost towns, fell their malt liquors

liquors on as low terms as the country Brewers beyond their precincts. They must either do so or give up their business. How are we to account for this fact? Do they make a present to their customers of the impost charged upon their liquors? They must do so; or evade both the public revenue and the impost; or debase the quality of their siquors. The case admits of no other alternatives.

Were the wine merchants of Edinburgh, or Leith, subjected to a tax of 17 or 18 per cent. on the price of all the wine they fold, which is the amount of the impost on the price of small beer, is it possible they could charge this tax upon their customers? Were the tax, indeed, equally imposed upon all the wines vended in the Island, they could doubtless raise their prices so as to reimburse the tax, like others in the same trade. But if the tax attach itfelf to them alone, they could not raife their prices; because their customers would purchase only from those who were not subjected to the tax. It would prove a vain attempt, to indemnify themselves by adulterating their wines with water, or other means. Such practices would be foon detected; and their

wines

wines would fall into univerfal discredit. The imposts reduce the Edinburgh Brewer into the very situation here described. They are so much deducted from the fair profits of his trade; or, if he attempt to recover them from his customers, he has no possible method in his power, but debasing the quality of his liquor, or evading the tax by fraudulent means, as already stated; methods equally hurtful to himself, to the public good, and to the consumers of his manufacture.

It may be still alleged, that, as an equivalent for the impost, the Brewer is secured in the monopoly of the town where he refides, by as strong penal fanctions as it is possible to contrive. We admit that towns are the natural feats of Breweries, and that the ready market they afford, confers on the Brewers feveral advantages, which enable them to fell cheaper than in many other fituations. But certainly this monopoly, this checking the free competition of trade, must operate as a complete bar to all improvement; and is, in fact, the chief reason why the Brewery of Scotland has, comparatively, remained in a state of infancy, if it has not declined; while every other manufacture has been advancing rapidly towards perfection. But

But even these penal clauses, in the impost acts, are so absurd, and inconsistent with each other, that their firica execution feems wholly impracticable. For fuppofing the Brewer has it in his power to recover the whole of his impost, with interest, and infurance upon his risk, from the consumers of his articles: as some of the impost towns still continue on the old footing, of admitting malt liquors from other places, on their paying the impost on the quantity imported; it follows that malt liquor which has already paid impost in one place, must pay it again, a fecond time, on its admission into another. By supposition, both these imposts are finally paid by the confumers of the liquor; and hence, the inhabitants of an impost town come to be faddled with two taxes, one to support the municipal government of the town where they reside, and another to support the government of the town where the liquor was manufactured, with which they have no connection, and from which they derive no advantage: Is not this both abfurd and unjust? And does it not impede that free circulation of malt-liquors, and competition among Brewers, by which alone the manufacture can be improved?

The absurdity and injustice of these acts are equally glaring, when the malt liquors from an impost town are consumed in towns and villages which have no internal imposts, or by the landholders and farmers in the country. For on the supposition that the whole of the impost, with interest, &c. is recovered from them; is not this subjecting the country to a tax for the benefit of a particular town? It may indeed be replied, that they can erect Breweries for their own consumption, and thus escape the tax. But this may not always be expedient. Though local fituation, and other advantages may be in their favour, the market may be limited; while the impost, like a flaming fword, guards every avenue which may conduct into the extensive market of the town.

But if these impost acts, in their original structure, be absurd, inconsistent with each other, and hostile to every improvement in the Brewing business, as they still exist in many towns, their folly and absurdity are inexpressibly heightened by those severe and rigorous penal clauses already mentioned, which

(41)

which have been added to the impost acts of other towns. That a brewer who has been accustomed to sell his liquors in a particular town, paying the impost, leviable in that town, for what he actually fold, and no more, should be liable to be charged with the impost for the whole of what he brewed the year previous to the time he was challenged, and be fubjected ever after for all he should brew, is a penalty so absurd, that though a few country brewers may have submitted to it from fear of a profecution, we hardly think it could be enforced by legal process. We hinted that the execution of this clause implied an absurdity, or rather an impossibility; for thus a brewer might come to be subjected to the full payment of impost, for every gallon of his manufacture, not to one, two, or three towns merely, but to many towns.

The only example that has come to our knowledge, of this clause in the impost acts having been rendered effectual, in consequence of legal process, is one in which it appears the decisions rested more on certain specialities of the case than upon the possibility or expediency of conferring upon this clause a general execution.

We allude to the case of Messes Murdoch, Warroch, and Co. proprietors of the brewery at Anderston, situated about a mile beyond the limits of the city of Glasgow. These gentlemen had been in the practice of vending their liquors within the city of Glasgow, and of paying the impost only for what they actually sold within that city. But in a process raised at the instance of the Magistrates, it was found, if they continued to send any ale or beer into the city, they would be liable in payment of the impost for all the malt liquor they should afterwards manufacture, discount being allowed for what they should export to foreign countries.

The dealings of these gentlemen are on a large scale, and their sales extend over a great part of Scotland. Thus, by this decision, wherever their liquors penetrate, the country is subjected to a tax to support the municipal government of the city of Glasgow, a circumstance which must render obvious the inconsistency and absurdity of such acts. These gentlemen had better beware of sending their liquors to any other town that is surnished with such dangerous weapons, for it will not avail them that they have already paid the im-

post to the city of Glasgow. By the strict terms of the acts they must repeat payment not only for what they actually vend in such towns, but for all they shall afterwards brew; just as they are now liable to the city of Glasgow.

