1 2 3 4 5 16 % 7 810m9 Companied and the second sec

Α

LETTER

To the AUTHOR of An

ENQUIRY

INTOTHE

REVENUE, CREDIT, and COMMERCE of FRANCE.

Price One Shilling.

LETTER

To the AUTHOR of An

ENQUIRY

INTO THE

REVENUE, CREDIT, and COMMERCE of FRANCE.

WHEREIN

The former and present State of the Power and Commerce of that Kingdom are fully consider'd, and deduced from AUTHENTIC Accounts.

By a MEMBER of PARLIAMENT.

Fiet Aper, modò Avis, modò Saxum, & cum volet Arbor.

Horat.

LONDON:

Printed for J. ROBERTS in Warwick-Lane.

M DCC XLII.

[Price One Shilling.]



SIR,



HAVE examined your Performance: The Importance of the Tistle, I must confess, rais'd my Curiosity, which the Perusal of your Piece most miserably disappointed;

but when I was told, who the Gentleman is, you address it to, I was greatly surprized at your strange Presumption, in offering your Work to a Gentleman, the most capable of observing the Weakness and Contradictions in it, and who must heartily despise you for troubling himself, and the World, with your wild Reveriés upon a Subject of such Importance.

You fet out, Sir, with what you mean, I suppose, for a Compliment to this Gentleman, when you accost him in the following manner; "You are no less eminent for your unaffected Zeal, " than you are for those Abilities and Parts, which have already made you an Ornament to the great Council of the Nation, and which in you bave the peculiar Grace of being the early Di-" Stinction of your Youth:" Now, Sir, tho' I am

But passing from these uncouth Compliments, I proceed to examine the Substance of your Work; and here I am abundantly at a loss for your Design in it: Is it secretly to reproach the late Minister for not attacking the French, whom you affirm to be without any considerable Revenue, Credit, or Commerce? And are you, Sir, endeavouring to infinuate Accusations against him, and addressing them to that Gentleman.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \end{bmatrix}$

If you deny such an Intention, let us then know your Drift? If you say, that it was only simply to state the Weakness of France; you should have consider'd the Inferences, that the World will thence naturally make; nor is the Insignificance of your Performance any Argument against this; for it is evident that much Mischief has been done within these few Years, by the vagrant Pens of the most wretched Scriblers.

It will perhaps be faid in your behalf, by those, who must acknowledge your Piece to be very ill-judg'd, that you had certainly no Designs of this Sort, and could never intend to affront the Gentleman you address yourself to; however unfortunately your Discourse is directed; though this, Sir, may be the only Excuse that can be made for you, and if the Innocence of your Intentions should be allowed you in pity, yet you must stand evidently convicted, and acknowledge yourself guilty of a most stolid and artless Ostentation, which has thus led you to expose your Talents to the World.

The Aukwardness and Impropriety of your Compliments being thus dispatch'd, and the natural Tendency of your Work being plainly laid open, whatever your Design was, or perhaps your want of Design, I am next to examine the Performance itself: And first, as to the Revenue of France, which you have collected, you say, from another Work, I shall admit it for the present to be a just Account; and B 2 from

$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \end{bmatrix}$

from thence I proceed to your State of the Credit of France: Here, after telling us, Page the 9th, that the French are less fond of Titles and Honours than formerly; you inquire, Page the 10th, into the other Resources of the French Crown; and you observe, that, "Though " the Crown should have no Effects to purchase « Money, yet Money may be procur'd upon 66 Pledges, and they are either real or imagi-" nary; according to this Distinction, say you, I " make fewels a real Pledge, because they are a " real or actual Security for Money in the Hands " of the Lender:" Now I doubt not, but you plumed yourself here, upon your distinguishing Faculty; and it is really a notable Discovery of your own, that there is any difference between Effects and real Pledges; Jewels, you say, are real Pledges, because they are a real and actual Security in the Hands of the Lender: And pray, Sir, are not Jewels Effects too, for the same Reason? No, say you, they are quite different things; the Crown may have Jewels, and yet it may have no Effects; though these Jewels are a real Security in the Hands of the Lender: How could you deliver such Stuff to the Gentleman you address yourself to? I am apt to believe you have produced your own Effetts here, instead of the King of France's.

You go on to confider the Public Credit of France, and fay, Page the 11th, "The notion torious Violence and Injustice, with which the Proprietors of State-Securities were treated during the Regency of the Duke of Orleans, has so branded the Public Faith of that King-

$\begin{bmatrix} 5 \end{bmatrix}$

dom, that it is impossible there can be any such thing there existing as public Credit.

"And yet, say you, I am inform'd the Government, not many Months ago, had Money adwanced both by Foreigners and Subjects; if 'tis
true, it must be entirely owing to the Cardinal's personal Credit with the Bankers and
Financiers, whose Considence he has gain'd by his
Punctuality hitherto in Pecuniary Transactions;
and that possibly would in some measure support
the Government, if his Eminence was a little
younger, but considering his great Age the Instuence
of his Administration upon Affairs at this Time,
must be weak in Proportion to the apparent Shortness of its Duration."

Here, Sir, is nothing but a String of Contradictions; for the Substance, of what I have quoted, is no other than this; you affert first, That it is impossible there should be any public Credit in France, and yet that the Government, a few Months ago, borrowed Money of Subjects and Foreigners; but, fay you, this must be entirely owing to the Cardinal's Personal Credit, and yet that confidering his great Age, his Influence in fuch Matters must be very weak; that is, there can be little Personal Dependence upon him: For God's fake, what are we to underfland by all this? You affert, that there is not, and that there is Public Credit in France; and that the Cardinal from his Punctuality must have Personal Credit, but from his great Age cannot have it; ——You have here, Sir, most plainly discovered your Country; here are such HyberHybernian Effetts, such real Pledges in the Hands of the Reader, that it is impossible to doubt your being of the NATION.

You say next, "The absolute Power of the Crown " is a Resource that still remains, and indeed where " the Government is Absolute, and the Glory of the "King the principal Object, there is but little Atten-" tion to the Misery of the People, any otherwise " than to proportion the Efforts of the State, to their " utmost Ability of Suffering: But to compute " what Sums of Money that will produce, is as " difficult, say you, as it is to estimate the Pati-" ence of an enflaved Nation;" However difficult it may feem, Sir, to estimate the Patience of an enslaved Nation, the Patience of the French under the Extortions of their Monarchs is pretty casily computed; it is indeed that Matter in your Book, which appears the least disputable of all others; though, it feems, you are quite unacquainted with it: Have you not stated the Excessive Amount of Lewis's Debt, and affimed, Page the 17th, that it arose to above 176 Millions Sterling? The Interest of which was above 8 Millions, 8 Hundred Thousand Pounds per Annum; when the Income of France. now in its recovering Condition, is no more, as you fay, than about 5 Millions and an half, and when this Income in the Year 1709 was not quite two Million Sterling.

Have you not likewise mention'd the Conduct of the Duke of Orleans, and his Method of reducing and cancelling the Properties of the French People? And can you doubt of the Patience

[7]

tience of this Nation, when the Glory of their Monarch requires it? Is it not known that after the Year 1709, the French King made greater Efforts, than ever; and both in Spain and in Flanders brought more numerous and better provided Troops into the Field than before? You are not therefore, Sir. to estimate the Power and Ability of France, if she be either piqu'd or push'd, by the neat Amount of certain Taxes; for that Monarch is Master of the whole Property of his Kingdom; and as long as there are Men, Money, or Provisions in France, he will never be in want of Resources; and utterly difregard the Sufferings of his People, when they stand in Competition with his own Ambition.

This, Sir, even supposing your Computations were right, would utterly destroy the Argument you draw from them concerning the Weakness of France; Errors, of the fame fort with yours, were continually propagated in King William's and Queen Anne's Wars; we were then every Year told, that France could continue the War but one Year longer; and we had fuch authentick Accounts of the Misery and Distress of that People, that it feemed really amazing how the French King could obtain any Supplies for the next Year; we knew that had the Case been the same in England, the People here would no longer have endured it, and that no King of England could have supported a War under such Circumstances. And it was common amongst us to call Lewis an old Bankrupt; and to fay, as you do, that he was many Millions worse than nothing:

nothing: But still he came out every Year with fresh Forces; and the wisest amongst us, tho' they abhorr'd the infamous and mean Concessions to France at the Treaty of Utrecht, yet were never averse to an honourable Peace with that Nation; and were far from deceiving themselves with Notions of the Weakness of the French King, though they were sensible of the Miseries of his People; they knew that there was no conquering the Monarch of France, nor any such thing as exhausting his Strength, whilst there was any Property or People left in his Kingdom.

This being sufficiently understood by Gentlemen of Discernment and real Knowledge, you extremely discover your own Weakness in troubling us with the old threadbare Assertions of the Imbecillity of the French Crown. If you would have done this to Purpose, you should have shewn, Sir, that the absolute Power which Lewis had formerly over his People, is limited and restrained at present; this would have really prov'd the Diminution of the French Power, and convinced us that their Crown was depriv'd of its former Resources.

But I come next, Sir, to examine your Accounts of the French Exports to England and Holland; you tell us Page the 22d, that Mr. Fortry makes the Value of all the Goods exported from France to England, to be 2,600,000 l. per Annum; and that it was computed, about the same time, that the general Exports from France to all Countries were 6,750,000 l. as

[9]

you had this from the British Merchant, Vol. the 2d, Page the 232d, I shall readily admit it: But immediately there follows an Observation of your own, in these Words, Page the 22d, "What is very remarkable, France was all this while without Merchants, and without Shipping; "The French were only Factors to the Dutch, who carried on not only the Foreign, but even the coasting Trade of France in Dutch Bottoms."

Had you consulted the British Merchant, he would have told you the direct contrary to this; you will find, Vol. I. Page 274, the following Words, "Vast Quantities of French" Goods were sold in England by Commission, of that the Property of these Goods was never out of the French Merchants, before the Sale of them in this Kingdom, and therefore we were obliged to pay to France not only the Value of the Goods in that Country, but the Adventure of them into England; this is known to have been the Case of all their wrought Silks and a great Part of their Linens; they were sold in England by Commission."

You see, Sir, that France was so far from being without Merchants, that her Exports to England, which were more than one third of the whole, are here expressly declar'd to have been chiefly fold by Commission, on account of her own Merchants; and doubtless this was in some measure the Case of Holland, though perhaps not in so great a Degree; but, Sir, admit it was otherwise in Holland; suppose that the Dutch bought the Commodities of France from the French Growers or Makers, and of course sold them for their

fuch as are employed by Merchants to dispose of their Goods abroad; thus between England and France in the Disposal of French Commodities, the French were the Makers and Merchants too, the English only the Factors; and between Holland and France, if the Dutch bought the French Goods, and sold them on their own

Accounts, then the *Dutch* were the Merchants, and the *French* only the Makers or Growers: But the *Dutch* were not Factors to the *French*, though the *Dutch* might be their own Factors.

Thus, if Irish Linens are received, and vended by any Person in London on Account of the Irish Makers, this Person is only their Factor; If he receives them and vends them on his own Account, paying the Makers a certain Price for them, who are no way concerned in the Sale; then this Person is the Merchant; But the Weavers at Antrim in either Case are by no means Factors; no more were the French to the Dutch: I have dwelt longer on this, than I intended, but I have done it for your Instruction, at the same Time that I am giving you a seasonable Correction.

