12-10

Α

S T A T E

OF THE

BRITISH SUGAR - COLONY

TRADE

SHEWING,

That an Additional Duty of Twelve Shillings per 112 Pounds Weight may be laid upon BROWN or MUSCOVADO SUGAR (and proportionably higher Duties upon Sugar refined before imported) without making Sugar dearer in this Kingdom than it hath been of late Years, and without Distressing the British Sugar-Planters; for their Profits will then be Twice as much Money per Acre of Land, as the Landholders of England receive for their Estates.

All which Matters are plainly made appear, and the vast Losses which this Kingdom hath suffered by the Sugar-Colony Trade, within Thirty Years last past, are particularly pointed out.

Most humbly submitted to the Consideration of THE HONOURABLE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

By J. Massie.

LONDON:

Printed for T. PAYNE, in Castle-Street, Charing-Cross;

Sold by

W. Shropshire, in New Bond-street;

W. Owen, at Temple-Bar;

C. Henderson, at the Royal-Exchange.

MDCCLIX.

(Price One Shilling.)

[1]

A STATE of the EXPORTS to and IMPORTS from the BRITISH Sugar-Colonies.

THE ANNUAL EXPORTS.

HE Value of the British and Foreign Commodities and Manufactures exported from Great Britain to the British Sugar-Colonies, upon a Medium of Four Years from Christmas 1728 to Christmas 1732, was per Annum as followeth—to Jamaica £147,675:2:3\frac{3}{4}—to Barbadoes £85,780:15:7—to the Leeward Islands £69,410:15:9\frac{1}{4}—in all £302,866:13:8

as appears by a Representation from the Commissioners for Trade and Plantations, to the Right Honourable the House of Lords, bearing date 14th January 173\frac{4}{4}.

The Value of the Commodities and Manufactures exported from Ireland to America, including all the British Colonies there, upon a Medium of Eight Years from 1719 to 1726, was per Annum £87,857:19:847/64 Irish Money, which amounts in English Money to about

as appears by an Account formed from the Custom-House Books of Ireland by Arthur Dobbs, Esq; and inserted in his Essay on the Trade of that Kingdom, Part 1st, Page 59.

The annual supply of Negroes from Africa, for the Cultivation of Sugar in the British Colonies, is computed, by the late John Ashley, Esq; to be Six Thousand at £25 sterling per Head; and as the said Mr. Ashley hath afferted in the Supplement to his Memoirs, Page 10, &c. that the Duties on Sugar and on the Sugar-Planters, amount to Ten Shillings in the Pound on the Nett

00,000

Profits

ADVERTISEMENT.

S this State of the *British* Sugar-Colony Trade requires more Time to complete, than I expected it would take, and the Want of the Remainder is in some Measure supplied by the Calculations and Observations contained in a supplemental Half-Sheet hereunto annexed, and printed for that Purpose about a Fortnight ago; I think it necessary to have this Part of the said State published, that all Persons interested therein may have timely Opportunity of knowing what it contains.

J. Massie. 6th Feb. 1759.

[2]	
Profits of their Negroes, Utenfils, and Land, although, in my Dpinion, they do not really pay any Duties at all; it may well be imagined that this and feveral of the following Articles,	£
which are taken from Mr. Afbley's Computations, do much fa- your the Planters.—To this Article I shall add for 1000 Negroes, as a yearly Supply to keep up the Stock of Negroes who are em- ployed in the Culture of Ginger, Coffee, Cotton, Indico, &c. or in	
celling, &c. of Wood for Exportation to Great Britain; which will make in all, 7000 Negroes at £25	175,000
The Value of the annual Supplies of Salt-Fish, Lumber, Timber, Tobacco, Rice, Corn, Horses, and other Necessaries exported from the British North American Colonies to the British Sugar Colonies, as computed by the said Mr. Ashley at £160,000—to which I shall add £25,000 to answer the Addition I have made for 1000	0
Negroes in all	185,000
For Freight, Insurance, and Commission at Ten per Cent. on £380,000—the Value of the annual Exports from Great Britain and Ireland to the Sugar-Colonies, £38,000—and for British Merchants Profits on such of the said Exports as are not shipped	
on the Sugar-Planters own Account £22,000 in all	60,000
For Wines from Madeira, as computed by Mr. Bennett, a well-known Advocate for the Planters	80,000
The Total Value of those annual Exports, according to this State, is	880,000
The ANNUAL IMPORTS from the British Sugar- into Great Britain, North America, &c.	Colonies
75,000 Hogsheads of Sugar, of 1500 Pounds nett Weight each, in the Sugar-Colonies (that being a less Quantity than was annually imported in the 4 Years, for which the British Exports are here inserted) at Six Shillings Sterling per 100 lb.; which, and not 112 lb. is the Hundred Weight there	
Note Brown or Muscovado Sugar is computed to waste One-Tenth Part, upon an Average, in bringing from the West Indies to Great Britain; so that a Hogshead of Sugar, which weighed 1500 lb. there, will weigh but 1350 lb. here; and is to be rated at only 12 Cent. lb. of 112 Pounds each.	
	₽py
the first than the contract of	

。"秦"(秦文):"主是君对朱代君是"(1275)"大利(1387)等(1375)对《西山山山

L 3 J	
Add the Difference of Value between Clayed and Muscovado Sugars, upon 7,500 Hogsheads of 12 Cent. lb. each, at 10 Shillings per Cent. lb.; that being the annual Quantity of Sugar clayed, and the increased Value thereof, according to Mr. Ashley's Computation	£
50,000 Hogsheads of Rum and Melasses, of 100 Gallons each in the Sugar-Colonies; being the Quantity produced when 75,000 Hogsheads of Sugar are made, as computed by Mr. Asbley; and are by him valued at Six Pounds Sterling per Hogshead, in the Places of Production	•
Ginger, Coffee, Aloes, Cotton, Indico, Mahogany, &c	100,000
The Produce of British, &c. Commodities and Manufactures exported to Jamaica, and from thence sent to the Spanish Settlements, or bartered for Logwood, amounting in Value here to above £00,000; as appears by the British Exports to Jamaica exceeding the like Exports to Barbadoes to that Amount, although the latter Island then had above twice as many white Inhabitants as the former; and therefore the said Produce in Money, Logwood, &c. may well be above	-), ; -
The Total Value, according to this State, of those annual Im ports, rating Muscovado Sugar at only 6s. per 100 lb. is .	. 902,500
Which Sum over-ballanceth all the Exports to the British lonies, as here stated, about £20,000; and I believe that which the British Sugar-Planters gain by selling of Negroes for	the Pronts

and French Settlements, do amount to as much more.

But I shall not reckon upon either of these Articles, in what I have to say concerning this State, as there ought to be an Allowance in sayour of those Planters, to make good the Money gained from them by *Physicians*, Surgeons, Lawyers, Tradesmen, Hired-Servants, &c. residing in the Sugar-Colonies; and I am persuaded that the said Over-Ballance only, is sufficient to repay such Money, as very sew of the People who go from hence to those Colonies, in the above-named Capacities, do return to this Kingdom, much less, bring back Fortunes of their acquiring in the Sugar-Colonies. Colonies.

-	-
1	 ı
L	 . 1

n ACCOUNT of the Duties, Freight, and other Charges on Brown or Muscovado Sugar of the British Colonies imported into Great Britain as computed by Mr. Ashley, on 10 Hogsheads of 12 Cent. lb. each.
neads of 12 Cent. lb. each.

British Duties on 120 Cent. lb. at 3s. 6d deducting	\mathcal{L} S D
5 per Cent. Discount. Bill-Money, Primage, and Post Lighterage and Wharfage 9d. Porterage 10d. Cooperage	19:19: : 3:6
Land-Waiters 3d. Cartage 6d. Pierage 1 ¹ d is 10 ¹ d per	1:-:10
Ware-house Rent 6 Weeks, at 2d. per Hooshead per Week	-: 8: 9 -: 15:-
Freight of 120 Cent. lb. at 3s. 6d. Commission and Brokerage, 3 per Cent. on £120, the Produce of the Sugar, at 20s. per Cent. lb.	21:-:-
initialities on 1.90, at 4 per Cent. and Policy 4s. 6d	3:12:— 3:16:6
The Total as computed by Mr. Ashley, is	50:15: 7
Add the Duty of $4\frac{1}{2}$ per Cent. (in Barbadoes and the Leeward Islands, but not in Jamaica) which for 10 Hogsheads of Sugar, each weighing 1500 lb. Nett there, amounts to 675 lb.; and that Quantity of Muscovado Sugar, at 105. Sterling per 100 lb. the general Price for many Years, makes the said Duty amount to	3: 7: 6
The Total of the Duties, Freight, and Charges, on 10 Hogsheads of Muscovado Sugar. to the Year 1747, was	54: 3: 1
Add, the Subfidy laid in the Year 1747, being 15. 6d. per 112 Pounds, without Discount; which for 10 Hogsheads is	
The Total of the present Du-ton to Hhas of to Cout 1h	9:-:-
ties, Freight, and other Char- ges on Muscovado Sugar, is on 1 Cent.lb 112 Pounds	63: 3: I 6: 6: $3\frac{7}{10}$
covado Sugar, including the	$-: 10: 6\frac{3}{10}$
Proportion of Charge for on 10 Hhds, of 12 Ct. here Maintenance of the Planter's on 1 Hhd	45:-:-
Family, and the Loss by On 1 Gent. lb 112 Pounds Waste in bringing from the W. Indies to Great Britain, are	4:10:— —: 7:6

An ABSTRACT of the present Duties, and Charges on British Muscovado Sugar imported into this Kingdom.

Charges of Production
Planter's Maintenance and Lofs by Waste.

On 1 Hhd . 12 Cent. lb. . . £ 4: 10: — . . . £ 6: 6: $3\frac{7}{10}$. . £ 0: 16: $3\frac{7}{10}$ On 1 Cent. lb. 112 Pounds . . £—: 7: 6 . . £—: 10: $6\frac{3}{10}$. . £—: 18: $-\frac{3}{10}$

By the preceding State of Exports and Imports, it appears, that the low Price of Six Shillings Sterling per 100 Pounds Weight for Muscovado Sugar in the British Sugar-Colonies, is fully sufficient to ballance their general Account with Great Britain, Ireland, and the British North American Colonies.

By the foregoing Account of all the Duties and Charges on Muscovado Sugar imported from the British Sugar-Colonies into Great Britain, it appears, that the Price of fo: 18: 0 3 for 112 Pounds of such Sugar here, is the corresponding or equivalent Price to fo: 6: 0 Sterling per 100 Pounds there. And consequently,

When the British Sugar Planters receive either Lo: 6: 0 Sterl. per 100 Pounds for their Muscovado Sugar in the British Sugar-Colonies, or Lo: 18: 030 per 112 Pounds in Great Britain, after they have paid all the before-mentioned Duties and Charges thereon, their general Account with Great Britain, Ireland and the British North American Colonies, is then even and quit.

But there is an After-Account with the British Sugar-Colonies, of which it is necessary to make a State, as the British Dominions thereby suffer great Losses which do not appear by a general Comparison of the Value of the Exports with that of the Imports.

LOSS to the British Dominions by the Consumption of East-India, &c. Manufactures in the British Sugar-Colonies.

The Inhabitants of Jamaica, Barbadoes and the Leeward Islands, instead of confining themselves to British Commodities and Manufactures, do yearly consume East-India and other foreign Manufactures, &cc. to the Amount of about One Hundred and Forty Thousand Pounds Sterling, as valued here; and the said Manufactures, though purchased chiefly with Money, are exchanged in the British Sugar-Colonies for Sugar, Rum, and other Commodities consumed here; so that the British Dominions thereby lose all the said Money, which may reasonably be computed at Eighty Thousand Pounds a Year.

B LOSS

LOSS to the British Dominions, by the fraudulent Importation of Sugar and other foreign West-India Produce into the British Sugar-Colonies.

As to this fraudulent Trade, there is the following Paragraph concerning it, in a Pamphlet written by William Perrin, Eig; upon the State of the British and French Sugar-Colonies, and printed for T. Cooper, in the Year 1740.

" It is pretty well known, and may but too well be made appear, that " the French and others have found Means, by a Trade with fome of our " Islands, to introduce among them at least Fifteen Thousand Hogsheads of " Sugar yearly, which have been afterwards shipped to Great Britain as " English Produce." Page 18.

Several other late Advocates for the Sugar-Planters mention this Affair.

Now if such fraudulent Trade had been carried on with British Commodities, the British Dominions would not have lost much by it, for the Loss would then have been mostly confined to some of the British Sugar-Planters; but there is an Account in the Representation from the Commissioners for Trade and Plantations, before-mentioned, which too plainly shews that the said illicit Trade was carried on by Way of the Leeward Islands, with British Money; for the annual Imports into Great Britain from those Islands, upon a Medium of 4 Years from 1728 to 1732, amounted to £642,269: 9:6; whereas the annual Exports from Great Britain to the faid Islands, during that Time, came to only £69,410:15:91, which is but One-Ninth Part of the Value of their Imports: fo that the before-mentioned contraband Importation must have been of much greater Extent than 15,000 Hogsheads of Sugar yearly.

For according to other Accounts in that Representation, the British Exports to Barbadoes were to the Imports from thence, nearly in the Proportion of One to Three; and those of Jamaica as One to Four; the latter of which Proportions being most favourable for the Leeward Islands, in this Case, I shall make it the Standard; and that will account for their annual Imports being worth about £280,000; but there will then remain £360,000 a Year to be accounted for.

And I cannot account for that Sum otherwise than by there having been fuch great Quantities of French Sugars, and other foreign West-India Produce, fraudulently imported into the British Leeward Islands, as, when brought into this Kingdom, were here estimated to be worth £360,000; from which Sum I shall deduct One-Third Part for Profits to those British Subjects who bought the same of the French, &c. and then there will re[7]

main £240,000 a Year; whereof there was recovered by the Re-exportation of Sugar from Great Britain to foreign Countries, about £100,000; fo that the real Loss to the British Dominions, by the before-mentioned fraudulent Trade, during those 4 Years, seems to have been One Hundred and Forty Thousand Pounds per Annum.

LOSS to the British Dominions by the fraudulent Importation and Consumption of foreign Manufactures in the British Sugar-Colonies.

In a Pamphlet that was published in the Year 1733, by an Advocate for the Sugar-Planters, there is an Account of the Value of the Exports from Great Britain to the British Sugar-Colonies, in Twelve Years from Christmas 1714 to Christmas 1726; and according to that Account, the faid Exports amounted in those 12 Years, to Five Millions Two Hundred Sixty four Thousand One Hundred and Eight Pounds and Six Pence; which is per Annum, upon an Average £438,675:13:43

But according to Accounts of the like Exports, for 4 Years from Christmas 1728 to Christmas 1732 (inferted in the before-mentioned Representation from the Board of Trade) the medium annual Value of them

302,866:12:8

So that the yearly Decrease in the said Exports, ac-

Now this Decrease, whether more or less than is here represented, cannot be accounted for by any Decrease in the British Sugar-Colonies from 1728 to 1732; for in those 4 Years much greater Quantities of Sugar were imported from thence into Great Britain, than ever were brought here from those Colonies, in any 4 Years, either before or since that Time: And as feveral Advocates for the Sugar-Planters, have not only acknowledged that there then was an illicit Trade carried on between the British Sugar-Colonies and those of other Nations, but the vast Disproportion between the Exports to and Imports from the British Leeward Islands doth plainly shew that the said Trade was of great Extent at that Time; the most natural, and in Appearance, the true Way of accounting for this great Decrease in the Exports from Great Britain to the British Sugar-: Colonies, is, by the Inhabitants of those Colonies consuming vast Quantities of French and other foreign Manufactures there imported with foreign Sugars, or by other Means.

Nor hath fuch fraudulent Importation been confined to the British Leeward Islands only; for it appears by a Pamphlet called "The State

"of the Island of Jamaica, &c." published in the Year 1726, that the same Sort of unlawful Trade in foreign Manusactures was then carried on there, by Means of foreign Ships, under Pretence of their wanting Wood and Water; for the purchasing of which Necessaries, Licenses were granted to the Commanders of such Ships, and they, under Colour of supplying their pretended Wants, sold foreign Manusactures, &c. to the Inhabitants, who generally paid for the same in Money.

GAIN to the British Dominions by the Re-exportation of Sugar to foreign Countries, in 4 Years from 1728 to 1732.

I have already computed this Gain to be One Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year, which I was enabled to do by the Help of a public Account; and I have under-rated the Loss arising from the fraudulent Importation of French Sugars, &c. into the British Sugar-Colonies £100,000 per Annum, in Consideration of this Gain; so that it is already accounted for by that Means.

GAIN to the British Dominions by the Re-exportation of Cotton, Ginger, and other British Sugar-Colony Produce.

The feveral Commodities comprised under this Head, are valued in the State of Imports from the British Sugar-Colonies, at £100,000 a Year, which I am inclined to believe was not far wide of their real Value; and confidering the great Confumption of fuch Commodities in this Kingdom, I think that not more than One-Fourth Part of them was re-exported from hence, either in their natural State, or wrought into Manufactures; but that ½ Part, when re-exported from Great Britain, may well be valued at double what it is rated according to the Estimation thereof in the Sugar-Colonies, without reckoning for the British manufacture of the same; I shall therefore rate the Gain arising from the Re-exportation of the aforefaid Commodities at Fifty Thousand Pounds a Year, though it may be considerably more or less for any Thing certainly known to me.

SAVING to the British Dominions by the Consumption of British Rum instead of French Brandy.

The Quantity of Rum supposed by Mr. Ashley to have been annually imported into Great Britain about the Year 1740, was 5,000 Hogsheads, or 500,000 Gallons; and upon looking into a Manuscript Account of Rum and Brandy imported into this Kingdom, I find that his Supposition in this Respect is not far remote from Truth; I shall therefore rate the British Consumption of Rum at Five Hundred Thousand Gallons a Year, for the Four Years from 1728 to 1732 inclusive; and this will make the annual Saving by the Nonconsumption of so much French Brandy, at One Shilling per Gallon, to be Twenty-five Thousand Pounds.

[9]

An ABSTRACT of the After-Account between Great Britain, &c. and the British Sugar-Colonies for 4 Years from Christmas 1728 to Christmas 1732.

Annual LOSS to the British Dominions	£	£
By East-India, &c. Manufactures exported from this Kingdom to the Sugar-Colonies	80,000	
By French-Sugars, &c. fraudulently imported into those Colonies, after deducting for Sugars re-	•	
exported	140,000	*
By Foreign Manufactures fraudulently imported into		
the faid Colonies	130,000	
The Total of the Annual Loss		350,000
Annual GAIN to the British Don	ninions	
Annual GAIN to the British Don By Sugars re-exported from this Kingdom to foreign	ninions	
Annual GAIN to the British Dom By Sugars re-exported from this Kingdom to foreign Countries £100,000, accounted for before	ninions	
By Sugars re-exported from this Kingdom to foreign Countries £100,000, accounted for before. By Ginger, Cotton, &c. re-exported	50,000	
By Sugars re-exported from this Kingdom to foreign Countries £100,000, accounted for before		
By Sugars re-exported from this Kingdom to foreign Countries £100,000, accounted for before. By Ginger, Cotton, &c. re-exported	50,000	75,000
By Sugars re-exported from this Kingdom to foreign Countries £100,000, accounted for before. By Ginger, Cotton, &c. re-exported By confuming British Rum instead of French Brandy	50,000	75,000 275,000

And as to the Loss that *Great Britain* in particular then fuffered by her Sugar-Colony Trade, it appears to me to have been so amazingly great, that I should not have ventured to publish any State of the Account, if the principal Articles therein had not been vouched for by public Accounts and public Authority; but fince the said Articles are so vouched, and the Distribution thereunto annexed accounts for the *Money* so lost, or at least shews, that there was some such vast Loss brought upon this Kingdom by the aforesaid Means, I cannot hesitate a Moment about publishing an Account, that is, in the Main, as well supported as any Accounts of the like Sort have been.

C

A STATE

[10]

A STATE of the Trade between Great Britain and the British Sugar-Colonies, for four Years from Christmas 1728 to Christmas 1732.

The Value of the Commodities imported into Great Britain from the British Sugar-Colonies, upon an annual Medium, within the Time of this Account, was per Annum as followeth—from Jamaica £539,499:18:32—from Barbadoes £246,599:13:104 - from the Leeward Islands £642,269:9:64 —in all £1,428,369: 1:8 as appears by a Reprefentation from the Commissioners 1,425,009 for Trade and Plantations to The Right Honourable The House of Lords, bearing Date 14th January 1735. The Value of the Commodities and Manufactures exported from Great Britain to the British Sugar-Colonies, upon an annual Medium, within the Time of this Account, was £302,866:13:8 per Annum, as appears by the faid Representation . . . From which deduct, the Loss by foreign Manufactures, &c. in those Exports as before stated . . . 80,000 And there will remain for British Commodities, Manufactures, Labour, &c. The Freight, Insurance, Commission, and British Merchants Profits on the British and Irish Exports to the Sugar-Colonies, are rated in the State of Exports 60,000 175,000 The Half of £80,000 for Wines from the Madeira Islands, as rated in that State, is The Gain to Great Britain by the Re-exportation of Sugar and other Commodities, as also by the British Consumption of Rum instead of French Brandy, is rated in the After-Account with the Sugar-Colonies, at 175,000

These several Articles amount per Annum to 670,000 And this seems to me to have been the whole annual Sum that Great Britain either paid, by Commodities, Manufactures, Freight, Insurance, &c. or recovered, by the Re-exportation of Sugar and other Commodities, &c. toward discharging the annual Debt of £1,425,000 to her Sugar-Colonies.

If therefore it cannot be made appear, that the Imports from the *British* Sugar-Colonies were of less Value, or that the *British* Means of paying for the same, by Commodities, &c. were greater than are here specified; it will undeniably follow.

&c. were greater than are here specified; it will undeniably follow,
That during these Four Years, Great Britain lost by her
Sugar-Colonies, no less per Annum than

[11]

A DISTRIBUTION of the Sum of One	Million 428 Thou-
fand 369 Pounds 1 Shilling and 8 Pence,	at which the annual
Imports from the British Sugar-Colonies, w	ithin the Time of the
preceding Account, were valued, as is there	mentioned.
The Value of those Imports was	per Annum

preceding Account, were valued, as is there	mentioned	
The Value of those Imports was Whereof there belonged to <i>Great Britain</i> , viz.	· · · £	per Annum 1,428,369
For British Exports, as per Representation from		
the Board of Trade	(302,866	
For Freight, &c. of British and Irish Exports, as		
per State of Exports	60,000	
For 7000 Negroes at £25 each, as per Ditto For Half of £80,000 for Wines from Madeira,	175,000	
as per Ditto	40,000	
as per Ditto		11 1
belonged to Great Britain, for British Exports,	er beritari	
Freight, Profits, &c. was		577,866
and consequently, according to this Distribution,		
The Balance due from Great Britain upon the Sugar-	. '	
Colony Trade was		850,503
Whereof there belonged to Ireland,		
For Irish Exports, as per Mr. Dobbs's Account,		
from the Custom-House Books	80,000	
Whereof there belonged to the British North Ameri-		1. 1. 1
can Colonies, viz.		
For Provisions, Lumber, &c. as per		
State of Exports £185,000		Addish to be
Of which, there might be paid for in		
Sugar, Rum, Melasses, &c 125,000		
And in Money	60,000	
For half of £80,000 for Wines from Madeira, as	00,000	
per State of Exports	40,000	
Whereof there belonged to the French, &c. viz.	40,000	
_		-
For Sugars and other foreign West-India Produce		
fraudulently imported into the British Sugar-Co-	0.40.000	
lonies, as before computed	240,000	
For foreign Manufactures, &c. fraudulently im-		
ported and confumed in those Colonies, as already	140,000	
estimated	130,000	
Together	·	550,000
So that, according to this Distribution, the Nett I	Ballance or	
clear annual Gain to the British Sugar-Planters, was	• • •	£300,503
		Τ

[12]

From hence it appears very plain, that the Loss to Great Britain by the Sugar-Colony Trade, within the Time of this Account, must have been some such vast Sum as Seven, Six, or at least Five Handred Thousand Pounds a Year; and in order to bring that Loss so low as £500,000 per Annum, it must be supposed that the annual Imports from the Sugar-Colonies are valued in the Custom-House Accounts at £250,000 more than the Planters received for the same, clear of Duties, Freight, &c.

It must likewise be supposed, that the Inhabitants of Jamaica, Barbadoes, and the Leeward Islands, did not gain much Money by their Plantations at that Time; for if the Value of those Imports be reduced £250,000, the Planters Profits will, in that Case, be so much less: And when it is considered, that the general Prices of Sugar were very low in this Kingdom during the Years 1729, 30, 31 and 32, and that there were loud Complaints of Distress among the Sugar-Planters, those Suppositions cannot reasonably be objected against.

But I must at the same Time observe, that the Distress of which the Sugar-Planters then complained, is a strong Indication, if not a Proof, that the fraudulent Trade by them carried on, was as extensive as I have computed it to have been; for admitting that their Imports were over-valued in the Custom-House Acounts £250,000 per Annum, there was then £600,000 a Year due to them from this Kingdom, instead of £850,000 as stated in the preceding Distribution of the Sum at which those Imports were valued.

Out of which annual Ballance of £600,000 the Sugar-Planters had not quite £200,000 to pay for Commodities and Manufactures fent from Ireland, or from the British North American Colonies, to the Sugar-Colonies, according to the said Distribution; so that there remained Four Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year, either for the British Sugar-Planters, or for the Foreigners with whom they carried on a fraudulent Trade; and as the Former were distressed, it is plain that the Latter must have had most of that vast annual Sum of Money.

I might very well have excused myself from making a supposititious Reduction of this national Loss, as the foregoing State thereof is founded and most of it determined either by authenticated public Accounts, or by Calculations made on the Part of the Sugar-Planters; but my Intention is, to make a just Representation of these Matters, according to the best of my Judgment; and as, in this Instance, there appeared to me good Reasons for deviating from those authenticated public Accounts, 1 have not scrupled to do it, upon the present Occasion.

All that remains on this Head, is, to confider how much Money hath been carried out of *Great Britain*, and how much out of the *British* Dominions, by Means of the *British* Sugar-Colony Trade, within Thirty Years past; for as to making an exact Computation of the Money so carried away and lost, it is a Thing quite out of my Power; and therefore I

[i3]

shall content myself with shewing how much the said Loss doth in all Probability amount to.

The Loss by East-India, &c. Manufactures exported from this Kingdom to the British Sugar-Colonies, is constant and certain; and therefore I shall rate it, as I have before done, at £80,000 per Annum; which amounts, in 30 Years, to Two Millions Four Hundred Thousand Pounds.

As to the Loss by French and other foreign Manufactures fraudulently imported into the British Sugar-Colonies; when it is considered, that the Motive to carrying on such Trade was Gain, there will appear but little if any Reason for thinking that those unlawful Dealings have been of less Extent since the Time of this Account, than they appear, by the beforestated Decrease in the British Exports, to have been for 4 Years from 1728 to 1732; that is to say, above £130,000 a Year; however, I shall rate this Loss at only £100,000 per Annum, which, in 30 Years, amounts to Three Millions of Pounds.

The fraudulent Trade in French, &c. Sugars feems to have been highest in the 4 Years above-mentioned; and I much question, whether any such Trade was carried on between the Time of the last Peace and the Beginning of the present War; but be that as it may, I shall rate the Loss thereby caused, at only £50,000 a Year for the whole Time, which will make the Amount thereof, in 30 Years, to be One Million Five Hundred Thousand Pounds; though I believe it comes to a greater Sum.

To these Losses must be added a fourth Loss, which was primarily caused by the great Consumption of Rum in this Kingdom; for that put the British Sugar Planters upon distilling such great Quantities of Melasses, as not to leave a sufficiency thereof to supply the Demands of the British North-American Colonies; and this obliged the Sugar-Planters to pay Money for a considerable Proportion of the Provisions, Lumber, &c. wherewith they were supplied from New-England and other Northern Colonies; of which the Inhabitants of Barbadoes complained in their Petition to his Majesty, and farther said, that the Money so paid was exported to foreign Colonies, to purchase the very same Sorts of Goods as the Northern Colony People used to supply themselves with in the British Sugar-Colonies.

So that the Money which feems to be faved to this Kingdom, by the Confumption of British Rum instead of French Brandy, is not a real Saving; since what the French lose by not felling so much Brandy in Great Britain, is made up to them by the British Money they receive for Melasses: and this Loss is to be estimated at double that Saving, upon equal Quantities of Rum and Brandy, because the former costs this Nation about Two Shillings per Gallon, and the latter costs but One Shilling a Gallon: for which reason, instead of reckoning only £25,000 a Year, as I have before done for Rum, in the After-Account with the Sugar Colonies, this Loss is to be rated at £50,000 per Annum, which amounts in 30 Years, to One Million Five Hundred Thousand Pounds.

D

An

[14]

An	A I	BST	RA (TC	of	the	befor	e-mer	ntioned	Losses,	in	Thirty
									to 175			•

	Pounds Sterling
By East-India, &c. Manufactures exported from Great Britain to the British Sugar-Colonies	2,400,000
those Colonies	3,000,000
Colonies	1,500,000
North-American Colonies	1,500,000
The Total of those Losses, according to my Computation, is	8,400,000
From which deduct, the Gain by British Sugar-Colony Commodities re-exported, and the Saving by the British Consumption of Rum instead of French Brandy, amounting to £75,000 per Annum, as already stated in the Aster-Account with the British Sugar-Colonies, which in 30	
Years comes to	

As to the Losses which Great Britain in particular suffered by the Sugar-Colony Trade, within these Thirty Years, they much exceed the ultimate Loss to the British Dominions in general; for all the Money so lost, is paid by this Kingdom: of which any Person may be convinced, by considering that the Ballance which the Sugar-Planters from Time to Time receive on their Trade with England, is the Fund by which they pay for all the Commodities, &c. elsewhere bought by them with Money.