Indeed these clauses in the impost acts are so manifestly absurd and impracticable, that the Magistrates of Glasgow, while they subjected the Anderston brewery, sound themselves under an absolute necessity, from the reason of the case, of dispensing with the impost act, respecting brewers situated at a distance from the city. For while brewers in the neighbourhood are obliged to pay the tax for all they brew, those at Hamilton and other distant places, we are told, pay the impost only for what they actually sell in the city of Glasgow.

The words of the Glasgow act, relative to this point, are, 'That from and after the 1st 'day of May, in the year of our Lord 1755, for and during the continuance of this act, it shall not be lawful for any brewer or seller of beer or ale, living or carrying on his or her brewery without the said city of Glas-

F

gow,

gow,' (without comprehends every part of Scotland) 'and liberties thereof, or without the villages of Gorbals and Port Glafgow, ' to import or fell any beer or ale into, or in the faid city, or villages of Gorbals and ' Port Glasgow, or the liberties and privileges thereof, unless he or she do previously give notice to the Magistrates of Glasgow, or to their collector of the faid duty, at their office of Glasgow or Port Glasgow respective-' ly, and agree to be subjected to, and charged with, the payment of the faid duty, by the faid former acts, and this present act, granted and made payable for all the beer and ale which shall be brewed by fuch brewer or feller of ale, living or carrying on his or her business, without the said city or villages or the liberties and privileges thereof; and that every brewer or feller of ale or beer, who ' shall import or sell any ale or beer into, or in the faid city or villages, or liberties or privileges thereof respectively, without having given fuch previous notice, and agreed as aforefaid, shall be charged with, and liable to the payment of, the said duty to the Masistrates and Council of the faid city, or ' their

for all ale and beer brewed by him or her during twelve kalendar months immediately preceding his or her committing such offence, and in all time thereaster, in terms of, and during the continuance of, the said former acts, and this present act.

Upon this clause we may remark, that if it be not lawful for any brewer to transgress the provisions of the act, neither can it be lawful in Magistrates, as guardians of the community over which they preside, to dispense with the penalties annexed to the transgression, which are, the payment of the impost for all that was brewed the preceding year, and be jection to the impost for all that shall be brewed in suture. But in such absurd cases, Magistrates are reduced to a dilemma, and are obliged to pursue not what is legal, but what is practicable.

Having narrated the origin and progress of this impost, and offered these general remarks upon its impolicy and absurdity, we come now to the particular consideration of the impost itself, and hope to convince every impartial person, that it is no trifle (as some have called it) no bug-bear, or man of straw, but a serious evil against which we are contending.

All ale or beer, fold wholesale at or under 6s. per barrel, is denominated small beer, and is charged with a duty to the King of 1s. 4d.

All ale above 6s. per barrel, and not exceeding 11s. per barrel, is denominated two-penny, a liquor peculiar to Scotland, and is charged with a duty to the King of 3s. 4½d.

All ale or beer, including porter, fold above 11s. per barrel, exclusive of the King's duty, is denominated *strong*, and is charged with a duty to the King of 8s. per barrel.

The town's impost upon these amounts to 1s. 4d. per barrel, without distinction.

These are the gross duties payable by every species of liquor; and the minuter circumstances respecting them may come to be afterwards considered.

Hence it appears evident, that the impost upon small beer is cent. per cent. of the King's duty; including the King's duty, it is $17\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on the price; and excluding the King's duty, it is about 21 per cent. on the intrinsic value of the article. A tax which no considerate person will pronounce trisling.

Upon twopenny, the impost is nearly 40 per cent. of the King's duty; including this duty, it is about 15 per cent. upon the medium price; and excluding the King's duty, it is more than 17 per cent. on the intrinsic value of the article. A tax far from being a trifle.

To the value of strong ale and porter, though the impost does not bear so high a proportion, yet it is far from trissing, and superadded to the many advantages possessed by our southern neighbours, is sufficient to turn the balance in favour of their stronger malt liquors in our own markets. A hogshead of porter sells in Edinburgh at 42 s. including 12 s. of excise, and 2 s. of impost, therefore the intrinsic value is only 28 s. and the impost being 2 s. it is above 7 per cent. of the intrinsic value of the article.

We may now remark, that the King's duties are charged upon malt liquors in a great measure, according to the price at which they sell, that is, according to the quantity of malt which enters into the composition of each species.

The King's duties being moderate, and proportioned portioned to the value of the article, were newer complained of by the brewer; and being the same in all parts of the island, every dealer has it in his power to recover them from the confumers of his manufactured produce.

But here a remarkable difference must strike every person between the town's impost and the King's duties, or any other rational system of taxation. The town's imposts pay no regard to the intrinsic value of the articles taxed. The weakest small beer, even water slightly tinged with malt, pays as much impost as the strongest ale or porter: And as, from an average of several years, about two-thirds of the whole quantity of liquors brewed within the precincts of Edinburgh were small beer, the greatest weight of the tax salls upon the article which, both on account of its trisling value, and its being mostly consumed by the poor, is least able to bear it.