You next give an Encomium upon Monsieur Colbert, Page the 24th; and after recounting his Merits you add, "These Things naturally give one a high Opinion of the Talent of so able a Minister. "Yet so limited are the Views and Speculations even of the greatest Statesmen in Regulations of Commerce, the Measures he took to extend the Trade

[II

"Trade and Navigation of France were attended with Consequences fatal in the end to her Manufactures; the high Duties on foreign Merchandise and foreign Shipping, laid on by the Tariff of 1664, and increased by the Tariff of 1667, provok'd foreign Nations to do the fame, and of course to attempt the Manufactures of such Commodities, as they had hitherto been entirely supply'd with from France;" Thus the Ruin and Dettruction of the French Manufactures, you here attribute to the Provocation that was given to Foreign Nations, by the high Duties in France upon Foreign Merchandise and Shipping.

Whereas in a Page or two afterwards you assign the Ruin of the French Manufactures to another Cause; for you say Page the 26th, "It "seems Prosperity has in itself the Principles of its own Destruction, Lewis intoxicated with good Fortune, began a most barbarous Persecution against his Protestant Subjects;" above half a Million of whom, you tell us, sled out of his Kingdom with their Effects and Industry; And you add, "Thus the Bigotted Tyrant tore up the Roots of his own Power;" It seems here then, the Ruin of the French Manufactures was owing to the Persecution of the Protestants, which you attributed before to the Provocation which was given to Foreign Nations.

But before you have done with this Business you assign a third Cause for their Ruin; which was Lewis's engaging in a bloody and stal War, against the principal Powers of Europe; this was scarce ended, you say, before be C 2 engaged

engaged in another more bloody and more fatal War than the first fatal War; upon which you thus determine, Page the 27th, "The long Interruption" of Irade occasioned by these Wars, and the Su-"periority of the Maritime Powers at Sea, al-"most entirely ruin'd the Mnnusastures of the Kingdom." Here, the Ruin of the French Manusastures is ascrib'd to a third Cause, viz. the ill Consequences of these Wars.

If you had attributed their Ruin to all these three Causes together, I should not have objected; But when after you have ruin'd them once, you ruin them a fecond time, independently of the first; And again, after they have been twice deftroy'd already, you kill them a third time, by a new Circumstance separate from the two former, I could not avoid observing it; and the rather, because I apprehend you are here displaying your Law, and, according to the Language of Westminster-ball, Hanging up the French Manufactures, till they are DEAD, DEAD, DEAD: I must tell you, Sir, upon this Occasion, that I despise Cavilling, as much as any Gentleman; but really in Performances upon these Subjects, the Reader has a right to expect some Accuracy, and must be excused if he shews a Resentment, upon being disappointed by a flimfy incoherent Performance.

The next Stroke of your Pen is the Calculation of an infinite Loss of Men, which you fagely determine to be 1,425,000; The Gravity of your setting about this, and your manner of clearing your way, are most admirable: You say, though you never saw any Calculation

[13]

of this fort, you will yet venture to attempt it; The Reader will divert himself with the notable Computation Page the 27th, 28th, 29th. This Loss of 1,425,000 Men out of the French King's Armies you suppose to have arisen by the Sword, Sickness, and Desertion. Now, Sir, that there was a considerable Loss of Men by the Sword, I shall readily allow; But as for Sickness, no more are to be put down, as lost by this means, than the Number which died by the extraordinary Hardships of the Service, and would not have died, had they been out of the Army.

And as to your last Article of Desertion, I shall allow no Loss at all for it; for the French Soldiers either deserted from the French Troops to the Confederates, or back again into France. In the first Case, if some of the French Soldiers deserted to the Confederates, so did many of the Confederate Soldiers to the French, by whom they were particularly encouraged; so that these may fairly be placed against each other. And as to the second Case, if the French Soldiers deserted from their Regiments to France, it is evident, Sir, this was no Loss of Men to that Nation.

All this while, what you have heard from the Gentlemen of the Trade, that at the End of a Campaign they generally compute their Loss at one fifth Part by the Sword, Sickness, and Desertion, may be in general Just; For they only inform you of the Loss to their Regiments, and not of the Loss to the Kingdom. A whole Company of any Regiment in England might desert, which however would

bc

be no Loss of Men to the Nation: The extraordinary Destruction of Men by the Severities of the Service, was all that you wanted, and all that is to be imputed to Lewis's Armies: — But this you was not able to distinguish.

As to your Imagination of the Loss by Defertion, I cannot but extremely pity your Weakness in it; How comes it that you have no Notion of a Man's deserting again into his own Country?

You expatiate next upon the additional Mifchiefs of this Lofs in the following manner; « So great a number of Men the most vigorous and robust being cut off from the Stock of the " People, without replacing themselves to their "Country by an honest Propagation, is in my " bumble Opinion a Loss scarce to be repaired;" This, Sir, I presume, is your own Suggestion, for you have scarcely been acquainted by Gentlemen of the Trade, of their ill Success in Propagation; And without descending into Particulars, and telling you, that many Soldiers die old, and after they have done with Propagation; that most of the others, which die younger, have exercised themselves, and probably with Success, in this manner; I shall only declare in general, that it is a most infamous Scandal upon the French Soldiery: And as you have not excepted our own Army from the same Imputation, whom their worst Enemies have allow'd to be a standing Army, I doubt not but they will refent it in general, as a Dishonest Reflexion upon their Abilities and Courage. I shall

[15]

I shall pass over your Account of the arbitrary Alterations in the French Coin, by the Command of the Regent; but as to the Bank-Bills, which you fay, Page the 33d, he was induced by the Allurements of so great a Treasure, to stop the Payment of, it is notoriously untrue. The Regent, Sir, as well as Mr Law, the Projector of that Bank, was very defirous of supporting its Credit, which he did as long as twas possible; but the true Case was, the immense Sum which was emitted in Bank-Bills, was more than the Cash or Specie in the Bank was fufficient to circulate; especially upon any extraordinary Draught; so that the Bank, being unable to fland the Shock of the least Panic, was suddenly broke; but contrary to the Defire of the Regent, who saw that the Public Credit would be ruin'd by that Accident; and did his utmost to avert it; yet you very unfairly charge him with " suffering the Allure-" ments of so vast a Treasure to prevail in his " Mind, against the Sentiments of Justice and " Honour.

I shall pass over at present your Accounts of the Improvements of some Articles of the French Commerce, Page 36th, which I shall hereaster observe upon; but I come now to your Particulars of what she has lost in her Commerce with England; the Total of which Loss you make to be at present 1,880,000 l.; after having deducted 200,000 l. for Cambricks, 10,000 l. for French Paper, 200,000 l. for French Wine, and 80,000 l. for French Brandy, which we still pay them annually according to your Account;

[16]

in all 490,000 l. So that, according to your Computation, our Imports from France amounted formerly to 1,880,000 and 490,000 l. in all 2,370,000 l. per Annum.

Now, Sir, though I shall not stand upon Trisses with you, I cannot but observe that, in the Article of Brandy, you charge the Amount formerly imported to be 4000 Tons per Annum, at 40 l. per Ton, which arises to 160,000 l. and you allow the Quantity lately imported to be 2000 Ton per Annum, which, at 40 l. per Ton, amounts to 80,000 l. so the Amount of the Loss to France in this Article, according to your State of it, is 80,000 l. Annually.

But from whence, Sir, do you collect, that the Prime Cost of Brandy was formerly 40 l. per Ton? If you look into the subsequent Scheme of our Trade with France, as computed in 1674 by the most eminent and knowing Merchants, who could not be mistaken in the Price, you will find that Brandy is there charg'd at 20 l. per Ton; and likewise in the Account of the Commissioners of the Customs of the Imports from France into England, from Michaelmas 1685 to Michaelmas 1686, Brandy is likewise charg'd at 201. per Ton; so that you very unfairly charge it at double that Value; and the Article of Brandy, admitting your own Number of Tons to be imported, and that the Price is fince rais'd to 40 l. per Ton, will stand thus:

Imported

[17]

Imported formerly from France 4000 1.
Tons of Brandy per Annum, at 201. 80,000 per Ton Prime Cost,

Imported now from France 2000 Tons of Brandy per Annum, at 40 l. 80,000 per Ton Prime Cost,

Loss to France, 00,000

This Loss you have charg'd at 80,000 l. per Annum, and I mention it only as a Specimen of your Truth and Exactness in stating Particulars.

As to the Article of Wine, it is charg'd by the Merchants in 1674 at 12 l. 10 s. per Ton Prime Cost, and by the Commissioners of the Customs in 1686 at 17 l. 10 s. per Ton, which therefore being the highest Value, you have accordingly charg'd it at, without taking any Medium between them; however it is lucky that you happen'd not to double it, as you did in the Case of the Brandy. But these things, as I said before, are Trisles, and I only take notice of them at the first Glance of your Account.

But, Sir, I shall now more thoroughly examine your Account of what France has lost in her Commerce with England; and here, Sir, I charge you with the grossest Ignorance, in taking no notice of the Payments of France to D England;

[18]

England; for, to have stated this Loss justly, you should have deliver'd the following Accounts,

1. Of what we formerly paid to France, What France formerly paid to England;

And the Difference between them, being in favour of France, was the Balance she gain'd formerly.

Again, you should have stated,

2. What we now pay to France, What France now pays to England;

And the Difference between these being still in favour of *France*, is the Balance she now gains.

And lastly, the Difference between the former Balance in favour of *France*, and the present less Balance in her Favour, is the present Loss to *France* in her Commerce with *England*.

The Reader will, I hope, excuse the formal Particularity of these Articles, which was necessary to expose your want of common Discernment: In short, what was requisite from you, Sir, was to have shewn the Disserence between the former Gain of France from England, and her present Gain; which Disserence in her Dissavour is her present Loss. But what have you done? Why, Sir, you have stated only,

What

[19]

What we formerly paid to France. What we now pay to France.

And the Difference of these you deliver as the Loss of *France*, without ever producing any Account of

What France formerly paid to England, And what she now pays to England.

From this monstrous Omission it is, that you make out so excessive a Loss to France, beyond what was ever suggested yet, by those who have carried it the highest.

If France formerly had taken no Goods from England, and if she now took none, then indeed your Manner of stating her Loss would have been just; This Loss to her would then have been, the Difference between what England paid her formerly, and what England now pays her; But when it is known that France formerly paid to England, and still pays, very confiderable Sums, for Goods imported thither from hence, it is very plain that these Sums are to be deducted from the Demands of France upon England: And it shews how strangely you mistook your Province, when you meddled with Figures, fince, after pondering fo long upon these Accounts, you never observed this obvious Circumstance.

In short, Sir, this will be clear to every one besides yourself; that the Difference between the D 2 former

20

former Balance of Trade in Favour of France, and the present less Balance in her Favour, is the Loss of France;

Whereas you, Sir, take no notice of the Balance, but only give the Difference between our former and present Imports from France, without once mentioning our Exports thither.

This want of all fort of Apprehension is the more remarkable, Sir, in your stating these Accounts; because Mr. Fortry, who gives the Total Value of the French Commodities imported into England, according to the Computation which was made by the French themselves, amounting to 2,600,000 l. per Annum, adds likewise at the end of it, the French Estimate of the Amount of the Exports from England to France, which was likewise delivered to the French King, in the following Words.

"And the Commodities exported out of England into France, confifting chiefly of woollen
Cloths, Serges, knit Stockings, Pewter, Allun, Lead, Coals, and all else, do not amount
to above Ten Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year
clear Loss to this Kingdom."

So that the Balance in Favour of France, at the utmost it was ever supposed, was no more than 1,600,000 l. per Annum; And had all Commerce been from thence entirely destroyed between us, it was impossible for France to have lost more in their Commerce with England, than this Sum of 1,600,000 l. per Annum.

