103-6

1. Black

INQUIRY, &c.

[PRICE TWO SHILLINGS.]

T. Burton, Pfinter,

INQUIRY

' INTO THE

CAUSES AND REMEDIES

OF THE LATE AND PRESENT

SCARCITY

AND

HIGH PRICE OF PROVISIONS,

IN A

LETTER

TO THE

Right Hon. Earl Spencer, K. G.

FIRST LORD OF THE ADMIRALTY,

2. 8c. 8c. 8c

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR J. WRIGHT, PICCADILLY,

1800.

TO THE

Right Hon. Earl Spencer, K.G.

&c. &c. &c.

MY LORD,

I HAVE, for a great part of my life, been in the habit of studying political economy as a recreation, in those hours which I could spare from the duties of my station. Though these duties have but little relation to this subject, they have been such as to enable me to converse with, and derive information, not only from those who are eminent for their rank and learning, but for their practical knowledge. What was before a matter of taste and amusement, now becomes a matter of duty; for, the present scarcity and high price of provisions is a subject

upon which, from its peculiar nature, it is of the utmost importance that not only the members of government, but every individual, should form correct opinions. As errors among the governed, as well as the governing, are here of the most serious and dangerous confequence, every good man must feel it incumbent on him, to endeavour not only to form his own opinion upon the most folid grounds, but, to the best of his ability, to lend his affistance to others in doing fo.

As what I have to communicate would dea rive no weight from my name, it is of no confequence that it should be known; but, knowing the deep interest your Lordship takes in this question, I court the fanction of your name, in thus addressing you, and submit the following inquiry to you, not only as a member of the legislature, as one of his Majesty's hereditary counfellors, and one of his ministers, prefiding over a great department of the state with the most eminent ability, zeal, and fuccess, but also as one who, by his independence, his private virtues,

virtues, and various talents, has conciliated the confidence, respect, and affection of the nation, and who is acknowledged to be a promoter and a judge of whatever is beneficial to fociety.

In inquiring into the causes and remedies of the present distress, much light may be derived from the experience of past times. It appears, from history, that there has been no famine in this country for more than three hundred and fifty years; though, in that time, there have been frequent inflances of distress, from scarcity and dearth. Famines were frequent, not only before the Norman conquest, during the Saxon and Danish dynasties, but since that æra, till near the end of the Plantagenet race of kings. During this latter period, though the records of the times are very imperfect in most other points, they are tolerably fatisfactory with regard to this; for, it was the custom of the annalists of those days to mark the weather from year to year: and it appears that famines never occurred, except after bad feafons. It is,

however,

however, probable, that what were famines would fometimes have only been cases of extraordinary dearth, had it not been for impolitic institutions and regulations. The laws, prohibiting the transportation of corn from one part of the country to another, must certainly have contributed to aggravate the evil; and there is one instance of a regulation to fix the price of provisions, in the year 1314, to which some historians attribute the famine of the following year. However this may be, it may be confidered as an historical fact, that famines never occurred in these ages, but after bad seasons. How little they depend on public convulsions may be inferred from hence, that they were unknown during the great political struggles, such as the civil wars of York and Lancaster, and those of the king and parliament. The last famine in England was in the year 1438, in the time of Henry VI.; but it was before the difastrous civil wars of that reign.

As there is no reason to presume that the course of nature, for the last two hundred and

(5)

fifty years, has been different from what it was before that period, some knowledge, of the utmost importance to the points in question, may be derived from inquiring into the circumstances which rendered those ages so liable to these severe calamities, particularly the 14th century, which was remarkable both for famine and pestilence all over Europe.

owing not only to the backwardness of these ages in every branch of industry, but to the mean and degrading state in which the labourers in agriculture were held in consequence of the prevalence of seudal and military ideas. It appears that, in the 13th and 14th centuries,* cornfold for more than three times as much as the same weight of butchers meat. It is just the reverse in our times. The reason of this no doubt is, that pasturage, requiring little exertion of ta-

* The historical facts, mentioned in this letter, are taken chiefly from Hume's or Henry's Histories of England, and Dr. Smith's Wealth of Nations.

lents

lents or labour, is the favourite pursuit of rude times; whereas, agriculture, requiring great diligence and skill, flourishes most in ages of civilization and industry.