The Specie which the British North American Colonies receive for Provisions, Lumber, &c. fold in the British Sugar-Colonies, and all the French Sugars, Manufactures, &c. fraudulently imported there, are paid for out of the Money received from this Nation; fo that none of those vast Losses do in the least affect the British Sugar-Planters, excepting in particular Cases; for the British Wealth which they dissipate by those several Means, is constantly replaced by other Wealth received from their Mother-Country.

The Sugar-Planters do undoubtedly fave a confiderable Sum of Money yearly, by confuming such vast Quantities of East-India, French, &c. Manufactures, instead of confining themselves to wear and use no other than British Manufactures; but such Consumption of foreign Manufactures makes at least Twenty Thousand Paupers in this Kingdom, whose Maintenance costs the People of England about One Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year, and is ultimately paid by the Landholders.

[15]

Nor are the Payment and Loss of that *Money* the only Things to be considered; for the great Increase of Poors-Rates thereby occasioned, operates very prejudicially upon every Branch of the Trade of this Nation, by making our Manusactures dearer: so that all the *Money* which the *British* Sugar-Colony Trade causes to be carried out of the *British* Dominions, is not only so much real Loss to *Great Britain* in particular, but is attended with the additional Losses which are here mentioned.

As to the Ballance which Ireland gains by the British American Colonies, and chiefly by the Sugar-Colonies, it is to be considered, that the People of the said Kingdom are happily in Possession of ample and increasing Means to pay for all the Commodities and Manusactures which they purchase from England, as appears by Mr. Dobbs's Account formed from their Custom-House Books; for according to those Accounts, the Ballance on the Trade between the two Kingdoms, including the Exports from Ireland to America, upon a Medium of Eight Years from 1719 to 1726, was full Seventy Thousand Pounds a Year against England; and since that Time the English Consumption of Irish Linen Cloth hath undoubtedly been very much upon the Increase.

It is likewise probable, that the Consumption of French Sugar in Ireland, hath been very great within Twenty Years last past, as the Quantity of such Sugar there consumed in the Year 1724, which Mr. Dobbs hath taken for a medium Year, is valued by him at Ten Thousand Pounds; although British Sugar might then, and for Ten Years after, have been bought at reasonable Prices: so that considering the exorbitant Prices at which British Sugars have been generally kept for 20 Years past, and the moderate Prices of French Sugars, it would not be at all surprizing to hear, that the People of Ireland have, within that Time, wholly or mostly supplied themselves with Sugars from France; especially as the Irish Duties on foreign Sugars are very moderate.

The following Account of the Duties upon Sugars imported into Ireland, was taken from a Book of Rates compiled by Mr. James Fleming, and printed at Dublin, in the Year 1741.

Nett Duties per 112 Pounds

Nett Duties per 112 Pounds

Loaf refined in White Muscovado

England

On Sugars of the English Plantations
imported from Great Britain . . . £0:12:3 \frac{7}{20} £0:7:0 \frac{18}{20} £0:1:7 \frac{17}{20}

On Sugars foreign, or imported from
any foreign Place £1:0:7 \frac{3}{20} £0:3:9 \frac{7}{20}

So that in a round Way of reckoning, foreign refined Sugars are charged with about Ten Shillings per Hundred Weight more, and foreign Muscovado Sugars with about Two Shillings per Hundred more, than is paid

[16]

paid for the like Sorts of British Sugars imported from Great Britain; which higher Duties on foreign Sugars imported into Ireland, were nearly sufficient to secure to the British Sugar-Planters, the supplying of that Kingdom with Sugar, so long as they sold it at moderate Prices; but this is what they have not done for Twenty Years past.

It may therefore be reasonably imagined, that the French have in a great Measure supplied the People of Ireland with Sugars, during the Time that British Sugars have been kept at immoderate Prices, to the great Detriment of both these Kingdoms; for the annual Consumption of Sugar in Ireland, above Thirty Years ago, is valued by Mr. Dobbs at more than Sixty Thousand Pounds; and as the Consumption of Tea is greatly increased fince that Time, the Consumption of Sugar hath undoubtedly kept Pace with it.

Now though it possibly might have been a Doubt, whether the £80,000, a Year which Ireland gained by the British Sugar-Colonies, would have been a real Loss to this Kingdom, if it had remained in the other; yet, the British Sugar-Planters seem to have made it a Loss to both Kingdoms; for it is well known, that the British Sugar-Colonies contain Land enough to produce Twice the Quantity of Sugar annually, that hath ever been yearly produced there; and yet no more Sugar is brought from thence, than can be fold in Great Britain at exorbitant Prices.

From whence it evidently followeth, that all the Losses which the British Dominions have suffered by the Consumption of French or other foreign Sugars, either in Great Britain, Ireland, or the British North American Colonies, are chargeable to our Sugar-Planters; and therefore I shall place to their Account, till the Matter is better ascertained by proper Authority, the Loss of One Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year to this Kingdom in particular, and to the British Dominions in general, by French, &c. Sugars consumed in Ireland, or in the British North American Colonies, within Twenty Years past; which comes to Two Millions of Pounds.

The Loss to Great Britain by not selling about Twenty Thousand Hogsheads of British Sugar yearly in foreign Countries, is next to be considered; and this, at the low Price of £7:10:0 per Hogshead, or £0:12:6 per 112 lb. will come to One Hundred and Fifty Thousand Pounds per Annum, which amounts in Thirty Years, to Four Millions Five Hundred Thousand Pounds: exclusive of the Loss of Employment for about One Hundred Sail of Ships, and One Thousand British Seamen, which were formerly employed in carrying that Quantity of British Sugar to foreign Markets, and made a valuable Increase of our Naval Power, at the Expence of other Nations.

But that confiderable Supply of Wealth, and those valuable Naval Advantages, have long been lost to Great Britain; and it is highly necessary to inquire how so profitable a Trade came to be lost; as there appear some

Reasons

[17]

Reasons to think it might have been preserved: for though the French Sugar-Planters have a few Advantages over the British Sugar-Planters, yet, when the Advantages and Disadvantages of each are stated, I am persuaded it will appear, that British Sugars may be afforded cheaper in foreign Markets, than French Sugars can be sold there.

The Advocates for our Sugar-Planters, fay, that their Lands are poor and worn out, and that the French Sugar-Lands are fresh and fertile:—but these are equivocal Affertions, which may either be proved or disproved, according as the Case is stated, particularly or generally.

It is true that the poorest Land in the British Sugar-Colonies, will not yield so much Sugar, upon equal Spaces, as the best Land in the French Colonies; and it is likewise true, that such Land in the British Sugar-Colonies as hath been employed a Hundred Years in producing Sugar-Canes, may be said to be worn out, if compared with fresh Land in the French Sugar-Colonies: But it is not true, that all or the greatest Part of the cultivated Lands in the French Sugar-Colonies, are fertile and fresh; or that all or the greatest Part of the cultivated Lands in the British Sugar-Colonies, are poor and worn out.

For according to Mr. Labat's Account, the most fertile Lands in the French Sugar-Colonies did not yield more Sugar, upon equal Spaces, than Mr. Ligon first, and Sir Hans Sloane afterwards, said were produced upon the good Lands in Barbadoes and in Jamaica; and as to the Freshness of the French Sugar-Lands, it is to be observed, that both the English and French were taught the Art of making Sugar, by Dutch Resuges who were driven out of Brasil by the Portuguese, soon after the Year 1640, and went to Barbadoes, St. Christopher's, Guadeloupe, &c.

The largest Crops of French Sugar which I find mentioned in Mr. Labat's Account of the French Sugar-Colonies, were from Twelve to Sixteen Hogsheads of Muscovado Sugar, upon a Piece of Land that was One Hundred Paces square; and such Crops were not ordinarily yielded upon the Lands which produced them, but only when the Sugar-Canes had been well looked after, when they were cut in a good Season, and at their full Maturity: Of which Circumstances it is necessary to take Notice, as neither Sir Hans Sloane, nor Mr. Ligon, were fo well acquainted with the State of the British Sugar-Colonies, as Mr. Labat was with those of France, and therefore the two former have contented themselves with mentioning what was a great Crop of Sugar; for Sir Hans Sloane fays, that an Acre of Canes [in Jamaica] yields fometimes 4,000 [Pounds] of Sugar; and Mr. Ligon fays, that an Acre of good Canes [in Barbadoes] would yield 4,000 Pounds Weight of Sugar, and that none would yield less than 2,000 Weight. See the Introduction to Sir H. Sloane's History of Jamaica, Pages 61, 62; and Mr. Ligon's History of Barbadoes, Page 95.

Now in order to make a Comparison between those Crops of French and of British Sugars, it is necessary to ascertain in English Measure, the Quantity of Land contained in a Square of 100 French Paces, and the Number of Pounds that a Hogshead of French Sugar weighed; in the doing of which, Regard must be had to what Mr. Labat hath mentioned concerning the Extent of the French Pace, and the Size of a French Sugar-Hogshead; the former of which, he says, contains 3½ Feet in Martinico, but only 3 Feet in Guadeloupe, and the latter contained 550 Pounds.

So that it is easy to determine how much that Crop of French Sugar weighed; for 16, the greatest Number of Hogspeads, being multiplied by 550, the Number of French Pounds in each, gives Eight Thousand Eight Hundred of those Pounds for the whole Product: but it is not so easy to determine the Quantity of Land whereon that Sugar was produced, because the nominal Pace contains Half a Foot more in Martinico than in Guadeloupe: and as I have taken the greatest Extreme in computing the Sugar, I must not do the like in Regard to the Land.

I shall therefore rate the *Pace* at Three *Feet* and a Quarter *French* Measure, and I shall consider both the *Foot* and the *Pound*, in this Case, as *English* ones; because I find that they exceed our *Foot* and *Pound*, in nearly the same Proportion, and consequently no material Error can be thereby produced: So that nothing more remains to be done in this Matter, than to ascertain how many *Acres* and what Part of an *Acre* of *Land* are contained in a Square of Three Hundred and Twenty-sive *English Feet*, or $108\frac{1}{3}$ *Yards*; and this I make to be Two *Acres* 2,056 $\frac{1}{3}$ square *Yards*, or somewhat more than Two-Fifth Parts of an *Acre*.

From which Quantity of the best Lands in the French Sugar-Colonies there were produced, under a Concurrence of all favourable Circumstances, 8,800 Pounds of brown or Muscovado Sugar, according to Mr. Labat's Account; but from the like Quantity of the best Lands in Barbadoes, as well as in famaica, there were produced Nine Thousand Six Hundred Pounds of such Sugar, according to the Acounts given by Sir Hans Sloane and Mr. Ligon, of the Produce of Sugar upon an Acre.

And from hence it may with good Reason be concluded, that the British Sugar-Colonies contain as sertile Lands for the Production of Sugar, as any which belong to the French Sugar-Planters; without reckoning upon the Superiority that here appears in our Favour; for that will serve to answer such Errors as Persons, who are better acquainted with French Weights and Measures, may discover in the preceding Reductions; though, according to the Books which I have referred to for Information, those Errors cannot be great.

The next Thing to be inquired into, is, the Freshness of the Lands in the French Sugar-Colonies; and this depends upon the Time that those Colonies

[19]

Colonies first became considerable, which the Advocates for our Sugar-Planters have placed about Forty Years later than it was, and thereby misled many Persons; for they date the Rise of the French Sugar-Colonies, from the Year 1714, when the British North American People first supplied them with Provisions, Lumber, &cc.; and Mr. Asley says, that before the Year 1716, no French Sugars were known to be imported into Hamburgh. See his Memoirs, Part I, Page 77.

But it appears by the first Memorial presented by the Deputies of the Council of Trade in France, to the Royal Council, in the Year 1701, that the French Sugar-Colonies had been in a powerful and flourishing State, so long ago as the latter Part of the Reign of our King Charles II: for at that Time there were above Sixty French Ships employed in their Tohacco Trade to St. Domingo; and the imperfect Account there given of the Numbers of People in their several Sugar-Colonies, contains enough to shew, that they had been very powerful.

I call the Account imperfect, because it mentions the State of some Colonies, in their Prosperity; of others, when they were greatly decayed; and doth not specify what Numbers of Inhabitants there had been in Two of their Sugar-Colonies, which were destroyed by the English in King William's War: So that I do not know how to give the Reader a better Idea of the former prosperous State of the French Sugar-Colony Trade (from what is mentioned in that Memorial) than by saying, it employed a Hundred Sail of Ships in the Year 1701, and yet was called a ruined Trade.

As to what our commercial Writers have faid concerning that Trade, I have met with little more than loose Hints, which seem to have proceeded more from Conjecture than certain Information, excepting what is mentioned by Mr. John Collins, in his Plea for the Importation of Irish Cattle, published in 1680; and he, in speaking of our Sugar-Colonies, says, "How great our Loss of Negroes, and Inhabitants was in 1666, off the Islands of St. Christopher's, Montserrat, and Antego, is not so proper to mention as bewail, in regard the French have more Islands full manned, and a considerable Fleet commonly abroad in those Parts." Page 20.

To these Accounts I shall add an Extract from a Manuscript that was presented to a great Man in the Reign of King William.

"The French Sugar-Colonies not only supply France with all the Sugar it wants, which formerly took off some Thousands of Tons of English Sugar, but by making Dunkirk Custom-free for Sugars, had before the War [in the Reign of King William] driven the English quite out of the Sugar-Trade in Flanders, which formerly took off great Quantities of English Sugar, and also supply the Markets of Holland, Hamburgh, and Germany; and by Reason of the Nearness of Marseilles and Toulon to

" Italy, the French are able to supply the Markets of Italy and the Streights cheaper than the English can.

From this early Increase of the French Sugar-Colonies, it is manifest, that the British and French Sugar-Planters are nearly upon a Par in regard to the Freshness of their Lands, excepting such Advantages as the French have gained by the bad Husbandry of our Planters; whose Complaints of their Lands being worn out, are well answered in a Case that was presented to Parliament on Behalf of the New Englanders, wherein it is said, that if the French Lands are fresher [than the English Lands] 'tis because they don't tear out the Heart of them.

The like Complaints of the English Sugar-Lands being worn out, were made by the Inhabitants of Barbadoes, so long ago as the Reign of King William; and yet their Successors have been able of late Years to export about Twenty-five Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar annually, which I believe is full as much as was yearly exported, 80 or 90 Years ago; for the Duty of $4\frac{\pi}{2}$ per Cent. in that Island was farmed for seven Years from Christmas 1670, at £7000 per Annum, and I have good Reason to believe it did not produce near that Sum; whereas the Produce thereof should have been above £10,000 a Year, if 25,000 Hogsheads of Sugar had been annually exported from thence at that Time.

The following Extract from another printed Paper, that was written in Behalf of the British North American People, about the Year 1731, explains how our Sugar-Planters Lands came to be worn out

"The Islanders (chiefly the Barbadians) say, that their Lands are worn out, and require a greater Charge in the Cultivation, and therefore they cannot afford their Sugars as cheap as formerly.—If it be so indeed, whom have they to blame but themselves?—If they had practised the same good Husbandry which all prudent Men do, by letting a competent Part of their Lands rest for a Time, they might have kept the whole in good Condition; and though they would not then indeed have reaped so large yearly Crops, yet its evident from the large Estates we have seen raised by them, that even with this provident Abatement and frugal Oeconomy, they might have sufficiently enriched themselves, and perhaps have found their Accounts better in the End, since then they might have managed their Business with a much less Number of Slaves* than are now requisite for their annual manuring."

* In one of Mr. Bennett's Letters concerning the Sugar-Colonies, it is faid, that in the Year 1676, in Sir Jonathan Atkins's Government, the Number of white Men in Barbadoes alone, was computed to be Twenty Thousand (besides Women and Children) and Eighty Thousand Negroes, Page 35 in the 2d Edition; but in the Year 1724, the Number of white Men in that Island, able to bear Arms, was only Four Thousand Eight Hundred and Twelve, according to the Representation from the Board of

[21]

Trade, &c. Page 9; and about the Year 1740, the Number of Negroes there, was usually Sixty Thousand.—Ashley's Memoirs, Part I. Page 71.

So that when the Island of Barbadoes contained above Twenty Thousand white People and about Twenty Thousand Negroes MORE than it hath done of late Years, the annual Produce of Sugar there, was about Twenty-five Thousand Hogsheads, which was usually fold at Ten Shillings per 100 lb. by the Planters; and as of late Years, their Successors have produced the same Quantity of Sugar annually, with 20,000 Negroes less than were employed for the like Product Eighty Years ago, and also with a much less Number of white People, it is evident, that Sugar ought to be cheaper now than formerly, on this Account alone.

But as there are other Reasons for expecting such Cheapness, I shall mention what I have to say farther on that Head, in its proper Place, and continue the Comparison between the Advantages and Disadvantages of the *British* and *French* Sugar-Planters.

Concerning the Numbers of white People employed in the British and French Sugar-Colonies.

The French Sugar-Planters employ a much greater Number of white People, in Proportion to the Number of their Negroes, than are employed by the British Sugar-Planters, and by that Means make their Sugar-Colonies much stronger than our Sugar-Colonies are; for according to the Evidence given by Captain Fayrer Hall before a Committee of The Honourable House of Commons, in April 1731, and soon after printed by his Direction, the French have One white Man to every Three Negroes, whereas the English have only One white Man to Twenty Negroes.

This was not formerly the Case in our Sugar-Colonies, but Thirst after Gain hath made it so of late Years; for Negroes do not receive either Wages, decent Cloathing, or Christian Treatment, and as British Servants must have such Things, the Sugar-Planters keep very few white People; but by so doing, our Planters have a great Advantage over the French Sugar-Planters, who employ Six or Seven Times as many of their own Country-People; for even French Servants in the West-Indies do undoubtedly, one Way or other, cost their French Masters Forty Shillings a Year more per Man or Woman, than the Purchase-Money, Maintenance, and Cloathing of a like Number of Negroe-Men or Women come to.

But as on the other Hand, British Servants in our Colonies are better paid, cloathed, and fed, than French Servants are in the French Colonies, the increased Charge to the French Sugar-Planters, by employing so much F

[22]

greater Numbers of white People, must be lower rated on this Account; and therefore, instead of estimating that increased Charge conformably to the proportional Numbers of white Servants (6 or 7 to 1) I shall compute upon only Thirty Thousand, which, at Forty Shillings annually per Head, makes this Disadvantage of the French Sugar-Planters, to be Sixty Thousand Pounds a Year.

Concerning the Supplies of Negroes for the British and French Sugar-Colonies.

The annual Supply of Negroes for the Cultivation of Sugar, is the greatest Article of Expence attending the Sugar-Colonies, and in this Respect, the British Sugar Planters have all along had a considerable Advantage over the French Sugar-Planters; for the great Number of British Forts and Factories established on the Coast of Africa, have constantly kept the Slave-Trade open to our African Traders, and enabled them to purchase much greater Numbers of Negroes than were wanted for the British Colonies.

Infomuch, that for Forty Years past, the British Traders to Africa, have not only supplied our Sugar, Tobacco, &c. Colonies with sufficient Numbers of Negroes, at moderate Prices, but have likewise been able to furnish several Thousands yearly, for the Spanish Colonies; while on the other Hand, the French, for Want of a proper Number of Forts and Factories upon the African Coast, have been very indifferently supplied with Negroes, at least, they have not been well supplied by the African Traders of their own Nation.

But it feems that prefent Gain induced our Sugar-Planters to furnish the French with Negroes; for the British North American People say, that the main Cause of the very great Increase of the French Sugar-Plantations on the Island of Hispaniola [or St. Domingo] was, their being constantly supplied with Negroes from Jamaica; and I have not met with any Reply to this Charge, though it was published when there was a great Contest between the Northern Colonies and the Sugar-Colonies, and when it was the Interest of the Sugar-Planters to set forth every Article of national Merit that they could by any Means attribute to themselves.

Concerning the Supplies of falted Beef, Pork, Butter, Fish, Lumber, Horses, &c. for the British and French Sugar-Colonies.

As both the British and French Sugar-Planters trade to the same Markets, or with the same People, for these Commodities, neither of them can have any material Advantage over the other, at least, I do not know of any such Advantage; and as to the Disadvantage that our Sugar-Planters

[23]

feem to be under, by paying Money for Part of their Supplies from the Northern Colonies, I have already shewn, that this Loss and many others fall upon their Mother-Country, and not upon them.

Concerning the Taxes paid in the British and French Sugar-Colonies.

The Advocates for the British Sugar-Planters say, that French Sugar is charged with only One per Cent. for Duty in the French Colonies; and from thence it may be inferred, that the French Sugar-Planters are not charged with any other Imposts; but upon looking into some of the commercial Regulations made by the late French King, I find there were formerly Two other Taxes in the French Sugar-Colonies, from which the French Planters in St. Christopher's were exempted for 4 Years, in Consideration of their Losses by War.

Now though those Taxes may have been long since taken off, without laying any others, yet, that may not have been the Case, and therefore I wish that some Person who is well informed of the State of the French Sugar-Colonies, would publicly say how that Matter is; for I do not know that there is any Tax laid upon Sugar in Jamaica, either before or on Exportation from thence, and yet the Inhabitants of that Island raise, by Taxes on other Things, as much Money as defrays the Charges of their civil Government.

Of which Taxes, as well as of the Duty of Four and a half per Cent. in Barbadoes, and in the British Leeward Islands, Mr. Ashley hath given very particular Accounts in his Memoirs concerning the Trade and Revenues of the British Colonies in America; and those Accounts, together with what he hath mentioned in relation to the Manner of accounting for the Duty of $4\frac{1}{2}$ per Cent. &c. give Reason to think, that one Way or other, there is near Forty Thousand Pounds a Year raised for public Taxes in all the British Sugar-Colonies.

Concerning the Prices for which the British and French Sugar-Planters sell their Melasses and Rum.

The Price of Sugar depends much upon the Prices of Melasses and Rum; for as those Three Commodities are all produced from the Sugar-Cane, the more Money the Sugar-Planters receive for the Two latter, the cheaper will they be able to sell the former; but the Advocates for our Sugar-Planters would have it be believed, that the French Sugar-Planters used to throw away their Melasses, before the British North American People took it in exchange for the Provisions, Lumber, Horses, &c. wherewith they supplied the French Sugar-Colonies.

Mr. Afbley, in speaking of this Trade between the British Northern Colonies and the French Sugar-Colonies, says, that by Means thereof, the

French can afford Sugar near One-Third cheaper than they could, when they flung away their Melasses for Want of a British Vent. Memoirs-Part 1st, Pages 31, 32—But he hath left other People to find out the Time when this was done, and to take that for a Fact which he hath not afferted to be one; for if the French never flung away their Melasses for Want of a British Vent, what he hath seemingly asserted, comes to nothing at all.

And there is even a farther Use in this dextrous Assertion of Mr Assley's; for such Persons as have not inquired into Things of this Sort, may be thereby induced to believe, that Great Britain and the British Dominions are the only Countries wherein Melasses can be sold; but neither of these Things either are, or ever were true in a general Sense; nor can any Person reasonably imagine that the lower Classes of People in other Countries do not use Treacle, (Melasses) to sweeten some of their Eatables, and to make drinkable Liquors, when he considers that great Quantities of Treacle are so used by the poorer Sort of our own People.

In the Reign of King Charles II. this Nation was so far from wanting foreign Melasses, that there were Three Thousand 334 Tons of that Commodity exported from hence to Holland, in One Year ending at Michaelmas 1669, as appears by Dr. Davenani's Report, Part 2d, Page 6; and the said Export is there valued at Fifty seven Thousand 510 Pounds, which shews that Melasses was a considerable Article in Holland and other Countries, as well as in England; for according to that Valuation thereof, the then Price must have been Seventeen Pounds per Ton, or £8:10:00 per Hogshead, including Freight to England, Port-Charges, and English Merchants Profits.

Now as there hath constantly been a great Trade carried on between the French and Dutch, even when they were at War against each other, it is extremely natural to think that the French Sugar-Planters, as well as the English, fold a great Part of their Melasses in Holland, at that Time; and the Treaty of Commerce which was concluded between France and Holland in the Year 1699, doth in a Manner prove that this was the Case; for though there are only Nine Sorts of French Commodities specified in that Treaty, yet is Melasses one of them; and the high Duty of Five Florins per Hundred Weight [above Eight Pounds Sterling per Ton] is thereby stipulated to be paid upon the Importation of that Commodity from France into Holland; than which there need not a better Proof of former great Consumption of French Melasses there.

And according to Mr. Labat's Account, the French Sugar-Planters, at that Time, did not only make Rum, which he calls very good Merchandize, but had even a more profitable Way of employing their Melasses, by making coarse Sugar from it: So that upon the Whole, there is great Reason to believe, that the French Sugar-Planters did formerly make as much Profit by their Melasses and Rum as the British Sugar-Planters made by theirs, although they have not done so of late Years.

[25]

For the great Consumption of Rum in this Kingdom, hath not only raised the Price of British Rum, to Double or Treble what it was before, but hath raised the Price of British Melasses also, as much greater Quantities thereof are now used for making of Rum; and this hath been productive of considerable Advantage to the French Sugar-Planters, by causing a great Consumption of French Melasses and Rum in the British North American Colonies, which must necessarily have raised the Prices of those Commodities.

Our Sugar-Planters likewise have another considerable Advantage over the French Sugar-Planters, in regard to Melasses, which arises from there having been of late Years, about Ten Thousand Hogsheads of that Commodity annually distilled in Great Britain; whereas all such Distillation of Melasses in France, as well as the Consumption of Rum there, are undoubtedly prevented; as the same cannot be permitted without doing great Prejudice to that Kingdom, by vastly diminishing the Consumption of their Brandy.

Nor can the French, confishently with the Interest of France, encourage the Consumption of French Rum preserably to French Brandy, either in this Country or in any other Country in Europe; and as to importing French Melasses into Great Britain, excepting what may be fraudulently imported by way of the British Sugar-Colonies, that is barred by a Duty of Seven Pounds Ten Shillings per Ton MORE than British Melasses is charged with here.

All which Matters being considered, there appears to me good Reason for believing that the increased Advantages which our Sugar-Planters receive by these several Means, in the Articles of Melasses and Rum, do amount to Seventy-five Thousand Pounds a Year; but from this Sum I shall deduct One-Third Part, on account of the increased Advantages arising to the French Sugar-Planters from selling their Melasses and Rum at better Prices, by Means of the Consumption thereof in the British North American Colonies; and that will reduce the Advantages which the British Sugar-Planters have over the French Sugar-Planters, in the Articles of Melasses and Rum, to Fifty Thousand Pounds a Year, according to my Computation.

Concerning the Prices of British and of French FREIGHT from the British and French Sugar-Colonies to Europe.

The Freight of Sugar from the West-India Colonies makes a very considerable Part of the Price for which it is sold in Europe; and in this Respect the British Sugar-Planters have constantly had another great Advantage over the French Sugar-Planters; for although, according to Mr. Ashely's Account, the French sound Means to lower the Prices of their Freight

for Sugar, within a few Years [preceding the Year 1740] from Ten and Twelve Shillings, to Five and Six Shillings per Hundred Weight, yet was it still considerably higher than British Freight.—Memoirs, Part I. Page 31.

Captain Fayrer Hall, whom I have already mentioned, says, that "no "People who trade in or to the West-Indies, navigate so cheap, or carry any Commodities in, to, or from the West-Indies, for so little Money as the English do."—he likewise says, that the Proportion between the Prices of French and of English Freight, for the same Distances [before the Year 1731] is, as Five to Three and a half: and as, in the Evidence given by him before a Committee of The Honourable House of Commons, he mentions his having been in every inhabited Island in the West-Indies, there cannot be any Doubt of his having known the Prices of foreign West-India Freight in general, as well as of French West-India Freight in particular.

But I very much doubt Mr. Afbley's Sincerity, in regard to French Freight being lowered Five or Six Shillings per Hundred Weight, within a few Years preceding the Year 1740; as Captain Hall, who was employed in the West-India and North American Trades for Twenty Years preceding the Year 1731, doth not take Notice of any such Reduction in the Price of French Freight: and when it is considered, that for a Century past, excepting in Times of War, the French have had a great Share in the Newfoundland Fishery, which depends very much upon the Price of Freight, and have been able to vye, in foreign Markets, with our Newfoundland Traders, I do not see how it can be believed that French Freight hath at any Time, in Peace, been double the Price of English Freight.

Nor can I look upon this Fall of 5 or 6 Shillings per Cent. lb. in the Price of French Freight, within a few Years preceding the Year 1740, as any Thing more than an imaginary Fall created by Mr. Afbley, to make People believe, that the British Sugar-Planters were not able to recover the foreign European Sugar-Trade, even after the Legislature had granted them the necessary Privileges for that Purpose; because the same Mr. Asbley hath given a different Account of this Matter, in his Observations on a direct Exportation of Sugar, published in the Year 1735; for he there says, that "French Freight is Seven or Eight Shillings per Hundred, and "was formerly at Twelve Shillings per Hundred, before they traded with "New England and had large Ships at first Hand in Return for their Rum" and Melasses." Page 15—

So that French Freight is here faid to be 7 or 8 Shillings per Cent. lb. at the Time it should have been 10 or 12 Shillings per Cent. lb. according to Mr. Ashley's other Account; and what he called formerly in the Year 1734, he called within these sew Years, in the Year 1740.—But this is a dextrous Way of leading People to make false Conclusions, without expressly saying the Thing that is not.—

[27]

Now as the French Sugar-Planters have traded with the New England People ever fince the Year 1714, the Reduction which that Trade enabled the French to make in the Price of their Freight from the West-Indics to Europe, must have been made within a very few Years after the Commencement of the said Trade, even according to what Mr. Ashley hath said in his Observations on a direct Exportation of Sugar; and as we did not wholly lose the foreign European Sugar-Trade till the Year 1737, the aforesaid Reduction in the Price of French Freight, neither could be the Cause of that Los, nor an Obstacle to our regaining the said Trade, since the Contrary is undeniably proved, by a great Re-exportation of Sugar from hence, and by the vast Disadvantages under which it was re-exported.