[21]

But you, Sir, by your confounding of things together, have deliver'd the present Loss of France in this Account at 1,880,000 l. per Annum, even though she retains still, as you admit yourself, a great Part of her former Balance against us; in the Articles of Wine, Brandy, Cambricks, and Paper, to the Amount of 490,000 l. per Annum; and if so cannot have lost this whole 1,600,000 l. but only 1,110,000 l. per Annum.

Under this remarkable Absence of common Discernment, what could possibly missead you into the Province of Accounts? You have been certainly drawn into this Snare by fome Perfons, whom, I suppose, you have been troublingat every Opportunity, with your forward Pretences to a Skill in Figures; and have arrogantly fet yourself forth as one knowing in the Commerce and Finances of France; upon this, I presume, they have given you Rope, and in order most effectually to silence you for the future, have put you upon exposing your Budget to the World; wherein though they have fairly ridded themselves of all your Attacks for the suture, upon the Footing of a Man of Business, yet it cannot be imagin'd they intended you should have so miserably entangled yourself: However, it is certain from what appears in every Part of your Book, that you must have been intolerable to those that were open to your Assaults, and therefore they seem to have imitated the Duke of Orleans's Management, according to your

But

[22]

own Account of it, Page the 30th. "As the "Case was desperate, so the Remedy applied has been very violent."

But to return to your Accounts; you pretend next, Sir, to state the Loss of France in her Commerce with Holland, where you give us an Account of what Holland receiv'd formerly from France, but never a Word of the Commodities which France received at the same Time from Holland; though De Witt, immediately after bis Account, takes notice of these in the following Manner; Page 272.

"It is well known that in France very many Dutch Cloths, Says, Linen, Herrings, Cod, and other Wares, transported thither by our Ships, were formerly spent there.

These, Sir, you have entirely omitted, and only give an Account of the former and present Exports from France to Holland, without mentioning the Imports from thence into France; so that nothing can be more ridiculous than your Conclusion upon the whole, that France has lost three Millions and a half by her Commerce with England and Holland; which you expressly repeat again, Page the 49th, where you affert without the least Reservation, that "She has lost three Millions and an half Sterling in her Trade with Eng" and an half Sterling in her Trade with Eng" land and Holland."

Upon this Occasion I could not but obferve a most egregious Contradiction in your fixing

[23]

fixing the Meridian of the French Power and Greatness in 1683: For, Sir, any one but yourself would have reflected, that in the Year 1678, French Goods were entirely prohibited in England; this Prohibition took place the 21st of March 1677-8, and continued from thence 'till the 16th of June 1685. You mention your self the Act for this Prohibition, Page the 56th; and likewise the Repeal of it in the Beginning of King James's Reign, Page the 58th: You likewise mention, Page the 57th and 58th, the Benefits of this Act to England; you fay, "The Effects of it were immediate and happy?" And again, "During this flourishing State of " our Commerce, Murmurs and Complaints ceas'd, " Rents advanc'd, and Industry meeting with its " just Reward, new Manufactures were daily set " up, &c."

Did you never apprehend, Sir, all this while, that these Benefits to England were Mischiefs to France? Or, to use your own Expression Page the 62d, that, "Whatever England has got, " France bas lost." And as the Prohibition entirely excluded, even what we now receive from France, her Loss therefore amounted to 1,880,000 l. and 490,000 l. per Annum; in all 2,370,000 l. per Annum, by your own Account; yet, at this very Time, you reprefent the French Kingdom to be making new and excessive Acquisitions in Power and Commerce; your Words are, Page the 25th, " In 1678, France made the Treaty of "Nimeguen with a Superiority as mortifying to " her Enemies, as it was glorious to herself. To

[24]

so the Glory of her Arms she added an extensive "Trade, and, by that means, to the Enlargement " of her Dominions she continued to add a daily "Increase of Riches and Power; such, say you, was the happy State of France in 1683: Here " then I fix the Meridian of the French Great-" ness and Lewis's Glory." You were, doubtless, excessively happy upon having acquitted yourself of these pompous Flourishes; and, in the Pride of your oratorical Triumph, never reflected upon your fixing the new Acquisitions to the French Power and Greatness, at the very Instant when France was losing all Commerce with England: But you fee, Sir, that your Prosperity, like Lewis's, has in itself the Principles of its own Destruction.

I return again to your Accounts, where I find, Sir, that you have not justly delivered either Fortry's Account, or the Scheme form'd by the Merchants in 1674, or the Account from the Commissioners of the Customs for the Year ending at Michaelmas 1686, or any of the Accounts of the Inspector-General, relating to the Commerce between England and France; but different Pieces of feveral Accounts, extracted without the least Tudgment, and which can ferve no possible Purpose but to shew that you were incapable of judging or making a right Use of any; but, without detecting the particular Errors in most Articles of your Account of the Imports from France to England, which would be almost an endless Labour, I shall here present to the Reader, first Mr. Fortry's genuine Account of the-

25

Particulars imported into England from France in 1683, which he introduces as follows;

"This Particular not long since was delivered in to the King of France, upon a Design he had to have forbidden the Trade between France and England, supposing the Value of English Commodities sent into France, did surmount the Value of those that were transported hither.

I. "There are transported out of Configuration of England great Quantities of Velvets plain and wrought, Satins plain and wrought, Cloth of Gold and Sillinger, Armoysins, and other Merichandises of Silk, which are made at Lyons, and valued to be yearly worth

2. "In Silks, Stuffs, Taffaties, Pa"duasoys, Armoysins, Cloths of
"Gold and Silver, Tabbies plain
"and wrought, Silk Ribbands and 300,000
"other such like Stuffs as are made
"at Tours, valued to be worth
by the Year above—

3. "In Silk Ribbands, Galloons,
"Lace, and Buttons of Silk,
"which are made at Paris, Rouen,
"Chaimount, St. Estiens in Fo"rests, by the Year, about

[26] 4. " A great Quantity of Serges, \" which are made at Chalons, " Chartres, Estamines, and Rhe-" ims, and great Quantities of 150,000 " Serges made at Amiens, Cre-" vecouer, Blicourt, and other " Towns in Picardy, by the Year « above — 5. "In Beaver, Demicastor and Felt-" Hats, made in the City and Sub-" urbs of Paris, besides many o-120,000 " thers made at Rouen, Lyons, " and other Places by the Year, « above — 6 " In Feathers, Belts, Girdles, " Hatbands, Fans, Hoods, Masks, "Gilt and wrought Looking-" Glasses, Cabinets, Watches, Pic-" tures, Cases, Medals, Tables, " Bracelets, and other such like " Mercery Ware, by the Year, a-" bove 7. " In Pins, Needles, Box-Combs,) "Tortois-shell-Combs, and such > 20,000 " like, by the Year, about -8. "In perfum'd and trimmed Gloves, " that are made at Paris, Rouen, 10,000 66 Vendosme, Clermount, and other Places, by the Year, about

9. " In

LONDON, 29 Nov. 1674.

A SCHEME of the TRADE,

As it is at present Carried on

Between England and France,

In the Commodities of the Native Product and Manufacture of each Country; Calculated, as exactly as possible, in Obedience to the Command of the Right Honourable the Lords Commissioners for the Treaty of Commerce with France: And humbly tender'd to their Lordships.

| Quan- tities. | Commodities Exported from England into France. | Amount of Particulars. | Total Amount of Exports. | Quan tities | | Amount of Particulars. | Total Amount of Imports. |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------|
| 5564 2288 166 466 2140 832 1170 400 1200 112 42 829 97 69 13 | Woollen and Silk Manufactures. 1. s. d. Pieces of Norwich Stuffs, at 2 00 0 per Pc. Pieces of Serges and Perpetuanas, at 2 10 0 Pieces of fingle Bayes, at 2 10 0 Small Minikin Bayes, at 6 00 0 Small double Bayes, at 4 00 0 Dozen Mens Worsted Hose, at 2 00 0 Dozen Mens Worsted Hose, at 0 08 0 Yards of Childrens Hose, at 0 01 0 C Goads of Cotton, at 9 00 0 Long Cloths, at 10 00 0 per Cl. Short Cloths, at 15 00 0 Spanish Cloths, at 15 00 0 Double Northern Dozens, at 2 00 0 Cloth Rashes, at 2 00 0 | 1. s.d. 708 0 0 13910 0 0 5764 0 0 996 0 0 1864 0 0 4280 0 0 1040 0 0 468 0 0 20 0 0 10800 0 0 1120 0 0 336 0 0 1120 0 0 485 0 0 138 0 0 26 0 0 865 0 0 | l. s. d. | 1700 500 250 150 760 3389 137 120 | Linen and Silk Manufactures. 1. s. d. Pieces of Lockram and Dowlas, at -6 00 0 per Pc. Hundred of Vitry & Noyals Canvas, at 6 00 0 per Hund Hundred of Normandy Canvas, at 7 00 0 Pieces of Quintins, at 0 10 0 per Pc. Pieces of died Linen, at 1 00 0 Yards of Diaper Tabling, at 0 2 0 Yards of Diaper Napkining, at 0 1 0 Dozen of Buckrams, at 2 10 0 Bolts of Poldavies, at 0 15 0 Pair of Old Sheets, at 0 5 0 Pound of Wrought Silk, at 2 0 0 Note, That this Year, 1674, there hath been received at the Port of Dover only, as we are informed, 15000 l. for Custom of Wrought Silk: So that confidering what may be convey'd away privately, and that great Quantities are worth from 3 l. to 4 l. the Pound, we believe the Wrought Silk may amount to | 2. 3. d. 360000 0 0 102000 0 0 35000 0 0 1250 0 0 1500 0 0 760 8 0 1694 16 0 3440 0 0 900 0 0 705 0 0 300000 0 0 | 1. s. d. |
| 35°5 960 | Kersies, at 150 lb. English wrought silk, at 2000 | 6273 0 0 | | | much more in Value than what is above. | | |