Let no one infer from what we have faid; that the impost may be rendered harmless, by laying it equally upon the different species of malt liquors, according to their intrinsic, varlue; for a system that is radically vicious can never be set right by patching and tricking it

out. It is well known, that the stronger species of malt liquors are the only ones in which the Scotch brewer needs to fear a competition with the English. Weak malt liquors will not defray the expence of carriage and risk, and cannot be profitably fent to distant markets. An increase of the impost upon the stronger species of malt liquors will not relieve the Scotch brewer, though the weaker species should be wholly exempted. This plan would, if possible, put him even in a worse situation than at present. It would heighten his incapacity to contend on the ground where he is already most vulnerable. No brewer within the operation of an impost, were it transferred to his stronger liquors, could venture to make any liquor that exceeded in strength what could be profitably conveyed from England. The brewer of the South being free, would defeat the brewer of the North with this millstone about his neck. Besides it is known, that making liquors of different degrees of strength, is the most economical way of conducting a brewery, and of deriving every possible advantage from the malt that is used. While the brewer of the

. , 2

South

10.1911111

out.

South is left to the unrestrained exertion of his industry and genius, is that of the North to be for ever subjected to a system which precludes exertion and improvement? The brewer of the North does not seek to exclude his Southern neighbour from the market of Scotland; for he believes that all imposts having that tendency, which have been granted or renewed since the Union, are contrary to that solemn engagement. He only wishes to be put upon an equal footing with him in the unrestrained use of his industry, and professional skill.

But these imposts are not more pernicious to the brewer in palfying his efforts, and checking the improvement of his manufacture, than they are ruinous to the public revenue; to the agriculture of the country; to the poor; and to the community at large.

ruth, that a partial, or local impost upon any species of manufacture which enters into general consumption, and which also pays a large revenue to the state, must necessarily, and infallibly, operate the diminution of that revenue. Moral and political causes may exhibit accidental

accidental varieties in their effects, arising from the different tempers and dispositions of those on whom they act; but the general effect will correspond with the cause, as certainly as the fall of a stone with the law of gravitation.

On this subject, however, we are not reduced to the necessity of reasoning hypothetically from the cause to the effect, but are warranted by abundant evidence to affert, That the imposts have diminished, do diminish, and while they exist ever will diminish the amount of public revenue, which ought to arise from malt liquors manufactured in Scotland.

The gentlemen of the excise, were they inclined to speak their sentiments, might confirm this affertion, as from the Commissioner downwards to the inferior officer, they all have abundant opportunities of knowing its truth.

It is evident, that whatever tends to prevent the existence of a taxable commodity, must also occasion the loss of revenue which that commodity, if it had existed, would have yielded. We entertain no doubt but the loss which

which government has fustained on this account, since the establishment of these local taxes, is immense. But as it avails not to reflect upon past errors, except with a view to avoid them in future, we proceed to point out the losses which the public revenue actually does sustain in consequence of these imposts.

In the comparative statements formerly exhibited of the gross amount of King's duty, and of impost duty on small beer, they appear to be exactly the same, viz. 1 s. 4 d. per barrel each. But we may now observe that from these duties a deduction is allowed for waste and leakage, which is also exactly equal from both. But besides this the brewer is allowed out of the King's ale duty, a deduction for a duty imposed upon malt in May 1780, as already explained; in consequence of which the net King's duty actually levied on small beer is reduced to 11 d. $\frac{6}{23}$ per barrel; while the impost, after all deductions, is I s. $1\frac{1}{4}$ d. and $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3}$ ds. of a farthing. The net impost therefore in fact exceeds the King's duty by more than 2 d. per barrel, or amounts to nearly 120 per cent. of the King's duty.

The deductions from the King's duty, for the reasons assigned, reduce the net duty payable upon two penny to $2 ext{ s. } 6\frac{1}{437} ext{ d. }$ per barrel, and the duty upon strong ale and porter to $6 ext{ s. } 3\frac{6}{23} ext{ d. }$ nearly. But these it is unnecessary to insist upon, lest we should embarrass the argument with too many particulars.

But from what we have faid of small beer, it must appear evident to every one, that if no impost existed, so far from being the brewer's interest to defraud the public revenue, it would be highly his interest to pay every farthing of it faithfully and punctually. The motives which commonly impel men to the commission of fraud, are either urgent necesfity, or the hope of some advantage. The King's duties being fo moderate, the advantage to be obtained by evading them is no compensation for the risk of penalties; and it would be extraordinary to see men persevering in fraud in direct opposition to their own interest. But when the impost on small beer is superadded to the King's duty, the temptation becomes much more powerful, and amounts to a large proportion of the value of the article.

With fuch an irrefiftible motive to fraud perpetually before men's eyes, need we wonder that invention should ever be on the stretch to contrive methods, and seize opportunities of deceiving the revenue officer, with a view to evade both duties.

It is believed that Government may suftain considerable loss by the total abstraction of liquors from the sight of the officer, but much more by the adulteration of the liquors after he has made his charge, so that their enlarged volume may wholly, or in part, compensate for the impost. In the first case the public revenue suffers a total, in the last a partial evasion.