For during the Years 1729, 30, 31 and 32, when French Freight was not only at Five Shillings per Cent. lb. according to Captain Hall's Account, but French Sugar was permitted to be exported directly from their Sugar-Colonies to foreign Markets, there were annually re-exported from Great Britain to foreign Countries, near Ten Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar; although the said Sugar was sent to Market with the Charge of a second Freight, which French Sugar was not then subjected to, and with the farther Charge of Nine Pence per Cent. lb. for British Duty not drawn back upon Re-exportation; which extraordinary Charges upon our Sugar, at that Time, amounted to about Three Shillings and Nine Pence per. Cent. lb.

So that when British Sugar was loaded with an increased Charge of 3s. 9d. per 112 Pounds, from which French Sugar was at the same Time exempted, the British Sugar-Planters were so far able to preserve the foreign European Sugar-Trade, that there were annually, and for Seven Years, reexported from Great Britain, about Ten Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar; but from the Time that the Legislature was pleased, upon the Representations of the British Sugar-Planters, to put them in a Capacity of sending their Sugar to foreign Markets 3s. 9d. per 112 Pounds CHEAPER than they could when 10,000 Hogsheads of Sugar were annually re-exported from hence, the said Planters have WHOLLY LOST the foreign European Sugar-Trade.

And if it be asked, how this came to pass, the Sugar-Planters probably will say, that in such a Year the Sugar-Canes were blighted, in such a Year they were burnt up for want of Rain, and in such a Year there was a great Hurricane; so that by the alternate Help of those Three Causes, it is easy to SHEW why Great Britain hath not been in full Possession of the foreign European Sugar-Trade ever since the Year 1739: but if any Person would know the TRUE CAUSE of our not having had the said prostable Branch of Trade for Twenty Years past, I must beg Leave to tell him, it hath been occasioned by not permitting foreign Sugar to be imported into this Kingdom, upon the Payment of only moderate Duties; for the Want of such a Permission put it in the Power of the British Sugar-Planters, to make a Monopoly of Sugar here; and the monopolizing of that Commodity at

I do

Home being Five Times as profitable to them, as felling Sugar Abroad, they have taken CONSTANT CARE to do the ONE, but have left the FRENCH to do the OTHER.

The Price of French Freight being to the Price of English Freight in the Proportion of Five to Three and a half, and British Sugars being usually brought from the British Sugar-Colonies to Great Britain, for Three Shillings and Six Pence per Hundred Weight, or Three Pounds Ten Shillings per Ton; the usual Price of bringing French Sugars from their Sugar-Colonies to France, must be Five Shillings per Hundred Weight, or Five Pounds per Ton; which is Eighteen Pence a Hundred Weight, or Thirty Shillings a Ton MORE than is paid for the Freight of British Sugars; and this Advantage alone, should secure to Great Britain the foreign European Sugar-Trade, if it was the only one in our Favour.

For Eighteen Pence per Cent. lb. comes to Eighteen Shillings per Hogshead, and that amounts to Sixty-three Thousand Pounds upon Seventy Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar, which is the Quantity usually computed to be annually brought from our Colonies to Europe; and to this must be added a farther Sum, on account of the Saving in British Freight of Rum and Melasses to Europe, which probably may be Seventeen Thousand Pounds more, and will make the whole Advantage to the British Sugar-Planters, over the French Sugar-Planters, in the Article of Freight, to be Eighty Thousand Pounds a Year.

An ABSTRACT of this comparative Account of the Advantages and Disadvantages which the *British* and the *French* Sugar-Planters have, or are under, with respect to each other.

mave, of all under, with respect to each our		
The	fuperior A	dvantages
	French	
	None	None
By the Freshness of their Lands		Ballanced by Negroes
By the disproportional Numbers of white Servants		£ 60,000
By the Supplies of Negroes App	olied to ba ice 2d Artic	l- }Some
By the Supplies of Beef, Pork, &c. from Ireland		None
By the Supplies of Provisions, Lumber, &c.		
from North America	None	None
By Taxes £	40,000	
By Melasses and Rum		£ 50,000
By Freight	. , .	£ 80,000
The general Ballance in Favour of the British		
Sugar-Planters, according to this Account, is,	-	
per Annum £	150,000	
#	190,000	£190,000

[29]

I do not expect the British Sugar-Planters to acknowledge that they have such great Advantages over the French Sugar-Planters, or indeed, any Advantage at all; as such an Acknowledgment would be contrary to their former Representations, and be admitting, in other Words, that our Loss of the foreign European Sugar-Trade hath been owing to them; but the Re-exportation of great Quantities of Sugar from this Kingdom to foreign Countries, for Seven Years after the French Sugar-Planters were permitted to export their Sugar and other Commodities directly to foreign European Markets, doth plainly shew, that the aforesaid Advantages, in favour of our Sugar-Planters, must have been as great as I have here represented.

For according to Mr. Ashley's Computation, Ten Hogsheads of Sugar sent from the British Sugar-Colonies to Great Britain and re-exported from thence to Hamburgh, do cost Eighteen Pounds and Two Pence MORE for Freight, Port-Charges, Interest, &c. than the like Quantity of Sugar sent directly from those Colonies to that City, which increased Charges come to Three Shillings per Cent. lb. of Sugar; and as during the said Seven Years, British Sugar was not only subjected to such increased Charges, but had a British Duty upon it of Nine Pence per Cent. lb. there could not have been near Ten Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar yearly re-exported from hence to foreign Markets, under such great Disadvantages, if the same had not been ballanced by other Means.

But the preceding Ballance of One Hundred and Fifty Thousand Pounds a Year, comes to only Three Shillings and Seven Pence per Hundred Weight, on Seventy Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar, which doth not quite equal the then increased Charges on British Sugar re-exported from hence to Hamburgh; and according to Mr. Ashley's own Shewing, Three Shillings and Seven Pence per Cent. lb. of Sugar was much short of the them increased Charges on British Sugar re-exported to the Southern Ports [in Spain, Italy, &c.] Memoirs, Part 1st, Pages 99 and 101.

For in the former of those Pages he rates the Freight of Sugar from hence to Hamburgh, at Six Shillings and Eight Pence per Hogshead, and after calling that a medium Price to all the Northern Ports [in Russia, Sweden, Denmark, Germany, Holland, &c.] he fays, "but the Freight to the Southern Ports is above twice as much."—And when it is farther considered, how frugally the French Sugar-Planters live, and how Extravagance prevails in the British Sugar-Colonies, there will appear abundant Reason for thinking that the British Sugar-Planters have greater Advantages, over the French Sugar-Planters, than I have represented.

Our Sugar-Planters probably will fay, that they cannot afford their Sugar so cheap as it was generally fold in this Kingdom, during the Years 1729, 30, 31 and 32; but their saying of this will not signify any thing,

unless they can make it appear that the Ballance, by them received from Great Britain in those Four Years, did not amount to Three Hundred Thousand Pounds per Annum: and as, after giving the Sugar-Planters all the Help I fairly can in this Matter (see Page 12) their annual Ballance from this Kingdom, during that Interval, came to Six Hundred Thousand Pounds, out of which they had not more than Two Hundred Thousand Pounds to pay upon all their other lawful Trade, it is in vain for them to pretend that they cannot afford Sugar at such Prices as it was then commonly sold.

For that vast annual Ballance undeniably proves, that the British Sugar-Planters could more than afford to continue selling their Sugar at such Prices; and the said Re-exportation of Sugar from hence to foreign Markets, very clearly shews, that the increased Charges of Three Shillings and Nine Pence per Cent. lb. of Sugar, did not incapacitate them from vying with the French Sugar-Planters: but our Sugar-Planters have been exempted from those increased Charges ever fince the Year 1739, and thereby enabled to sell their Sugar Three Shillings and Nine Pence per Cent. lb. cheaper in foreign Markets than they could before.—All which Things being considered, it appears to me very plain, that the said Planters are chargeable with the Loss of the foreign European Sugar-Trade.

And the Commencement of that Loss must be dated at the Time when great Quantities of French, &c. Sugars begun to be fraudulently imported into the British Sugar-Colonies, as all the Sugars re-exported from Great Britain, as well as Part of the Sugar consumed in it, after that Time, are to be considered as foreign Sugars bought in the West-Indies with British Money; for which Reasons, I shall fix upon the Year 1727 for the Time when the said Loss begun, and shall carry it on to the Year 1756, as I have before done the other Losses caused by the Sugar-Colony Trade; but I shall rate that Loss at only One Hundred and Fifty Thousand Pounds per Annum, as already mentioned in Page 16 (although the same may fairly be reckoned at £200,000 a Year); and the former of those annual Sums amounteth, in Thirty Years, to Four Millions Five Hundred Thousand Pounds.

A General

[31]

A General ABSTRACT of the LOSSES to Great Britain in particular, and to the British Dominions in general, by Means of the British Sugar-Colony Trade, in Thirty Years from 1727 to 1756.

The Total general of these Losses, according to my Computations, is Twelve Millions Six Hundred and Fifty Thousand \$\int_{12},650,000 Pounds \cdot \cd

Exclusive of the Payment of Three Millions of Pounds for Poors Rates, more than would have been to pay, if no other than British Manufactures had been worn or used in the British Sugar-Colonies (see Page 14); and besides the Loss of Employment for One Hundred Sail of British SHIPS and One Thousand British SEAMEN, which would have been employed, if the foreign European Sugar-Trade had been preserved.

Happy it is for the People of England, that their Golden Fleece, Corn-Trade, Linen, Silk, and Metallic Manufastures have produced fo much Wealth as to prevent this Kingdom from being brought into a galloping Confumption by the British Sugar-Colonies; but it is very extraordinary that a Branch of Commerce which formerly was, and still might be, profitable, should unhappily have been suffered to bring such amazing Losses upon Great Britain in particular, and upon the British Dominions in general.

For that very Representation from the Commissioners for Trade and Plantations, which hath enabled me to fix the principal Articles of Loss caused by our Sugar-Colony Trade, within Thirty Years past, was made so long ago as the Year 1735; and even that Representation, from the then immediate Guardians of the British Commerce and Colonies, contains a Paragraph which is sufficient to establish a Belief that this Kingdom then was a great Gainer by the said Branch of Trade.

Those Right Honourable Gentlemen, after saying that the annual Imports from Jamaica exceeded the British annual Exports to that Island, Three Hundred and Ninety-one Thousand, &c. Pounds, were pleased to account for this vast annual Ballance in the following Manner.

" But it must not be imagined, that this Excess is a Debt upon Great " Britain to the Island of Jamaica; a Part of it must be placed to the " Account of Negroes sent to the Spanish West-Indies by our South-Sea " Company, the Produce of which is returned to England by Way of "Jamaica; another Part of the Debt due to our African Traders, from "the People of Jamaica, for Negroes, which are purchased and remain " there for the Service of the Island; a Third Proportion must be placed " to the Account of our Northern Colonies on the Continent of America, " who discharge Part of their Ballance with Great Britain, by Confign-" ments from Janaica, arising from the Provisions and Lumber with " which they supply that Island, all which will appear more fully in the " following Articles *: The remaining Part of the Excess, in our Im-" portations from this Colony [Jamaica], is Profit made upon our Trade, "whether immediately from Great Britain, or by Way of Africa; and 44 lastly, it is a Consideration of great Importance in the general Trade of " Great Britain, that Part of the Sugar and other Merchandize which we " bring from Jamaica, is re-exported from hence, and helps to make good our Ballance in Trade with other Countries in Europe." Page 6.

* The Three Articles referred to, specify the Names of the Commodities exported from the British North American Colonies, from Asrica, and from Ireland, to Jamaica; but as neither the Quantities, Numbers, nor Values of the Commodities, so exported, are expressed in the said Articles, I think it needless to insert them.

As to the annual Excess of One Hundred and Sixty Thousand, &c. Pounds in our Imports from Barbadoes, and the annual Excess of Five Hundred and Seventy-two Thousand, &c. Pounds in our Imports from the Leeward Islands; those Right Honourable Gentlemen were pleased to account for the same in the following Manner.

"There is little or no Variation between the Commodities which Barbadoes receives from Great Britain, Ireland, or our Northern Colonies in
America, and those which we have already enumerated to your Lordships,
under the Title of Jamaica; and the Excess of our Imports from Barbadoes must be accounted for in the same Manner, by Money due to
Great Britain from our American Colonies, and for Slaves brought to
Barbadoes by our African Traders." Page 8.

"Which [annual Excess in our Imports from the Leeward Islands] must be accounted for in the same Manner with the Excess of our Imports from Barbadoes." Page 10.

This is the Light in which those Right Honourable Gentlemen were pleased to represent the British Sugar-Colony Trade, for Four Years from Christmas 1728 to Christmas 1732; upon which, I shall only say, that I am astonished and concerned to see LOSS mistaken for PROFIT, in a Case of such vast Importance to the Trade, Wealth and Naval Power of GREAT BRITAIN.

Concerning

I 33]

Concerning the Ability of the present British Sugar-Planters to sell Sugar at lower Prices than their Predecessors could afford that Commodity.

The first Thing necessary to be ascertained on this Head, is, the common Price of brown or Muscovado Sugar in the English Sugar-Colonies, Seventy or Eighty Years ago; and according to the Accounts given thereof, by Sir Dalby Thomas, by an English Merchant, and by an Advocate for the Sugar-Planters, who all lived at the Time, that Price was Ten Shillings Sterling per 100 Pounds Weight of Sugar.

The faid Merchant farther fays, that at Ten Shillings per Hundred [for Sugar in the English Sugar-Plantations] and sometimes lower, as fair Improvements had been made, and as good Estates raised by the Planters in those Plantations, as by any other Business attempted in that Age; and as he doth not only appear to have been extremely well informed of the then State of our Sugar-Colony Trade, as a Profession to live by, but likewise to have been a Man of great Candour and good Sense, I do not make the least Doubt of the Truth of what he hath represented on this Head.

More especially, as he hath not only laid open a Number of gross Misrepresentations in a Pamphlet called "The Groans of the Plantations,"
printed in the Year 1689, to which Pamphlet, his Discourse of the Duties
on Merchandize, and particularly of those on Sugar, printed in the Year
1695, was an Answer; but hath likewise been so ingenuous as to publish
one of those Things concerning the British Sugar-Colonies, which are commonly called Secrets in Trade; and that is more than I can say of any other
Writer on this Branch of Commerce, excepting Captain Fayrer Hall,
whose Account of that Matter will be mentioned in its proper Place.

So that there is good Authority for faying, that the common Price of brown or Muscovado Sugar in the British Sugar-Colonies Seventy or Eighty Years ago, was Ten Skillings Sterling per 100 Pounds Weight, and that the Sugar-Planters could well afford it at fuch Price; the latter of which Things, I believe, is ftill farther vouched by Governor Codrington's Account of the 4½ per Cent. Duty in Barbadoes and the Leeward Islands, from 1 Jan. 1689 to 7 Dec. 1694; for I have great Reason to think that Muscovado Sugar is therein said to be sold at only Nine Shillings per 100 Pounds Weight.

I shall therefore proceed to consider, what Alterations there have been in those Colonies, or in the Trade, &c. thereof, which have enabled the present Possessor of the same to sell Sugar at lower Prices than their Predecessors could afford that Commodity.

And the first Thing to be taken Notice of, is, a Decrease of late Years in the Number of white People in Barbadoes, if not in our other Sugar-Colonies, to a Third or Fourth Part of the Number that lived there, Seventy or Eighty Years ago, as is already mentioned in Page 20; for all the white People who lived in the English Sugar-Colonies at that Time, were undoubtedly maintained by the Produce and Trade thereof; and as Sugar could then be well afforded at Ten Shillings Ster. per 100 Pounds Weight, it certainly may be sold cheaper now the Number of white People is so much less.

The Decrease in the Number of Negroes in Barbadoes, if not in our other Sugar-Colonies, is another Reason why Sugar should have been cheaper of late Years, than formerly it was in those Colonies; as greater Quantities of Sugar are now produced with lesser Numbers of Negroes.

For according to a Manuscript Account of the Quantities of Sugar imported from the English Colonies into the Port of London, in Five Years from Lady-Day 1687 to Lady-Day 1692, the medium annual Import was not more than Two Hundred and Forty Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-six Cent. lb. and 22\frac{2}{3} Pounds; and if the annual Import of Sugar into the Out-Ports of England, during those Five Years, be rated at the like Quantity, as I have Reason to think it should be, although contrary to a general Rule in such Cases, the whole Importation will then be only Forty Thousand Hogsheads of 12 Cent. lb. yearly.

So that confidering how much greater Numbers of white People, as well as of Negroes, there were in the Island of Barbadoes, if not in our other Sugar-Colonies, about that Time, than there have been of late Years, it is surprizing that the Importation of Sugar was not greater; for if One Half of the Forty Thousand Hogsheads, then annually imported into England, be allotted for the Produce of Barbadoes, the remaining Twenty Thousand Hogsheads will be only a moderate Proportion for Jamaica and our Four principal Leeward Islands (Antigua, St. Christopher's, Nevis, and Montserrat); and as in the Years 1730, 31, &c. the Island of Barbadoes annually produced Twenty-five Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar, by the Help of a much less Number of People than lived there Seventy or Eighty Years ago, it is very extraordinary that the yearly Crop of Sugar in those Times, should be only Twenty Thousand Hogsheads.

But Sir Dalby Thomas hath explained how it came to pass, that with so great a Number of People there was not such a Quantity of Sugar annually produced in the English Sugar-Colonies at that Time, as, from greater Crops since produced, one might have expected to hear of; for according to that Gentleman's Account of Sugar-Plantations, as the same were then managed in Barbadoes, the Sugar-Cane Lands in that Island were not permitted to remain fallow and thereby recover their natural Fertility, but were worn out of Heart by incessant Culture.

Whereas,

[35]

Whereas, of late Years, such ill Husbandry hath not been generally practised in our Sugar-Colonies; for Dr. Douglas, who was in those Colonies, says, that the Sugar-Planters divide their Cane-Lands into Thirds, one whereof is reaped, another is new planted, and the third lies fallow—Douglas's History of America, Vol. I. Page 118. So that after repeated Censures of the New England People, for that bad Husbandry, our Sugar-Planters have at last had Recourse to better, by leaving NATURE to restore, without Expence to them, that vegetative Force which they could not so well recover, by expensive Labour and Manure.

And one of the Advocates for our Sugar-Planters hath carried good Husbandry so far, upon Paper, as to plant only Two Hundred Acres yearly out of One Thousand; in which Way of reckoning, Four Hundred of that Thousand Acres must always be fallow Land, allowing Two Hundred Acres for Corn, Pasture, &c.: but that Paper Husbandry seems to be intended for no other Use than to bring out only a small Ballance for the Sugar-Planter, as the ingenious Calculator hath charged several round Sums for Work, which Captain Fayrer-Hall says, is performed, in the British Sugar-Colonies, by Negroes, who work Five Days and a Half in the Week without Wages, and Half a Day to raise Food and buy Cloathing for themselves.

That Calculator hath likewise attributed to Nature, a greater Variation in the Quantity of her Productions, than I believe she commonly makes in the Crops of Sugar yearly produced in the British Sugar-Colonies; for the Quantities of Sugar annually imported into Great Britain are a very good Index whereby to discover the Ebbings and Flowings of Nature's Bounty to the Sugar-Planters; and as the Finger of that Index hath pointed to the round Number, Sixty Thousand Hogsheads, for Twenty successive Years, without any great Variation either Way, it is a Sort of Proof, that Nature is not so irregular in her Productions of Sugar, as to vary them, in Five succeeding Years, so widely as the Numbers 50, 20, 40, 30 and 35 differ from each other.

Favourable Inferences may however be drawn from such Calculations, when accompanied with smooth and gentle Reasoning, as that disposes People to take for granted what they cannot disprove; and the greater Latitude our Sugar Planters ascribe to Nature, in this Respect, the more Room do they make for themselves; since it is quite reasonable that They who are exposed to such great Risques by extremely variable Crops, should have adequate Premiums of Insurance, in the Rate of their Profits; but the regular Importation of about Sixty Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar annually and for Twenty Years, plainly shews, that Crops of Sugar are very far from being so variable as the said Calculator hath represented.

And

And if the Variations in the Crops of Corn in England were to be compared with the Variations in the Crops of Sugar in the British Sugar-Colonies, I believe it would appear, that the Planters of Corn in this Kingdom fuffer more by the Uncertainty of Seasons here, than the Planters of Sugar in those Colonies suffer by the Uncertainty of Seasons there; for I very much doubt, whether in any Two of the Twenty Years last past, the annual Importation of Sugar into Great Britain hath been so low as Fifty, or so high as Seventy Thousand Hogsheads; and I am persuaded that the Freeholders and Farmers of England have had more and greater Variations in their Crops of Corn and Hay within that Time.

The feveral Things mentioned under this Head, shew, that the present British Sugar-Planters are not at near so much Expence as their Predecessors were, either for white Servants or for Negroes, to produce the like Quantities of Sugar; and if I was to estimate the Saving in these Two Articles, conformably to the Decreases which there have been in the Numbers of white People, and of Negroes, in the Island of Barbadoes, as mentioned in Page 20, it would amount to a vast Sum yearly; but Ishall reckon the whole Saving to be no more than the Expence of Thirty Thousand Negroes, whose little Portions of Land, Medicines, &c. at Ten Shillings a Year per Head, will be Fisteen Thousand Pounas; and the annual Supply of Negroes requisite to keep up such a Stock, is usually computed to be One Thousand Five Hundred; which Number, at £25 Ster. per Head, cost Thirty-seven Thousand Five Hundred Pounds, and make the whole Saving to be Fifty-two Thousand Five Hundred Pounds a Year.

The Prices of Negroes is next to be considered, and these, I believe, have been somewhat lower for Forty Years last past, than they were Seventy or Eighty Years ago; which hath been chiefly occasioned by laying open the African Trade, and partly by protecting our Commerce better than formerly: but the present Sugar-Planters would have it be believed, that they pay much higher Prices than their Predecessors paid for Negroes; and this may be true in respect to particular Years, but I am persuaded it is not so upon an Average of any Forty Years, preceding the Forty Years last past.

Anno 1649. Thirty Pounds Ster. was a Price for the best Man Negroe; 25, 26, or 27 Pounds, for a Woman-Negroe; the Children were at lower Prices.—Ligon's History of Barbadoes, Page 46.

During the Years 1658, 59, 60 and 61, the medium Price of Negroes was Seventeen Pounds Ster. per Head.—The Royal African Company's Answer to the Petition of Sir Paul Painter and others, printed in the Year 1667, Page 11.

Anno 1666. The Price of Negroes was Thirty Pounds Ster. per Head.—The same Answer, Page 13.

[37]

Anno 1690. Sir Dalby Thomas rates the Cost of Fifty Negroes at One Thousand two Hundred and Fifty Pounds, which comes to Twenty-five Pounds per Head.—Historical Account of the Rise of the West-India Colonies, printed in the Year 1690, Page 18.

In the Year 1698, the Trade to Africa was so far laid open, that any English Subjects might trade there, upon paying a Duty of Ten per Cent. on their Cargoes outwards and inwards (excepting on Negroes), towards the Charge of maintaining the English Forts, Garrisons, &c. in Africa; and for Ten Years after, Negroes were said to have been sold in our Sugar-Colonies, from Fourteen to Twenty-three Pounds per Head, by the separate African Traders.

But this is denied by an Advocate for the African Company, who says, he had seen Petitions from the then Inhabitants of Barbadoes, Montserrat, and Nevis, to The Honourable House of Commons, setting forth, that the said Inhabitants had not been supplied, either by the African Company or by the separate Traders to Africa, with sufficient Numbers of Negroes; and more over had been obliged to pay from Twenty-five to Forty Pounds in Barbadoes, and from Forty to Sixty Pounds in Montserrat, per Head for the Negroes brought to those Islands.—Reslections, &c. upon the Trade to Africa, printed in the Year 1709, Part 1st, Pages 14 and 15

Nearly the same Account of the then high Prices of Negroes in the British Sugar-Colonies, was soon after given by a Planter.—Observations shewing the Danger of losing the Trade of the Sugar-Colonies, printed in the Year 1714, Page 8.

Anno 1731. An Advocate for the Sugar-Planters, rates the medium Price of Negroe-Men, Women, Boys and Girls, of late Years, at Twenty-two Pounds Ster. per Head.—Detection of the State, &c. of the Sugar-Planters, printed for J. Wilford in the Year 1732, Page 42.

Anno 1744. Mr. Afbley rates the Price of Negroes at Twenty-five Pounds Ster. per Head, as is already mentioned in the State of Exports to the British Sugar-Colonies; and that, I believe, hath been nearly the general Price of Negroes there, for Forty Years last past; but the Accounts here inserted of the former Prices of Negroes, do not give Reason to think that our Sugar-Colonies were either so cheaply or near so regularly and plentifully supplied, in any preceding and like Interval of Time, although Negroes have in some Years been cheaper there.

I did intend to have inferted an Account of the Prices of Negroes on the Coast of Africa, at such Times as I have found them mentioned by the commercial Writers of this Kingdom; but I believe it will be sufficient to observe, that the said Prices were from Two to Three Pounds per Head till within the last Sixty Years, and have since been raised to Six,

Seven, or Eight Pounds per Head: so that though our African Traders have paid above Twice as much per Head for Negroes of late Years, as was paid when the African Trade was carried on by an exclusive Company, yet have the British Colonies been somewhat cheaper and much more plentifully supplied with Negroes, since that Trade hath been laid open to all the subjects of Great Britain.

And upon the whole, it appears, that the British Sugar-Planters have of late Years been supplied with Negroes at as low Prices, as Negroes were fold in the British Sugar-Colonies Seventy or Eighty Years ago, which is all I mean to shew here; for this Advantage in Favour of the present Sugar-Planters is too inconsiderable to be brought to Account; and yet, I was under a Necessity of stating the Matter, as they have publicly and repeatedly said, that Negroes were formerly much cheaper in our Colonies, than Negroes have been of late.

As to the Articles of Rum and Melasses, searcely any of the Former was consumed in England, Forty Years ago; and the Quantities annually distilled here of the Latter, have been about Ten Times as great of late Years, as they were in the Reign of King William; before which Reign, I believe there were but very small Quantities of Melasses Spirits made in this Kingdom; because high Duties were not laid upon French Brandy in England, till after the happy Accession of that great Prince; and it is not likely that many People drank English-made Melasses Spirits when they could buy French Brandy at moderate Prices; nor doth the great Exportation of Melasses from hence to Holland, in the Year 1669 (see Page 24) give any Reason for thinking so,

These Facts and Circumstances being attended to, there appears good Reason for saying, that the Planters who possessed the British Sugar-Colonies Seventy or Eighty Years ago, received but very little Advantage from England, in the Articles of Rum and Melasses, although they then sold and could afford to sell their Sugar at Ten Shillings per 100 Pounds Weight in those Colonies; but it is at the same Time to be observed, on the other Hand, that neither the British nor the French Sugar-Planters did then throw away their Melasses; for what I have inserted in Pages 23 and 24, concerning that Commodity, shews, it was a profitable Article even at that Time, though not of so much Value as the British and North American Consumption of Rum have made Melasses to be of late Years.

So that after considering as well the one as the other of these Things, I am induced to believe, that the Advantages which the present British Sugar-Planters have in the Articles of Rum and Melasses, MORE than their Predecessors had Seventy or Eighty Years ago, are not greater than the said Advantages have of late Years been with respect to the French Sugar-Planters; for which Reason, I shall in this Case, as I have done in the other, rate the said Advantages at no more than Fifty Thousand Pounds a Year.

[39]

As to Supplies of Beef, Pork, and Butter from Ireland, those Commodities have been, upon the Whole, somewhat cheaper of late Years, than the like Commodities were Seventy or Fighty Years ago, according to the Accounts given thereof, by Sir Francis Brewster and Arthur Dobbs Esq.—for the Prices of Irish Pork and Butter are considerably fallen, and the Price of Irish salted Beef hath continued at about Eighteen Shillings per Barrel.—Brewster on Trade, Page 106, &c.—Dobbs on the Trade of Ireland. Part 1st Page 19.

But it seems reasonable, on the other Hand, to think that the Supplies of Provisions, Lumber, Horses, &c. from the British North-American Colonies, have of late Years been made dearer to the British Sugar-Planters, by the great Consumption of such Commodities in the French Sugar-Colonies; and therefore I shall not bring any Thing to Account for the preceding Article, but consider the present Sugar-Planters Advantage under that Head, as ballanced, by the Disadvantage to them under this Head.

I do not know that there hath been any material Alteration in the Prices of our Woollen Manufactures within Seventy or Eighty Years last past; nor can the Consumption of Woollen Cloathing be considerable in such hot Climates as the British Sugar-Colonies are situated: but the prefent British Sugar-Planters are supplied with Linen at cheaper Rates than their Predecessors could buy that Commodity.

For the vast Improvements made of late Years in the British and Irish Linen Manufacturies, have considerably lowered the Prices of Linen Cloth, &c.; infomuch, that foreign Linens are said to be Twenty per Cent. cheaper than formerly; and as the Consumption of Linen in our Sugar-Colonies must be very great, in Proportion to the Number of white People there, a Fall of Twenty or even of Ten per Cent. in the Price of that Commodity, is material to them.