| 466 Small double Bayes, at 4 00 0 - | | | '-, | Linen, at 1 00 0 | 1500 0 0 760 8 0 | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--------|--|---------------------|--|
| 832 Dozen Mens Worsted Hose, at 1 05 0 | | | | er Napkining, at— o 10—— | 1694 16 0 | |
| 1170 Dozen of Childrens Hose, at 0 08 0 | 46800 | | 1376 | Dozen of Buckrams, at 2 10 0 | 3440 00 | |
| 400 Yards of Flannel, at 0 01 0 | 2000 | | 1200 | Bolts of Poldavies, at O 15 O | 900 0 0 | , 0 |
| 1200 C Goads of Cotton, at 9 00 0 | 10800 0 0 | | 2820 | Pair of Old Sheets, at 0 50 | 705 0 0 | |
| 112 Long Cloths, at 10 00 0 per Cl. | 1120 0 0 | | 150000 | Pound of Wrought Silk, at 2 0 0 | 300000 0 0 | • |
| 42 Short Cloths, at 8 00 0 829 Spanish Cloths, at 15 00 0 | 33600 | | | | | |
| 829 Spanish Cloths, at 15 00 0 | 12435 0 0 | | | Note, That this Year, 1674, there hath been received | | |
| 97 Double Northern Dozens, at 5 00 0 | 48500 | | | at the Port of Dover only, as we are informed, | | Ŋ |
| 69 Single Northern Dozens, at 2 00 0 | 138 0 0 | | | 15000 l. for Custom of Wrought Silk: So that con- | | |
| 13 Devon Dozens, at 2 00 0 173 Cloth Rashes, at 5 00 0 | 2600 | | | fidering what may be convey'd away privately, and | 1. | |
| 6 Pennystons, at 3000 | 865 0 0 18 0 0 | | | that great Quantities are worth from 3 l. to 4 l. the Pound, we believe the Wrought Silk may amount to | 1 | |
| 3585 Kerlies, at 1150 | 6273 0 0 | , | | much more in Value than what is above. | | |
| 960 lb. English wrought silk, at 2 00 0 | 1920 0 0 | | | much more in value than what is above. | | |
| 900 lb. Engini wrong it onk, at 2 00 0 | 1920 0 0 | | 1 | | | 807770 |
| | 63466 0 0 | | 11000 | Tuns of French Wine one Year with ? | | 807250 04 0 |
| This is the full of what was Exported, according to | 03400 0 0 | | 1 | another cost 12 10 0 per Tun. | 137500 0 0 | 100 |
| the Custom-House Books in the Port of London, | | | 4000 | Tuns of Brandy, one Year with } | 0 | |
| from Michaelmas 1668, to Michaelmas 1669. And | | | 1 7000 | another, at20 00 0 per Tun. S | 80000 0 0 | |
| for all England we calculate one Third Part more. | | | | 1 | | 217500 00 . |
| Amounts in all to | Assertment Street, | 84621 06 08 | | | | |
| | | 34522 30 30 | 160000 | Reams of Paper, at o 5 o per Ream. | 40000 0 0 | |
| Since 1669, the Exports, as we conceive, are dimi- | | | 1500 | Pes of Pruens, at 4 0 0 | 6000 0 0 | |
| nished, and not increased. | | | 400 | Hundred of Feathers — 5 0 0 per Hund. | 2000 0 0 | = |
| | | | 5000 | Hundred of Kidskins — 300 | 15000 00 | • |
| 2500 Fodder of Lead, at 12 0 0 per Fod. | 30000 0 0 | | 3000 | Weigh of Salt, at 200 per Weigh. | 6050 0 0 | |
| 6000 Hundred of Tin, at 4 0 0 per C. 100 Tuns of Allom, at 24 0 0 per Tun. | 2 4000 0 0 | | 6000 | Hundred of Rozin, at 080 per Hund | 2400 O G | |
| Too Tuns of Allom, at 24 0 0 per Iun. | 2400 0 0 | | | Vinegar, Rape, Cider, Wadd, Cork, Oakam, Sope, Tur | 1 | |
| Calves Skins and Leather | 10000 0 0 | | İ | pentine, Capers, Olives, Brignoles, Parchment, Win | | |
| Several Sorts of Skins, Glew, Lanthorn Leaves, Butter, | | , | | dow-Glass, Teasels, Corn Fans, Backet Rods, Box- | | |
| Copperas, Old Shoes, Sea Coals, Tobacco Pipes, | | | | Wood, and Cremor Tartar, which may amount per | | |
| Gloves, Red-Lead, Linseed, Candles, Iron-Ware, | | | | Annum, at least to | 40000 0 0 | ****** |
| Haberdashery Ware, and other trivial Commodities, | | · | | | | 111400 00 |
| which may amount per Annum to | 20000 0 0 | 06 | | Positionally manuage of Toylo for William and Children | | 1136150 04 |
| | | 86400 00 00 | 1 | Besides all manner of Toys for Women and Children, | | 1130130 04 9 |
| | | -6.0 | | Fans, Jessamin-Gloves, Laces, Point-Laces, rich embroidered Garments, and rich embroidered Beds, | | |
| Polance gained by the French from yo Veerly helides | | 171021 06 08 | | and other Vestments, which are of an incredible | | |
| Balance gained by the French from us Yearly, besides the Toys, Gloves, Laces, &c. | | 064140 51 | | Value. | | |
| the Loys, Gloves, Llaces, Cr. | | 965128 17 04 | | y aluc. | | |
| |] | 1136150 04 00 | | | | |
| | , | 1130150 04 00. | . 3 | 1 | • | |
| | | | | | | Victoria de la companya del la companya de la compa |

By the Account above, your Lordships may perceive, that the Linen and Silk Manusactures only, Imported from France, amount to upwards of Eight Hundred Thousand Pounds, and the Manusactures of Wooll and Silk Exported from England thither, do not amount to Eighty Five Thousand Pounds. As also all other Commodities of the Product and Manusacture of England Exported into France, do not amount to Ninety Thousand Pounds more: Whereas the Wines, Brandies, and other Commodities of the Product and Manusacture of France Imported into England, amount to upwards of Three Hundred and Twenty Thousand Pounds; besides an incredible Value of Toys, rich Apparel, Point-Lace, & c. So that it is apparent, that the Exports of our Native Commodities and Manusactures to France, which we receive from thence: And if it please your Lordships to restect thereupon, your Lordships will easily discern the great Prejudice the English Nation hath sustained, and the great Advantage the French have, and do daily make, by holding this Treaty in Suspence; this Nation being upon the Matter excluded Trade thither, while in the mean time the French enjoy all and as great Advantages as they can reasonably expect by any Treaty.

Patience Ward, George Torriano,
Thomas Papillon, John Houblon,
James Houblon, John Houghe,
William Bellamy, John Mervin,
Michael Godfrey, Peter Paravicine,

John Dubois, Benj. Godfrey, Edm. Harrifon, Benj. Delaune.

To be plac'd Page 29.

```
[ 27 ]
9. " In Papers of all Sorts which
                                     L.
  " are made at Auvergne, Poic-
  " ton, Limosin, Champaigne,
                                   100,000
  " and Normandy, by the Year a-
  " bove -
10. " In all Sorts of Ironmongers )
  "Wares, that are made in For-
  " rests, Auvergne, and other
                                    40,000
  " Places by the Year, about ____)
11. " In Linen Cloth that is made )
  " in Britany, Normandy, as well
  " course as fine, there is transport-> 400,000
  " ed into England, by the Year,
  " above ___
12. " In Houshold-Stuff confisting of )
  " Beds, Matrasses, Coverlids,
  " Hangings, Fringes of Silk, and
                                   100,000
  " other Furniture by the Year above
13. " InWines from Gascoigne, Nan-
  " tois, and other Places on the
  " River of Loire, and also from
  " Bourdeaux, Rochelle, Nantz,
                                  600,000
  "Rouen and other Places, are
  " transported into England by the
  " Year above ____
14. " In Aqua Vita, Cyder, Vinegar,
  "Verjuice, and such like by the > 100,000
  " Year above ____
```

 \mathbf{E}_{2}

15. cc In

"In Saffron, Caftle-Sope, Ho"ney, Almonds, Olives, Capers,
"Prunes, and fuch like, by the
"Year about

16. "Befides five or fix hundred Vef"fels of Salt, loaded at Maron,
"Rochelle, Bouage, the Isle of
"Oleron, and Isle of Rhee, trans"ported into England and Hol"land, of a very great Value, so > 2,600,000

"doth appear, that the very year"ly Value of such Commodities as

" are transported from France to

" clear Loss to this Kingdom.

This Account which Mr. Fortry delivers, makes the Balance in Favour of France 1,600,000 l. per Annum. But it is generally thought to have been carried too high, both in the Accounts of the Imports and Exports; for no Accounts from the Ports of this Kingdom, after adding to these the fullest Allowance for all smuggling of French Goods, have arose to near the Sums he has stated; nor has it ever appeared, by the most strained Computations,

[29]

that our Exports to France in any one Year a-mounted to near One Million Sterling.

The next Account I shall present to the Reader, is the Computation of the most eminent Merchants in the Kingdom, in which they were assisted by the Accounts from the Offices, so that it may fully be depended upon as a very just and exact Account; And in the Values of the Goods, or their prime Cost to England, they could not be mistaken, as this was continually within their own Knowledge, and the chief Object of their Business.

[See the Scheme of Trade.]

It is to be observed that this Scheme regards only the native Products and Manufactures of each Country, and not the Goods of any Foreign Country, which were imported from one to the other; Of this Sort we received little or nothing from France, but we exported from hence thither considerable Quantities; these were computed to consist of the following Articles, as they are said to have been laid before the Parliament by the Commissioners of the Customs.

[See the List of Foreign Goods.]

Thi

[30]

This Sum of 175,921 l. 15 s. 7 d. is to be deducted from the Balance by the former Scheme in Favour of France; though it is plain that we did not fave all this Sum of 171,921 l. but paid very confiderably for it to some other Foreign Nations; However without entring into this, it is certain, that the Balance to be paid from hence to France, according to the foregoing Scheme, amounting to 965,128 l. 17 s. 4 d. is to be lessened by the Amount of this Sum, which will leave the clear Balance upon the whole 789,207 l. 1 s. 9 d. per Annum in Favour of France; But as there were large Quantities of French Goods imaggled into England more than were from hence into France, it may fairly be computed that the Balance upon this Smuggling in Favour of France, was rather more than 200,000 l. per Annum in 1674. So that the whole Account of our Commerce with France will stand thus.

Goods regularly imported hither from France to the Amount of Clandestinely imported hither to the Amount of the Amount of above

Total Amount of Imports from France.

1. 3. d.

2. 3. d.

2. 3. d.

1.136,150 4 0

1.336,150 4 0

Exported

A LIST of Foreign Goods exported from England to France from Michaelmas 1686 to Michaelmas 1687, with their just Valuations or Prime Cost to France, as settled by some eminent Merchants.

N. B. This Amount of our Exports of Foreign Goods to France, being supposed to be as large as in any one Year; and our Imports from thence being imagined by some on the other hand to be rather overvalued in the Scheme, it is thought proper, though they are stated for different Years, to introduce this List at the same time with the Scheme, and to place them opposite to each other.

To be plac'd Page 30.

[31]

L. 1,336,150 4 0

Exported from hence to France, viz.

Native Products
and Manufactures of England to the Amount of

Foreign Goods to 175,921 15 7 the Amount of

346,943 2 3

Difference, being the Balance \ L. 989,207 \ r 9 in favour of France,

So that from hence it appears that France gain'd in her Commerce with England about One Million Sterling per Annum.

This was the Opinion of the most discerning and judicious Men at that time, grounded upon authentic Accounts, and other Informations which were justly to be depended upon; and it was likewise confirm'd by the Report of the Lords Commissioners for Trade to his Majesty King William III. dated the 23d of December, 1697, which thus represents:

"From France the Importations have gradually increased from Anno 1670 to the Beginning of the late War, in Wines, Brandies, Silks, Linens, and many forts of other Goods: For though there was a Prohibition of French Wine during fome of those Years, yet it was brought in un-

 $\begin{bmatrix} 3^2 \end{bmatrix}$

"der other Names, and in the same Years our Exportations thither have decreas'd. The first Computation that we find of that Trade stands in the Books we have in our Custody, in a Report made by Sir George Downing, then one of the Commissioners of the Customs, to the Lords of the Privy-Council, then a Committee for Trade, dated the 9th of March, 1675, where it is calculated, that, in one Year there were imported from France

"As many Silks as amounted to 300,000 Cinens, 507,000 Wines, 11000 Tons, 2 together com- 5 217,000

"Which, with Salt, Paper, Prunes, Vinegar, and other Commodities, upon the first Cost in France, amounted in all to 1,136,150l. 4s. Sterling; besides Points, Laces, and what was brought in privately.

"And that our Exports to France the same "Year amounted to but 171,021 l. 6 s. 8 d.

"And although we believe that the Linen and Silks are over-valued in the said Report, yet we sup- pose that the Goods then brought in privately (not there estimated) and the Increase of the Importation of Wine and Brandy after that time, are equivalent to that Valuation.

"For by other Computations we are informed, that Anno 1685, the Wines imported thence amounted to above 20,000 Tons, and the Bran- dies 6000 Tons: And by the Receipt of the Ex-

[33]

"cise it appears, that in the Year ending at Mid"fummer 1689, the Imports of Brandies a"mounted to 8000 Tons, of which, we suppose,
"very little came then, from any Place but France,
and we are informed that Year exceeded 20,000
"Tons.