The

(55)

The amount of loss which Government annually sustains from these causes we shall not pretend to calculate, because we have no data on which to proceed. But some faint idea of the loss to Government through the diminished consumption of malt liquors effected by the impost, and which we have so frequently hinted at, may be obtained by contemplating the impost itself.

Wherever the impost has extended its baneful influence, the confumption of malt liquors has decayed, and of course Government has lost the revenue that would have arisen from them.

We shall adduce an authority or two in proof of this affertion, and our remarks shall be confined to the City of Edinburgh. From a pamphlet of reputation, published A. D. 1761, it appears, that from the Union to the year 1725, for 18 years while the impost was confined to the city and liberties, the average of the King's duty upon ale and beer amounted to 15,098 l. and that in the 18 years following, when the impost was extended to the adjacent parishes, the average was no more than 11,182 l. During the next 17 years, ending 1760, the average was only 8,530.

Mr Arnot in his History of Edinburgh, page 521, informs us 'that the impost a- mounted, when only exigible within the city, A. D. 1690, to L. 4000 0 0 When extended over the parish of St. Cuthberts,

&c. 1724	7939	16	1
1736	6101	10	8
1750	4758	18	8
1764	3550	0	0
1776	2197	0	o'

We are affured that fince the last period mentioned by Mr Arnot, the impost has been known to produce less than 2000 l. per annum. It has, however, of late encreased, and the average of the three years preceding July 1796 was 3740 l.

From these facts several important inferences are deducible. 1st, That the extension of the impost to the annexed parishes has been a great loss to the City of Edinburgh; for while the city became bound to pay a heavy composition to the proprietors of these parishes, their revenue has, upon the whole, been much diminished. 2d, That the great revenue before, and at the first extension of

the impost, shows the extent of the brewery at those periods compared with what it has since been, and that its decay must in a great measure be ascribed to the impost. 3d, That the decrease in the amount of impost annually levied, whether we impute it to fraud, or the decay of the brewery, must have been accompanied with a corresponding loss of public revenue. It is probable that both of these causes might co-operate; and hence it will appear that the existence of the impost is incompatible with the flourishing state of the public revenue arising from malt liquors.

We make no doubt but the effects resulting from the imposts to the City of Edinburgh have been proportionally experienced in all those towns where they have been established; and have uniformly diminished the consumption of malt liquors, and the revenues of the state. Had not these things happened, the brewery ought to have increased with the wealth and population of Scotland, and of course the public revenue arising from it.

So completely convinced are we of the great loss of public revenue arising from the operation of its rival, the impost to towns, that we are satisfied Government would be a great gainer, if, abolishing the impost, it allowed as an indemnification to all the towns where the impost is now established, an annual rate from the duties on malt liquors equal to the net average amount of impost levied in such town, during a course of years; and this without laying any additional public tax on malt or malt liquors in Scotland.

2. We observed that these imposts operate most unfavourably to agriculture. From preceding statements, it appears that the full malt duty in Scotland is 5s. 3d. per quarter; but as the brewer draws back out of his ale duties 2s. per quarter, the net duty to him is 3s. 3d. per quarter. Two barrels of strong ale, and one of small beer, are commonly drawn from a quarter of malt, the impost on which, at 1s. 4d. being 4s. per quarter, exceeds the malt duty by 9d. When the malt is made into small beer alone, the impost is 2½ times more than the malt duty: For there being 6 barrels of that liquor drawn from a quarter of malt, the impost on which amounts to 8s. while the malt duty is only 3s. 3d., it fol-Jows, that the impost fixes upon malt, thus used, a tax which exceeds the public malt tax by 4s. 9d. per quarter. It is fair to confider

the town's imposts in the light of a tax upon malt, for it operates precisely the same effect, viz. prevents its consumption. It follows, therefore, that the malt duty, taking the impost in this sense, which affects the Scotch brewers in towns, exceeds the duty charged upon the English brewer, notwithstanding the great inferiority of the northern grain. The English brewer pays 6s. 6d. per quarter of duty for all the malt he uses; to the 3s. 3d. per quarter of King's malt duty, which the Scotch brewer pays, add 4s. per quarter of impost when it is used in strong ale and small beer brewed together, and his malt duty, in this way, amounts to 7s. 3d. Again, to his 3s. 3d. of King's duty add 8s. per quarter, when the malt is made into small beer alone, and his malt duty amounts to 11s. 3d. per quarter. In the first case, he pays 7d. more per quarter of malt duty than the English brewer: In the last case, he pays 4s. 7d. more per quarter.

The landholders in Scotland, to prevent their grain from being wholly superfeded by that of England, which is more valuable, particularly in late and wet seasons, both to the brewer and distiller, have always discovered a

propenlity to take alarm at any proposal to increase the malt tax; yet here they have sufered a most excessive malt tax to steal upon them, without fo much as a fingle word being uttered against it. Nay, what is more extraordinary, and may appear incredible, in the act 1722, 9th of George I. by which the impost was extended to the adjacent parishes around Edinburgh, the Heritors of the county of Mid-Lothian feem to have been parties with the Magistrates of the City in folliciting the extension of the impost, as a great favour done to the county at large. After reciting the reasons (already mentioned) which induced the extension of the impost, the words of the act are, 'Wherefore your Majesty's most duriful and loyal fubjects, the Provost, Magistrates, and Council of the faid City of Edinburgh, in behalf of themselves and the community. " of the said City, and the Heritors and Free-' holders of the shire of Edinburgh, do most ' humbly befeech your Majesty, That it may ' be enacted, &c.' How these gentlemen came to take it into their heads that locking up a near and extensive market for the grain produced upon their estates would prove advantageous