But as there possibly may be an Increase in some other Articles of Expence to the present Sugar-Planters, which do not occur to me, I shall not reckon any Thing for their Saving in the Article of Linen, although it probably amounts to Twenty Thousand Pounds a Year; and as to their increased Charges, by paying higher Wages to their white Servants, I have considered that Matter in estimating the Sugar-Planters Savings, by not employing so many of such Servants, or of Negroes, and have therein made ample Allowance for the said increased Charges.

L Bull.

[40]

An ABSTRACT of this comparative Account of the Advantages and Disadvantages which have arisen of late Years to the British Sugar-Planters; shewing the Ability of those Planters to fell Sugar at confiderably lower Prices than their Predeceffors could afford that Commodity, Seventy or Eighty Years ago.

ADVANTAGES.

t. By leff	fer Numbers of white Servants and of Negroes .	Annual Amount.
D 1	C 1' 1 '.1 M	. & 52,500
2. By ben	ng supplied with Negroes at lower Prices	not reckoned
	ling Rum and Melasses at higher Prices	
4. By bei	ing cheaper supplied, upon the Whole, with Beef	,
Pork, a	and Butter from Ireland—applied to ballance 6th	1
Article		
5. By bei	ing cheaper supplied with Linens, £20,000	not reckoned

DISADVANTAGES.	
6. From the increased Prices of North American Provisions, Lumber, &c.—ballanced by 4th Article —	
7. From the increased Wages of white Servants { allo	owed for in It Article
The Advantages exceed the Disadvantages, according to	

this Account, per Annum £102,500

From hence I infer, that the present British Sugar-Planters can afford to fell brown or Muscovado Sugar, in the British Sugar-Colonies, at Eight Shillings Ster. per 100 Pounds Weight, AS WELL AS their Predecessors could afford to fell fuch Sugar there at Ten Shillings Ster. per 100 Pounds Weight, Seventy or Eighty Years ago; for the above Sum of One Hundred and two Thousand Five Hundred Pounds a Year, being divided upon Seventy-five Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar, of 1,500 Pounds nett Weight each, comes to nearly One Shilling Ten Pence per 100 lb. of Sugar, and the least of the Advantages not reckoned in this Account will more than make up the Deficiency of 2 d. per 100 lb.

But it is necessary to know HOW WELL the present British Sugar-Planters can afford to fell their Muscovado Sugar at Eight Shillings Ster. per 100 lb. in the Sugar-Colonies; and, upon this Occasion, I shall refer to Mr. Ashley's own Account, in the Supplement to his Memoirs, Page 11; for according to my State of the Exports to and Imports from those Colonies, 100 lb. of Muscovado Sugar costs the Planter SIX Shillings Sterling; but according to Mr. Ashley's Computations, that Quantity of such Sugar stands the Planter in only FIVE Shillings Sterling.

An ACCOUNT

CALCUL

Made to Supply,

ad lin , stitory E

J. WYSSIE.

COMMONS:

BRILVIN

t Anna h this Kingdom; b Subjects;

I Duty,

The Predeceffors of those Planters Sugars at fuch moderate Prices as ena heads of Sugar yearly in foreign Euro having the least national Merit of this together with several Millions of Brit. exorbitant Prices for Sugar.

The British Sugar-Colonies cont count which I have stated of the Planters with respect to each other, supplying other Nations with Sugar, recovering the foreign European Sug and Naval Power of their Mother-Co most ungratefully abused the Protect have amassed vast Fortunes at the E

So that confidering what a value Wealth and Naval Power of Great B: private and exorbitant Lucre, I do Profit per Acre of their Lands, as th an Additional Duty of Twelve Shill portionably higher Duties on refine Planters should raise their Muscovai Importation of foreign Sugars in Bri.

The fame Sort of Bounds have w facturers, as also to the Profits of tl Subjects thereby supported do undo Kingdom is indebted for the prospero facturies; for the Persons therein cor. Linens or Silks to exorbitant Prices, t and for that, or other Reasons, the s as have enabled our Merchants to ver open Markets.

But contrary Measures have unhap from the Smallness of their Number and that Contrariety of Measures hatl of the People of England; for by tha Sugar-Trade and Employment for also; and as the said Wealth and Nav the French, those Losses have been the

guerds to Four pado Sugar (and The Amount of

of this comparative Account of the Advanintages which have arisen of late Years to the inters; shewing the Ability of those Planters to addrably lower Prices than their Predecessors Commodity, Seventy or Eighty Years ago.

ADVANTAGES.

	Annual Amount.
s of white Servants and of Negroes .	€ 52,500
with Negroes at lower Prices	not reckoned
and Melasses at higher Prices	. 50,000
Supplied, upon the Whole, with Beef,	
from Ireland-applied to ballance 6th	-

applied with Linens, £20,000	not reckoned

ISADVANTAGES.

Prices of North American Provisions,			ns,	ifio	rov	ı P	rica	orth Ame	of N	Prices o	
ed Wages of white Servants { allowed for in	for in	allowed 1st Ar	. {	•		s	, vant	Article white Ser	4th of w	iced by Wages	lan ed

reed the Disadvantages, according to

that the present British Sugar-Planters can afford to ado Sugar, in the British Sugar-Colonies, at Eight Pounds Weight, AS WELL AS their Predecessors ach Sugar there at Ten Shillings Ster. per 100 Pounds Eighty Years ago; for the above Sum of One Hunded Five Hundred Pounds a Year, being divided upon Hogsheads of Sugar, of 1,500 Pounds nett Weight aly One Shilling Ten Pence per 100 lb. of Sugar, and intages not reckoned in this Account will more than ency of 2 d. per 100 lb.

y to know HOW WELL the present British Sugarto sell their Muscovado Sugar at Eight Shillings Ster. per
ar-Colonies; and, upon this Occasion, I shall refer to
account, in the Supplement to his Memoirs, Page 11;
by State of the Exports to and Imports from those Coloaccovado Sugar costs the Planter SIX Shillings Sterling;
ar. Ashley's Computations, that Quantity of such Sugar
only FIVE Shillings Sterling.

An ACCOUNT

[1]

CALCULATIONS and OBSERVATIONS relating to an Additional Duty upon Sugar.

Made to Supply, in some Measure, the Want of the Remainder of the annexed Treatise, which cannot be completed in less than a Fortnight, or thereabout.

an Acre of Canes, upon an Average of Years, is Two Thousand Pounds Weight; so that Fifty-six. Thousand Two Hundred and Fifty Acres of Sugar-Canes, yield Seventy-sive Thousand Hogsheads of Muscovado Sugar, each containing Twelve Cent. lb. after allowing One-Tenth Part for Waste.

But as Sugar-Canes are more than a Year in growing to their full Maturity, Twice 56,250 Acres of Land are requisite to produce 75,000 Hogsheads of Muscovado Sugar annually, even supposing that all such Land was to be constantly employed, which must necessarily wear it out of Heart; and if first One Half and then the other Half of the British Sugar-Cane Land do alternately lie fallow for Two Years after producing their respective Crops, there must then be Two Hundred and Twenty-sive Thousand Acres of Land employed to produce Seventy-sive Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar yearly.

This is the greatest Number of Acres that can be reckoned upon for Sugar-Cane Lands, to yield such an annual Crop of Sugar; and to this I shall add Seventy-five Thousand Acres of Land for the Production of Ginger, Coffee, Cotton, &c. as also for the growing of Indian Corn, feeding of Cattle, Horses, Sheep, &c.; which will give for the whole Quantity of cultivated or employed Land in Jamaica, Barbadoes, and the Leeward Islands, Three Hundred Thousand Acres; and considering the bad Husbandry of many British Planters, in not giving their Lands Time to recover their Fertility, this Quantity is undoubtedly more than they have at any Time employed.

However, I shall divide the clear annual Saving of Five Shillings per 112 Pounds Weight, or Three Pounds Ster. per Hogshead of 12 Cent. lb. of Muscovado Sugar, upon Three Hundred Thoufand Acres of Land, as before appropriated; and as that Saving is to be computed upon Seventy-five Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar annually, the whole Amount thereof will be Two Hundred and Twenty-five Thousand Pounds a Year, which comes to Fifteen Shillings are Acre of Land.

Twenty-five Thousand Pounds a Year, which comes to Fifteen Shillings per Acre of Land.

Whereas, the Landholders of England are so far from being in a Capacity of saving Fifteen Shillings per Acre of Land out of their annual Rents, that I much question whether the cultivated or employed Lands in England are, or can be, lett for Fifteen Shillings an Acre per Annum, upon a general Average throughout the Kingdom; but admitting that the said Lands are lett at such Rent, One-Third Part thereof is paid either for Taxes or Repairs; so that the Landholders do not receive more than Ten Shillings per Acre annually for their own proper Uses; and if they are such good Oeconomists as to lay by One Half of the nett Income, their annual Savings will then be only Five Shillings per Acre of Land.

Now Landwere at a Loss to find out any Reason why the Owners of Lands in the Reitish Colonies.

Husbandry of many British Planters, in not giving their Lands Time to recover their Fertility, this Quantity is undoubtedly more than they have at any Time employed.

However, I shall divide the clear annual Saving of Five Shillings per 112 Pounds Weight, or Three Pounds Ster. per Hogshead of 12 Cent. lb. of Muscovado Sugar, upon Three Hundred Thoufand Acres of Land, as before appropriated; and as that Saving is to be computed upon Seventy-five Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar annually, the whole Amount thereof will be Two Hundred and Twenty-five Thousand Pounds a Year, which comes to Fifteen Shillings per Acre of Land.

Whereas, the Landholders of England are so far from being in a Capacity of saving Fifteen Shillings per Acre of Land out of their annual Rents, that I much question whether the cultivated or employed Lands in England are, or can be, lett for Fifteen Shillings an Acre per Annum, upon a general Average throughout the Kingdom; but admitting that the said Lands are lett at such Rent, One-Third Part thereof is paid either for Taxes or Repairs; so that the Landholders do not receive more than Ten Shillings per Acre annually for their own proper Uses; and if they are such good Oeconomists as to lay by One Half of the nett Income, their annual Savings will then be only Five Shillings per Acre of Land.

Now I am utterly at a Loss to find out any Reason why the Owners of Lands in the British Colonies should be permitted to make greater Profits by their Estates, than the Landholders of England can possibly gain by the like Quantities of Land; more especially as the vast Charges of protecting and securing those Colonies are, or must be, all paid by the Landholders, &c. of England: for if the Inhabitants of all the British Colonies were to gain only One Shilling an Acre yearly, from this Kingdom, for their Lands, the annual Ballance gained by all our foreign Trade would not be sufficient to pay the Money; and what the British Sugar-Planters have done to merit such a vast Profit as 15 s. per Acre of their Lands, is to me a perfect Mystery.

The

[2]

The Predeceffors of those Planters had some national Merit to plead in their behalf, for they sold Sugars at such moderate Prices as enabled the British Merchants to vend about Twenty Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar yearly in foreign European Markets; but the present Race of Planters are so far from having the least national Merit of this Sort, that they have caused the Loss of the said profitable Trade, together with several Millions of British Wealth, and have obliged the People of England to pay most exorbitant Prices for Sugar.

The British Sugar-Colonies contain Land enough to supply all Europe with Sugar, and the Account which I have stated of the Advantages and Disadvantages of the British and French Sugar-Planters with respect to each other, shews, that in Time of Peace the Former were in a Capacity of supplying other Nations with Sugar, considerably cheaper than the Latter can afford it; but instead of recovering the foreign European Sugar-Trade from the French, and of thereby increasing the Wealth and Naval Power of their Mother-Country to which they owe all their Riches, our Sugar-Planters have most ungratefully abused the Protection granted to them by the Legislature, and by their Exorbitance have amassed vast Fortunes at the Expence of their Fellow-Subjects.

So that considering what a valuable Addition the British Sugar-Planters might have made to the Wealth and Naval Power of Great Britain, and how greatly they have diminished both, for their own private and exorbitant Lucre, I do not see how the said Planters can expect to be allowed so much Prosit per Acre of their Lands, as the Landholders of England receive for their Estates; but in Case an Additional Duty of Twelve Shillings per 112 Pounds should be laid on Muscovado Sugar (and proportionably higher Duties on refined Sugars) imported into this Kingdom, and the British Sugar-Planters should raise their Muscovado Sugar to 35 s. per 112 lb. I most humbly propose, that the Importation of foreign Sugars in British Ships should be permitted, upon paying the like Duties.

The fame Sort of Bounds have wifely been set to the Profits of the British and Irish Linen Manufacturers, as also to the Profits of the British silk Manufacturers; although the Number of British Subjects thereby supported do undoubtedly amount to Two Millions; and to those wise Bounds this Kingdom is indebted for the prosperous, vastly increased, and still increasing State of the said Manufacturies; for the Persons therein concerned knew very well that if they attempted to raise either their Linens or Silks to exorbitant Prices, the People of this Nation would buy Dutch Linens or Italian Silks; and for that, or other Reasons, the said Persons have contented themselves with such moderate Profits, as have enabled our Merchants to vend great Quantities of British Linens and Silks yearly in foreign and open Markets.

But contrary Measures have unhappily been pursued in regard to the British Sugar-Planters, altho' from the Smallness of their Number an Alteration in this respect might long ago have been expected; and that Contrariety of Measures hath produced diametrically opposite Effects, to the great Detrines of the People of England; for by that Means this Kingdom hath not only lost the foreign European Sugar-Trade and Employment for 100 Ships and 1000 British Seamen, but many Millions of Wealth also; and as the said Wealth and Naval Advantages have been chiefly gained by our inveterate Enemies the French, those Losses have been thereby doubled to England.

The Amount of the proposed additional Duty upon Sugar.

The annual Confumption of Sugar in Great Britain only, is full Sixty Thousand Hogsheads of 12 Cent. lb. each; and an additional Duty of Twelve Shillings per 112 Pounds on Muscovado Sugar (and proportionably higher Duties on refined Sugars) will amount, for 60,000 such Hogsheads to Four Hundred and Thirty-two Thousand Pounds a Year.

So that near Twelve Millions of Pounds may be raised upon such an additional Duty, Without making Sugar dearer in Great Britain than it hath been of late Years; Without distressing the British Sugar-Planters, or prejudicing any other Class of British Subjects; Without breaking any Treaty of Commerce, or giving Umbrage to any State in Amity with this Kingdom; Without creating a new Sett of Commissioners and Revenue-Officers, to manage the Duty; And with a Certainty of increasing,

THE TRADE, WEALTH AND NAVAL POWER OF GREAT BRITAIN.

All which is most humbly submitted to THE HONOURABLE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

4.1 An ACCOUNT of the Charges of annually five Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar of 1,500 and Fifty Thousand Hogsheads of Rum and lons each, in the British Sugar-Colonies.—as John Askley, Esq; formerly Deputy-Surveyor as His Majesty's Revenues in Barbadoes. ANNUAL CHARG For Commodities and Manufactures, from Great B For Six Thousand Negroes from Africa, at £25 each For falted Fish, Lumber, Timber, Tobacco, Rice, Co &c. from the British North-American Colonies For Taxes paid in the Sugar-Colonies, viz. 4 per Co Militia, Fortifications, Governors, &c. The Total of these Charges, as computed by Mr. From which deduct, for an Over-charge, in Taxes And the Charges of making the above mentioned Q Sugar, Rum, and Melasses, will be . . . * According to feveral public Accounts of the 4½ per Cent Leavard Islands, inserted in Mr. Appley's Memoirs, the greatest was £9,490 Sterling a Year; and Mr. Appley says, that the public about £6,000 of their Currency per Annum; which Two Suttern Thousand Pounds Sterling; so that I have admitted of about a Year for Taxes, more than is here made out; and therefore the public Taxes wised in the Prints Sugar Colonies do not among the public Taxes raised in the British Sugar-Colonies do not amo Sterling per Annum. An ACCOUNT of the Money which Quantities of Sugar, Rum, and Melasses amou Rates expressed in my State of the Exports to British Sugar-Colonies. 75,000 Hogsheads of Sugar, of 1,500 lb. nett Weig 6 s. Sterling per 100 lb. Add for the Difference of Value between Clayed and Sugars, on 7500 Hogsheads 50,000 Hogsheads of Rum and Melasses of 100 Ga These Quantities of Sugar, Rum, and Melasses amount, Rates, to
But the Charges of producing the same, according to N Account, after deducting his Over-charge for Taxes So that my Account of the Charges of the aforesaid P exceeds Mr. Ashley's Account of those Charges

The Amount of the proposed additional Duty upon sugar.

The annual Consumption of Sugar in Great Britain only, is full Sixty Thousand Hogsheads of 12 Cent. lb. each; and an additional Duty of Twelve Shillings per 112 Pounds on Muscovado Sugar (and proportionably higher Duties on refined Sugars) will amount, for 60,000 such Hogsheads to Four Hundred and Thirty-two Thousand Pounds a Year.

So that near Twelve Millions of Pounds may be raised upon such an additional Duty, Without making Sugar dearer in Great Britain than it hath been of late Years; Without distressing the British Sugar-Planters, or prejudicing any other Class of British Subjects; Without breaking any Treaty of Commerce, or giving Umbrage to any State in Amity with this Kingdom; Without creating a new Sett of Commissioners and Revenue-Officers, to manage the Duty;

And with a Certainty of increasing,

THE TRADE, WEALTH AND NAVAL POWER OF GREAT BRITAIN.

All which is most humbly submitted to THE HONOURABLE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

By J. MASSIE.

WESTMINSTER, 20th January, 1759.

Memorandum. The Sugar-Planters Calculations concerning their Taxes, Stock, and Profits, will be

[2]

had some national Merit to plead in their behalf, for they sold bled the British Merchants to vend about Twenty Thousand Hogspean Markets; but the present Race of Planters are so far from sort, that they have caused the Loss of the said prositable Trade, ish Wealth, and have obliged the People of England to pay most

ain Land enough to supply all Europe with Sugar, and the Ac-Advantages and Disadvantages of the British and French Sugar-shews, that in Time of Peace the Former were in a Capacity of considerably cheaper than the Latter can afford it; but instead of ar-Trade from the French, and of thereby increasing the Wealth nuntry to which they owe all their Riches, our Sugar-Planters have ion granted to them by the Legislature, and by their Exorbitance xpence of their Fellow-Subjects.

nable Addition the British Sugar-Planters might have made to the ritain, and how greatly they have diminished both, for their own not see how the said Planters can expect to be allowed so much the Landholders of England receive for their Estates; but in Caseings per 112 Pounds should be laid on Muscovado Sugar (and prod Sugars) imported into this Kingdom, and the British Sugar-lo Sugar to 35 s. per 112 lb. I most humbly propose, that the tish Ships should be permitted, upon paying the like Duties. ifely been set to the Profits of the British and Irish Linen Manu-

isely been set to the Prosits of the British and Irish Linen Manune British silk Manusacturers; although the Number of British ubtedly amount to Two Millions; and to those wise Bounds this us, vastly increased, and still increasing State of the said Manuscerned knew very well that if they attempted to raise either their the People of this Nation would buy Dutch Linens or Italian Silks; aid Persons have contented themselves with such moderate Prosits, and great Quantities of British Linens and Silks yearly in foreign and

pily been pursued in regard to the British Sugar-Planters, altho' an Alteration in this respect might long ago have been expected; i produced diametrically opposite Effects, to the great Detriment t Means this Kingdom hath not only lost the foreign European 100 Ships and 1000 British Seamen, but many Millions of Wealth ral Advantages have been chiefly gained by our inveterate Enemies nereby doubled to England.

the proposed additional Duty upon Sugar.

. Cour Patrice only is full Siving Thousand Hoosheads of 12

An ACCOUNT of the Charges of annually producing Seventy-five Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar of 1,500 lb. nett Weight each, and Fifty Thousand Hogsheads of Rum and Melasses of 100 Gallons each, in the British Sugar-Colonies.—as computed by the late John Askley, Esq. formerly Deputy-Surveyor and Auditor general of His Majesty's Revenues in Barbadocs.

ANNUAL CHARGES. Amount. For Commodities and Manufactures, from Great Britain, Ire-
land, and the Madeira Islands £277,000 For Six Thousand Negroes from Africa, at £25 each
&c. from the British North-American Colonies 160,000
For Taxes paid in the Sugar-Colonies, viz. 4½ per Cent. and for
Militia, Fortifications, Governors, &c 60,000
The Total of these Charges, as computed by Mr. Ashley, is 647,000 From which deduct, for an Over-charge, in Taxes * 20,000 And the Charges of making the above mentioned Quantities of Sugar, Rum, and Melasses, will be 627,000 * According to several public Accounts of the 4½ per Cent. Duty in Barbadoes and the Leeward Islands, inserted in Mr. Ashley's Memoirs, the greatest nett Produce of that Duty was £9,490 Sterling a Year; and Mr. Ashley says, that the public Taxes in Jamaica amount to about £6,000 of their Currency per Annum; which Two Sums do not make quite Fourtern Thousand Pounds Sterling; so that I have admitted of above Twenty Thousand Pounds a Year for Taxes, more than is here made out; and therefore am inclined to think that all the public Taxes raised in the British Sugar-Colonies do not amount to Forty Thousand Pounds Sterling per Annum.
An ACCOUNT of the Money which the above-mentioned Quantities of Sugar, Rum, and Melasses amount to, at the several Rates expressed in my State of the Exports to and Imports from the British Sugar-Colonies.
75,000 Hogsheads of Sugar, of 1,500 lb. nett Weight each, at
6 s. Sterling per 100 lb
Sugars, on 7500 Hogsheads
at £6 per Hogshead 300,000
These Quantities of Sugar, Rum, and Melasses amount, at the said Rates, to
Account, after deducting his Over-charge for <i>Taxes</i> , is only So that my Account of the Charges of the aforefaid Production,
exceeds Mr. Ashley's Account of those Charges 55,500 M Which

Which Sum of Fifty-five Thousand five Hundred Pounds being divided upon Seventy-five Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar of 1,500 lb. each, comes to nearly One Shilling per 100 lb. of Sugar; and consequently, according to Mr. Ashley's Account, the Charge of producing Muscovado Sugar, is only Five Shillings per 100 Pounds Weight, including for Taxes paid in the British Sugar-Colonies; whereas in my State of the Exports to and Imports from those Colonies, the said Charge is reckoned at Six Shillings Sterling per 100 Pounds Weight, exclusive of Taxes; so that upon the Whole, there is a Difference of about One Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year, between Mr. Ashley's Account and mine, which makes an Over-Rate on my Part, of near Two Shillings per 100 Pounds Weight of Sugar.

But it is necessary to acquaint the Reader, that neither the said Account, nor any Part of Mr. Ashley's "Memoirs and Considerations concerning the "Trade and Revenues of the British Colonies in America" are to be looked upon merely as his own Productions; for in the Presace to the sirst Part of those Memoirs, he says "It is, therefore, at the Request of several "eminent Planters and Merchants, I have ushered every Part into the "World"—so that his Memoirs have had the Sanction of eminent Planters and Merchants.

This being the Case, I cannot with Propriety avoid acknowledging, that I have over-rated the Charges of making Sugar, near Two Shillings per 100 Pounds Weight, including for Taxes paid in the British Sugar-Colonies; and I do it with more Readiness, as I have been obliged to point out Mr. Ashley's Mistakes, or Insincerity, in other Matters: though I cannot help observing at the same Time, that I believe he did not expect to have his Account divested of the several Charges which he hath inserted there for Taxes; nor did I before 2 Feb. 1759 examine, what the Charges of making Sugar come to, according to that Account.

I knew, at the Time of forming my State of the Exports to and Imports from the British Sugar-Colonies, that, by charging for all the Exports from Ireland to America, and only Sixty Thousand Pounds a Year for Merchandize exported to the Spanish Settlements, &c. by Way of Jamaica, I had much over-charged the Sugar-Planters; because the British North American Traders must necessarily consume a great Part of those Irish Exports; and because 7,644 white People in Jamaica, could not want Half so great Quantities of British Commodities and Manusactures, as 18,295 white People in Barbadoes.—Representation from the Board of Trade, Pages 7 and 9.

I likewise thought that Eighty Thousand Pounds a Year for Madeira Wines, was too much for so small a Number of People as the Sugar-Planters make; and that I should not have added so much as Fifty Thousand Pounds per Annum to Mr. Ashley's Charges for Negroes and North American Supplies.

But

[43]

But as from the first, it was my Intention, not to give the Sugar-Planters any just Cause of Complaint against me for Misrepresentations to their Prejudice, I chose to let those Over-Charges stand, after annexing to the Articles, such Expression as shewed I was apprized thereof, though I could not ascertain the same; and the Over-Charges so made, may now be rectified with some Degree of Authority; since, according to Mr. Askley's Account, as well as from the Circumstances here expressed or referred to, it is in some Measure evident, that I have charged the British Sugar-Planters with about One Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year, more than is chargeable to their Account as Sugar-Planters.

It is also to be considered, that the Fifty Thousand Hogsheads of Rum and Melasses are here valued at only Six Pounds Sterling per Hogshead, in the Places of Production, as Mr. Ashley hath rated them, although the Sugar-Planters may, for aught I know, sell those Commodities at Seven Pounds per Hogshead; and if that should be the Case, the Charges of producing Muscovado Sugar will be no more than Four Shillings Sterling per 100 Pounds Weight, including for Taxes paid in the British Sugar-Colonies: but I shall state this Matter according to Mr. Ashley's own Shewing, because I would much rather err in Favour of the Sugar-Planters, than to their Prejudice.

Now as, after allowing for Colony-Taxes, the aforefaid annual Over-Charge made by me in Favour of the British Sugar-Planters, amounts to One Shilling per 100 Pounds Weight, upon a yearly Crop of Seventy-five Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar, containing 1,500 lb. nett Weight in the Sugar-Colonies; it necessarily followeth, as well from Mr. Abley's Account as from mine, that when the said Planters sell Muscovado Sugar in those Colonies, at Eight Shillings Sterling per 100 lb. they gain Three Shillings by each Hundred Weight, over and above the Maintenance of their Families.

Which clear Gain and Saving, upon fuch an annual Crop of Sugar, amounts to One Hundred and Sixty-eight Thousand Seven Hundred and Fifty Pounds Sterling per Annum, and is to be divided upon the Quantity of Land required to annually produce that Quantity of Sugar; for when the Landholders, &c. of England know how much Money per Acre of Land the said clear Gain and Saving of Three Shillings per 100 Pounds Weight of Sugar comes to, the Money which they save per Acre of their Lands, will enable them to judge of the Sugar-Planters Profits.

And the first Thing necessary to be ascertained for this Purpose, is, the Quantity of Muscovado Sugar produced from an Acre of Canes in the British Colonies, upon a Medium as well in regard to the unequal Fertility of the Land, as the variable Fruitfulness of the Seasons there; but as that medium Produce cannot be determined without knowing what Quantities

[44]

of Sugar, per Acre of Canes, have been produced in different Parts of those Colonies, it will be requisite to insert what the Sugar-Planters, their Advocates, and other Writers, have said concerning these Matters.

I have already had Occasion to mention what Mr. Ligon hath said of the Quantities of Sugar produced from an Acre of Canes in Barbadoes, viz. Four Thousand Pounds Weight from an Acre of good Canes, and not less than Two Thousand Pounds Weight from any Acre of Canes; but the greatest of those Quantities is not to be regarded in this Case, as the Inhabitants of that Island did not begin to make Sugar till after the Year 1640, and Mr. Ligon's Account relates to Crops of Sugar produced there before or in the Year 1650, when the Land was newly broke up.

Anno 1690. Sir Dalby Thomas gives the following Account of the yearly Produce of Sugar in Barbadoes, from a Plantation of One Hundred Acres of Land; Twenty of which were fet apart for Pasture, Provisions, and a Nursery for Canes to plant with; so that the Quantity of Sugar-Cane Land was Eighty Acres.

"A Plantation of 100 Acres well stocked and provided as aforefaid, and managed to its full Height, without those accidental Casualties which often [happen], may probably produce annually Eighty Hogsheads of Sugar, of One Thousand Pounds Weight each Hogshead; that is, Two Thousand Pounds Weight of Muscovado Sugar from each Acre [of the reaped Land]; and of Melasses, Twenty Hogsheads of Seven Hundred Pounds Weight each Hogshead." Page 15.

Anno 1733. An Advocate for the Sugar-Planters, whose Account of great Variations in Crops of Sugar I have already taken Notice of, hath managed his Calculations so as to make a Product of Two Thousand One Hundred Pounds Weight of Sugar annually per Acre of good Land in Barbadoes, upon an Average of Five Years; but what I have said in Page 35, concerning those Calculations, gives great Reason to believe, that they were intended for no other Use than to bring out only a small Ballance for the Sugar-Planter; and no Man can reasonably imagine, that Land which hath remained sallow Two Years, will produce only One-Twentieth Part more Sugar, Corn, &cc. upon an Average of many Crops, than such Land did produce when it was employed without Intermission in growing Crops of the same Kind.

As to the Quantities of Sugar, per Acre of Land, produced in Jamaica, Sir Hans Sloane fays, that One Acre of Canes yields sometimes Four Thoufand [Pounds Weight] of Sugar, commonly Two Thousand.—Introduction to his Natural History of that Island, Page 62; and as the Crops here spoken of, were such as the Lands in Jamaica produced after it had been possessed by the English about Thirty Years, the lesser of those Quantities may properly enough be taken for a medium Crop per Acre of Land, upon an Average of Time.