"And the French King having Anno 1654, 1660, 1664, and 1667, increas'd the Duties on our Woollen Goods, and on our Lead, Tin, Coals, Tobacco, Sugar, Fish, and other Commodities, which are usually imported into his Dominions; and also laid an imposition of 50 Sols." Tournois per Ton on all English Ships, and restrain'd the Importation of Woollen Manus factures to his Ports of Calais and Dieppe, and other Goods to some other inconvenient Ports.

"And in Anno 1686, laid great Duties on all East-India Goods, and restrained their Importation also to select Ports; at the same time otherwise encouraging the Consumption of the Cloth, Stuffs, Silks, and other Goods made by bis own People: All which amounted to a Prohibition in many Cases, in receiving Goods from England.

"We are of Opinion that we have been overbalanced in that Trade in most of the said Years about One Millon per Annum.

It is evident that this Report is grounded upon the Scheme of our Trade with France, which I have before delivered, the Totals exactly agreeing; greeing; And it is declared by this Report concerning that Scheme, that it was entered in the Custom - house Books, and presented by Sir George Downing, then one of the Commiffioners of the Customs to the Lords of the Privy-Council the 9th of March 1675; So that the forgoing Scheme of Trade may justly be relied on, as authentic, for what it pretends to; The Particulars being collected from the Books of the Custom-house, and their prime Cost, or Valuation, calculated by the Merchants; But it is carefully to be remarked that it pretends to no more, than to be, An Account of the Exports and Imports between England and France of the NATIVE PRODUCTS and MANUFAC-TURES of each Country.

Upon which, I must be pardon'd for observing, that these Lords Commissioners for Trade plainly mistook this Account, and understood it to regard our whole Emports, though our Exports of Foreign and Plantation Goods appear, by the very Title of the Account, and by the List of the Particulars, not to be included.

This Mistake has occasioned them to declare in their Report, that the Amount of our Exports to France was no more than 171,021 l. 6 s. 8 d. for that Year, whereas the Exports of our native Products and Manufactures alone, amounted to that Sum; and our Exports of Foreign Goods to about as much more, if we suppose their Amount to be nearly the same as in 1687.

[35]

Nor are the French Goods in this Scheme in the least over-rated, as the Lords Commissioners for Trade have here intimated, but on the contrary in the Articles of wrought Silks, are particularly declared by the Merchants to be underestimated; and it was impossible they should be mistaken in this, which was the chief Object of their Business; But without any Supposition of fuch an Over-valuation, the Amount of the Goods smuggled from France to England, was so very considerable, as sufficiently to answer our Exports of Native and Foreign Goods thither; and yet leave to the French, as is declared in the foregoing REPORT, a clear Balance upon the whole, of one Million Sterling per Annum in their Favour.

I shall next deliver another Account which is entered in the Books of the Custom-house, and which differs from the Scheme, though inconsiderably upon the whole; But from what particulal Cause this Difference has proceeded, if there be no mistake in the Year it is said to be computed for, will be difficult to determine at this distance of time; I shall therefore without further Remarks present it to the Reader;

An Account of the Particulars of the Goods and Merchandise exported fram the Port of London, from Michaelmas 1668 to Michaelmas 1669.

Woollen Manufactures, valued at 93396 5 6 Wrought Silks 473 1 lib. at L. 2 10 1183 15 0

94580 0 6 Brought

94580 0 6 Brought over-Lead 970 Fodder 11 C. at 12 L. ? per Fodd. -Tin 996 3 14, at 41. per C. — 3987 10 0 Allum, 393 C. at L. 1 14 per C. 471 12 0 Tann'd Leather, 45583, valued, viz. $\frac{1}{3}$ part at 6d. $\frac{1}{3}$ at 9d. and \ 19146 15 0 1 at 12 d. the Pound weight Unrated Goods -**-** 9990 15 6 In other Manufactures and Products 11500 0 0 Total Amount of Native Productsand Manufactures exported from London to France, from 1668 to 1669, Export of Foreign Goods from London. L. s. d. Coiton-wool, 43980 lib. at 6d. 1099 10 0 per Pound. Cotton-yarn, 23615 lib. at 12 d. Galls, 1914 2 0 at L. 3. 3 per Hundred. Goats-hair, 50,977 lib. at 2 s. per Pound. Tobacco, 160,816 lib. at 3 d. per Pound. Oil, 204 Tuns, at 301. per Tun. 6120 00 Sugar, 6080 2 3 at 25s. per C. 7600 13 0 Sugar, 0000 2 5 ac - 9 d. 3 Pepper, 224,859 lib. at 9 d. Calicoes, 7995 Pieces, at 15 s. per Piece. 43281 33 Brought

[36]

L.

[37] Brought over-Indico, 8481 lib. at 3 s. 6 d. per Pound. Goat-skins, 765 doz. at 21. per doz. 1530 00 Drugs and all other Goods. -**-** 17200 0 0 Total foreign Goods exported? from London to France. — S The Total Amount of our Exports to France may therefore be thus determined. Amount of Native Products and Manufactures exported from \ 151,323 8 0 the Port of London Amount of Foreign Goods export-? 63,495 6 9 ed from the Port of London. Total Amount of the Exports of Native and Foreign Goods, 214,818 14 9 from the Port of London, And computing the Value of all the Native and Foreign Goods exported from the Out-Ports, 71,606 4 11 at \frac{1}{2} of the value of what was exported from the Port of London only according to the Proportion between them, viz. j Total Value of the Exports of all Sorts from England to France, from Michaelmas 1668 to Michalmas 1669— An Account of the Imports from France into England, from 1668 to 1669, extracted chiefly from an Account laid before the Parliament by the

Wine

Farmers of the Customs.

[38]

| | L. | s. d. |
|---|-------------------|-------|
| Tinens of all Sorts | 506,957 | 76 |
| Linens of all Sorts Wrought Silks at least | 300,000 | ÓQ |
| Wine, 11,000 Tons, at 12 l. | 137,500 | 0 0 |
| Brandy, 4000 Yons, at 20 1. | 80,000 | 00 |
| Paper, 180,752 Reams of aif- | 46,803 | 0 0 |
| Salt, Rosin, Prunes and Feathers. | 52,992 | 126 |
| Kid-skins. | 13,575 | 128 |
| Kid-skins.———————————————————————————————————— | 55,677 | 12 8 |
| Total Value of the Imports from France into England, form 1668 to 1669, in- | ,193, 5 06 | |
| ports from England to | 286,424 | 19 8 |

The Imports exceed 3907,081 46

the Exports — 3907,081 l. per

Annum the Value of the French Commodities fmuggled into England be added, at the Amount of 200,000 l. per Annum, the whole Balance, in Favour of France, will appear to be about 1,100,000 l. per Annum, which agrees as nearly as can be expected with the Balance by the former Accounts.

Laftly, I shall produce,

[39]

An Abstract of an Account of the Imports and Exports to and from England and France from Michaelmas 1685 to Michaelmas 1686; which was laid before the Parliament in 1713, by the Commissioners of the Customs;

Value of the Imports into the Port of London 569,126 0 6

Value of the Imports into the Out-parts
(except Deal, Dartmouth, Whithy, and Milford for the Michaelmas Quarter)

715,293 10 3

Total Value of the Imports from France, L. 1,284,419 10 3

Value of the Exports from England to France for the same Year.

From London
409,563 6 4
From the Out-Ports
except from the threePorts abovemention'd for the Michaelmas Quarter.

Imports exceed the Exports
769,190 16 0

The Balance according to this Custom-house Account, in Favour of France, is almost 800,000 l. per Annum, to which if the Account of the French Goods smuggled into England be added at 200,000 l. per Annum, the whole Balance which was gained by France in her Commerce with England, appears to be about one Million Sterling per Annum; and all these Accounts agree very nearly together (except Mr. Fortry's French Account) and sufficiently consirm and establish each other.

It

It appears then from the concurrent Testimony of the most authentick Accounts, that the Value of the Goods regularly imported to England, from 1666 to 1688, (except during the Prohibition) was from 1,100,000 l. to 1,300,000 l. per Annum; And the Value of what was imuggled, was probably about 200,000 l. per Annum, the French Ambassador's Lady 2lone being faid to introduce Goods without entry at the Custom-House, in Charles the 2d3 Reign, to the Amount of upwards of 30,000 l. per Annum. So that the whole Amount of the Imports from France to England, all Things being included, was from 1,300,000 l. to 1,500,000 l. per Ann. And at the same Time the whole Amount of the Exports from hence to France was from 300,000 l. to 500,000 l. per Annum; which left upon a Medium a Balance of one Million Sterling per Annum, in Favour of France; and this may be justly laid down as the annual Gain of France formerly by her Commerce with England.

How widely, Sir, have you therefore varied from Truth in stating what France loses at present, at 1,880,000 l. per Annum; even the we still pay her by your own Account 490,000 l. per Annum for several Commodities; So that supposing we paid nothing to France at present, her Loss by your Account in her Commerce with England would be 2,370,000 l. per Annum. Are you not asham'd, Sir, of delivering these Things for Facts, and of troubling the Gentleman you address yourself to, and the World, with such monstrous

[41]

monstrous Assertions, under the Form of Authentic Accounts; yet you have thought fit to assure this Gentleman, at the End of your Discourse, That Truth and Exactness have been your principal Care, to which you have solemnly added with great Satisfaction in your own Veracity, They are the only Merit of a Performance of this Nature.

If you fay, Sir, that the Accounts which I have chiefly relied upon, differ from that which Mr. Fortry has delivered; and of which you give an Encomium at the End of your 22d Page, declaring, That nothing could be more Authentick; I fay, if you alledge this, then why did you not abide by Mr. Fortry's Account?

But I suppose you had a mind to mend an Authentic Account; for it is plain you have entirely departed from it in most of the Particulars: you bring out your Total Amount of the Imports from France into England formerly to be 2,370,000 l. per Annum; And by Mr. Fortry's Account they amounted to 2,600,000 l. You will perhaps imagine that in this you are more moderate than Mr. Fortry; but does not every one reflect, that Mr. Fortry deducts from this Sum, the Amount of the Exports from England to France? fo that all that France gain'd, according to his Account, was no more than 1,600,000 l. per Annum; And he, who was an Englishman, could never have imagined, that she could possibly have lost more; But you, Sir, have abundantly excelled him; and

[42]

have allowed France at present a considerable Part of her former Advantages, and have yet brought her out a much greater Loser, than Mr. Fortry could have done, had she been absolutely deprived of all these Advantages.

As I have already stated the Balance which France formerly gained by her Commerce with England, I shall now state the Balance she gains at present from England;

An Account of the present annual Value of the Imports from France to England;

L.