vantageous to them; or, supposing it had been discovered that, from some peculiar quality of a fountain or stream, or other local advantage, it was better to convert their grain into malt liquor in the country, and yet that debarring themselves from the near and extensive market of a great City, was conferring a favour upon them, seems unaccountable. These gentlemen must certainly have been very blind to their own interest, and, like many others insected with intellectual blindness, concurred in solliciting what, of all others, has proved to them and to their posterity most mischievous. They invoked curses under the mask and appearance of blessings.

It appears, however, that their posterity have opened their eyes; and there is reason to believe that they will now unite, as one man, to prevent, instead of solliciting, the renewal of such a ruinous impost.

We are encouraged to cherish this hope from a Pamphlet entitled, 'Resolutions of the Land'ed Interest of Scotland respecting the Distil'lery,' published by appointment of a General Meeting 1786. In page 56 these gentlemen thus express the views by which they
were guided.

e 2dly, Supposing any danger should be e apprehended upon this head (viz. from the excessive use of spirits) let the brewer have fome relief, which will enable him to afford a cordial and exhilarating fermented liquor, and the confumers of ale will be encouraged to continue in that line of consumption, and it will gain credit with the rifing generation, and may perhaps return again to what it formerly was. For the increased consumption of spirits takes its rise entirely from the additional taxes which have been laid upon ' ale, which obliged the brewer to reduce the quality fo much, as to render that species of malt liquor, formerly the common beverage of the lower class of people, so weak as to be little better than water just tinged with malt; and the price of wages, at least in Scotland, is so low as not to admit of their ' purchasing the higher priced malt liquors.'

We shall only observe, that these gentlemen cannot more effectually carry this resolution, of giving the brewer some relief, into execution; or adopt any measure better calculated to promote the cultivation of their estates, than by uniting their interest to pre-

vent the renewal, or to procure the repeal of these fatal imposts upon malt liquors payable to towns.

3. We observed that these imposts are most injurious to the poor. About two thirds of the malt liquor manufactured in Edinburgh is small beer, 19 parts out of 20 of which are confumed by the poor, that is, by those who . subsist by the labour of their hands. It follows, therefore, that by far the greatest proportion of this tax falls upon the poor. We esteem it a matter of indifference whether we fay the tax is actually levied upon them, or that it operates to debase the liquor which is their common beverage: For the brewer cannot afford to supply them with small beer equal to that which is vended in England, unless he makes a present to them of 171 per cent. on the value of his article, including King's duty, being the amount of the impost, and which is more than all the profit he makes by his trade: Or unless he defrauds the public revenue; or has recourse to the last, and still more ruinous expedient, of mixing his malt liquor with water, by which the revenue partially fusiers, and the drink

drink of the poor is rendered vapid and unwholesome. The case admits of no other alternatives but these: And supposing the brewer, in conducting his aquatic mixtures, should check his hand at the precise point when the volume of water will exactly indemnify him for the impost, and no more, it is evident that his small beer must go to the consumer, robbed of 171 parts in 100 of malt, which should otherwise have been present in it. Seventeen and a half bolls of malt in a hundred must evidently produce a very great and fenfible improvement if present, and a most disagreeable vacancy if absent, in the quality of small beer. But this water is fometimes superadded in circumstances the most unfavourable to the wholesomeness of the liquor. No water, except what is put warm upon the malt, ought to be added to malt liquors. It is known to chemists, that the mucilage and faccharine parts of malt operate a change upon the qualities of water during fermentation, to which the effects of that process may be imputed; but that water cannot undergo that falutary change unless it be intimately combined with the foluble parts of the malt by means of heat.

But whether the malt liquor be adulterated after it is fermented, or made originally so weak as to compensate for the tax, it is evident that the poor suffer by it; and that the impost is so much extracted from the fund of their subsistence.

Need we wonder then that the labouring class in Scotland have so generally betaken themselves to the worst, because the cheapest, whisky, that could be found; or that it has proved so difficult to restrain the smuggling of ardent spirits from foreign countries? Many gentlemen have lamented the evil consequences of this propensity, and have inveighed against our labouring poor as more prone to debauchery than people of the fame rank in other countries. To account for the fact, the theorist has dilated on the coldness and fogginess of our climate, or on some inherent defect in our animal organization; while the politician has inveighed against the fudden rise of the price of labour, as superfeding all antient maxims of fobriety and prudence. Gentlemen (might the poor exclaim) all the blame is chargeable upon your own impolicy. We asked for bread, and you gave

us a stone! We searched for cheap and wholesome malt liquors, and we found an impost!

4. We observed, lastly, that the impost was hurtful to the public at large. We conceive that this point requires little or no illustration. If the reader be of opinion that the particulars already flated are clearly made out, and fupported by evidence, he will require no more arguments to convince him that an impost, which tends to cripple and prevent the improvement of a great and important manufacture, is injurious to the public. That what produces a constant, and irresistible effort to defraud and diminish the public revenues of these kingdoms; and which, independent of any effort, infallibly must, and does diminish these revenues, is injurious to the public. That what diminishes the confumption of grain in towns, or locks up the market in towns against the malt liquors manufactured in the country, is injurious to the public. That a weight which falls heavily upon the poor, or drives them into the immoderate use of ardent spirits, to the great detriment of their health and morals, is pernicious to the community at large.