[45]

HE MANAGEMENT CONTROL

I do not however imagine that the true medium Produce of Sugar there, is so little as Two Thousand Pounds Weight from an Acre of Canes; for some other Writers give Reason to think it is greater, and they do the like in regard to the British Leeward Islands; but the Sugar-Planters, and their Advocates, have generally placed Barbadoes in the Front of their Complaints, as a worn-out Island, and mentioned as little as may be of Jamaica, or of the Leeward Islands; so that I have not been able to meet with much concerning Crops of Sugar there.

The Author of a little Tract, called "A General Survey of that Part of the Island of St. Christopher's which formerly belonged to France, &c." published in the Year 1722, says that "all the Planters and others of that Island with whom he happened to converse, on that subject, seem to agree in this, that Ten Thousand Acres of the best Part of the aforesaid Lands will, by a moderate Computation, reckoning one Acre with another, produce at the Rate of Three Thousand [Pounds] Weight of Sugar, per Acre, communibus Annis." Page 36.

Which feveral Matters being confidered, there appears to me good Reason for believing, that the general medium Produce of Sugar per Acre of Land, in the British Colonies, is more than Two Thousand Pounds Weight; but as I have all along endeavoured rather to fall short of than to exceed the Truth, I shall rate the medium Produce of Muscovado Sugar in those Colonies, at only Two Thousand Pounds Weight per Acre, upon an Average of Crops.

At which Estimation, Fifty-six Thousand Two Hundred and Fifty Acres of Sugar-Canes, yield Seventy-sive Thousand Hogsheads of Muscovado Sugar, each weighing 1,500 lb. nett in the Sugar-Colonies, or 1,350 lb. nett here, after allowing One-Tenth Part for Waste; but as Sugar-Canes are more than a Year in growing to their full Maturity, Twice 56,250 Acres of Land are requisite to produce 75,000 Hogsheads of Sugar annually, even supposing that all such Land was to be constantly employed, which must necessarily impoverish it very much; and if first One-Half, and then the other Half of the British Sugar-Cane Lands, are alternately to remain sallow Two Years, after producing their respective Crops, there must then be Two Hundred and Twenty-sive Thousand Acres of Land employed, to produce Seventy-sive Thousand such Hogsheads of Sugar yearly.

This is the greatest Number of Acres that can be reckoned upon for Sugar-Cane Lands, to yield such an annual Crop of Sugar; and to this I shall add Seventy-sive Thousand Acres of Land, for the growing of Indian Corn, feeding of Cattle, Sheep, Horses, &c. although, according to Sir Dalby Thomas's Account of a Sugar-Plantation, 56,250 Acres of Land is as much as the Sugar-Planters employ for those several Uses; but I would willingly make ample Allowance in this Case, and therefore shall add 75,000 Acres as before mentioned; which will give for the whole Quantity of cultivated

or employed Land in all the Sugar-Plantations, in Jamaica, Barbadoes, and the British Leeward Islands, Three Hundred Thousand Acres; and considering, as well the Over-Rate of above Eighteen Thousand Acres for Corn, Pasture, &c. Lands, as the bad Husbandry of many of the British Sugar-Planters, in not giving the Sugar-Cane Lands Time to recover their natural Fertility, 300,000 Acres probably are One-Sixth Part more Land than those Planters have at any Time employed in, or on Account of, Sugar-Plantations.

However, I shall divide the clear annual Gain and Saving of Three Shillings Sterling per 100 Pounds Weight, or Two Pounds Five Shillings per Hogshead, of Muscovado Sugar, in the British Sugar-Colonies, upon Three Hundred Thousand Acres of Land, as before appropriated; and as that Gain and Saving is to be computed upon Seventy-five Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar annually, the whole Amount thereof will be One Hundred and Sixty-eight Thousand Seven Hundred and Fifty Pounds a Year, which comes to Eleven Shillings and Three Pence per Acre of Land.

So that One Shilling per 100 Pounds Weight of Mulcovado Sugar, clearly gained and faved by the Sugar-Planters in the British Colonies, makes a clear Gain and Saving of Three Shillings and Nine Pence an Acre yearly, upon all the Lands cultivated or employed there, by or for those Sugar-Planters; and if the said Gain and Saving be computed upon Sugar after it is brought from the British Sugar-Colonies to Great Britain, and hath wasted One-Tenth Part of its Quantity, the Gain will in Reality be just the same, as the Loss by Waste, is made good in the Price of the Sugar that is sold; although the Rate of Prosit, upon the Quantity of Sugar sold, will, in that Case, be only Three Shillings per Acre of Land.

These several Facts, Calculations and Observations, will make it easy for the Landholders of England, to compare the British Sugar-Planters Profits with their own, as each Shilling Profit per 100 lb. of Sugar, makes an annual Profit of Three Shillings and Nine Pence per Acre of Land: and since it appears by the Account inserted in Page 40, that those Sugar-Planters can afford to sell Muscovado Sugar for EIGHT Shillings Sterling per 100 lb. in the British Sugar-Colonies, AS WELL AS their Predecessors could afford the like Sugar at TEN Shillings per 100 lb. I cannot see any Reason for their having more than Eight Shillings Sterling per 100 Pounds Weight.

Nor can I help faying, it gives me great Satisfaction to have so clear a Proof, as these Things afford, of the Sincerity and Candour of that English Merchant whose Account of the Price of Sugar, and of the Profits of the Sugar-Planters, preceding the Reign of King William, I have inserted in Page 33: but there is no knowing what to say of the Insincerity of the Sugar-Planters, or their Advocates, at that Time, in venting Groans upon Paper which did not exist in Nature; because those Planters then gained

[47]

about Twice as much Money per Acre of Land, as the Landholders of England gained by their Estates.

For it is well known, that the Lands of this Country, in general, have been lett at confiderably higher Rents of late Years, than the fame were lett for, Seventy or Eighty Years ago; and yet, I very much doubt, whether all the cultivated or employed Lands in England, either are, or can be, lett for Fifteen Shillings an Acre per Annum, upon a general Average throughout the feveral Counties thereof; but admitting that the faid Lands are lett at fuch Rent, One-Third Part thereof is paid either for Taxes or Repairs, so that the Landholders do not receive more than Ten Shillings per Acre annually, for their own proper Uses; and if they are such good Occonomists as to lay by, One Half of the nett Income, their annual Savings will then be only Five Shillings per Acre of Land.

Whereas, at the very Time that the Sugar-Planters, or some Persons in their Behalf, were iffuing their Complaints, under the alarming and pathetic Title of " The Groans of the Plantations," they were gaining about Twice as much Money per Acre of Land, as the Landholders of England have at any Time gained by their Estates; and that is all I have to say upon this Head.

Concerning the Manner of carrying on the British Sugar-Colony Trade.

When the Islands of Barbadoes, Antigua, St. Christophers, Nevis, Montferrat, and Jamaica, were first settled by the English, the Persons who went there, confined themselves to their proper Profession of planting Telacco, Cotton, Indico, and last of all, Sugar; and so long as they, or their Successors, continued to act in their proper Sphere, the Sugar-Colony Trade was carried on in an orderly and profitable Manner with Respect to the People of England, at whose Expence the Sugar-Colonies were from the first, and still continue to be, protected.

For the Merchants of England exported on their proper Account, such English, Irish, and foreign Commodities and Manufactures as were wanted by those Planters, and they paid for the same in Sugar, Cotton, Indico, &c. which Commodities were fold here, by the English Merchants; and the Sugar-Colony Trade being open to all the People of England, the Merchants thereof freely enjoyed their native Privilege of exporting from hence for their Benefit, all such Commodities and Manusactures of English Growth or Fabric, as were wanted by the said Planters.

By which Means, a fair Profit of about Forty Thousand Pounds a Year was formerly gained upon the English or other Commodities and Manufactures which were fent to the English Sugar-Colonies as aforesaid, and so much

much Money was thereby annually added to the Wealth of England:—the monopolizing of Sugar in this Kingdom, as well as the monopolizing of English Commodities in the faid Colonies, were likewise prevented by those Means; for the Merchants being a proper Sort of Middle-Men in each Case, and both Markets being free and open, there was not any Room for Monopoly in either.

Whereas of late Years, the British Sugar-Planters have seized upon and exercised the Profession of MERCHANT, in Conjunction with that of PLANTER, not only to the great Detriment of this Kingdom, but in Breach of a Privilege to which every British Merchant hath an inherent Right; and by so doing, the said Sugar-Planters seem to me to have destroyed much of that Dependance and Subordination, which the Honour as well as the Interest of Great Britain require every Colony to be kept in, that is subject to the Imperial Crown of these Realms.

As to the great Detriment which this Kingdom hath suffered by the British Sugar-Planters exercising the Profession of MERCHANI, whatever Loss the British Merchants sustain by not shipping on their own Account, all the Exports to and Imports from the British Sugar-Colonies, just so much do the People of Great Britain lose; but the Indignity thereby put upon the REAL British Merchants, and even upon the whole Kingdom, seems to me to be a Matter of more Importance; for by that Means, the said Merchants have been dwindled into the diminutive Characters of Agents, Factors, &c. instead of appearing as PRINCIPALS in the TRADE of their OWN COUNTRY.

Certainly it doth not comport with either the Dignity or the Safety of Great Britain, to suffer such Missoings as these; for if the Planters of Tobacco, Rice, Indico, &c. should follow the Example of the Sugar-Planters, this NATION may in Time become the Servants of those who ought to receive LAWS from THEM; and though a seemingly so remote Consideration, may at first be treated with Levity, yet, when the several Parts of these Sugar-Planters Conduct, of late Years, are seriously attended to, I am persuaded there will appear abundant Reason for saying, it is a Matter of vast Importance, even at this Time.

For according to the Accounts already stated by me, the British Sugar-Planters, within Thirty Years past, have caused the Loss of Eight Millions of British Wealth, and have prevented the gaining of Four Millions of Wealth from other Nations, making in all, Twelve Millions of Pounds; which vast Loss of Wealth hath been attended, not only with a considerable Diminution of Naval Power, but likewise with a great Increase in the Number of Poor, and the Whole appears to me to have been brought on, by the Luxury, Exorbitance, and fraudulent trading of the said Planters.

[49]

Those Planters have likewise seized upon the Profession of MERCHANT, making Servants of the Persons to whom of Right that respectable Appellation belongs, and have thereby wrested from them, and this Kingdom also, those just Profits upon British Commodities and Manusactures, to which none but BRITONS have any Claim; moreover, the said Planters have by their Exorbitance prevented some Thousands of creditable Families from gaining a living Profit by retailing of Sugar in Great Britain, and have thereby obliged those Families, either to enhance the Prices of their other Commodities, so that they may make a reasonable Profit upon the Whole, or else to submit to unmerited Distresses.

Sugar is not now, as formerly it was, brought into this Kingdom by British Merchants, in Return for British or other Commodities and Manufactures, and presently fold by them to the Grocers or the Sugar-Resiners, at such Prices as Peace, War, or different Degrees of Plenty, did from Time to Time make reasonable Prices; for instead of that equitable Course of trading, Sugars are now consigned by the Sugar-Planters, to a Sett of Men whom they have made Servants, and are not fold to the People of Great Britain until the same will fetch such excessive high Prices, as Avarice, or Exorbitance, sugar which might very well be afforded here, for about Twenty Shillings per Cent. 1b. is now sold at, or near Two Pounds Four Shillings per Hundred Weight.

I shall not speak particularly of other Matters which are of too high a Nature for a Man in my Station to dwell upon; and there is the less Occasion for it, as the Missloings here enumerated are not of a speculative Nature, but such as Six Millions of People have greatly suffered by, though they may not all know the Causes thereof:—I cannot however help saying, that if Colony-Interest should be suffered to blend with the great and general Interest of these Kingdoms, the proper Landholders of England may, in Process of Time, be bought out of their Freeholds with English Money, and be out-numbered upon their own Ground; and I do not see how any Person can say, that those Interests co-incide, for the Sugar-Planters have glaringly proved the contrary.

The faid Interests may indeed be made to co-incide, and they did in Reality agree, so long as due Subordination was preserved, and the Interest of the Sugar Colonies was prevented from growing any faster than it promoted the Growth of the INTEREST of this KINGDOM, for the Interests of those Colonies and of their Mother-Country, were then ONE and THE SAME; but of late Years, the Sugar-Colony Interest hath branched out into all Sorts of Irregularities, and hath thereby acquired so unnatural and missinged an Appearance, that without the Aid of a great deal of pruning, I do not see how any Man can readily distinguish, what Sort of INTEREST it is.

Those

And there is something yet more extraordinary in the present Case; for a Nation stands upon its own Foundation; but these Sugar-Colonies, though sounded and supported by the Wealth and Power of Great Britain, have vastly impoverished, and much weakened the very Kingdom that hath done all this: and, as if it was not enough to be thus ungrateful, the Sugar-Planters have, by their Exorbitance, amassed to themselves many Millions of British Wealth, over and above such considerable Prosits as raised their Predecessors, from low Circumstances to Assume, and enabled them to purchase many and great Estates in England, even with the Money which they had gained from the People thereos.

Are these Proceedings, a suitable Return, for granting an annual Parliamentary Aid to defray the Charges of supporting the British Forts and Factories in Africa, that the Sugar-Planters may be supplied with Negroes at cheaper Rates?

Are these a Return, for granting Liberty to import Rum and other enumerated Goods of our Plantation Produce directly into Ireland, and thereby giving the Sugar-Planters an Advantage, at the Expence of Great Britain?

Are these a Return, for not charging Coffee of the British Plantations with so much Duty by Six-Pence per Pound Weight, as is charged upon Coffee grown in other Countries, and thereby securing to the Planters in the British Sugar-Colonies, a new and profitable Branch of Trade?

Are these a Return, for prohibiting the Importation of any foreign Sugars into Ireland, excepting from the Dominions of the King of Spain, or of Portugal, and thereby preventing, as far as Law can prevent, the French Sugar-Planters from rivalling the British Sugar-Planters, in the Markets of Ireland?

Are these a Return, for laying high Duties upon all foreign Sugars, Rum, and Melasses imported into any of the British American Colonies, and thereby securing, as far as Law can secure, a Preserence in those Colonies, to the Sugar, Rum, and Melasses produced by our Sugar-Planters?

Are these a Return, for giving up the British Duty of Nine-Pence per Hundred Weight on Sugar re-exported from this Kingdom, and thereby making the Sugar-Planters Profits 9 d. per Cent. lb. more than they were before, on Sugar so re-exported?

Are these a Return, for granting Liberty to carry British Sugars directly from our Sugar-Colonies to any foreign Part of Europe, and thereby giving up to the Sugar-Planters, those considerable Prosits which formerly accrued to the People of Great Britain, from the landing &c. of all such Sugars here, before the same were exportable to foreign Markets?

Are these a Return, for extending that Liberty to Plantation-built Ships, and thereby prejudicing the Owners of British-built Ships, for the Profit of the Sugar-Planters?

[51]

Are these a Return, for permitting Rum to be landed in this Kingdom, without paying the Duty of Excise until such Rum be sold, or hath been landed Six Months, and thereby favouring the Sugar-Planters in a Manner that is not general here?

Are these a Return, for in effect prohibiting the Importation of all soreign Sugars into Great Britain, and thereby excluding Foreigners from intersering with the British Sugar-Planters in the Sale of British Sugars here, although there be not any such Exclusion in Favour of the Linen or the Silk Manusaturers of these Kingdoms, and though there probably are One Hundred Times as many of those Manusaturers as there are Sugar-Planters?

I ask, whether the before recited Proceedings of the British Sugar-Planters are suitable Acknowledgements for this long Catalogue of Legislative Favours so granted to them, at the Expence of the People of Great Britain?—Or whether, the said Proceedings are not the most ungrateful, insulting, and oppressive Return, that ever was made by any Class of British Subjects, for so goodly a Train of Privileges and Immunities?——

For my own Part, I cannot help being aftonished at seeing Matters of such vast Importance to this Kingdom, in so disorderly, unnatural, and even inverted a State, as the British Sugar-Colony Trade appears to me to have been brought into, by the Misdoings of the Sugar-Planters: but since the various Losses and Oppressions thereby caused, have been fundamentally owing to the Sugar-Planters being suffered to follow various Professions, and to their being permitted to exercise a Liberty of CONSCIENCE in putting Prices upon Sugar, the proper Remedies for those Diseases, are obvious.

Every Person may see the Necessity of restraining the British Sugar-Planters from exercising any other Profession than that of PLANTING, as it is doubly proved by public and general Experience; for so long as the said Planters, or their Predecessors, confined themselves to their proper Business, the British Sugar-Colony Trade was carried on in an orderly and profitable Manner with Respect to the People of England, as both POLICY and EQUITY require it always should be; but fince those Sugar-Planters have been suffered to exercise the Profession of MER-CHANT, for I am not to say any Thing of the other Characters in which many of them have appeared, the British Sugar-Colony Trade, instead of being carried on in an orderly and profitable Manner as before, hath caused the Loss of many Millions of British Wealth, considerably diminished our Naval Power, and been made an Oppression to this Kingdom.

And as to the faid Sugar-Planters being permitted to exercise a Liberty of Conscience in putting Prices upon Sugar, there need not be any Thing more considered on that Head, than the unconscionable Use which those Planters have made of that Liberty; for I believe I shall not wrong them

b

[52]

by rating the exorbitant Gain which they have made, during Twenty Years past, at Ten Shillings per 112 lb. of Sugar in this Kingdom, over and above a Prosit of Twice as much Money per Acre of Land, as the Landholders of England receive for their Estates.

But the Reader will be better enabled to form a Judgment of that exorbitant Gain, when he hath perused the following re-stated Account of the Charges upon Muscovado Sugar; for as to the Abstract of those Charges already inserted in Page 5, it evidently appears, as well by Mr. Ashler's own Account, as by several Matters mentioned under the preceding Head, that the said Charges are considerably over-rated there; and as I have not in any other Instance knowingly misrepresented to the Prejudice of the Sugar-Planters, neither will I in this Instance knowingly misrepresent to their Favour.

The present Charges and Duties upon British Musicovado Sugar imported into this Kingdom, per 112 Pounds	
Weight, are computed in that Abstract to be £	0:18:0-3
Weight, are computed in that Abitiact to be	,
From which deduct for Over-Charges, and for	
Subfidy 1747, viz.	
Over-charged in the Charges of Production,	
exclusive of Colony-Taxes	
Over-charged for the Duty of 41 per Cent. included	
in these Charges, above $\dots \dots \dots 0:0:6\frac{3}{10}$	5"
Subfidy 1747, (Tax) without Discount o:1:6	
the state of the s	0.0.0.3
Together	0.3 .078
The Total of those Charges and Duties to 1 March 1747,	=
per 112 lb. was	0:15:0
To which add, Subfidy 1747, as above	0: 1:6
And the Total of those Charges and Duties from 1 March	
1747, per 112 lb. will be	0:16:6
2/7/1/1 [** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	

But to each of these Two Totals there must be added, Three Shillings and Nine Pence for the Sugar-Planters clear Gain and Saving per 112 lb. of Sugar, amounting to Eleven Shillings and Three Pence per Acre of Land yearly, according to the Computation already made thereof; so that Muscovado Sugar might very well have been sold in Great Britain at Nineteen Shillings per 112 lb. to 1st March 1747, and at Twenty Shillings and Six Pence per 112 lb. since that Time, without reckoning for the Savings which the Sugar-Planters have made by turning Merchants.

[53]

Now if the medium Price of Muscovado Sugar in this Kingdom hath been Thirty Shillings per 112 Pounds Weight, for Twenty Years last past, and I believe it hath been so high if not higher, the exorbitant Gain of the Sugar-Planters, during those 20 Years, will then be Ten Shillings per 112 Pounds Weight of Sugar; and the annual Consumption of Sugar in Great Britain, within that Interval, having been sull Sixty Thousand Hogsheads of 12 Cent. lb. here, the said exorbitant Gain thereon, at 10 s. per Cent. lb. comes to Three Hundred and Sixty Thousand Pounds per Annum, which amounts, in Twenty Years, to Seven Millions Two Hundred Thousand Pounds.

It is likewise to be considered, that the Consumption and the Price of Rum have been much increased within the last Twenty Years; so that upon the Whole, I am inclined to believe I shall not wrong the British Sugar-Planters, in computing the Money they have gained by their Exorbitance, at EIGHT MILLIONS or POUNDS: but if I have made any material Mistake to their Prejudice, I shall be glad to have it publicly rectified, upon good Authorities; and if I have made any material Mistake on the other Side, I think it will be incumbent on the Merchants, Grocers and Sugar-Resiners to publicly rectify the same; which may be easily done, by referring to their Books for the Price of Muscovado-Sugar in each Year, and by the Help of a Table that is inserted in the following Page.

This being the last Article of Loss that hath been brought upon the People of *Great Britain*, by the Misdoings of the *British* Sugar-Planters, within Thirty Years past, it will be necessary to make an Abstract of the several Losses so caused, that the Whole may appear at one View.

LOSSES to the PEOPLE OF GREAT BRITAIN, by the British Sugar-Colony Trade, and by the British Sugar-Planters, within Thirty Years.

Now

[54]

But I must not omit to add Three Millions of Pounds MORE, for the Charges which the People of Great Britain have been at, in maintaining Ships of War, Land Forces, &c. for the Protection and Defence of the British Sugar-Colonies, within Thirty Years past, as those Charges are so much real Loss to the Persons who have paid the Money.

Nor can I help faying, that of all the Losses and Hardships which haveat any Time been brought upon this NATION, by any one Branch of Trade, those which have been caused by the Sugar-Colony Trade, and the Sugar-Planters, do feem to me, NOT to have been EXCEEDED by any but the Losses and Hardships which the PEOPLE or ENGLAND formerly fuffered by a Ruinous Trade with FRANCE. --

A TABLE for computing the British Sugar-Planters annual clear Gain and Saving upon Sixty Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar of Twelve Cent. lb. each; which is the Quantity of Sugar annually confumed in Great Britain.

Prices Clear annual Profit and Saving.	Prices Clear annual Profit and Saving.
per per per per Whole Amt. S. D. Sh. Sh. Pounds Ster.	per per per Whole Amt. S. D. Sh. Sh. Pounds Ster.
	31:6—15—45— 540,000 32:6—16—48— 576,000 33:6—17—51— 612,000
19:6— 3— 9—108,000 20:6— 4—12—144,000	34:6—18—54— 648,000 35:6—19—57— 684,000
	36:6-20-60- 720,000 37:6-21-63- 756,000 38:6-22-66- 792,000
25:6- 9-27-324,000	39:6-23-69-828,000 40:6-24-72-864,000 41:6-25-75-900,000
27:6—11—33—396,000 28:6—12—36—432,000	42:6—26—78— 936,000 43:6—27—81— 972,000
29:6—13—39—468,000 30:6—14—42—504,000	44:6-28-84-1,008,000 45:6-29-87-1,044,000

Note. The Quantity of Land computed upon here, is, Two Hundred and Forty Thousand Acres; but that being about One-Sixth Part too much, see Pages 45 and 46, the clear Gain and Saving of Three Shillings per 112 lb. of Sugar, really makes above Ten Shillings per Acre of Land, although the same be rated at only Nine Shillings per Acre, in this Table.

[55]

There now remains only one Thing more to be mentioned under this Head, and that is, the Way in which the British West-India Merchants charge, or did charge, their Profits; for that is the Secret in Trade hinted at in Page 33, and it is such a Secret as there are National Reasons to speak publicly of; because the French West-India Merchants charge their Profits in a different Way, and thereby acquire for their Mother-Country very great Gain, which a contrary Manner of reckoning excludes our Merchants from, and is very prejudicial to the Commerce of this

The British Merchants charge their Profit upon the Commodities or Manufactures which they EXPORT, but the French Merchants charge their Profit upon the Commodities or Manufactures which they IMPORT, and this gives the Latter a very great Advantage over the Former, in the Sale of their FXPORTS; infomuch, that French Wines, French Linen and Woollen Manufactures, and many other Commodities of the Produce or Manufacture of France, are faid, by Captain Fayrer Hall, to be fold very near as cheap in the French Sugar-Colonies, as the like Commodities and Manufactures are fold in France; and that Cheapness is wholly owing to, or at least may be accounted for by, the French Manner of charging Profit; for if only Ten per Cent. be added to the Cost of a French Cargo, it will be brought to Market cheaper, by Fifteen or Twenty per Cent. than a British Cargo upon which the Merchant hath reckoned his Charges and

I cannot tell when this politic Method of charging Profit was first begun by the Merchants of France, but a Commercial Regulation that was made by Lewis the Fourteenth, in the Year 1698, gives some Reason for thinking that the faid Method was not then practifed by the French West-India Merchants; because it is therein said, that the Disorders of the then late War, had caused Strangers to introduce themselves so far into the French West-India Colonies, and the Trade of the same, that the Merchandizes carried there from France, fince the Conclusion of the Peace [in 1697], lay on Hand, and could not find a Vent or Market.

Which authenticated Facts are a Foundation for believing, that the present French Method of charging Profit upon their Trade to the West-Indies, and probably upon all or most of their other Branches of Commerce, was not a Custom taken up by Chance, but in consequence of Ministerial Deliberation, and in order to gain a Preference in foreign Markets, to the Commodities and Manufactures of France; nor was even that vast Advantage the only one in View, or at leaft, not the only Advantage that hath attended the faid Method of charging the French Merchants Profits; for the same doth at once INCREASE the Consumption of FRENCH Commomodities in OTHER COUNTRIES, and, DECREASE the Confumption

[56]

of OTHER COUNTRY Commodities in FRANCE, which are the very Things that every trading Nation strives to accomplish by Treaties of Commerce.

As to increasing the Consumption of French Commodities and Manufactures in other Countries, a French Merchant may pay Ten per Cent. more for a Cargo, than an English Merchant pays for a Cargo of the like Sort, and yet when those Two Cargoes arrive in the same Port, the French Goods will be fold Five or Ten per Cent. cheaper than the English Goods; because the Latter are, and the Former are not, charged with Fisteen or Twenty per Cent. for the Merchants Profit; so that the dearest bought Cargo, is, by those Means, brought cheapest to Market.

And as to decreasing the Consumption of other Country Commodities in France, a French Merchant who barters his Cargo for One-Tenth Part less of foreign Commodities, than is received in Barter by an English Merchant for a Cargo of the like Value, must necessarily return to France with One-Tenth Part less of such foreign Commodities, than the English Merchant brings back to England; and as the Importation of such Commodities into France, is thereby diminished One-Tenth Part, the French Consumption thereof certainly must be as much decreased.

In this Case, the English Merchant doth indeed seem to have an Advantage over the French Merchant, because he hath One-Tenth Part more of soreign Commodities to sell, than the other received for his Cargo; but this, in general, is far from being any real Advantage, for Merchants sell in Proportion as they buy; and as the French Merchant hath One-Tenth Part less of foreign Commodities for his Cargo, he will not fail to raise the Prices of them accordingly, as in Reason and Equity he may; so that the French Merchants, considered as Men trading for Gain, do not suffer any Loss by such Means.

It must not however be imagined, that this Method of charging Profit is universally advantageous; for where the Goods received in Barter, are either Materials for Manusactures, or necessary Commodities, having less of them is manifestly a Disadvantage to the receiving Nation; and where Goods are sold for Money, the receiving Nation doth likewise suffer a Disadvantage, because less Wealth is brought to them, than another Nation receives for Merchandize of the like Value: but then it is to be considered on the other Hand, that selling cheaper by Two or Three per Cent. than the Merchants of another Nation sell, will always secure a Preference for such cheaper Goods; and that such a diminished Rate of Profit to the Merchant, is wholly, or in a great Measure, made up to him, either by his Business being much sooner dispatched, or by his purchasing another Cargo upon better Terms.

[57]

It is likewise to be remembered, that by far the greater Part of the Commodities and Manufactures imported into *Great Britain*, *France*, and many other Kingdoms or Countries, ARE LUXURIES THERE; so that the faid Method of charging Profit, is highly advantageous upon the Whole; and I believe that the *French* have thereby made themselves the most favoured Nation in FACT, where they are not so by TREATY.

For I cannot help thinking that the great Share which the French have had of late Years in the Trade to Spain and Portugal, was gained and maintained by the French Merchants not charging much of their Profits, upon the Woollen Manufactures, &c. by them exported to those Kingdoms; but it will be improper for me to pursue this Matter any farther here; and as all Things of this Sort are much better known to the British Merchants who trade to Spain, or Portugal, I must beg Leave to recommend to them, the more particular Consideration of this important Affair—

What I have faid concerning the French Manner of charging Profit, possibly may be pleaded by the Sugar-Planters, in Defence of their seizing upon the Profession of British Merchant, but their Proceedings are an effectual Bar to any Plea of this Sort; for the said Seizure hath been highly injurious to the People of Great Britain; and those Planters have been so far from making any Compensation for the Loss thereby brought upon this Kingdom, that on the Contrary, they have added Loss to Loss, and Injury to Injury,

Concerning the British Sugar-Planters Valuation of their Land, Negroes, Buildings, and other Stock.

The Two following Calculations of the Value of the Island of Barbadoes, confidered as a Sugar-Colony, are inserted in Mr. Bennett's Letters on the British Sugar-Colonies and Trade, Pages 18 and 19 of the 2d Edition, printed for R. Montagu in great Wylde Street, Anno 1738.

The former of these Calculations was made by Mr. Bennett, for the Year 1730; and the latter of them was made by another Person, for the Year 1731; but it is the most particular Calculation of the Two, and therefore the most proper to be taken into Consideration.

Q

Mr.