Wines, by a Medium of 7 Years
past, 614 Ton per Annum, but
including what is smuggled, may
be 2000 Ton per Annum, which
at 40 l. per Ton, is

Brandy, or Spirits, of all forts, by a Medium of 4 Years past imported into England, 2625 Ton per Annum, of which at least two Thirds, or 1750 Tons, are French Brandy; and allowing half as much more French Brandy to be smuggled, in all 2625 Tons, at 401. per Ton prime Cost, which is at the highest Rate, The Amount of this Article is

Cambricks, to the Value of _____ 180,000 Andico, Cocheneal, and Verdigreese, &c. 25,000

[43]

| Paper, little, or none at all; Silk-Wastecoats, Prunes, and French Plumbs, Olives, Toys, Hungary and Lavender Waters, &c. in all about | 15,000 |
|--|----------------|
| Total Annual Value of the pre- fent Imports from France to England | 405,000 |
| An Account of the present Annual Value Experts from England to France; | ue of the viz. |
| | L. |
| Tobacco from 15,000 to 20,000 Hhds. per Annum, at a Medium | dhaw * |
| about 18,000; which at 61. per | 108,000 |
| Hhd. including Freight, and the Charges of Commission, amount to | |
| Iron and Brass Manusactures, Woollen Goods, Whips, Saddles, exported to Dunkirk, to the Annual Amount of about | 40,000 |
| Lead, to the Amount of about | 15,000 |
| Tin, to about | 4,000 |
| Allum, to about | 600 3,500 |
| Tann'd Leather, to about Copperas, | 1000 |
| Coals from England and Scotland, | 5000 |
| Iron Ordnance, Horn-Plates, Wool- Cards, Grindstones, Hops, Hats, Silk Stockings, Tabbies, | 5000 |
| | 182,100 |
| C * | Brought |

Paper,

105,000

Brough

[44]

These Accounts have been formed by judicious and knowing Persons, who have had the best Opportunities of being acquainted with the State of our Commerce with France; and they are delivered in this Place, though not as precise in every Particular, yet as what may be depended upon, in general, and in their whole Amounts, to be near the Truth.

But it is necessary to observe, that the chief Part of the French Goods, which are smuggled upon us, are before included in the Articles of Wines, Brandies, Silk-Wastecoats, &c. As to the Tea, that is run in upon our Coast, great Part of it comes from Holland; or is what was imported by our own East-India Company: and being exported, is run in upon us again. Though I admit, that there is likewise Tea smuggled upon us from France. But this seems to be pretty well answered by the Resin'd Sugar and Tobacco, which we clandestinely run from Guernsey and Fersey into France. And if we add to these the Value of the Corn exported from hence thither, to a considerable Amount,

[45]

in many Years, they will be, together, abundantly sufficient to balance the Amount of the French Goods, which are clandestinely imported into England;

If therefore,
The present Imports from France }
hither, amount annually to
And the present Exports from England thither to

The present Balance in Favour of
France, in her Commerce with
England, is
And the whole Loss to France, by the Difference between her former and present Commerce with England, will thus be obtain'd;

Former Balance in Favour of France, 1,000,000

per Annum, Tresent Balance in Favour of France, 221,900

per Annum, being the Difference, 779,100

This Sum of 779,100 l. appears, therefore, to be the present Loss to France, from the different State of her former and present Commerce with England.

Thus, Sir, it appears that this Loss is not half the Amount of 1,880,000 l. at which extravagant Sum you have stated it; and in examining this Subject, I have been far from depreciating the Value Value of the French Imports, or adhering to any of the Suggestions of Davenant, who produces many Arguments to shew, that the Account of these Imports has been greatly overcharged; But his Designs evidently were to support, as far as he was able, the Treaty of Commerce with France, which was set on soot at the End of Queen Anne's Reign; And therefore I shall not offer to produce any of his Accounts, as I am not running into contrary Extremes in opposition to you; and am sensible how much it becomes every Writer to adhere to Truth only, as far as he can obtain it, in a Subject of this Importance.

But, Sir, I am next to examine the Loss which you affign to France in her Commerce with Holland; this you state at 1,702,090 l. per Annum, which you have deduced in the same extraordinary manner, that you have her Loss in her Commerce with England; that is, you never offer to deliver the former and present Balance in favour of France, and, from the Difference of these, to point out her present Loss; but only give an Account of the Imports from France into Holland formerly, and of the present Imports from thence into Holland; and the Difference of these you put down as the Loss to France in her Commerce with Holland; without once taking notice of the former and present Exports from Holland to France; which De Witt tells you at the End of his Account, had, in his Time, been very confiderable, and are doubtless so at present, in Naval Stores, Fish, Spices, and other numerous Articles.

[47]

As your Method of stating these Accounts is thus egregiously wrong, so are your Particulars of the Commerce between France and Hosland, likewise very erroneous; But it will be too tedious to expose the Mistakes of each Article; However, that you may not charge me with asserting this gratis, I shall dissect your first Article. It runs thus, Page 43. "The Dutch took formerly from France, in Brocades, Velvet, Said tins, and Silk-Manufactures of all Sorts, according to De Witt's Account, to the Value of 1,200,180 l. Sterling per Annum."

Now De Witt tells you, at the Entrance of his Account, Page 270, That it is " a List of 68 Manufactures and Commodities exported out of 66 France into Foreign Parts, especially into Hol-" land, according to a Scheme presented to the "King of France by the Society of Merchants at "Paris." This, Sir, might have fatisfied you that there were Merchants in France, the contrary to which you have thought fit to affert. But this only by the bye. Now the total Amount of the Value of De Witt's Particulars, besides the Value of the Salt exported, is about 30 Millions of Crowns, or 6,750,000 l. Sterling, reckoning the French Crown at 4 s. 6 d. Sterling; It is from a Quotation of this in the British Merchant, Vol. II. Page 232. that you have mentioned in your Performance, Page 22, that the general Exports from France were com-65 puted at 6,750,000 l. Sterling per Annum, and es upwards."

It being evident therefore, that De Witt's Account comprehends the General Exports of France

Crowns.

[48]

France to all Foreign Nations whatever; I shall produce the two first Articles of it, in Page the 270th of his Treatise.

** Tours, which amount to per Common, and Silver, Taffaties, and other Silk-Wares made at Lyons and Common, which amount to per Common, and Common, and Common, and those Parts, Roan, and those Parts, 2,000,000 to the annual Amount of

Total annual Value of the French { Crowns. Exports of Silk-Manufactures, } 8,000,000

L. Sterl, Or at 4 s. 6 d. the Crown,——1,800,000

But, Sir, you have made the French
Exports of Silk-Manufactures to
England only per Annum, Page
38, of your Work, to be

Therefore deducting this from her general Exports, the Remainder is the Amount of her Exports of Silk-Manufactures to all other Countries,

Which

L. Sterl.

600,000

[49]

Which is more than was left to be exported to Holland, and all the rest of the World besides.

If you fay that you understand this Account of De Witt's to relate only to the Exports from France to Holland; and that you do not estimate the Millions there mention'd, as Millions of Crowns, but of Livres: I fay, Sir, you have contradicted this before, in giving us the Total of this Account, viz. fix Millions, 750,000 l. Sterling, as the whole Value of the general Exports of France; in which Estimate you have consider'd these Millions as of Crowns; and the Account of De Witt's, as of the general Exports; and in this Sense the Account is understood by the British Merchant, from whom you have quoted the Total of it; you are therefore, Sir, most plainly found guilty of quoting and agreeing to an Account, which makes the Amount of the Silk Manufactures of France exported to the whole World, to be only 1,800,000 l. per Annum; and afterwards you make her Exports of this Article to England and Holland only, 1,800,180 l. per Annum, and besides tell us. Page the 20th, that she supplied all the Northern Nations of Europe with her Silk Manufactures.—It would be acceptable, Sir, to myself, and some other of your Admirers, if you would acquaint us to what further Amount, you compute she might supply these with her Silk Manufactures.

The former and present State of the Commerce between Holland and France, I must confess, I have it not in my Power to state exactly; and therefore, am not able to point out the H

[50]

present Loss to France in this Branch with any Preciseness; I shall therefore, Sir, be more candid than to offer to give, as you have done, the Particulars of its Amount: It is certain, however, that there is a reciprocal Abatement in their Demands upon each other, the French at present take less Quantities of Fish, of East-India Goods, except Spices, and of the Northern Commodities from Holland, than formerly; and the Dutch receive less Quantities of Linens, of wrought Silks, of Wines, of Brandies, and of other Commodities from France, than in the former State of the Commerce between them; But it is certain that the French are, upon the whole, much the greatest Losers by this Alteration.

As to the Amount of this Loss, all that I can do towards determining it, is to give the Opinion of two Gentlemen, who are allowed to be very able Judges in this Matter, and who, from a general View which they have taken of the present Alteration of the Commerce between Holland and France, have estimated the whole Amount of the Loss to France by this Alteration, that is the Difference between the former and present Balance in her Favour, to be about 700,000 l. per Annum.

If this be admitted, and I am instructed that it cannot be very wide of the Truth, which however is submitted to those who are Judges in these Matters, the whole Amount of the Loss to France in her Commerce with England and Holland will stand thus;

 $\begin{bmatrix} 5I \end{bmatrix}$

Loss to France in her Commerce with England, as before determined,

Loss to France in her Commerce with Holland,

779,100 per Ann.

Total Loss to France in her Commerce with England 1,479,100 per Ann. and Holland.

Instead of 3,582,092 l. to which you have raised it, by omitting to deduct the Value of the Exports to France from England and Holland.

What is very extraordinary, is, that after you have troubled your Readers with your Accounts, and your Differences, which you have delivered with all imaginable Gravity, and thus brought out a Total of above three Million and an half Sterling as the Loss to France in her Commerce with England and Holland, you thus wisely observe;

"How much the general Balance of Trade is more or less in Favour of France, I cannot possibly determine with any Exactness, because I have not been able to pocure any Account of the Value of her present Exports and Imports; be that as it will, the Variation in her Trade with England and Holland is so much to her Disadvantage, that I think tis almost impossible for her to repair that Loss by any new Acquisitions of Trade with other Countries; that she has not yet repaired H 2

Loss

$\begin{bmatrix} 52 \end{bmatrix}$

it, the following Account will prove to a Demon-

This is fuch a Collection of Nescience and Science, as is rarely to be met with in one Author; you first say you cannot possibly determine how much more or less than this Sum of three Million and an half, the general Balance of Trade, is in Favour of France; that is, you cannot tell whether or no this Loss is repair'd by other new Acquisitions; However, say you, be that as it will, though I cannot possibly tell this with any Exactness, yet I think it almost impossible for her to repair this Loss, by any new Acquisitions of Trade with other Countries; nay more, fay you, I shall now " prove " to a Demonstration that she has not repair'd it." What, Sir? though you cannot possibly tell whether she has repair'd it or not.

This, Sir, is undoubtedly true Tipperary, and as it is far beneath any Remarks, I shall only observe, as you do Page the 24th, upon Monsieur Colbert's Accomplishments; "These Things naturally give one a high Opinion of the Talent of so able a Financier."

But it is now become necessary for me to enquire into the present Acquisitions to the Commerce of France, concerning which you are pleased to declare Page 37, "That the French are more addicted to Commerce, the Number of Merchants among st them is increased, and they are become more industrious in searching out new Channels

[53]

Channels of Trade than they were in former Times."

And yet Page the 48th, you say, that there is a great Diminution in her Revenues, and that so great a Desiciency can have but one Cause, and that is a great Decrease of Consumption and Circulation, proceeding from Loss of People, of Manufactures, and TRADE, though you admit they have more Merchants, and are more addicted to Commerce than ever.

Again, Page the 19th, you tell us, that from the Year 1714, she has been in a recovering Condition. And Page the 52d you say France is now in her Decline.

With the same Kind of Consistency you tell us Page the 12th, " That the Power of France in the present Situation of her Finances and Cre-" dit, is far from being formidable; and what so-" ever they [she] may boast, is incredibly less than " it was threescore Years ago, as I shall make " more fully appear, fay you, when I come to ex-" amine into the past and present State of her "Commerce". And yet, Sir, after you have examined this State, and gone through it, you declare Page the 45th, That you "cannot possi-" bly determine with any Exactness, whether France " has gain'd or lost in the general Balance of " Trade." In short, there is no End of your Contradictions; and you feem, Sir, like Cadmus, to have fown Armies, which immediately rife up and fight together.

There-

[54]

As you therefore have furnished nothing towards explaining the Amount of the present Acquisitions to the *French* Commerce, I shall lay before the Reader the Observations of a judicious and knowing Merchant upon this Subject.