67

If these things be not hurtful to a community, it is hard to fay what things are to be esteemed hurtful.

Having, as we imagine, clearly evinced the great importance of the brewery as a national manufacture; having pointed out its advantage to government as a fource of public revenue, and to the cultivator of land as a market for grain; having also developed the impolicy, and pernicious consequences of the imposts granted to towns in Scotland: may we not hope that the landholders in Scotland will display some portion of their wonted vigour; and instead of concurring, like some of their predecessors, in the extension and renewal of these fatal imposts, that they will unite as one man in preventing fuch measures. We know them to be much more enlightened than their predecessors, and guided by more liberal ideas, both of their own interest, and of what may promote the prosperity of that community, with which their own good is fo intimately connected. It is needless to dwell on this topic, as we are perfuaded there is scarcely a landlord in Scotland who is not convinced

winced that his own good, and that of the public, are the same.

Nor do we believe it to be within the power even of malevolence to construe any thing here advanced into a reflection upon our Magistrates, and those who preside in the government of our towns. They are perfons for whose characters and office we entertain the highest respect. They are not chargeable with the errors of their predecessors in the original contrivance of this impost; and while it exists, it is their duty to endeavour, by all prudent means, to render it productive, and capable of answering the purposes for which it was intended. While the public good of the community over which they prefide, requires this tax, it is even their duty to apply for a renewal of the grant: unless its evil confequences are exhibited to them.

We are confident that this impost was at first established through ignorance of its evil tendency; and afterwards renewed from the silent acquiescence of the brewers, and the community at large, rather than from any design, on the part of our Magistrates, to perfevere in a system hostile to the public good.

But now that the evil consequences of this impost are humbly represented to them, we are confident that our worthy Magistrates (should our arguments, fortunately, operate the same conviction on their minds they have done on ours) will be the first persons to abandon it. We are aware that the money arifing from it is allotted to useful purposes; either the discharge of necessary debts, or the support of beneficial institutions. But we are affured that other more productive funds may easily be substituted in place of the impost on malt liquors; and the brewers are ready, in common with other citizens, to bear their share of any other less hurtful tax that may be devised. We rely with entire confidence on the wildom and patriotism of our magistrates and counsellors to point out the proper substitute.

In fact, levying the sum necessary to compensate for this tax, would not be so difficult, in the city of Edinburgh at least, as one may, at first sight, suppose. By the act which extended the impost to the sour adjacent parishes, the city became bound to indemnify the proprietors of these parishes by an annual pay-

ment,

ment, which it is faid amounts to 1400 l. or 1500 l. This extension has contributed very little to the benefit of the city, if it has not rather occasioned much loss; for there is evidence, that while the impost was confined to the city and liberties alone, it was frequently known to produce more than it has done since that period, without any deduction to the heritors of the adjacent parishes. By abandoning the impost, therefore, the city will be rid of this annual deduction; and the remainder, which actually goes into the city's funds, may be provided for in some other way, either according to its highest amount, or general average, during a course of years.

Our respectable Magistrates have attained their present stations of dignity and trust, by virtuous industry, and attention to business. There was a time, as appears by impost act 1693, when a tax was levied upon all cloth vended within the City of Edinburgh; and we may put the question to them, whether such a tax was calculated to promote the fale or use of cloth within the city? Indeed it appeared so absurd, that a former magistracy voluntarily applied for, and obtained its repeal;

peal: an example which we hope will be imitated by our prefent worthy magistrates, respecting the impost on malt liquors.

They must be sensible that a tax, even upon cloth, or any other article that is vended and confumed within a town, is very different in its effects from a tax upon the same article manufactured. For were a tax of 17 per cent. imposed upon the value of all linen cloth, for instance, or any other articles that are manufactured within the city and the adjacent parifhes, and which was not drawn back when these articles passed beyond the limits affected by the tax, it is probable that these manufacturers of linen cloth, &c. would foon betake themselves to another situation. The impost on ale and beer is a precise parallel to this last fupposed case. It operates, not merely as a tax upon the confumption of the town where it is established, but as a prohibition of the manufacture of malt liquors itself.

Since

Since the preceding pages were put to the press, we have been favoured with a copy of the act, now pending in Parliament, for continuing the impost grant to the City of Edinburgh during a further period of years. It is subjoined as an appendix to this work; and we beg leave to make a remark or two on the points wherein it differs from former acts.

Ist, The penalties, formerly excessive, are proposed to be increased by forfeiture of all country malt liquors imported into the city and parishes annexed.

2d, A tax of two shillings per hogshead is proposed to be levied on all English malt liquors consumed, not only within the city and liberties, but also within the annexed parishes, independent of the 12 s. per hogshead at present leviable on English malt liquors consumed in public taverns within the city and liberties.

On this we may remark, that such a tax subjects the inhabitants of the annexed parishes to an additional burden, without offering them any farther compensation.