[58]

Mr. Bennett's Valuation of Barbadoes, Anno 1730. Land 80,000 Acres, manurable in Barbadoes, worth, at an Average, £20 per Acre
The Total, is, Five Millions of Pounds 5,000,000
The other Valuation of Barbadoes, Anno 1731. Articles 1—106,000 Acres of Land, with the Crops now growing thereon, at £20 per Acre 2,120,000 2—65,000 Negroes at £30 per Head 1,950,000 3—20,000 Head of Cattle, at £10 per Head 200,000 4—6,000 Horses, at £20 per Head 120,000 5—500 Wind-Mills, at £800 each Boiling-Houses, Still-Houses, Negroe-Houses, Trash-Houses, Smiths, Coopers, and Carpenters Shops, &c. £1200 for each Wind-Mill Estate 75,000 7—Carts, Pots, Drips, and other Utensils, at £150 for each Wind-Mill Estate 75,000 8—26 Pot-Kilns, 80 Cattle-Mills and Works 35,000
The Total, is, Five Millions Five Hundred Thousand Pounds 5,500,000

OBSERVATIONS upon the First Article.

The Maker of this Calculation hath inferted in the Title thereof, the Words—confidered as a Sugar-Colony—; but I am persuaded that he did not consider Barbadoes as a British Sugar-Colony, when he reckoned Two Millions One Hundred and Twenty Thousand Pounds for the Land in that Island; because I do not know by what Rule of POLICY or of EQUITY, the Inhabitants of Colonies can claim from their Mother-Countries, One Penny an Acre for Lands which the Latter are at the CHARGE of DEFENDING, and the Former have the BENEFIT of REAPING; for if such Claims as these were to be admitted, Great Britain would be undone by her Colonies, and France might be ruined by putting her in Possession of all North America.

Certainly there must have been a great Want of Understanding, or of something else, in those Two Calculators; for as Men of Figures and conversant in Trade, they should have considered, that the Quantity of Land in all the British Colonies, may be computed, in a round Way of reckning,

[59]

reckoning, at Two Hundred Millions of Acres; and, that the Owners, or Occupiers, of all those Lands, or at least such Parts thereof as are cultivated or employed, have as good a Right to put the like Sort of Value upon the same, and to expect Payment accordingly, from the People of Great Britain, as the Inhabitants of Barbadoes have, to do and expect the like Things.

But I must beg leave to say, that none of the Owners or Occupiers of the said Lands, have any such Right; and as to the Reasonableness of admitting Claims of this Sort, every Person will be able to judge thereof, when he considers, that One Penny an Acre yearly, for Two Hundred Millions of Acres of Land, amounts to above Eight Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year; or, supposing, that there be but One-Sixth Part of the said Quantity of Land cultivated or employed in all the British Colonies, and that the Owners or Occupiers thereof were to receive only Six-Pence an Acre annually for the same, from this Kingdom, the PEOPLE of GREAT BRITAIN would have Eight Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year to PAY for the COLONIES, which THEY are at the CHARGE of DEFENDING!

It would be wasting the Reader's Time, to say more of Things which are so repugnant to Policy, Equity, and even to Common Sense; for the British Sugar-Planters ought, in Justice, to send Sixteen or Eighteen Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar yearly to the People of Great Britain, to repay the Charges which this Kingdom is at for protecting and defending the British Sugar-Colonies; and therefore, not One Penny of the £2,120,000 charged for Lands, by this Calculator, can be admitted to stand in a National Account.

As to the feven other Articles in the preceding Valuation of Sugar-Plantation-Stock in *Barbadoes*, there is a very shrewd Sort of Fallacy that runs throughout the Whole; for the Calculator, instead of considering the same as a perishable Stock that is constantly yielding Profit in Repayment of the original Cost thereof, hath very dextrously charged the whole Cost; and he hath thereby raised the Capital, upon which the Sugar-Planters Profits are to be computed, to Double the Sum that ought to be reckoned upon; which Matter it is necessary to make appear, before I say any Thing of the several Articles in particular, for that is an after Consideration.

Purchasing of Negroes for Labour, is but another Way of buying Annuities for Lives; because the Negroes so purchased are not otherwise valuable to the Sugar-Planters, than for the Profit which those Planters gain by the Labour of such Negroes, or their Children; and as the nett Gain arising to a Sugar-Planter from each Day's Labour of a Negroe, is so much in Repayment of the Principal and Interest of the Purchase-Money for that Negroe, the unrepaid Part of the Principal and Interest of such Purchase-Money, is all that can be reckoned Stock.

If the medium Time that Negroes are capable of working, be Twenty Years, those Negroes who have laboured Ten Years for their Masters, are to be reckoned in the Account of Stock, at only Half what they cost; because the other Half, and the proper Proportion of Interest thereon, are repaid with the Profits of those Ten Years Labour: by the same Rule, Negroes who have worked Fisteen Years, are to be charged at only One-upon the unrepaid Part of the Purchase-Money, is compensated by the greater Value of the Negroes Labour, in the Prime, than in the Decline of

I do not say that this is exactly the Case, but any Person who is at all conversant in Things of this Sort may be convinced, without making Calculations, that what I have mentioned here, is founded in Truth; and this is farther proved, by those Rules which the British Sugar-Planters observe in the Valuation of Negroes; for Dr. Douglas says, that Negroes of Ten or of Forty Years old, are of equal Value; that from 20 to 25 Years of Age, is reckoned their Prime; and, that from Forty Years old upwards, the Value of Negroes gradually decreases, as it doth from Ten Years of Age downwards.—History of America, Vol. I. Page 119.

And this Sort of Reasoning may be applied, with equal Justice, to every other Part of the Sugar-Planters Stock; for the Value of Cattle and of Horses for Labour, is continually rising or falling, as their Ages increase; and the Value of Buildings, as well as of Utensils, decreases in Proportion as Time or Wear diminish their Utility: so that every Part of the Stock considered here, is to be valued as much below the first Cost, or Worth thereof, as the same is impaired by Age, Wear, or Use, at any given Time of Inspection, or Valuation; and such impairing must necessarily be found in various Degrees, throughout every Species of the Sugar-Plantation Stock.

There will be Negroes of all Ages, from Infancy to the latest Stages of Life; Cattle and Horses, some young, many sit for Labour, and others worn-out; Buildings in a Variety of Conditions, some newly erected, the greatest Number in various Degrees of Repair, and a considerable Proportion of them worth very little; which must likewise be the Case of the Sugar-Planters Utensils: And as, where Things are continually changing their Value, in a regular and natural Course, the Medium, between their greatest and least Values, must be the Rule of Estimation, that Rule is to be observed in this Case.

I therefore fay, that instead of reckoning the Sugar-Planters *Profits*, upon the first Cost of their *Plantation-Stock* as Mr. *Bennett* and the other Calculator have unsairly done, the said *Profits* are to be computed upon only *One-Half* of the first Cost, or Value, of the several Sorts of such *Stock*; for it would be ridiculous to ask as much Money for an old *House*, as it was worth when new; or to put the Price of a young *Cart-Horse*, upon an old *one*; and yet, Mr. *Bennett* and the other Calculator have been so weak, or something else, as to do those very Things.

Observations

[61]

OBSERVATIONS upon the Second Article.

These Calculators have not said, though it be true, that the Sugar-Planters take Care to have Negroe Wives for all or most of their Negroe Men, and deem those Negroes to be unprofitable Slaves who have not Children; nor have they mentioned, that a Sugar-Planter reckons himself to be Ten Pounds richer, when a new born Negroe-Child is added to his Plantation-Stock; for if the Sugar-Planters, or their Advocates, were to expatiate upon these Things, and to tell what Numbers of Negroes are RETAINED to give Magnificence to Luxury, and to keep up the Parade of theatrical Sovereignty, many Persons in this Kingdom would be apt to suspect, that an annual Supply of Five Negroes for every Hundred, or Six Thousand Negroes for all the British Sugar-Plantations, are a Fourth or a Fifth Part MORE than the Planters really buy, to keep up their Negroe-Stock.

But it is expedient to mention those Things here, as I intend to reduce this Article of £1,950,000 for Sixty-five Thousand Negroes, at £30 each . . . to . . . £1,000,000 for Forty Thousand Negroes, at £25 each; the Half of which latter Sum, is all that I can fairly admit in the Account of Stock.

For as to House-Negroes, or at least such of them as are employed in Family-Services, the Cost, &c. of them hath no more Connection with an Account of Stock, than they have Concern in the making of Sugar; nor can any Person reasonably think otherwise, when he considers, that those Negroes are not Implements or Means of trading Gain, but of domestic Expence: and as to there being more than Forty Thousand Negroes employed in or kept for Plantation-Work in Barbadoes, Sir Dalby Thomas reckoned only One Negroe for Two Acres, when the Sugar-Cane Lands were in constant Use or Culture; and therefore, I very much doubt, whether there have been of late Years so many as Forty Thousand of such Negroes kept in and for that Island, because One-Third Part or more of the Sugar-Cane Lands there, have remained fallow.

However, I shall reckon upon that Number of Stock-Negroes, and shall not make any Deduction for those considerable Savings which arise to the Sugar-Planters, from the great Numbers of Negroes born in their Plantations; fince there ought to be an Allowance for Negroes who are employed in the Culture of Ginger, Cotton, &c. in Barbadoes, as I am now speaking of all the Plantation-Stock there.

OBSERVATIONS upon the Third and Fourth Articles.

These Two Articles are for Cattle and Horses, the rated Prices of which I shall not alter; but as to their Numbers, the same are just Twice as great, as should have been inserted, according to the Account given by Sir Dalby Thomas, when the Sugar-Cane Land was not permitted to remain fallow; for

62

for he reckons Five Horses and Eight Bullocks to a Sugar-Plantation of One Hundred Acres; and those Numbers being proportionably increased for One Hundred Thousand Acres of Land, the Quantity in Barbadoes, will give for the Number of Horses, Five Thousand; and for the Number of Cattle, Eight Thousand; Half the Cost or rated Price whereof, and no more, is to be admitted for Plantation-Stock there; and as to the Impropriety of my varying the Proportion between the Numbers of Cattle and of Horses, I chuse rather to follow Sir Dalby Thomas's Account, than the greatly exaggerated Account which is the Subject of these Observations.

As to the Fifth, Sixth, Seventh and Eighth Articles, I shall leave the Reader to judge of them as he thinks reasonable, after considering the great Over-Charges which I have discovered in the Four preceding Articles, for I will not take up any more of his Time in Particulars of this Sort.

A Re-Valuation of Barbadoes, confidered as a Sugar-Colony.

Articles.	or	PrefentValue or Planters Stock.					
1—Land 106,000 Acres		£ — —					
2—Negroes 40,000 at £25 each							
3—Cattle 8,000 at 10 per Head	. 80,000	40,000					
4—Horses 5,000 at 20 per Head	, 100,000	50,000					
5-Wind-Mills 500 at 800 each	, 400,000	200,000					
6-Boiling-Houses, Still-Houses, &c	. 600,000	300,000					
7—Carts, Pots, and other Utenfils	75,000	37,500					
8—Pot-Kilns 26, Cattle-Mills 80	. 35,000						
The Total of the First-Cost or Value, is Two Millions Two Hundred and Ninety Thousand Pounds							
The Total of the present Value, or Planters-Stock, is One Million One Hundred and Forty-five Thou-							
fand Pounds		1,145,000					

So that in an Account of Sugar, Ginger, Cotton, &c. Plantation-Stock amounting to Five Millions Five Hundred Thousand Pounds, there appears to me to be above Four Millions of Pounds over charged; even admitting that the Sugar-Planters Wind-Mills, Boiling-Houses, Still-Houses, &c. are as numerous, and as valuable, as the said Calculator hath reckoned: and it is to be remembered that, Acre for Acre, Sugar Plantation Stock in Barbadoes is more expensive than in any other of the British Sugar-Colonies, because the Barbadians distill most of their Melasses, and clay most of their Sugar; but that not being the Case in the other Sugar-Colonies, a less expensive

[63]

expensive Stock, or less of such expensive Stock, is sufficient for the Plantations there.

And I have in my Possession a Manuscript, of good Authority, which gives Reason to believe that most of the Sugar produced in Barbadees, is clayed there, although Mr. Asbley reckons upon only 7,500 Hogsheads of clayed Sugar, when the whole Product of Sugar is 75,000 Hogsheads: which Matters I think it necessary to mention here, as, in Page 3, I have inserted only £45,000 a Year for the increased Value of clayed Sugar; whereas if the Barbadees Planters annually export 25,000 Hogsheads of clayed Sugar, I should have reckoned £150,000 instead of £45,000; or if, as that Manuscript gives Reason to believe, those Planters clay, at least, Two Thirds of their Sugar, I ought in that Case to have charged £100,000 for the increased Value of such Two-Thirds.

I did intend to have supported this Re-Valuation of Sugar-Plantation Stack, by Extracts from what other Writers have said upon that Head, or in Cases of a similar Nature; but as every Person of common Understanding may easily comprehend whether the Principles I have proceeded upon, are Right or Wrong, I think that such Extracts are not necessary.

And therefore I shall only acquaint the Reader, that partly by the Help of the Two preceding extravagant Valuations of Sugar-Plantation Stock, and partly by an extravagant Valuation of annual Supplies to keep up that Stock, &c. Mr. Bennett contributed to bring the Inhabitants of Barbadoes in Debt, no less than Three Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year; but by proving too much for the Sugar-Planters, he hath only exposed them and himself likewise; for at the very Time that he figured away so as to make a Ballance against Barbadoes, of £300,000 a Year upon PAPER, the Planters of that Island received from Great Britain, a Ballance of £200,000 a Year in MONEY.

Concerning the TAXES which are faid to be paid by the British Sugar-Planters.

A TAX was no fooner laid upon the LANDS or ENGLAND, than the English Sugar-Planters begun to fancy themselves in a similar Situation to that of the Land-holders of this Kingdom; and, in consequence of that fancied Similitude, those Planters, or some Person for them, made an imaginary Removal, from England to Jamaica, Barbadoes and the Leeward Islands, of those Taxes which were at that Time laid upon Sugar here.

That Author who groaned for the Plantations, when they were not in a distressed Condition, reckons, that Duties amounting to Two Shillings per 112 Pounds Weight of Muscovado-Sugar, are as a Land-Tax of a Noble in

the Pound; and, to fay the Truth, he hath made a noble Reckoning of it: for if a Duty of Two Shillings per Hundred Weight of fuch Sugar, be as a Land-Tax of Six Shillings and Eight Pence in the Pound, the prefent British and Colony-Duties, of nearly Five Shillings and Four Pence, per Cent. lb. of Muscovado Sugar, must be as a Land-Tax of Seventeen Shillings and Nine Pence in the Pound; and consequently there remains, in that Way of Reckoning, only Two Shillings and Three Pence in the Pound, for the Sugar-Planters.

It would be losing Time to say more, of so ridiculously extravagant a Calculation, and therefore I shall proceed to examine Mr. Ashley's Account of the Taxes which he hath thought proper to lay upon the British Sugar-Planters, as it is very moderate in comparison of the other; for he hath been so reasonable as to rate all the British and Colony-Duties which were laid upon British Muscovado Sugar before the Year 1747, at only Six Shillings and Ten Pence in the Pound "on the nett Profits of their Negroes and Utensils, as well as their Land."

But before Mr. Ashley laid those Taxes upon the Sugar-Planters, he prudently provided a Fund for Payment of the same, out of the Purses and Pockets of the People of Great Britain; and upon that Fund there is a Surplus of Three Hundred and Fifty-four Thousand Pounds a Year for the Sugar-Planters, over and above the Maintenance of their Families; which Surplus makes an annual clear Gain and Saving to them, of about Twenty-five Shillings per Acre of their Lands; and this is what Mr. Ashley calls paying of TAXES.

Pity it is, that so ingenious a Ways and Means Man did not point out to the People of this Kingdom, a Method of paying Taxes without parting from Money, and contrive a Scheme to discharge the National Debt without Money's Worth or Breach of public Faith; for as the Case now stands, both Landholders and Housholders find to their Cost, that the more Money they pay for Taxes, the less Money they have for their own Uses; whereas, according to Mr. Ashley's Method, they might pay Taxes with other People's Money.

It is really very extraordinary that the Sugar-Planters, or any other Persons in their Behalf, should be so totally devoid of that Respect which is due to the People of Great Britain, and hold their Understandings so cheap, as to think of imposing upon them by such wretched Sophistry; for by this Sort of Logic it may be proved, that the Receivers general of the Land-Tax, Customs, Excise, &c. pay all the Monies which arise from those Branches of the public Revenue; since they issue with one Hand what they receive in the other, and that in Reality is the Sugar-Planters Case.

[65]

But Mr. Afbley very CONSCIENTIOUSLY assumes for the British Sugar-Planters, a clear Gain and Saving of Five Hundred and Forty Thousand Pounds a Year, which amounts to full Forty Shillings an Acre for all the Land; by them employed in Sugar-Plantations, to annually produce Seventy-five Thousand Hogiheads of Sugar; and after deducting from that exorbitant Gain, One Hundred and Eighty-fix Thousand Pounds a Year for British and Colony-Duties, he as MODESTLY reckons, that those Taxes amount to Six Shillings and Ten Pence in the Pound "on the nett" Profits of their Negroes and Utensils, as well as their Land, without any "Deduction or Abatement."—Supplement to his Memoirs, Pages 10 and 11.

Now as, after making the faid Deduction from that exorbitant Gain, there remains for the Sugar-Planters, an annual clear Profit and Saving of Three Hundred and Fifty-four Thousand Pounds a Year, and that Sum comes to about Twenty-five Shillings per Acre of their Lands; nothing more is necessary to being convinced that the said Planters DO NOT PAY ANY TAXES at all, as Planters, than to recollect, that Twenty-five Shillings per Acre of Land yearly, is FIVE TIMES AS MUCH MONEY as the Landholders of England clearly save per Acre of their Estates.

And even the Sugar-Planters own Conduct for near Twenty Years, is Evidence against them in this Matter; for ever since the Year 1739, they have had the Liberty of exporting directly from the British Sugar-Colonies, whatever Quantities of Sugar they thought proper to send to foreign European Markets; and as British Sugar so exported, is not chargeable with any other Duty than 4½ per Cent. in those Colonies, which comes to only Three Pence per 100 lb. of Muscovado Sugar, at the first Cost, the British Sugar-Planters might have avoided paying any greater Duty, upon Twenty, Thirty, or Forty Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar yearly.

But so far have those Planters been from embracing such constant Opportunity of AVOIDING THE PAYMENT of British Duties upon Sugar, and of selling great Quantities thereof in foreign and open Markets, as Multitudes of BRITONS must submit to have their Commodities and Manufactures sold, or else become PAUPERS; that, on the contrary, they the said Planters, to their great SHAME and this Kingdom's vast LOSS, have lest the French, Dutch, Danes, &c. to sell Sugars in fair and open Markets; preferring, for their own private and exorbitant Lucre, an inclosed Market to an open one, and a sophistical Payment of Taxes to a legal Exemption from them.

Such hath been the British Sugar-Planters logical WAY of paying TAXES, from the first even to this Time; and so long as they are permitted to gain and save Three Shillings per 100 Pounds Weight of Sugar, which comes to Ten Shillings per Acre of their Plantation-Lands annually, so long will the said Planters, considered as British Subjects, be exempted from paying any Taxes at all.

[66]

For there is a Rate of Profit in TRADE, as well as a Rate of Interest upon MONEY, in every civilized and trading Kingdom or State; and I do not know of any other Standard whereby to regulate the Profits of British Subjects, than the Gain that arises to the Landholders of England from their Estates; allowing in such Cases as require it, for the Labour, Ingenuity, Skill, Expence, or Risque of the Persons concerned; and such Allowances are not only made here for the Sugar-Planters, but a double Quota of clear Gain and Saving is likewise proposed for them; although I cannot see any good Reason, why their clear Gain and Saving should not be reduced to the British Standard; and I am of Opinion, that the Want of such a Regulation hath, one Way or other, caused the Loss of near Twenty Millions of Pounds Sterling to the People of Great Britain.

As to Labour, the Sugar-Planters perform it by their Proxies the Negroes; the Ingenuity, is that of British Artificers; the Skill is exercised by white Servants, and paid for in Wages; the Expence is included in the Charges of Production; the mercantile Risque is allowed for in those Charges, under the Name of Insurance; and there likewise is an Allowance for the Disagreeableness of the Climate; but that is consumed in such excessive Luxury, as would bring to Ruin the most flourishing Kingdom; and must long ago have brought our Sugar-Planters to Poverty, if they had been obliged to sell Sugar at the Prices which that Commodity hath been sold by foreign Sugar-Planters.

But the BRITISH Freeholders, Farmers, Woollen Manufacturers, Silk Manufacturers, Metallic Manufacturers, &c. are the People who must sell their Goods at such Prices as the like Sorts of Goods bear in other Countries, and a Handful of Sugar-Planters are pampered at the Expence of those industrious BRITONS: for though by Profession the said Planters are only upon a Level with English Farmers, and were formerly so in Fact, as appears by what Sir Josiah Child, Sir Dalby Thomas, and Mr. Ligon have written; yet, are Things so far turned Topsy-Turvy of late Years, that those West-India Farmers mount into Coaches, and mate in Equipage THE BRITISH NOBILITY.

Certainly this is the most superlative Instance of Folly, and the greatest Burlesque upon Husbandry, that any Age or Country hath yet produced.—And so marvellously have such Coaches thriven in Number, of late Years, that Forty or Fifty of them are said to have paraded at Noon-Day in the Face of the PEOPLE.

But I have shewn how many Millions of Wealth it hath cost the People of Great Britain, to feed the Folly, Extravagance, and Exorbitance of those Sugar-Planters, within Thirty Years past; and as the said PEOPLE have gained their WEALTH and POWER by INDUSTRY and FRUGALITY, I am persuaded it will not be thought Right, that their Privileges, Property,

[67]

Property, and Power, should be devoured by Presumption, Exorbitance, and Luxury.

More especially upon considering, how ridiculous it is, for Tillers of the Earth by Profession to keep any other Coaches than such as carry their Goods to Market; and upon remembering, that EACH Coach, which is kept by the Profits of Sugar Planting, hath caused the Loss of ONE British Ship, and TEN British Seamen, at the least; for the foreign European Sugar-Trade used to employ One Hundred of the Ships, and One Thousand of the Seamen of this KINGDOM, and might have employed double those Numbers; but the Ships have been exchanged for Coaches, and the Seamen for Lacqueys, which certainly is the most miserable Exchange that any Class of Colony-Subjects ever made to the Detriment of the PUBLIC WEAL.—But surely the English Oak will maintain its DIGNITY, and not dishonourably bend to that paltry Reed a Sugar-Cane.—

The Sugar-Planters probably will fay, it is enough for them to superintend the Management of their Plantations, and that their Profits ought to be the greater upon this Account; but they should remember, that the Landholders of England are under a like Necessity of superintending the Management of their Estates: and as a Judgment may be formed of the CARE and PAINS which the Sugar-Planters take in Plantation Work, by the great Number of ABSENTEE-PLANTERS, who reside for the most Part in this Kingdom, or in other Parts of Europe; there is good Reason for believing, that the Care and Pains so taken, are not greater than the principal Landholders of England are subjected to; and those Planters would do well to consider, how improper it is for them to be talking Year after Year about extraordinary Profits, in this Kingdom, instead of minding their proper Business of Sugar-Planting in Jamaica, Barbadoes, and the Leeward-Islands, as all other British Colony-People mind their Plantations, and as all English Farmers are obliged to mind their Farms, or starve.

Those Sugar-Planters ought likewise to bear in Mind, how CON-SISTENT it is with Policy, or with Justice, for the People of Great Britain to pay the Charges of protecting and defending Colonies, any longer than the same are a common Good to those People, and not for a few Families to amass vast Fortunes by, at the Expence of Eight Millions of Britons: I wish also, that those Planters would shew the Public, how many Pence less than One Million of Pounds a Year they have had from the People of Great Britain, at the Prices which Sugar, Rum, and Melasses have been sold for here, during Three Years last past; because my Table for computing the Sugar-Planters Profits, inserted in Page 54, &c. induce me to believe, that they have had One Million of Pounds annually and for those Three Years, from the People of this Kingdom—

But the Sugar-Planters lay another Claim to extraordinary Profit, and this they found upon the great Capital or Stock employed by them in their Plantations; it will therefore be necessary to inquire in the next Place, whether they HAVE or HAVE NOT a Claim to extraordinary Profit, on account of their Plantation-Stock.

Concerning the Annual PROFIT per Cent. arifing from MONEY employed for making of Sugar in the British Colonies.

As to Land, I cannot tell how many Acres a Penny those Planters had, to whom the Lands in the British Sugar-Colonies were first granted; though what Mr. Ashley hath said concerning His Majesty's Quit-Rents in Jamaica, gives Reason to imagine that the Owners of Lands there, have Half a Dozen Acres for their Penny worth; and therefore I shall pass over the Article of Land, without allowing any Thing on that Account.

For as to valuable Confiderations, which may have been given by some private Persons to others, for Lands in the British Sugar-Colonies, the People of Great Britain have not the least Concern as a Nation with such Bargains; nor would there have been any Occasion to pay valuable Confiderations for such Lands, if no more Land had been granted in Jamaica than is properly cultivated or employed there.

But fome bow or other, a few Planters in that Island formerly obtained Grants of prodigious Quantities of the most fertile and best situated Lands in Jamaica, for a Penny or a Pepper-Corn, &c. per Acre, annual Quit-Rent; and as those monopolizing Grants have been highly instrumental in bringing upon the People of Great Britain those vast Losses and several Hardships, which I have pointed out in this Treatise; many Persons probably will be of Opinion, that it is not only just but expedient, that all such Lands in the said Island, as have been so granted and still remain uncultivated, should be re-assumed; for which Reason I shall insert what the Commissioners for Trade and Plantations thought proper to say of these Matters, in their Representation to The Right Honourable The House of Lords, bearing Date 14 Jan. 173.

"——In the Island of Jamaica there are very large Tracts of Lands proper for bearing Sugar-Canes, and capable of most other American Productions, which have not yet been cultivated; and we are forry to observe to your Lordships, that the most fertile and best situated Lands in this Colony have been formerly granted in such exorbitant Quantities, that at present there remains very little or no Land for the Reception of new Comers, unless they purchase it at a very high Price, except in such Parts of the Island as are very much exposed, and lie under such Disadvantages as may justly deter Men from settling upon them."

[69]

"We conceive the Means of promoting the Prosperity of Jamaica, and of securing the Possession of it to Great Britain, would be to take all possible Methods to people it with white Inhabitants, and to encourage every kind of Agriculture proper for the Soil, and capable of being carried on by People of small Substance; but though we have long understood this to be the principal Interest of Jamaica, we conceive it impossible to invent Methods of attracting new Inhabitants thither, whilst the LANDS of the Country remain CONFINED, as they are at present, in the Possession of a FEW wealthy Planters.

"The People of Jamaica have appeared so sensible of these Truths, and of the ill Consequences that might arise from them, that in the Year 1722 they made a Law for vesting all such Lands in the CROWN, for which the Proprietors had paid no Quit-Rents, within a certain Time, upon Condition that the Lands so forseited, should be Regranted in small Parcels to new Inhabitants, under certain Conditions mentioned in the Act.

"Four other Acts were afterwards passed; for purchasing more Land to the Public; for the Use and Encouragement of New-Comers; for building a Town to be called by the Name of *Portland*; and for forming a Settlement at *Port Antonio*, which is a Port of Consequence in the North-East Part of Famaica.

"But either these Acts were insufficient to effectuate the Purposes for which they were designed, OR the Execution of them hath been evaded, OR the Intention of them weakened by subsequent Laws; because those Lands have not been purchased by new Inhabitants, but for the most Part lie still uncultivated, and the Island is more destitute THAN EVER of white Inhabitants.

"We are humbly of Opinion therefore, that if the People of Jamaica will not be induced to frame an Act, which may DIVEST particular Persons of those EXTENSIVE TRACTS which now lie uncultivated, this may be a proper Subject for the Consideration of the BRITISH PARLIAMENT; by whose Authority an effectual Method may be taken, to RE-ASSUME those antient GRANTS that have hitherto been useless, even to their Owners, as well as the Public; or otherwise, to put the Proprietors under a Necessity of cultivating them." Pages 14 and 15.

This authoritative Representation of these Matters, makes it quite unnecessary for me to say more concerning Land in the British Sugar-Colonies; and therefore I shall proceed to consider those other Articles of real Expence, wherein Sugar-Plantation Stock properly consists; for I have before

observed, that not One Penny an Acre can be allowed for Plantation-Land, in A NATIONAL ACCOUNT of Colonies.

Nor do I imagine that the Persons who now posses vast Tracts of Land in Jamaica, pay so much as ONE FARTHING per ACRE annual Quit Rent for the same; nay, it appears by the preceding Extract from the Representation of the Board of Trade, that many Years Quit-Rent for Part of the said Lands were not paid at all, though they were, and are, only small Tokens of Tenure due to the SOVEREIGN Proprietor: And yet there is Reason to believe, that those Persons, or their Predecessors, have received very large Sums of Money for Portions of the said Lands by them sold to other Subjects of Great Britain; which Bargains, as well as the Re-Assumption of those Lands in Jamaica, &c. which have been granted but are not cultivated, do seem to be Matters of National Concern; for though a Man may legally sell his Property, yet are not all Sales of vendible Property legal.—

According to my Re-Valuation of the Plantation-Stock in Barbadoes, P. 62, the same amounts to One Million One Hundred and Forty-five Thousand Pounds; but as I have therein admitted of above Five Hundred Thousand Pounds for Wind-Mills, Boiling-Houses, Carts, Pot-Kilns, &c. without making any Reduction; and as in the Article for Negroes there is included for Cotton, Ginger, &c. Plantation-Stock; I shall consider the Sugar Plantation-Stock in that Island, when Twenty-sive Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar are annually produced there, as being worth One Million of Pounds Sterling.