The Annual Amount of the present Acquisitions to the French Commerce.

| | L. |
|--|-----------|
| 1. In her East-India Trade | 300,000 |
| 2. In her <i>Turkey</i> Trade, wherein though the Merchants concerned may gain little, yet <i>France</i> vending more Goods than formerly, her Manufacturers are paid for them, to the Amount of | 250,000 |
| 3. In her Exports of Sugar, Me- | 300,000 |
| 4. In Indigo and Cotton Wool | 50,000 |
| vending more Woollen Manufactures than formerly in Old Spain, and by her greater Share in the Flota and Galleons | 450,000 |
| 6. In her Portugal Trade, | 50,000 |
| 7. In her Guinea Trade and Gums | 60,000 |
| 8. In her Italian Trade | - 50,000 |
| 9. In her new Gain, by employing her own Shipping, and faving the Charges of Freight | 250,000 |
| Total Amount of the new Acquifitions to the French Commerce, per Annum. | 1,760,000 |

[55]

Therefore the prefent Refult of the Alterations in the Commerce of France may be thus determined.

The Amount of the new Acquisitions to the French Commerce, per Annum,

The Amount of the Loss to France in her Commerce with England and Holland, as before determined per Annum,

The present Gain of France in her general Commerce per Annum

280,900

Having thus settled the present State of the Commerce of France, I hasten through the rest of your Work; but I find myself immediately stopped by this most egregious Passage, Page the 52d.

"And now, Sir, if after all we should see the Balance of Power in Europe that Perpetuum Mobile in Politicks, to fix and establish which, our Statesmen plann'd, and our Armies sought with such glorious Success, against all the Estorts of France in her Vigour, animated too by an enterprizing Monarch; I say, if we are to see this Balance totally overturned at last by France in her Decline, with a Minister in his Dotage, and an unaspiring King, how capricious is Fortune, and how unaccountable must our Fate be ?"

Certainly there never came from the Press a more oftentatious, unmeaning Paragraph; good God! in what Work have you employed the excellent Lords Godolphin, Hallifax and Sunderland, the great and victorious Dukes of Marlborough

[56]

borough and Argyle; the Lords Cadogan, Stanbope and Stair, and all the other able Statesmen and gallant Soldiers of the British Nation; "They "plann'd and they fought, say you, with glorious "Success in order to fix a Perpetuum Mobile. How capricious indeed is Fortune, and how unaccountable the Fate of these Heroes! that after all their renowned Victories they should thus be recorded; and have this Absurdity sung as their Eulogium by an Irish Druid.

After this, you proceed to shew us how profound a Philosopher you are; "There has been, fay you, for some Years past; and I have Reason to believe there is at this time a strange visiner-tiæin that State, with a most unnatural Gravitation on towards France, which it is impossible to account for, unless the Cartesian System so long exploded in Politicks; and then the Astivity of the French Tour-titicks; and then the matter plain.

You have heard, Sir, I perceive somewhere of the Vis Inertiæ of Matter, and likewise of its Gravitation; and therefore you have introduced them here both together to shew your Learning, and have considered the same Object that is the Dutch State, as under a Vis Inertiæ, and gravitating towards France at the same time: But, Sir, you are to be informed, that, whenever the Vis Inertiæ of a Body is mentioned by Philosophers, they understand it of a Body at Rest, and this Vis to be the Opposition which it makes to being mov'd out of its Place; At the same time the Idea of Gravitation in a Body.

[57]

Body, is the Idea of its Tendency to some other Body; or, in short, the Idea of the Vis Inertiæ of a Body, is, of its endeavouring to keep its Place; and the Idea of the Gravitation of a Body, is, of its actually changing its Place, or endeavouring to change it; and you might as well have talked of a Dutchman, lying in his great Chair with the Gout, and running a Race at the same time; as of the Dutch State having at present a strange Vis Inertiæ, and at the same time an unnatural Gravitation to France.

But, Sir, I have not done with this Jargon yet; for allowing you, that there is a Gravitation, or, if you will a Vis Inertiæ, in the Dutch State towards France; which pitiful Allusion to the Heaviness of the Hollanders, I suppose you intended for Wit; yet now you have got these, you only expose your self worse than before, by jumbling them together; and ridiculously tell us that the Newtonian Principle of Gravitation is impossible to be accounted for, but by Cartesian Vortices.

You proceed next to inform us of the happy State of this Nation from 1678 to the Beginning of King James's Reign; and here, Sir, you feem to be describing a Golden Period; You say, "During this flourishing State of our "Commerce, Murmurs and Complaints ceas'd, "Rents advanced, and Industry meeting with its Reward, new Manufactures were daily set up, and Business in general carried on with Viva- city. The Poor found Employment, the Gentle-

[58]

" man, the Farmer, the Merchant, and Manu-" facturer, all shared the common Benefit.

In which, Sir, you discover such political Principles, as are very extraordinary to be publickly acknowledged at this time; for it was during that Period, that the Liberties of England were almost intirely Extinguish'd, and the Crown Abfolute; It was then that the worthy Lord Russel, Mr. Sidney, and Sir Thomas Armstrong, with other brave Englishmen, were cruelly butcher'd; And the Murder of Godfrey, the Popish Plot, the Danger of a Popish Successor, and other the most melancholy Circumstances, agitated and shock'd all honest Minds; yet you think fit to affert that, at this time, Murmurs and Complaints ceas'd: And as to your Declaration, that Business in general was then carried on with Vivacity, though I am not denying that we were benefited by the Prohibition of Commerce with France, yet I am sure that this Description of yours, is most applicable to the Business which was then carried on by Jeffreys, which it is certain, was managed with most insolent Vivacity.

After this you deliver an Account of some Acts beneficial to our Commerce, which, were passed in King William's Reign; and amongst others, you mention Page 59, the Act of the 8th William the Third, Cap. 36; which, you say, was for encouraging the Silk Manufacture within the Realm, and for the better preventing the Importation of the same; which Title is evidently

[59]

of your own forming; and is another strong Mark of the NATION: For how, Sir, is the Importation of a Manufacture to be prevented, which is within the Realm, and has never been abroad? The Title of the Act, Sir, is, For the further Encouragement of the Manufacture of Alamodes and Lutestrings within this Realm, and for the better preventing the Importation of the same: Had it been of the Alamodes and Lutestrings within this Realm, it would have been erroneous, as well as your own Title: But this, Sir, is your Emendation; and, instead of being the Language of Westminster-Hall, is evidently of the Growth of a neighbouring Island.

I have before mention'd your Propriety and Judgment in Compliments; and, Page 56, you further discover your Skill in this Business: As an Instance of this, without the least Provocation you acquaint the Gentleman you address yourself to, of the good Effects from the tacking of Bills. — I dare say you had no Malice or Guile in this, and I am not going to charge you with any; on the contrary, I am convinced your only Design, was, to mention all that you knew about the Prohibition; and, as he is a a Gentleman of Discretion, I suppose, you imagined, he would take care not to meddle with what was improper.

You are extremely remarkable throughout your Work for your ridiculous Affectation of French Scraps, which you perpetually usher in, 1 2 without

without the least Provocation; and the Etymologies you give of our Shalloons, and of our Tamins or Stamins, strongly discover that Pedantic Turn in you, which is offensive to every Gentleman.

Of the fame fort is your Introduction of the Language of Westminster-Hall, Page the 17th, and of Lewis's Fee-Simple; you fay, That, to speak in the Language of Westminster-Hall, Lewis spent the Fee-Simple of his Kingdom, and in this Particular outdid even Cæsar, for Lewis wanted above Thirty Millions to be worse than nothing. It feems you derive this from Lewis's running 176 Millions Sterling in Debt; for, Sir, you tell us, Page the 24th, that the Income of his Revenue, clear of all Deductions, had been 7,200,000 l. Sterling, per Annum; which, at twenty Years Purchase, Interest of Money being at 5 per Cent, amounted to 144 Millions Sterling, and so wants 32 Millions of amounting to Lewis's Debt. But, Sir, who told you, that the Fee-Simple of the neat Revenue of the Crown, was the Fee-Simple of the Kingdom; when it does not include the Fee-Simple of the Salaries of the Officers, much less of the rest of the Kingdom? You are thus perpetually exposing yourself in attempting to display your Learning; and whilst you imagine you force our Applause, are only rendering yourself beyond measure ridiculous.

Your Treatment of Cardinal Fleury must likewise once more be examined; I have before men-

[61]

mentioned your fetting him forth as having great Personal Credit, and at the same time as having very little: And I must next observe the other most inconsistent Accounts you give of him; you allow, Page the 18th, that he is the wisest and best Minister now living; and, Page the 52d, you say he is in his Dotage.

The Accounts which you give from the Inspector-General of our Exports and Imports in 1663 and 1669, are stated by you, as usual, without the least Judgment, or Regard to Truth and Exactness: Can any one acquainted with the State of our Commerce believe what you lay down, in Substance, that from the Restoration to the Revolution, that is, to the fettled Prohibition of Trade with France, we lost about Two Millions Sterling per Annum in the general Balance of our Trade? Did you consider, Sir, that this was no less a Term than Twenty Eight Years? out of which, if we deduct about Seven Years for the Time the Prohibition continued at the End of King Charles's Reign, there will be left Twenty One Years, which, at Two Million, per Annum, is Forty Two Millions Sterling in the whole; a Sum, Sir, which every Man in his Senses must agree, that we could not possibly lose during that Period.

On the contrary, the Inspector-General, from whom you quote these Accounts, expressly declares, in his first Report, that they deserved no Credit: He says, Page the 25th, "Whatever Over-balance France in particular might have upon

[62]

" upon us, between the Imports from thence and our Exports thither, and admit this Over-balance " to have continued a long time, 'tis evident, be-" yond all Dispute, that England was every Year " a Gainer in its universal Trade; whereof no-" thing can be a stronger Proof than the Mint-" Accounts, Bullion being the true Superlucration in foreign Traffick, and Plenty of Bullion oc-" casioning a great Coinage: And from the Time it " has been suggested that we carried on such a last-" ing and destructive Trade with France, viz. from 1659 to the 21st of December 1688, the "Mint had full Employment, since it appears from the Mint-Rolls, that there was coined at that e Period of Time, In Gold, 6,274,858 I O In Silver, 4,203,628 6 2

In all, f. 10,478,486 7 2

And again, Page the 46th of his first Report, he there delivers these very Accounts which you quote in the following manner,

Anno 1662, Imports, 4,016,019 18 0 to 1663 Exports, 2,022,812 04 0

"The Exports exceed the Imports, 1,993,207 14 0

"Anno 1668, Imports, 4,196,139 17 0 to 1669 Exports, 2,063,274 19 0

ce The Imports exceed the Exports, 2,132,864 18 o

To which he adds, (which is omitted by yourself) "Here you may please to observe, what

[63]

" an Appearance there is of an Excess against us, all the World over, these two Years; in which no Man in his right Senses will deny, but that we carried on a thriving Traffick.

Are you not asham'd, Sir, of quoting Accounts in this unfair Manner, and of producing the Inspector-General as vouching for the Truth of Articles, which he absolutely tells you are quite erroneous?