That it will not relieve the brewers, because it is difficult in the collection, and the most most numerous body in Edinburgh, viz. the members of the College of Justice, are not bound to pay it. Farther, the tax on English malt liquors will only operate on the confumption of the remaining inhabitants of the city and annexed parishes, whereas the tax on liquors brewed within these precincts operates wherever they may be consumed. Therefore our own liquors will not be on a footing of equality with those of England; and it is known, that the manufacture of the stronger malt liquors, particularly of porter, never can succeed unless conducted on a large scale with free access to an extensive market.

We need not recapitulate our doubts how far it is confistent with the articles of the Union to establish any local tax which may tend to exclude the manufactures of England from our markets.

3d, To the exemption in favour of Edinburgh castle, another is proposed in favour of the barracks at Piershill, on certification that the ale is actually sold there at a cheaper rate, by the amount of the impost.

We remark, that it would have been better to have repealed the impost altogether, and

K

then

(74)

then our foldiers, as well as other inhabitants, would have procured better instead of cheaper malt liquors. They are already sufficiently cheap, though their quality would certainly be improved by adding malt to them, in place of impost.

4to, The exemption in favour of Holyrood palace is proposed to be withdrawn, but no mention is made of indemnistration to the proprietors of houses within these precincts, the value of whose property may be diminished.

APPENDIX.

APPENDIX.

THEREAS, by an act passed in the Parliament of Scotland in the year one thousand six hundred and ninety-three, a duty of two pennies Scots was granted to the Community of the city of Edinburgh, and to the Magistrates and Town Council of the same, and their successors in office, for the uses therein specified, upon every pint of Ale and Beer either brewed, brought in, tapped, or fold within the faid town and fuburbs, and liberties thereof, for the space of sisteen years, and further not exceeding the space of thirty. years, commencing from the first day of July one thousand fix hundred and ninety-three; which duties were, by an act of the third year of King George the First, intituled, "An act for continuing the duty of two pennies Scots, or one fixth part of a penny Sterling, on every pint of Ale and Beer that shall be vended or fold within the city of Edinburgh and privileges

vileges thereof, for the benefit of the said city, and for discontinuing the payment of the dues, commonly called the petty port customs at Edinburgh, during the continuance of this act:" Further, continued for the further term of nineteen years, for the purposes therein mentioned: And, whereas, by an act passed in the ninth year of his faid Majesty George the First, intituled, " An act for enlarging the term granted by an act made in the third year of his Majesty's reign, for continuing the duty of two pennies Scots upon every pint of Ale and Beer fold in the city of Edinburgh for the purposes therein mentioned, and for difcontinuing payment of the petty port cuftoms there, and for making the faid act more effectual," the faid duties were continued for the further term of nineteen years from the expiration of the faid last recited act; and were also extended to the several Brewers within the parishes of Saint Cuthbert's, the Canongate, and South and North Leith: And whereas, by an act passed in the twenty-fifth year of his late majesty king George the Second, intituled, "An act for enlarging the term granted by two feveral acts of the third and ninth years of his late majesty's reign, for continuing

continuing the duty of two pennies Scots upon every point of Ale and Beer fold in the city of Edinburgh, for the purposes therein mentioned, and for discontinuing payment of the petty port customs there," the rates, and duties, and impositions made payable by the said act of the ninth year of king George the First, upon all Ale and Beer, brewed, brought in, vended, tapped, or fold within the city of Edinburgh, or suburbs, or liberties thereof; and also the said rates, duties, and impositions thereby granted, upon all Ale and Beer, brewed, brought in for fale, vended, tapped, or fold, within all or any of the parishes of Saint Cuthbert's, Canongate, South and North Leith, were, from and after the expiration of the term granted by the faid act of the ninth year of the reign of king George the First, further continued for and during the further term of thirtyeight years: And whereas the revenue granted by the faid acts has been faithfully applied to the purposes thereby directed, yet the same has greatly decreased since the commencement of the said act of the twenty-fifth year of his late majesty, in so much that, in several years, the gross produce was not sufficient for payment of the interest of the debt, far less for payment

of the annual burdens with which the grant was burdened, and although the revenue for fome years past has increased considerably, vet it is no ways sufficient for payment of the debt contracted upon the credit of the faid duties without a further continuation of the term already granted, for collecting the same. Be it therefore enacted, by the King's most excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and confent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons in this present Parliament asfembled, and by the authority of the same, that the rates, duties, and impositions, made payable by the said Act of the twenty-fifth year of his late Majesty's reign, upon all Ale and Beer brewed, brought in, yended, tapped, or fold, within the faid city of Edinburgh, or fuburbs, or liberties thereof, and also within all or any of the parishes of Saint Cuthbert's, Canongate, South and North Leith, shall, from and after the expiration of the term granted by the said Act of the twenty-fifth year of his late Majesty, be further continued, collected, and made payable to the Lord Provoft, Magistrates, and Council of the said city of