At which Estimation, the Sugar Plantation-Stock requisite to annually produce Seventy-five Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar, containing 1,500 lb. nett Weight each in the Sugar-Colonies, will amount in present Value, to Three Millions of Pounds Sterling; and as the present Value of such Stock, after the same hath been used for many Years and from Time to Time replenished or repaired, doth amount to only One-Half of the original Cost thereof, the whole Stock or Capital would be Six Millions of Pounds, if new Lands were to be planted.

But then it is to be confidered on the other Hand, that the extraordinary Charges of making new Sugar-Plantations, are compensated by the extraordinary great Crops of Sugar which newly broke-up Lands yield, more than are produced upon long cultivated Lands of the like natural Goodness.

Those Men who first planted the good Lands in Barbadoes with Sugar-Canes, were repaid in Crops of Four Thousand Pounds Weight of Musco-vado Sugar per Acre; whereas now those Lands have been long employed in the Production of Sugar Canes, the Crops of Sugar are, or at least I have reckoned

[71

reckoned them to be, only Two Thousand Pounds Weight per Acre: And yet the Sugar-Planters will not admit that the Charges of producing Sugar are at all diminished, but on the contrary say, that their Lands require more Labour and Manure to produce such lesser Crops of Sugar; so that a Crop of Four Thousand Pounds Weight of Sugar per Acre of newly planted Land, may properly be called a Triple or Three-fold Crop in Regard to the Expences of Production, which is the Point to be considered here, although the same be only a Double Crop in Quantity.

And as, according to Mr. Labat's Account, the extraordinary great Productions of Sugar from newly planted and good Lands, do continue for Seven, Ten, or more, successive Crops, without any sensible Diminution either in Quantity or Quality; there appears to me good Reason for believing, that the Capital Sum employed for settling and carrying on new Sugar-Plantations, together with Interest for the same, are wholly repaid in less than Twenty Years, by only the extraordinary Profits of such Plantations, exclusive of ordinary Profit: and indeed, the many and great Estates which have been bought in England, by Men who went to the English Sugar-Colonies in low Circumstances, are to me a Proof of that Matter; more especially as those Sugar-Plantations are still Retained, and have by Monopoly and Exorbitance, within Twenty Years past, been made to bring more ENGLISH MONEY into a small Number of Sugar-Planters COFFERS, than all the LANDS in a COUNTY are WORTH.—

From hence it appears, that the Profits arising from Sugar-Plantations keep Pace with the Charges attending them; or at least, that the Profits gained by such Plantations when newly settled, are above Twice as great, as arise from the like Sort of Plantations after the same have been long established; and consequently, by computing the British Sugar-Planters Profits per Cent. per Annum upon the present Value, or One-Half the First Cost, of their Plantation-Stock, I shall not reckon unfairly; but, on the contrary, more savourably for the Planters, than if I was to reckon their Profits, upon new Plantations and the First Cost of their Plantation-Stock; for though in the latter Case, their Charges would be double what they are in the Former, yet, their Profits would be more than double, and make a greater Profit in Proportion to the Capital employed.

These Matters being premised, I shall now inquire, what annual Rate of Profit or Interest per Cent. is gained, upon a Capital of Three Millions of Pounds Sterling employed for making of Sugar, upon long established Plantations in the British Colonies; and the first Thing to be taken Notice of, is, that Part of the Profit or Interest which the Sugar-Planters receive in Provisions, &c. produced on their Plantations, and consumed or used by them,

I do

72

them, their Families, menial Servants, or by Cattle, Horses, &c. kept for domestic or personal Uses.

In order to which, it will be necessary to shew, what Numbers of white Inhabitants there are, or have been of late Years, in the British Sugar-Colonies; and this I shall do, by the following Extract from the Representation of the Commissioners for Trade and Plantations.

Of which Number, I shall reckon Five Thousand for the Sugar-Planters Families, and add Ten Thousand for the Number of Negroes, Mulattoes, and some white Servants, employed in providing for, or attending on the Sugar-Planters, their Families, Coaches, Horses, &c.

Though it is said, that the Sugar-Planters are so frugal of Regard for their Children, as to have them suckled by Negroe-Women; and if, as Mr. Addison hath observed, Children suck in the good or bad Qualities of their Nurses, savage African Women reduced to Slavery, cannot be proper Nurses for the Children of British Subjects.

But to compensate, as it were, for such unparental Frugality, it seems that when a Sugar-Planter rides out, he hath a Foot-Negroe to run alongside with his great Coat, though he hath a stronger Slave under him; and Captain Fayrer-Hall says, there are more Coaches in Barbadoes than in all the French Islands put together, and that he knew of only Two in Martinico.

Which small Number of *Coaches* in the greatest of the *French* Sugar-Colonies, clearly proves, that *French* Colony-Farmers are wisely obliged to live LIKE FARMERS, even as *English* Farmers are wisely obliged to live, and must continue to live so, or the Land-holders of *England* cannot be paid their *Rents*.

Now as there were but Two Coaches in the most powerful of the French Sugar-Colonies, it is extremely reasonable to imagine, that there was not more than One Coach in any of their other Sugar-Colonies, and that the said Two Coaches were kept by the Governor and the next principal Officer in Martinico.

[73]

I do not know that our Sugar-Planters, or their Advocates, have so much as binted at this Part of French Wisdom in the GOVERNING of COLONIES, although they have spoke at large about the Policy of the French, in exempting their Sugar-Colonies from Taxes, and permitting a direct Exportation of Sugar: For during the Years 1731,32,33, &c. while those Sugar-Planters were humbly supplicating the LEGISLATURE to grant unto them, that goodly Train of Privileges and Immunities which are recited in Pages 50 and they, the said Planters, mightily extolled French Wisdom, and gave all possible Reason to imagine, that so soon as similar Measures were taken here, they would drive the French quite out of the foreign European Sugar-Trade.

But what our Sugar-Planters have fince done, most plainly shews, that their former Professions were only Paper-Patriotism; though even this hath its USE, as well as Paper-Money, when issued in proper Seasons and Quantities; and the Sugar-Planters seem to have been as adroit in giving Currency to the Former, as the Rhode-Islanders were in circulating the Latter.

Attributing to the French Sugar Planters a Superiority of Advantages, which the annual Re-Exportation of near Ten Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar from this Kingdom, PROVES, they had not.

Complaining of Diffress, when they received a Ballance of Six Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year from the PEOPLE of GREAT BRITAIN.

Pretending a Resolution to crush the French Sugar-Colonies, and at the same Time promoting the Increase of those Colonies, by supplying them with Negroes, and by fraudulently buying French Sugars, Manusastures, &c.

Amufing the People of Great Britain with an Expectation of all the foreign European Sugar-Trade being recovered, and soon after resigning to the French, that Part of the said Trade which our Sugar-Planters had preserved Four Years, without Complaint of Distress, under increased Charges of near Four Shillings a Hundred Weight, but most shamefully gave it up to the French, when in a Capacity of driving them quite out of the foreign European Sugar-Trade.

Haranguing upon the Liberty of England; while they were making Servants of English Merchants; and seizing upon the Privilege and Profit of exporting British Commodities and Manufactures, while they were speaking in Desence of the British Commerce.

Exclaiming against Pensions and Quarterings, and at the same Time holding by *Monopoly* and *Exorbitance*, such Pensions and Quarterings as abash *Corruption*, and are an Abomination to JUSTICE.

Such have been the POLITICS of our Sugar-Planters!

Patriotism in Shew,

Hypocriss in Substance.

[74]

The next Thing to be considered, is, the Value of that Part of the Sustenance of the Sugar-Planters, their Families, Servants, &c. which ariseth from the Lands in the British Sugar-Colonies, and it is to be reckoned for Fisteen Thousand Persons; but such Value must be rated at the Prices which are common for very good Fare in this Kingdom, and not at the high Prices really paid in the West-Indies for Commodities of the like Sorts, because that would be an unfair Valuation in regard to the Sugar-Planters Prosits; I shall therefore rate the said Sustenance at only Twenty Pounds each Person per Annum, for the Sugar-Planters, their Families, and menial Servants, including for the Keep of Cattle, Horses, &c. at which Estimation, this Part of the said Prosit will come to Three Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year.

The second Part of the Sugar-Planters Profit or Interest, is consumed by them, their Families, menial Servants, &c. in British, Irish, North American, and foreign Commodities, Manusactures, Coaches, &c. which are, or should be, all included in the lawful Exports to the British Sugar-Colonies; but as in the Account of those Exports, there is included for the Value of Supplies to keep up the Sugar-Plantation Stock, &c. as well as for the Value of the Commodities and Manusactures consumed by the Sugar-Planters Families, &c. it will be necessary to divide that Account of the said Exports; and I shall make this Division, upon Mr. Ashley's Account of the annual Supplies for the British Sugar-Colonies; but as that Account is already inserted in Page 41, it will be needless to mention in this Place, the Whole that is said there.

Annual Supplies for the British Sugar-Colonies.

	For the Sugar-Planters	For Plantation Stock, &c.	n Total of both
From Great Britain, Ireland, and Madeira Madeira Madeira	£200,000	£ 77,000	£277,000
From the British North American Colonies	40,000	120,000	160,000 150,000
The Totals	£250,000	£337,000	£587,000

I do not expect the Sugar-Planters to allow that this is a fair Diftribution of the annual Exports to the British Sugar-Colonies; but when their luxurious and magnificent Way of living is confidered, I believe it will be thought, that I have not appropriated too much to their personal or family Expences; for in the Article of Madeira Wine only, they consume, or say they consume, to the Value of Eighty Thousand Pounds yearly; but I shall reckon their Consumption of such Wine at only Sixty Thousand Pounds a Year.

[75]

Year, which will be deeming them One-Fourth Part less luxurious than they own themselves to be.

As to other Liquors, it appears in an Account of Sugar-Colony Supplies, inferted by an Advocate for the Planters, in a Pamphlet called "A De"tection of the State, &c. of the Sugar-Planters," printed in the Year 1732, that neither French, Rhinish, &c. Wines, nor Arrack, or French Brandy, are Novelties in those Colonies; nor doth Captain Fayrer Hall speak of those costly Liquors as Things seldom to be met with among our Barbadoes, &c. Farmers; for he says, that if the French Sugar-Planters were as luxurious as our People upon the neighbour Islands, they [the French] would drink Brandy and Arrack, as they [the British Sugar-Planters] do.

So that while ABSENTEE Sugar-Planters are recommending with patriotic Zeal, the Confumption of British Rum in this Kingdom, their Bretheren in the Colonies are drinking Arrack and Brandy, for the Benefit of East-India and France; and therefore their whole Expence for imported Liquors may well be reckoned at One Hundred Thousand Pounds per Annum; which Sum being deducted from their personal or domestic Expences as before rated, there will remain One Hundred and Fifty Thousand Pounds a Year, to provide the Sugar-Planters, their Families, Servants, &c. with various Sorts of Eatables, Apparel, Furniture, Coaches, other Equipages, Jewels, Toys, &c. &c. which comes to Ten Pounds a Head for Fisteen Thousand Persons.

Now if the annual Expences of the Sugar-Planters and their Families, in the feveral Articles last mentioned, be rated at Twenty Pounds per Head, taking Men, Women, and Children upon an Average, and I do not see how it can be less, there will then remain only Fifty Thousand Pounds a Year for the Ten Thousand Servants; and as all of those Servants must not only be cloathed, and fed, but many of them be treated as upper Servants, who fare well and receive Gratuities, I think that no Person can reasonably doubt of the Sugar-Planters Profits under this Head, being Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Pounds a Year.

's to the Third and last Part of the Sugar-Planters Profit, which confists in the Money they clearly gain and save, after paying the Charges of their Plantations and for the Maintenance of their Families, that Part of the said Profit is already fixed, under the Denomination of annual clear Gain and Saving: so that nothing more remains to be done on this Head than to determine, how many per Cent. per Annum these Three Sorts of Profit amount to, upon a Capital of Three Millions of Pounds Sterling; which is the present Value of the Sugar-Plantation Stock required to produce the said several Profits, and Seventy-sive Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar, annually.

An ABSTRACT of the Annual PROFIT per Cent. upon Three Millions of Pounds Sterling employed for making of Sugar, upon long established Plantations in the British Colonies,

> Rate per Gent: Amount per Annum: on £3,000,000

Profit in Provisions, &c. produced on the Plantation-Land, and confumed by the Sugar-Planters, their Families, menial Ser-

Profit in Commodities, Manufactures, &c. imported into the Sugar-Colonies, from Great Britain, Ireland, and other Countries, confumed as aforefaid

250,000 Eight #

Profit in clear Gain and Saving of Money, being Three Shillings Sterling for every 100 Pounds Weight of Mulcovado Sugar, in 75,000 Hogsheads of 1,500 lb. each (£168,750)

160,000 Five :

The Totals . . . 710,000 Twenty-three 2

So that, according to my Computations, the annual Profit arising from Money employed for Sugar-planting in the British Colonies, is about TWENTY-FOUR per Cent. when the Sugar-Planters sell Muscovado Sugar at only Eight Shilling's Sterling per 100 Pounds Weight in those Colonies; and this computed Rate of Profit doth so well correspond with the common Rate of Interest upon Money in the said Colonies, that I am not under much Apprehension of seeing any considerable Reduction made in my Computation; and as to Accuracy, it is not to be expected.

What I mean by Correspondence in this Case, is, that the Rate of Profit in Trade is THREE TIMES as much as the Rate of Interest upon Money; for the Rate of Profit upon Sugar-planting is Twenty-four per Cent. and the Rate of Interest upon Money in the British Sugar-Colonies is only Eight per Cent. per Annum: for though some particular Planters may borrow Money at less than Eight per Cent. Interest, yet, this doth not prove any Thing against the general Course of Interest there; and those Planters who borrow at Six per Cent. probably confign their Sugars to the Lenders here, as I have been told that some Planters did, to the late Mr. L-s, a confiderable Merchant in London.

But some Persons possibly may ask, What Connection there is, between the Rate of Profit in TRADE and the Rate of Interest upon MONEY? -And therefore it will be necessary for me to say something of that Connection.

Every Person in Trade knows, that he cannot carry on Business without Money, or its Substitute Credit; and as Men in general do not carry on Trade for Pleasure but for Profit, their own Reason tells them, that whoever lends for a Time the necessary Means of gaining Profit, such Person hath a Right to Part of it; and their own Reason doth likewise discover to them, the EQUITY of fuch Lender's Part of that Profit being more or less in Proportion as the whole Profit gained by the use of the Money so lent, is bigher or lower; or at least, in Proportion as the general Rate of Profit in the same Country is greater or smaller.

These Things must be obvious to every Person of ordinary Capacity: And as to the Rate of Profit in TRADE being bigh in one Country, moderate in another, and low in a third; those different Rates of Profit are principally caused, either by the various Degrees of Discouragement or Encouragement which different Governments, or Princes, give to Industry and Trade; or by the various Degrees of Necessity which the Inhabitants of different Countries are under, to work or trade for their Maintenance; or by the various Degrees of Risque, to which People expose their Persons or their Property, by residing in or trading to Countries where both or either are more than commonly exposed to Danger.

Under arbitrary Governments, the Rate of Profit in Trade is generally high, because the Subjects Property is not well secured to them; so that they rather chuse to live only from Hand to Mouth, than to labour for what they expect not to enjoy: And yet, when a wife and good Prince ruleth in fuch a Government, his Subjects will for a Time be industrious; because they have a present Security for reaping the Fruits of their Labour; but when fuch Security dies, Oppression soon crushes Industry and puts an End

In Countries where but little Cloathing is wanted, and where the fpontaneous Productions of the Earth are in a Manner fufficient to support Life, there will the Rate of Profit in Trade be high; because the Poor need not, and therefore will not, labour much; fo that those Persons who employ themselves in Labour or in Trade, will be well paid for their Trouble.

People who live under a free Government, who are exempted from Extremes of Heat and Cold, and who are possessed of great natural Advantages for Trade, will be contented with moderate Profit; because the happy Temperament of their Climate makes bodily Exercise a Pleasure, and Labour is sweetened by a Certainty of enjoying the Fruits of it.

People who live under a free Government, but in a wretched Country, must either take up with a small Rate of Profit in Trade, or they cannot carry on much; because the natural Disadvantages of their Country enable

other People, who likewise live under free Governments and have great natural Advantages for *Trade*, to sell *cheaper*; and therefore the Former must either reduce their *Rate of Prosit* so low as to put themselves upon a *Par* with the Latter, or they will lose their *Trade*.

People who were born in temperate Climates, and are not under a Necessity of leaving them, will have a higher Rate of Profit in Trade if they are to carry it on in disagreeable Climates, than other People who were born in the same temperate Climates will be contented with if they carry on Trade in their native Country; because the Former must live less agreeably than the Latter so far as depends upon Climate, and therefore expect to be paid in Profit what they lose of Pleasure: for which Reason, the Rate of Interest upon Money is higher in the British Sugar-Colonies, than it is in Great Britain.

These several Matters do account for the various Rates of Profit in TRADE, and by consequence, for the as various Rates of Interest upon MONEY, in different Countries; without having Recourse to the Quantities of Money in Proportion to the Quantities of Trade within such Countries: and as to the Proportion that there is, between the Rate of Profit in Trade and the Rate of Interest upon Money, it will be needless to enter any farther into those Matters here, than to shew what Proportion the Rate of Profit in Trade bears to the Rate of Interest upon Money in the British Dominions.

In England, it is commonly faid, that a Farmer ought to make Three Rents by his Farm, viz. One for his Landlord—One to maintain his Family—and One to lay by for his Children; which Distribution of the Profits arising from farmed Land certainly is a most equitable one.

For in such Cases, Land is the Means of gaining Profit, as Money is in other Cases; so that the Land-Holder is in a similar Situation to the Money-Lender, excepting as to very different Degrees of Risque; and as to the Farmer, he hath a Right to One Rent for his Stock, and to another Rent for his Labour; so that a more equitable Distribution of the Profits arising from farmed Land cannot possibly be made.

Now as by far the greatest Part of the Property of the People of England consists in LAND, the Rule observed in dividing the Profits arising from that Sort of Property, naturally took Place in dividing the Profits arising from MONEY employed in Trade; which Rule doth of Course govern the Rate of Interest upon Money lent to supply personal Wants: and as the present Rate of Interest for Money lent upon personal Security in this Kingdom, may properly be called FIVE per Cent. per Annum; so may the Rate of Profit in Trade be as properly called FIFTEEN per Cent.; for as to particular Instances of higher or lower Rates, they signify but little.

[79]

I could not well avoid explaining these Matters, as a great deal depends upon them in the present Case; for if I had not supported my Computations of the Sugar-Planters annual Profits per Cert. upon their Plantation-Stock, by the Rate of Interest in the Sugar-Colonies, and by the Fundamental Principles whereon that and other Rates of Interest are founded, much more would have depended upon my Judgment, than it is sitting should so depend; and many Readers, besides the Sugar-Planters, would have been ill satisfied with those Computations; whereas now, every Person of common Understanding may judge for himself, as I have pointed out, what I take to be the Guides of Judgment in this Case.

There now remains only one Thing more to be shewn under this Head, and that is, the Rate of Profit per Cent. per Annum arising to English Landholders, from Money laid out in the Purchase of Land; for as I have already shewn how much greater the Sugar-Planters Profits are per Acre of Land when they sell Muscovado Sugar at only Eight Shillings Ster. per 100 lb. in the Sugar-Colonies than the Landholders of England receive per Acre of their Estates; I would willingly do the like in Regard to the Rate of Profit upon the Capital Money employed.

And in order to avoid Objections which might otherwise be made, I shall compute the English Landholders Profits, upon Land that is not only improved as far as it can be, but assessed at the full legal Rate of Land-Tax; and I shall reckon such Land, at only Twenty-sive Years Purchase; for if I was to compute upon Land that may be vastly improved, and is greatly under-rated for Land-Tax, I must value the same at Thirty, Thirty-sive, or more Years Purchase; and then the Degrees of such Improvement and Under-Rate, would create unnecessary Trouble, Difficulties, &c.

I have before rated the Lands of England, at Fifteen Shillings per Acre annual Rent, upon a general Average throughout the feveral Counties thereof; and that supposed Average Rent will be as proper as any other, to compute upon in the present Case; for if either a higher or a lower Rate of Rent was to be fixed on, the Purchase-Money for, and the Tax upon, the Land bought, would be proportionably higher or lower; and therefore I shall compute how much Land of the before-specified Value, &c. may be purchased with Three Millions of Pounds Sterling.

The Cost of One Acre of Land, at Fifteen Shillings annual Rent, and at Twenty-five Years Purchase, will be Eighteen Pounds Fifteen Shillings; and One Hundred and Sixty Thousand Times £18:15:0 is exactly Three Millions of Pounds; so that such a Capital Sum laid out in English Land, under the before-mentioned Circumstances, would purchase One Hundred and Sixty Thousand Acres; the annual gross and nett Rent whereof to the Landholders, probably might be as followeth, upon a Medium, for a long Course of Years.

[80]

£120,000

30,000

Out of which annual Income of Ninety Thousand Pounds, there are to be paid all other Taxes but the Land-Tax; and the Pound-Rate of those other Taxes must necessarily vary, conformably to the Landholders Rank in Life and Manner of living; for according to my Calculations of Taxes published in the Year 1756, the Nobility and superior Gentry pay, from 25. 5d. to 25. 9d. in the Pound of their gross Rents, for all Taxes excepting the Land-Tax; the lesser Gentry pay, from 25. 9d. to 35. 3d. in the Pound; but the Freeholders pay only 25. 2d. or 25. 3d. in the Pound; which different Rates of Taxes are caused by different Rates of Living, in Proportion to the Incomes of the several Ranks or Classes of People.

Now as Ninety Thousand Pounds a Year makes exactly Three per Cent. per Annum, upon Three Millions of Pounds, and all Taxes excepting that upon Land, are to be paid out of the said nett annual Rent or Income; it is plain that the English Landholders receive only Two and a Half, but I will call it THREE PER CENT. for their MONEY: and as in computing the Profits gained by Sugar-making in the British Colonies, I have reckoned Ten per Cent. Profit to the Sugar-Planter, for what he, his Family, Servants, Cattle, Horses, &c. consume or use of the Produce of his Plantation, I shall do the like in regard to the English Landholder; though he must constantly reside upon his Estate, or he cannot have that Ten per Cent. Profit from it;

But such constant Residence is not to be expected from the Nobility, or from the superior Gentry of England; for if national Business doth not require them to reside in London some Months of each Year, they may with the greatest Propriety be some Months absent from their Estates; whereas the Sugar-Planters neither are under an Obligation of coming annually or at all to this Kingdom; nor can they with any Sort of Propriety as Men in Trade be long or frequently absent from their Plantations, any more than English Farmers can be absent from their Farms; for which Reasons, the PROFIT under this Head cannot fairly be rated at more than EIGHT PER CENT. per Annum to the ENGLISH LAND-HOLDERS; and I am persuaded that the principal Landholders do not receive more than Five per Cent, yearly in this Sort of Prosit, upon a general Average throughout the Kingdom.

[8r]

So that in a round Way of reckoning, MONEY laid out in purchasing of LAND in *ENGLAND*, brings only ELEVEN *PER CENT*. per Annum to the LANDHOLDER, at the highest Computation; of which *Profit*, not more than ONE and One-Third per Cent. is clear annual Gain and Saving.

Whereas, MONEY employed for Sugar-Planting, brings TWENTY-FOUR PER CENT. per Annum to the SUGAR-PLANTER, when Muscovado Sugar is sold at only Eight Shillings Sterling per 100 Pounds Weight in the Sugar-Colonies, and leaves a clear annual Gain and Saving of FIVE and One-Third per Cent. after feeding such excessive Luxury as ought to have been suppressed long ago.

And consequently,

When Muscovado Sugar is fold at the faid low Price, the British SUGAR-PLANTERS do clearly gain and fave FOUR TIMES as Much MONEY per Cent. per Annum upon the Capital Sum employed, as is clearly gained and faved by the LANDHOLDERS of ENGLAND, upon a like Capital.

So that though an annual clear Gain and Saving of Three Shillings Ster. per 100 Pounds Weight of Muscovado Sugar in the British Sugar-Colonies, is only DOUBLE what the English Landholder clearly gains and saves per Acre of Land, and per Cent. per Annum upon the Capital employed; yet are those Profits of the Sugar-Planters QUADRUPLE the Profits of the English Landholders, when the same are measured by the Scale of Human Assars: for those Sons or Daughters of a Sugar-Planter, who have Four Times as much Money for their Portions, as an English Landholder can save out of his Estate, for a like Number of Sons or Daughters, in the same Number of Years, and from as great an original Capital as the Sugar-Planter begun with, are to all Intents and Purposes Four Times as well provided for, as the Landholder's Children are; and therefore Sugar-Planting considered as a Means of making Provision for Families, and at the Rate of Profit before mentioned, is FOUR TIMES as beneficial as ENGLISH Land-bolding.

As to what the Sugar-Planters say of the vast Stock required for Sugar-Planting, it appears by the Matters here mentioned, that Acre for Acre, English Land without either Cow, Horse, or Sheep upon it, costs the Buyer almost Twice as much Money, as the Stock upon a Sugar Plantation is worth; for according to the Estimate and Observations already made by me, of or concerning the present Value of Sugar-Plantation Stock, it comes to only One Thousand Pounds for a Plantation of One Hundred Acres; whereas the bare Purchase-Money of 100 Acres of English Land as before valued, is £1875; so that such Land costs the Landholder near if not full

as much Money without Stock, as a Sugar-Planter lays out in completely stocking new Plantation-Land.

I have now computed the British Sugar-Planters Profits, by those Three Rules of Estimation whereby the same are computable; and I have reckoned upon such a Rate of Profit as would not only have enabled them to make QUADRUPLE Provision for their CHILDREN, but to have driven the French quite out of the foreign European Sugar-Trade, instead of their shamefully giving up that Trade to the French, as they have done for Twenty Years past.

But that Abuse of the fairest Train of LEGISLATIVE FAVOURS which I know of, in the whole Circle of BRITISH COMMERCE, and the said Planters Seizure upon the Profession of BRITISH MERCHANT, in Breach of a Privilege to which every BRITON hath an inherent Right, were only Preludes to that grievous Monopoly of Sugar, whereby, according to my Computations, those Planters have most exorbitantly amassed to themselves EIGHT MILLIONS of BRITISH WEALTH.

Concerning what the British Sugar-Planters say of their DEBTS in this Kingdom, and of their PROFITS centring here.

When Colony-Farmers live like BRITISH NOBLEMEN, it cannot be a Wonder that such of them as do so, should contract vast Debts; and therefore no Man can be surprized at hearing that the unparalleled Extravagances of our Sugar-Planters, have generally kept some of them in Debt to Money-Lenders here: for if Husbandmen who might live well according to their Station, and make extraordinary good Provision for their Children, out of a clear Profit of Three Shillings per 100 lb. of Sugar, will set up Coaches and live as sumptuously as Men of Quality, vast Debts or vast Exorbitance must be the Consequence of a Manner of Life, and Rate of Expence, so highly reproachable in them.

There is no other Way than this, of accounting for some of the Sugar-Planters being indebted to People here; and yet those Debts, though a Shame to the Men who contracted them under such Circumstances, are artfully brought in, to create a Belief, that the Profits of Sugar-Planting are very small; but the great Profit gained by those Planters, when Sugar is sold for less Money than they will own to be a living Price, most plainly shews, that the Debts which some of them owe here, are the Effects of excessive Luxury, and not brought on by small Gain, as they would have People to believe.

Nor doth the Evilstop there; for such Money-Lenders, here, as crave higher Interest for their Money than can safely be taken in Great Britain, may lend to the Sugar-Planters, at EIGHT per Cent. without going beyond

[83]

yond the Limits of the British Sugar-Colony Laws, for that Rate of Interest is warranted by them: So that usurious Money-Lenders have found their Account in feeding and nursing the excessive Luxury which hath not only caused the Loss of our foreign European Sugar-Trade, but of many Millions of British Wealth also; and they may be expected to argue for a Continuance of those NATIONAL LOSSES, which they have profited so much by promoting.

As to what the other Part of the Sugar-Planters fay, of their Profits CENTRING in Great Britain, the Forestallers, Ingrossers and Regrators of Corn may very well say, that their Profits centre here, and I am persuaded that Ninety-nine out of a Hundred People will believe them upon their bare Word; but surely those Sugar-Planters will not say, that their buying of many great Estates in this Kingdom, is any Sort of Recompence or Consolation to the People thereof, for the Twenty Millions of Wealth which the said Planters have either gained from them by Exorbitance, or prevented their gaining, or caused them to lose.

However, I think it expedient to fay,

First That every Penny of Money which either hath been sent out of this Kingdom for East-India Manufactures consumed by our Sugar-Planters, or laid out in French, &c. Manufactures by those Planters, is so much Wealth taken from the People of Great Britain; for if, instead of consuming those foreign Manufactures, BRITISH MANUFACTURES had been worn or used, the Money so sent abroad would have remained in this Kingdom, and have continued to be the personal Property of the proper Inhabitants thereof.

Second ... That all the Money which hath been fent abroad to pay for foreign Sugars confumed in the British Dominions, and also, the foreign Money which the Loss of our foreign European Sugar-Trade hath prevented from being brought into this Kingdom, are so much real Loss to the People thereof; for if sufficient Quantities of Sugar had been produced in the British Colonies, to supply the said Consumption and that Trade, such Sugars would have been purchased with British Manusactures, Commodities, &c. and the Money received for those Sugars must haver emained, or have become, British Property.

Third... As to the EIGHT MILLIONS of Pounds, which, according to my Computations, the Sugar-Planters have gained by Exorbitance from the People of Great Britain, both Landholders and Householders know very well, that all they have paid above a fair Price for Sugar, is real Loss to them, and as to that Gain centring in this Kingdom; it doth not centre in those Purses or Pockets from whence Exorbitance fetched.