It appears also from the Description, which he gives himself of the Manner in which he obtained these Accounts, how necessarily they must fhew a great Over-balance against us; For he fays Page the 10th, of the same Report; " All "the Light I have been able to gain into the Trans-" actions of past Times relating to the Exports " and Imports, is from a Manuscript remaining " in the Custom-house; which contains an Abstract " drawn out almost in the same Form as the Ledg-" ers of my Office, are now kept, with the then " Valuations of all the Commodities. But it only " gives an Account of the several Goods and Mer-" chandises of the Growth of England, export-" ed out of the City of London; and an Account " of the several Goods and Merchandises that. " were imported into the said City from Michael-" mas 1662 to Michaelmas 1663; and from " Michaelmas 1668 to Michaelmas 1669; but " it takes no notice of what we properly call the 66 Re-Exports, viz. Foreign Goods and Plantation "Goods, carried to other Countries by Certificate " in Time, or out of Time; whereof Consideration " Should be had in Stating the Balance between the " two Kingdoms."

64

So that it plainly appears, that the Accounts you have quoted from the Inspector-General, are only the Accounts of the Imports into the Port of London, for the Years 1663 and 1669, and of the Exports of our Native Products or Manufactures from the same Port; but not of the Exports of our Plantation Goods, of our East-India Goods, or of any foreign Goods whatever; yet these partial Accounts for the Port of London only, you confidently deliver as authentic Accounts of the general Exports and Imports of the whole Kingdom.

As I have here fully demonstrated your egregious Errors, I shall take upon myself the Trouble of rectifying them, in some measure; and shew plainly to every one, besides yourself, a near Estimate of the Value of our Exports and Imports for the Year ending at Michaelmas, 1663;

1. s. d. Imports into the Port of London, by the Custom-House { 4,016,019 18 0 Books, ----Imports into the Out-Ports, computed at 1 more—

Total Imports 5,354,793 4 0

Exports of Native Products, and Manufactures, from the 2,022,812 4 0 Port of London by the Custom-House Books -

Ex

[65]

Brought over, 2,022,812 4 0 Exports of native Products,) and Manufactures, from the > 674,270 14 8 Out-Ports, at $\frac{1}{3}$ more—) Exports of our Native Products, and Manufactures, 2,697,082 18 8

Exports of Foreign and Plantation - Products, and) Manufactures, computed \$2,697,082 18 8 at the fame Amount.

Total Exports of all Sorts 5,394,175 15 4

It appears that our Exports of Native Commodities to France amounted to 171,021 l. 6s. 8d. by the foregoing Scheme; and that our Exports of Foreign Products and Manufactures, amounted for one Year to 175,921 l. 15 s. 7 d. according to the foregoing List; so that, with Regard to France, the Amount of our Foreign Goods exported thither rather exceeded the Amount of

the Amount of the Exports of Foreign and Native Goods may be laid down to be equal. And the State of our Commerce for the Year 1663, may be thus estimated.

our Native Goods; and it was the same in ge-

neral, or more fo, to other Countries; fo that

Total Amount of Exports, \$5,394,175 15 4 Total Amount of Imports, \ 5,354,793 40

The Exports exceed the 39,382 11 4 Imports by K

[66]

It is probable that our Imports and Exports for this Year were somewhat near this Account, which approaches nearer to the Accounts of our Exports in 1699 and 1703; and that our Imports at this Time exceeded our Imports in 1699 and 1703, is extremely probable, from the great Quantities of French Goods imported upon us in 1663, and excluded in those Periods: But the general Balance of Trade was still at first in our Favour, though not near so confiderably, as afterwards: But I am not fo much attempting in this Place to fix precisely the Amount of our Exports and Imports in 1663, as to point out, Sir, how very unknowing you was in the Particulars you have quoted. Is it not ridiculous to any Gentleman at all versed in the State of our Commerce, to hear you gravely aver, as you do, Page 62, " That our general Trade " was 3,695,528 l. os. 9 d. 3. per Annum so more to our Advantage in the second Period "than in the first." That is, that from 1699 to 1703, we gained almost four Millions Sterling per Annum more than from 1662 to 1669. To deduce which monstrous Affertion is the sole Defign, as you tell us, of your profound Post-SCRIPT.

I shall proceed now to sum up your extraordinary Atchievements and Accomplishments, having already sufficiently expatiated on the Particulars of them; but I think it first necessary to make it seriously appear, that in this Work, if I have treated you with some Severity, I have, however, not departed from Candor; and have only exposed those real Absurdities, which have resulted from the full Strength, and most

[67]

vigorous Efforts of your Genius. As an indisputable Instance of this, I must tell you, that I observed, Page 19, the Description of your second Period of the State of France; which is there expressed to be from 1668 to 1714; during which, fay you, France was exhausting her Strength, and ruining her Commerce. And Page the 25th, you describe her in 1678, and so on to 1683, in the most flourishing Condition, extending her Trade, enlarging her Dominions, and daily increasing in Riches and Power, which evidently contradict, your second Period; I say, Sir, though the Year 1668 is twice repeated in your 19th Page, and though you have given me fuch Specimens of the little Apprehension you have of Contradictions, yet, as you there mention the Continuance of your first Period beyond the Treaty of Nimeguen, and so on to the Commencement of the War; I am willing to allow the Year 1668 to be an Error of the Press, inflead of 1688: In this you must acknowledge I have treated you fairly; and I have been far from being fond of charging you with Guilt, where I have had the least Cause to suppose you had no Malice at Heart.

Having thus acquitted myself from all just Imputation of Want of Candor, you must now suffer me to do Justice to your real and indisputable Merits, however offensive it may be to your Modesty; I congratulate you, Sir, upon the Propriety and Happiness of your Compliments; upon your extraordinary Discernment, and the Distinction you have found between Jewels and Effects; upon the Consistency of K 2 your

[68]

your Accounts; the Loss of all public Credit in France; and at the same time, your Instance of this Credit being accepted both by Subjects and Foreigners; upon the recovering and declining Condition of France, at the same Juncture; upon your Description of Cardinal Fleury, whom you shew to be the wisest, and best Minister in Europe; and at the same time in his Dotage; upon your Scraps of French, and your Skill in Etymologies; upon your Knowledge of the Law, and your Legal Execution of the French Manusactures.

I give you Joy, of your demonstrating the State of a Balance, which you declare at the same time you cannot possibly determine; Of your Meridian of Lewis's Greatness, and of your Golden Period in England; Of your Vis Inertian and Gravitation; and of your accounting for the Newtonian Principles by the Cartesian Vortices; Of your Act for preventing the Importation of the Silk-Manufactures within this Realm; Of your limiting Numbers infinitely great, and fixing a Perpetuum Mobile with glorious Success:

These, Sir, are Accomplishments peculiar to yourself, which you will ever be renowned for; And as you will enjoy the Reputation of them, secure from all Envy, you are in this, Sir, more fortunate yourself than Lewis or Casar.

But it now becomes me to be serious;—As to the Gentleman you address yourself to, He is open, as all other Gentlemen of superior Merit and Rank, to Assaults and Dedications from

[69]

Low Writers; it is a Tax He must patiently fubmit to; and it is owing to the Lustre of his excellent Accomplishments, that He is visible to fuch Authors. Those Proofs He has already given of the most quick Discernment, and clearest Judgment, accompanied with a graceful Eloquence, place him in the first Rank of the young Gentlemen of this Age; and are an Earnest to his Country of what is justly to be expected from his full Maturity. And amongst his excellent Qualities, is not to be forgotten the Sincerity of his Friendship; Which He lately manifested on the most important Occasion; The worthy Sentiments, and manly Reasoning, which He then discovered, will ever be recorded to his own Honour, and to the Honour of his GREAT FRIEND, whom He fo justly, and powerfully vindicated.

I am now drawing near to the Close of this Work; in which, if I have exploded the false Reasonings, and Accounts of the INQUIRER with some Indignation, it has been owing to the just Sense which I have of the Importance of the Subject; a Subject, which I was amaz'd to see enter'd upon by that Author, with such peculiar Weakness and Confidence. If Calculations and Accounts of our own Revenue and Commerce, or of those of neighbouring Nations, begin to be obtruded upon the World, with the fame Levity and Unconcern as Farces and Romances, it is high time that some E_{N-} amples should be made of these bardy Writers; That the just Attention which is paid by Gentlemen of Discernment and Knowledge to Subjects of this fort, may not perpetually be abused; Nor other Gentlemen, less knowing, be shamefully misled in Matters of this Consequence ;

[70]

quence; it being evident, that these Writers, without some timely Correction of their Errantry, will imagine they have sound a new Province to sport in, unknown to their Predecessors.—I have therefore seized this Offender, who seemed to me eminently fearless and hardy; and I have inslicted such Punishment on his Recreant Carcase, as will be useful, I hope, in deterring his Brethren from the same sort of Adventures.

As to the Estimate, which I have myself given of the prefent State of the Commerce of France, if any Gentleman should imagine it too high, I would beg of him to confider that the present Acquisitions to the Commerce of France are in a different Channel from her former Advantages, which confifted chiefly in the Profperity of her Linen and Silk Manufactures; whereas, her present Improvements are in her Woollen Manufactures, her East-India Traffic, and her Plantations, and in the more flourishing State of her Shipping and Sea-Ports, where they are not so easily seen; and fall little under the Obfervation of those who make the Tour of France, tho' at the same time they easily remark the Declenfion of Lyons and Tours, where the Silk Manufactures formerly prospered.

Gentlemen who confider this Variation in the Commerce of France; who have observed the flourishing State of her Sea-Ports, and the present Increase of her Shipping; As I have with some Attention in a three Years continuance in that Kingdom, will readily agree to my Assertion; That the Balance of Commerce, in favour of France, is not less than it was before the Revolution, but rather increased; though the Effects of it, I admit, are less generally spread upon the Inland Parts of that Kingdom.

But

[71]

But this Alteration in the Position of her Wealth, is fo far from diminishing her Strength, that it is directed to those Parts wherein it can be most hurtful to her Neighbours, particularly Great Britain; And at the same time the French Court is less liable to Complaints from the People; Who were formerly subject to extreme Distress, when they were collected in great Numbers in the Inland Trading Cities, and depended entirely upon the Export of their Manufactures abroad; THEN upon any Prohibition in foreign Countries, they were reduc'd to the utmost Mifery. But the People of France are AT PRESENT less liable to be distressed in this manner; and in case of a War with any of her Neighbours, the Resources of the French Crown are as great as ever, and the Complaints of the People will be less than formerly.

And though it is certain, that the Power and Strength of *Britain* are now greater than ever, particularly our Naval Force, which is increas'd to an amazing height by the Wisdom and Care of the late Ministry; yet, how far it may be expedient for us to engage in a War upon the *Continent*, will require to be very deliberately weigh'd; and ought to be supported by much better Arguments, than the *Huzza's* of the People of *Ostend*, upon the Landing of the *British* Regiments.

At the same time I am sensible it is highly requisite, that we should assist her Hungarian Majesty with Supplies of Money, with which she will be able very powerfully to vindicate her own Cause: and whilst we continue this Method

[72]

Method of Affistance, she will be obliged to exert to the utmost the Strength of her own Countries.

Under this Method we can easily proportion our Assistance to the Necessity of the Service; and can at any time diminish, or withdraw it, as we shall see requisite: But we shall find Ourselves more strictly ty'd down to a War upon the Continent, if once we engage in it; and it may justly be seared we shall meet with great Dissibilities, in quitting it again with Honour to the Nation.

In short, it is certain that the Power of the French King over his People, is now as abfolute as ever; that he has now plenty of Men for his Armies and Garrisons, is not to be deny'd; and that his People enjoy a very flourishing Commerce at present, has been made apparent; nor are they. fo liable, as formerly, to fall into immediate Distress by the Calamities of a War. There can then be no doubt of the powerful Efforts which France is now capable of making; how far it may be prudent to put her upon making them, deserves to be coolly consider'd; When it is evident, that all that we can expect by it, is only, by submitting to some Difficulties Ourselves, to lay the common People of France under greater; which THEY will patiently submit to, while WE shall be very uneasy.