Edinburgh, and their successors for the time

being, for and during the further term of

(5)

years; and the faid duties shall be levied and paid with the same means and methods, and by and under the same penalties and forfeitures, and shall be subject and liable to the fame restrictions, regulations, and inspection, and applicable to the same works and other purposes as are prescribed, mentioned, and expressed in the said Act of the twentyfifth year of his late Majesty's reign, and in the ninth year of the faid reign thereby referred to; and that the faid acts, and every article, rule, clause, matter, and thing contained in any of the aforesaid acts, (excepting such as shall be altered by this present act), shall be in full force and effect from the expiration of the aforesaid act of the twenty-fifth year of his late Majesty, for the said further term of years, to all intents and purposes, as if the fame were at large repeated and re-enacted in the body of this act: Provided always, and be it enacted by the authority aforesaid, That all and every Brewer within the aforesaid limits, subject to the payment of the said duty, who shall furnish and sell Ale or Beer for the use and confumption of the garrison of the castle of Edinburgh, or his Majesty's forces quartered there, or in the new barracks at Piershill,

fhall

shall be entitled to a draw-back of the faid duty from the collector thereof, from time to time, for fuch quantities of Ale and Beer for to be confumed within the aforesaid castle and barracks; the quantities to be afcertained by a figned certificate, and upon oath, if required, by the collector of the faid duties, as well by the Brewer as the Retailer: Provided also, That all and every fuch Brewer shall fell and dispose of such Ale and Beer, so to be consumed within the aforesaid castle of Edinburgh, and Piershill barracks, at a price lower than the common selling price, by at least the amount of the duty fo to be drawn back, and to be afcertained by a like certificate from the Brewer and Retailer; any thing in this present or any former act to the contrary notwithstanding: And, whereas, by the aforesaid act of the twenty-fifth year of his late Majesty, the Ale and Beer vended in the castle of Edinburgh was only exempted from payment of the aforesaid duties, notwithstanding doubts have been made relative to the precincts of Holyroodhouse being likewise exempted, fuch exemption having been made in former acts: Be it therefore enacted by the authority aforesaid, That, from and after the (7)

neither the Brewers within the precincts of Holyroodhouse, nor any other Brewers, on pretence of vending Beer or Ale within the aforesaid precincts, shall be exempted from payment of the aforesaid duty, any thing in any former acts to the contrary notwithstanding: And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid, That in addition to the penalties mentioned in the aforesaid act of the ninth year of his late Majesty, relative to Brewers or other persons importing or felling any Beer or Ale within the faid city, fuburbs, or liberties thereof, or within the faid parishes of Saint Cuthbert's, Canongate, South and North Leith, without payment of any duty, unless previous notice be given to the Magistrates of Edinburgh, or their collectors, in manner mentioned in the said act, all such Ale and Beer shall be forfeited, and that it shall be lawful for the Magistrates of Edinburgh to confifcate, fell, and dispose thereof, and apply the produce, one moiety to the seizure-maker, and the remainder to be applied in the same way and manner as the produce of the aforesaid duties is hereby directed to be applied: And whereas all English Beer and Ale formerly paid a duty to the city of Edinburgh of twelve **fhillings**

shillings per hogshead, which duty, by an act of the twenty-fifth year of his present Majesty's reign, was confined to the quantity made use of by Vintners and Victuallers: Be it therefore enacted, by the authority aforefaid, That all English Ale and Beer, brought into and confumed within the aforefaid precincts, shall be subjected in payment of the aforesaid duty of two pennies Scots on the pint, excepting only fuch quantities for which the aforefaid duty of twelve shillings the hogshead shall be paid by the aforefaid Vintners and Victuallers: And be it further enacted by the authority aforefaid, That the annual payments, which were awarded by the arbiters by virtue of the said act of the ninth year of his late Majesty's reign, to be paid to the proprietors of the parishes of Saint Cuthbert's, Canongate, South and North Leith, shall continue to be

paid to the faid proprietors, during the conti-

nuance of this present act, in the same man-

ner, and under the same regulations, as by the faid act is directed and prescribed: And be it

further enacted, by the authority aforesaid,

That nothing in this act contained shall ex-

tend, or be construed to extend, to empower the said Lord Provost, Magistrates, and Town

Council,

(9)

Council, or any other person or persons whomfoever, to borrow any further fum, fo as to increase the present capital debt, upon the credit of the faid duties by this present act continued and made payable. And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid, That the Magistrates and Town Council of the faid city for the time being, shall, upon the which shall be in the year of our Lord make up a full, complete, and perfect state of all the money which shall have arisen, and been received, by and from the faid duties granted by the faid former acts, and this present act, of all sums of money laid out from time to time, for the feveral purposes in the said former acts, and this present act mentioned, and of what debts shall be then owing on account of the faid former acts, and this present act, to the end that it may appear if any overplus money shall remain, and in case any shall remain, the fame shall be laid out and applied with the approbation of the overseers for the time being, in fuch manner as directed by the faid act of the ninth year of his faid late Majesty. And, be it further enacted, and declared, That the annual payments with which the faid duty is burdened shall continue and endure only

io

until and no longer. And, be it further enacted, That the produce of the aforesaid duties shall, in the first place, be subject and liable to pay and discharge the charges and expences incurred in procuring and passing this present act of Parliament. And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, That this act shall be deemed and taken to be a public act, of which notice shall by all Courts in this kingdom be judicially taken; and all judges, justices, and other persons, are hereby required to take notice of it as such, without specially pleading the same.

f ed Hed

ing it may appoin

ed lindi sinn Cholmioragi

> Ondo: sali do A disa dona

or become soli