[84]

fetched it; and looking at the many vast Estates which the Sugar-Planters now possess in England, will not bring that Money back again, but may make Englishmen sigh.

For that Centring of Profits, is, in plain English, buying the Landholders of England out of their Freebolds, with English Money acquired by Monopoly, and not with foreign Wealth gained by those Sugar-Planters, in a fair Course of Sugar-Trade with other Nations: but this is a Matter which all Persons are not apprized of, and therefore it is not to be wondered, that many People have understood this Centring of Profit to be, a bringing Home of foreign Wealth, and not a scraping together of English Money by Exorbitance.

Concerning the Reasons given by the British Sugar-Planters, for their not planting the uncultivated Lands which are in the British Sugar-Colonies, and especially in Jamaica.

If you ask the Sugar-Planters, why they do not establish new Plantations upon their uncultivated Lands, you will be answered with a long Detail of the vast Charges of making such Establishments, and told how unwholsome it is, to live upon those Lands; and as such an Answer is not only consonant to Reason, but is in some Measure supported by Experience of a similar Nature in this Part of the World, no Person who is unacquainted with Sugar-Planting, and with the Sugar-Planters Writings, can well be dissatisfied with that Reply.

Nor should I have animadverted upon those Reasons for not establishing new Sugar-Plantations in the British Colonies, if the Sugar-Planters own Writings, and the Writings of their Advocates, had not furnished me with just and evident Grounds for animadverting thereon; but since they, the said Planters, or their Advocates, have publicly and repeatedly assigned contrary Reasons in another Case, where it suited their present Purpose to do so, it is expedient for the PUBLIC, that those Timeserving Reasons be brought together and confronted.

Whenever our Sugar-Planters have found it necessary for their Interest, to make a Stalking-Horse in this Kingdom, of the superior Advantages which they pretend the French Sugar-Planters have over them, great Stress hath been laid upon the FRESHNESS of the French Sugar-Cane Lands; and in order to more sirmly establish a Belief, that the French Sugar-Planters really have a great Advantage, by the Freshness of their Lands, over our Sugar-Planters, the already named Mr. Bennett hath cooked up Two Accounts,

Accounts, the one for a British the other for a French Sugar-Plantation of

He hath valued the British Sugar-Plantation of a Thousand Acres, together with the Stock thereon, at Fifty Thousand Pounds; which Sum is just Five Times as much Money as should have been reckoned, according to my Re-Valuation, &c. of Sugar-Plantation Stock: but he hath been so moderate as to compute the Stock and Block of a French Sugar-Plantation of a Thousand Acres, at only Eight Thousand Five Hundred Pounds; and bath reckoned no more than One Hundred and Fifty Pounds for the Charges of taking up One Thousand Acres of fresh Land.

For according to that unconscionable Calculator's Reckoning, the British Sugar-Planter is to charge Twenty Thousand Pounds for 1,000 Acres of Land, but the French Sugar-Planter must not charge One Penny for his 1,000 Acres; and there are some other unconscionable Differences of a like Sort; which Matters being considered, no Man can be surprized to find, that the cooked up annual Ballance for the French Planter is so much as £1,555, although his Sugar be rated at only 8 s. per 100 lb.; and that the British Planter should have but £1,000 a Year, though his Sugar be rated so high as 15 s. per 100 lb.

Certainly no Man ever run through Thick and Thin for our Sugar-Planters, so fearlessly and so rapidly as that same Mr. Bennett hath done; but I will venture to say, that the Jamaica Sugar-Planters never gave him Thanks for estimating the Stock of a new Plantation at so moderate a Sum; because he hath thereby shewn, that the pretended great Charge of settling such Plantations, is only hung out by Way of Scare-Crow, to deter those People from going to settle on the uncultivated Lands in that Island, who otherwise might be disposed to go there.

But fuch Shewing, was so far from being disadvantageous to Barbadoes, as a worn-out Island, that it was quite the Contrary; since the greater the supposed Disadvantages of the Barbadians were, the higher Prices might they expect to be paid for their Sugars in this Kingdom; and as Mr. Bennett was their Advocate in particular, his Business was, to suit his Calculations to the Meridian of BARBADOES; for as to JAMAICA, it lies under another Meridian, and therefore the Planters in that Island rest their Desence, upon the great Charge and Unwholesomeness of new Plantations.

Now these Matters shew, that the British Sugar-Planters understand the ART of veering and tacking, extremely well; for when you ask, why they do not plant new Lands?—the Answer is, new Plantations are very chargeable:

chargeable:—and if you would know why the French Planters fell Sugar for cheap, it is, because their Lands are fresh: so that our Sugar-Planters do not reason upon the old fashioned Foundation of FIXED PRINCIPLES, but TRIM their Sails according to the Course they want to steer, TACK about to any Point of the Compass from whence the Wind blows upon their INTEREST, and take Care to keep out of Trade-Wind Latitudes.—

I am persuaded that no TRUE BRITON will be desirous of reading much more concerning the Missolings of our Sugar-Planters; and therefore I shall most humbly propose such Things as this State of the British Sugar-Colony Trade, and the Matters therein contained, do appear to me to be a proper Foundation for proposing.

In order to which, it is necessary to observe in the first Place, that AT THE PRICES for which Sugar, Rum, and Melasses have lately been sold here, the British Sugar-Planters RAISE about Eight Hundred Thousand, Pounds a Year upon the PEOPLE of GREAT BRITAIN, over and above much greater Profits than either the Landholders or the Housholders therein do gain, perAcre of their Land, or per Cent. upon their Money: and as, in my humble Opinion, the said Sugar-Planters have not the least Shadow of Pretence to a greater, if so great a Rate of Profit upon their Sugar, as 3 s. per 100 lb. in the Sugar-Colonies, or 3 s. 9 d. per 112 lb. in this Kingdom; their receiving more than the said Rate of Profit, seems to me to be, a PREYING upon the PROPERTY of the said PEOPLE, against THE RULES OF GOOD GOVERNMENT AND COMMON EQUITY.

For the Prevention whereof, as well as to render burthensome Taxes unnecessary, I do most humbly propose, that all the extraordinary Supplies of Money which are, or shall be wanted, to carry on the present just and necessary WAR, be borrowed upon, and the Interest thereof paid by Additional Duties on SUGARS imported into GREAT BRITAIN: and in Case the WAR should unhappily continue so long, as to require extraordinary Supplies in Money to the Amount of TWENTY MILLIONS or POUNDS, I do most humbly apprehend, that such a vast Sum may be raised, and the Interest of it paid, by the EXORBITANT PART of those Prices which the People of this Kingdom are obliged to pay for Sugars.

[87

The pr	elent Price of <i>bro</i>	wn or ivius	ovaao suga	ar per 112 to.		
here,	is about				£2:	4:0
From w	hich deduct, for t	he Charges	of Produc	tion including		
for M	aintenance of the Su	gar-Planter	's Family, a	and for Colony		
Taxe	s, Freight, Insura	nce, Lois b	y Waite, B	gritish Duties,		
Port-	Charges, Wareho	ule-Rent, &	xc. as part	icularized in		
Page	4 and 5, and re-	tated in Pa	ge 52 .		0:	10:6
And th	ere will remain From which th	ere is to	 be deducte	 d.	I :	7:6
For the In Con	Sugar-Planter's a	lear Gain a	nd Saving	£0:3:9		
				0:1:3		
			Together		0:	5:0
So that	the exorbitant Par	t of the pro	esent Price	of brown or		
Musc	ovado Sugar per	112 lb. is	full	•. • • •	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{I}}:$	2:6

Now as One Pound Two Shillings and Six Pence per 112 Pounds Weight of fuch Sugar, amounts to Eight Hundred and Ten Thousand Pounds, for Sixty Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar containing 12 Cent. lb. each, and that is the Quantity of Sugar which the People of Great Britain have annually consumed of late Years; it is plain, that Eight Hundred Thousand Pounds a Year might certainly be raised by an Additional Duty upon SUGAR, without raising the Price of that Commodity here, and the Sugar-Planters be affured of a much greater clear Profit, than either the Landed or the Trading People of this Kingdom do gain, per Acre of their Land, or per Cent. upon their Money.

I have faid, without raising the Price of Sugar here, because it could not justly be sold for more than £2:4:0 per 112 lb. if this proposed Additional Duty was now laid and paid; but as the Sugar-Planters have long practised Monopoly and Exorbitance, it is expedient that they be effectually prevented from continuing their shameful Proceedings; and therefore I most humbly propose, that Liberty should be granted to import foreign Sugars into Great Britain, in British Ships, upon Payment of such Duties as British Sugars shall be subjected to, when, and as often as, the Price of British Muscovado Sugar in this Kingdom shall be more than Five Shillings per 112 lb. above the Cost, Duties, and Charges thereon, at the Time.

For Example—the faid Cost, present Duties, &c. amount to 16s. 6d. per 112 lb. of Sugar, to which add 5s. for the Sugar-Planter, &c. as just now mentioned, and the Whole will make £1:1:6; but if more than that good Price be required for British Muscovado Sugar, I propose in such Case, that foreign Sugars may be imported in British Ships, upon paying such Duties for the same, as British Sugars of the like Sorts are now charged with

with here: or, supposing that the proposed Additional Duty of £1:2:6 per 112 lb. of Mulcovado Sugar (and proportionably higher Duties upon Sugars refined before imported into this Kingdom) were to be laid; I should then propose, that foreign Sugars might be imported upon Payment of the like Duties, whenever our Sugar-Planters, &c. raised Muscovado Sugar to more than £2:4:0 per 112 lb.—and the like for any other Rate of Duty.

If within a few Years after the British Sugar-Planters Rate of Prosit shall be to bounded, there are not ANNUALLY EXPORTED, to foreign European Markets, THIRTY or at least TWENTY THOUSAND Hogsheads of BRITISH SUGAR, over and above supplying Great Britain and all the British Dominions therewith, it will be a Proof that Five Shillings per 112 lb. of Sugar, is a greater Extent of Prosit, &c. than ought to be allowed; and in that Case, I do most humbly propose, that the said Extent of Prosit, &c. be reduced to Four Shillings per 112 lb. of Sugar, by permitting the Importation of foreign Sugars, whenever the Price of British Muscovado Sugar shall be more than Four Shillings per 112 lb. above the Cost, Charges, and Duties thereon; for the superior Advantages which our Sugar-Planters have, by cheap Freight, and by the bigh Prices at which they sell their Rum, DO ENABLE THEM to secure that Trade, and THEREFORE it ought to be secured.

So that if Four Shillings per 112 lb. of Sugar should prove to be too great an Extent of Profit, &c. to put our Sugar-Planters into a Course of such Moderation as is requisite for keeping the said Trade, I most humbly propose, that their Extent of Profit, &c. be then reduced to Three Shillings per 112 lb. of Sugar, by allowing the Importation of foreign Sugars, &c. as aforesaid; because our Recovery of the foreign European Sugar-Trade, is the true Criterion whereby to determine, when the said Boundary of Profit is rightly fixed for the WEAL of ENGLAND.

And if the French, or any other Nation, should have Recourse to Bounties, in order to deprive Great Britain of that Trade, when the same shall be so recovered, as most certainly it may, there is Money enough in this Kingdom to counter-act any such Measures, by allowing a Bounty for British Muscovado Sugar exported to foreign Markets; but the superior Advantages which our Sugar-Planters have over the French Sugar-Planters, as stated in Pages 28, 29, &c. are more than equal to a Bounty of Three Shillings per 112 lb. of Sugar; and therefore I cannot see any Reason for allowing One Penny of Bounty on British Muscovado Sugar so exported, until the French allow a Bounty nearly equal to Three Shillings per Hundred Weight on their Muscovado Sugar.

Nor do I think that an Increase of white People in the British Sugar-Colonies, to Twice or Three Times the Number of such People now there, would

[89

would be any Sort of Reason for allowing a Bounty on British Muscovado Sugar exported to foreign European Markets; because there would not then be a greater Proportion of white People employed in making our Sugars, than the French employ in making their Sugars, and the superior Advantages which our Sugar-Planters have, by cheap Freight and by the high Price of Rum, would still remain.

But such an Increase of white People in the British Sugar-Colonies, most certainly would be of great Advantage to this Kingdom, as well by making those Colonies strong which Monopoly and Exorbitance have reduced to extreme Weakness, as by increasing the Consumption of British Commodities and Manusactures; for partly by our princely Planters wearing East-India and French Manusactures, and partly bymost of their Negroes wearing only a little Ozenbrigs, or no Brigs at all, the Sugar-Colony Consumption of wearable British Manusactures hath been so much reduced of late Years, as to make a very contemptible Figure in a National Account of Exports.

When these Things are effected, the People of Great Britain will find their Account in being at the Charge of protecting and defending the British Sugar-Colonies; for the same will then answer as much of the true End and Design of Colonies, as the torrid Zone will admit of being received by a Nation situated in the cooler Part of a temperate Zone: but there is something so unnatural in putting a Nation to great and constant Charges for Colonies, while the same do not only diminish the Strength, but prodigiously waste the Wealth of their MOTHER-COUNTRY, and inrich a few Men at the Expence of Millions of their fellow Subjects, that there is no knowing what to say of such extraordinary Affairs.

However, I will venture to fay, that if the British Woollen Manufacturers had possessed as great a Superiority of Advantages over the French Woollen Manufacturers, as our Sugar-Planters have over theirs, the French would not have had much Share in the foreign Woollen Trade; but their having had a considerable Share of that Trade for many Years, doth strongly indicate, that our Woollen Manufacturers are under some small Disadvantage; and yet, their Industry and Frugality have happily rendered unnecessary, a Grant of SUCH PRIVILEGES, as are A MONUMENT of SHAME to our Sugar-Planters.—

Thus if wanted may TWENTY MILLIONS or POUNDS be raised; Without raising the Price of Sugar in this Kingdom;

Without taking just Profit from, or laying a Tax upon, the Sugar Planters; Without increasing the Expences, or decreasing the Incomes, of any other Class of British Subjects;

Without prejudicing any one Branch of British Manufactury; Without breaking our Treaties of Commerce with foreign Powers;

A. a. Withou

[90]

Without giving Umbrage to any State in Amity with this Nation; Without creating a new Sett of Commissioners and Revenue-Officers; And with a Certainty of increasing,

The TRADE, WEALTH, and NAVAL POWER of GREAT BRITAIN.

So that by laying this proposed Tax of £1:2:6 per 112 lb. of Sugar, the WAR may be carried on THREE YEARS, if necessary for the SAFETY, HONOUR, or INTEREST of this KINGDOM, without prejudicially affecting any one Class of BRITONS, so far as depends upon the Payment of Taxes; because every Penny of the Money that would be thereby railed, is included in the exorbitant Part of those Prices which the People of Great Britain are obliged to give for Sugars, and therefore they would not have more Money to pay, or less to receive, if the said Tax was laid.

For partly by War in most Parts of Germany, and partly by general Diffress in France, the European Consumption of Sugar is at present so much diminished, that there cannot in the common Course of Things be a Scarcity of Sugar in this Kingdom, if proper Measures are taken for preventing it: and as to a Scarcity of Sugar in Time of Peace, nothing more is necessary for the Prevention thereof, or at least to hinder our Sugar-Planters from causing any, than to permit the Importation of foreign Sugars, &c. as before mentioned, whenever British Sugars shall be fold for more than reasonable Prices here.

But as Affairs are now circumstanced, our Sugar-Planters possibly may, either discontinue the Cultivation of a considerable Part of their Sugar-Cane Lands, OR keep great Quantities of Sugar in the Colonies, OR export many Thousand Hogsheads of Sugar to foreign Markets, in order to make a Scarcity of Sugar in this Kingdom; and as it is not only possible, but highly probable, that one or more of these Things would be done, if proper Measures should not be taken for the Prevention thereof, I do most humbly propose.

First... That all such Lands as now are, or at any Time within Ten Years last past have been cultivated or used for the Production of Sugar-Canes, either in Jamaica, Barbadoes, or any of the British Leewards Islands, and shall not continue to be so cultivated or used, during this present War, the Accidents thereof excepted, and for Three Years after it, according to the Course of Husbandry commonly practised there, should be Re-Assumed to the CROWN, as Lands held to the Prejudice of the PUBLIC WEAL:
—Saving to the Owners of such Lands, the Buildings or other Stock thereon, which should be sold to the best Bidder, for the Benefit of those Owners; and the Lands so re-assumed should be re-granted to such Persons as shall bid most Money for the said Buildings or other Stock:—But those Lands should not be saleable, neither should they be any longer tenable

[91]

tenable by those Persons, their Heirs, &c. than Seven Years after he, she, or they shall cause the same to be cultivated.

Second . . . That a Fine of Ten Shillings Sterling per 100 Pounds Weight, should be laid upon each Sugar-Planter or other Person, for all Sugar more than Five Hogsheads or 7,500 lb. which he, she, or any Servant of his or hers shall keep, or cause to be kept, either in Jamaica, Barbadoes, or any of the British Leeward Islands, after the usual Time of shipping each Year's Crop of Sugar, or after the Departure of such Ships from any of those Islands, as the Sugar that shall be so kept, might have been shipped on board for Great Britain, without paying an exorbitant Price for Freight of the same, according to the Rates which shall then and there be customary: - That One-Half of every such Fine, should be applied towards defraying the Charges of Civil Government, or other public Service, in that Island where the same shall be levied: that the other Half thereof should be paid to the Governor, his Lieutenant, or such other Person as shall at the Time act as Governor in the said Island:-That keeping of Sugar as aforesaid, either before the End of the War, or within Three Years after it, should subject the Planter, or other Proprietor thereof, to the faid Fine of 10 s. per 100 lb.; and that the same should be leviable by Diffress.

Third. . . . That during the Continuance of this present War, and for One Year after, it should not be lawful to export Sugar from any of the British Sugar-Colonies to any other Kingdom or Country than to Great Britain; nor to export such Sugar in any other than British Ships.

4th. That during the like Time, it should not be lawful to re-export out of this Kingdom, either British or foreign Sugars for Sale in other Countries, without a License from the Right Honourable The Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury, or from the Commissioners of the Customs, expressing the Quantity of Sugar exportable by Virtue of each such License, and all other necessary Particulars relative thereto:—That the granting of such Licenses, and the Quantities of Sugar thereby permitted to be reexported, should be regulated by the Prices of Sugars in Great Britain at the Time; and that no such License should be granted whenever the common Price of Muscovado Sugar in London shall be more than £2:4:0 per 112 Pounds Weight, (supposing the Duty of £1:2:6 per 112 lb. to be laid).

By these or some such temporary Measures, I most humbly apprehend, our Sugar-Planters may be kept within the Rules of Reason and Moderation, until Peace shall be restored to this Kingdom, and during a convenient Time after; for in this Case, it is not enough, to provide against what might be done while the War continues; and as to Restrictions

Some

of the like Sort in future Wars, they may be rendered unnecessary, by fome such Regulations, as are mentioned under the next Head: so that Three Years Extraordinary Supplies for War, undoubtedly may be raised by this proposed Tax, without increasing the Expences or decreasing the Incomes of any Class of People in Great Britain; and therefore I need not say more of this Matter.

Nor can I tell what to say, of such a Handful of Men as the Sugar-Planters forcing their Way through the Laws against MONOPOLY, and out-stripping the Necessities of WAR; for in Three Years Time they have raised more Money by their Exorbitance, than hath been paid in new Taxes for the Support of Three Campaigns and a powerful Navy!

Can those Sugar-Planters be so far lost to all Sense of what is just and right, as to think of PREYING any longer upon the PROPERTY of the PEOPLE of GREAT BRITAIN, under Colour of pretended Hurricanes, Blights, Droughts, vast Expences, &c.?

Hath any one of these Sugar-Planters so fortified a Countenance, as to declare in the Faces of those PEOPLE, that each Family in this Kingdom ought to pay Twenty Shillings a Year, towards the Expences of a Coach for every Sugar-planting Farmer, and to support them in living as expensively as British Noblemen?

Will any Sugar-Planter have the Boldness to say, that those very LAWS which protect the Sugar-Planters in the Possession of their Property, ought not at the same Time to equally protest the Property of the People of Great Britain, from the doubly sierce Canker of Monopoly and Exorbitance, as well as from exterior Dispoliation?

Or can any Sugar-Planter have the Hardiness to tell the People of this Kingdom, that the poposed TAX, if laid, would not be paid out of their just and lawful PROPERTY, to the Possession and Use whereof they have as good a Right, as any Sugar-Planter hath to keep and wear a Hat, Wig, or Coat, after he hath honestly paid for it?

Certainly if the World was to be fearched, for a Class of Subjects who had received the greatest *Protestion* and *Favour* from the Government they live under, and yet had done the greatest *Injuries* to their Protectors, there would not be found between *Nova Zembla* and *Terra del Fuego*, any Class of Subjects to vye with our Sugar-Planters.

And yet, injurious, infulting, and oppressive as their Treatment of the People of *Great Britain* hath been, I cannot believe that any one of those Sugar-Planters will be so profligate as to think of justifying such abominable Proceedings; much less can I imagine, that any TRUE BRITON will attempt to support or countenance them.

[93]

Some Weeks ago, there was a Rumour, that the Extraordinary Supplies for the Service of the current Year, were to be raifed by an additional Duty on TOBACCO; at which I could not help being very much furprized, upon confidering what vait Duties are already laid on that Commodity; and imagining that so dangerous a Scheme could not be contrived by any People but the Sugar-Planters, I begun to contrast their Pretensions as British Subjects, with the Pretensions of the Tobacco-Planters, that I might the more easily discover the true Complexion of that Scheme.

For as to the Ferment which a Scheme of the like Sort caused in this Kingdom, about Twenty six Years ago, and the Practicability of our being deprived of the foreign European Tobacco-Trade, as our Sugar-Planters have deprived us of the foreign European Sugar-Trade, those important Matters are extremely well known; but I do not recollect to have met with any Thing of the Sort here mentioned; and as the Difference between the Conduct of the Tobacco-Planters, and the Conduct of the Sugar-Planters, appears to me to be very striking; the Publication of it, possibly may help to serve the best, if not reform the worst, of all the Colony-Subjects belonging to GREAT BRITAIN.

The Tobacco-Planters are industrious and frugal People; The Sugar-Planters are unworking and extravagant People:

The Tobacco-Planters are contented with *British* Manufactures; The Sugar-Planters wear *East-India* and *French* Manufactures:

The Tobacco-Planters add £200,000 a Year to the Wealth of this Nation; The Sugar-Planters raise Five Times £200,000 a Year upon this Nation:

The Tobacco-Planters employ 100 Ships & 1,000 Seamen in our foreign Trade; The Sugar-Planters have lost Employment for 100 Ships and 1,000 Seamen:

The British Duties on Tobacco are ELEVEN Half-pennies per Pound; The British Duties on Sugar are only ONE Half-penny per Pound.

Under these Circumstances, was an additional Duty upon Tobacco talked of, preferably to an additional Duty upon Sugar; but this Sort of Talk hath subsided, and there is Reason to hope, that TRUTH AND JUSTICE will prevail over Monopoly and Exorbitance.

For if such Proceedings go on, People may fancy that the EVIL GENIUS of ENGLAND haunts us in the Form of Sugar-Planters.

Вb

PROPOSITIONS

PROPOSITIONS relative to the future ORDERING AND GOVERNING of the BRITISH SUGAR-COLONIES and the TRADE, &c. thereof.

First . . . That all such LANDS either in Jamaica, Barbadoes, or any of the British Leeward Islands, as have been granted from the CROWN, and are not cultivated, should be RE-ASSUMED.

Second... That all the Lands which shall be so Re-assumed, should be Re-granted to British Protestant Subjects, in Quantities not exceeding Two Hundred Acres, nor less than Twenty Acres, to any One Person.

Third... That no Part of the Lands so Re-granted, should be Saleable, neither should the same be any longer Tenable by those Persons, their Heirs, &c. than Seven Years after he, she, or they shall cause at least a Moiety of his, her, or their respective Shares thereof, to be cultivated.

Fourth... That no Person should be capable of holding at the same Time, any Two Shares of the said Lands, nor any One Share thereof with any other Portion of Land in any of the aforesaid Islands.

Fifth . . . That fuch of those Lands as shall revert to the CROWN, and every other Portion of Land in any of the said Islands, or other British Sugar-Colony, which shall so revert, should be Re-granted as aforesaid, in Shares or Portions not exceeding 200 Acres, nor less than 20 Acres to any One Person.

Sixth... That the Buildings or other Stock upon any such Lands at the Time of Reversion to the CROWN, should be publicly fold to the best Bidder, for the Benefit of the Owners thereof; and the Lands be Regranted to such best Bidder, upon the Conditions before mentioned.

Seventh... That there should not be any collusive holding of Lands in the British Sugar-Colonies; and that the Persons to whom Lands shall be so granted there, should not be ejectable from the same, excepting for Non-Cultivation; nor should any Part of the Profits arising therefrom, be recoverable from those Persons, by any Deed of Conveyance, or other Instrument whatever.

Eighth... That the Fees for Grants, and the Quit-Rents for all fuch Lands, should be ascertained, and both be made as small as possible.

Ninth... That for every Two Hundred Acres of the Lands which are at present cultivated, either in Jamaica, Barbadoes, or any of the British Leeward Islands, there should be One Dwelling-House with such Store-Houses or other Buildings thereunto belonging, as are or shall be requisite for carrying on that Sort of Plantation-Work wherein such Lands shall be employed at the Time, excepting Mills for pressing Sugar-Canes, and Buildings, Implements, &c. for the Distillation of Melasses or other Materials whereof Rum is made:—That every such 200 Acres of Land together with

95]

with the House and other Buildings thereunto belonging, should be lett to a British Protestant, at such Rent as the Owner and he or she can agree upon; and that no such Owner should keep more than Two Hundred Acres of Land in his or her own Occupation, within any one or more of the said Mands.

Tentb... That no Person who is or shall be Owner of more than Two Hundred Acres of Land in any One or more of those Islands, should, during the Time of such Owning, be capable of possessing any other Mills for pressing Sugar-Canes, or Buildings, &c. for Distilleries, than such as shall be necessary for his or her own Plantations.

Eleventh . . . That no other Person should be capable of possessing more than TWO Mills for pressing Sugar-Canes, and ONE Distillery for making Rum, in any of the aforesaid Islands.

Twelfth... That no Sugar-Planter, or other Owner of a Plantation, in any of the British Sugar-Colonies, should be capable of shipping from thence as Merchandize on his or her own Account, any Sugar, Rum, Melasses, &c.; but that those and all the other Commodities produced there, should be bartered for Commodities, Manufactures, Negroes, &c. brought to those Colonies in British or British-Plantation Ships, or should be fold to the Commanders thereof, at such Prices as shall be agreed upon, between them and the Sugar-Planters, or other Planters.

Thirteenth... That no Sugar-Planter, or other Owner of a Plantation in any of those Colonies, should be capable of exporting, or causing to be exported, on his or her own Account, any British, &c. Commodities or Manufactures, which are or shall be exportable from Great Britain, or from Ireland, to the said Colonies.

Fourteenth... That no Sugar-Planter, or other Owner of a Plantation in any of the aforefaid Colonies, should be capable of carrying on any Trade to Africa, or of exercising the Profession of a BRITISH MERCHANT, in any Shape or Manner whatever.

Fifteenth... That no Sugar-Planter, or other Owner of a Plantation in any of the before-mentioned Colonies, nor any Agent or other Substitute by him or her appointed, should be capable of buying, felling, or otherwise dealing in Commodities, Manufactures, or Negroes, any farther than shall be necessary for the Plantation which shall at that Time be occupied by him. or her.

Sixteenth... That all East-Indian and other Commodities and Manufactures which are prohibited to be worn or used in Great Britain, should likewise be prohibited to be worn or used in, or exported to, either the British Sugar-Colonies, or any other Colony belonging to this Kingdom:—and that no Draw-back of British Duty should be allowed on any Sort-

1.1

[96]

of Silk, Linen or other Stuff-Manufacture of foreign Fabric, which may and shall be exported from Great Britain to the British Colonies.

Seventeenth... That the Distillation of Melasses in this Kingdom, should be prohibited; that a Bounty should be allowed for British made Melasses exported from hence to any of the British North American Colonies; and that such Bounty should be sufficient to pay the Charge of Freight for Melasses so exported.

By these or some such Means, I most humbly apprehend, the British Sugar-Colonies, and the Trade thereof, may be brought into such a Course of Subordination and Regularity, as comport with the DIGNITY OF THE BRITISH CROWN, and the PUBLIC WEAL OF THIS KINGDOM.

When that is effected, it will be easy to find a found Reason for the People of Great Britain being at the Charge of protecting and defending the British Sugar-Colonies; but as the Case now stands, I do not believe that Solon, Lycurgus, Demosthenes, and Cicero, if they were living and here, would be able to find out such a Reason.

I cannot conclude this Treatise without observing, that the proposed Tax of £1:2:6 per 112 lb. of Sugar, probably would be sufficient to raise all the Extraordinary Supplies for the War, and to replace that Part of the public Revenue which ariseth from the Duties upon Spirituous Liquors; the vast Consumption whereof in this Kingdom, hath long been lamented by the Great and Good, as an Evil which calls loudly for Redress; and it is said, that this Evil would have been redressed a few Years ago, if a Fund could have been thought of to make up the Loss of Revenue which would have been thereby caused.

Unhappily for this Nation, and to the great Grief of many real Patriots who nobly exerted themselves upon that Occasion, no such Fund was then found; but the Fund here pointed out, will in all Probability be sufficient for that great Purpose, and likewise to carry on the War; so that it may be hoped, the Great and Good will again exert themselves.

THE END.