We may infer from this, that the proportion of corn to animal food was much less in these ages than in our times. The quantity of grain used for food must then however have been very considerable, otherwise the deficiency of it would not have occasioned famine; and it is a matter of serious reslection, that a like deficiency now, would be still more fatal, as we depend so much more on the productions of agriculture: a consideration which should add to our anxiety and vigilance, with regard to the means of prevention.

2. A more scanty production, in proportion to the number and necessities of the confumers, owing to the more simple manners of the times.—Before the introduction of refinement and luxury there was no inducement to produce more than what was necessary

for mere fustenance. The quantity of grain employed, in later times, in brewing, diffilling, feeding of horses, and other articles of unnecessary confumption, becomes a fort of disposeable furplus, fo that in times of scarcity great part of it may be turned into the channels of necessity. It is evident, then, however paradoxical it may at first fight appear, that luxury, or what by some may be called waste, is one of the resources against famine. In fact, what can be so dreadful as, that in years of common plenty there should be produced just enough, and no more, than what will fuffice for the necessities of nature. Where this is strictly the case, every bad season must be productive of famine, exclusive of foreign importation? The unnecessary expenditure in years of plenty may therefore be confidered as a perpetual public granary, far more permanent and less precarious than any that could be made in storehouses, where grain is apt to decay; and which require the constant vigilance of the magistrate to replenish. However expedient and commendable, therefore, it may be in times of scarcity to make retrenchments in articles of lux-

3. The want of internal and foreign commerce.—It appears, from the records of the times, that there was no method of equalizing the confumption of different feafons; for, in the same year, the prices of corn, at different times, would vary not a third or a fourth, not three or four times, but eight or nine times, as will appear by infpecting the tables that have been constructed of the annual prices * of wheat, from the year 1202, till the year 1764. It appears from the same tables, that the plenty of one year was not called in aid of the fcarcity of another, for a wide difference between years immediately preceding or fucceeding each other is observed constantly occurring. It equally appears, that the wants and diffress of one part of the country were not relieved by the greater plenty that prevailed in adjoining districts. It is mentioned in the Chronicle of Dunstable, a document fre-

* See Smith on the Wealth of Nations.

quently

(9)

quently quoted by historians, that while wheat fold at Dunstable, for a crown the quarter, it was fold at Northampton for eight shillings. There were, in those days, many unavoidable obstacles to free intercourse, such as the want of high-roads, canals, and posts. But these difficulties might have been furmounted, had it not been for a law prohibiting the transportation of corn from one county to another. That fuch a law did exist, appears by a regulation established in 1440, whereby commissioners of the customs were authorised to grant licences for the carrying of corn from one county to another. Lastly, there was no corn imported from foreign countries in those ages. But what completed the annihilation of commerce, was, that the popular odium, and the feverity of the laws against forestallers, were then at their height. Authors stigmatize them by every opprobrious epithet which language can furnish; the penalties inflicted by law, were, forfeiture of goods and chattels, pillory, imprisonment, banishment, and, in the reign of Edward III. the punishment was made death, by a statute

Ċ

which,

When we confider, therefore, that there was no relief to be derived, in case of scarcity, from one feafon to another, from one year to another, from one county to another, nor from one country to another, we may fafely ascribe, to want of commerce, the greatest share in producing the famines of those times, of all the causes that have been enumerated, except bad seasons.

What, then, are the changes which have taken place fince the middle of the 15th century, which have ever fince that time prevented fcarcity from amounting to famine?—The more immediate causes seem to have been the freedom of internal commerce, which began to take place in 1440, two years after the last famine; and importation from foreign countries, which we for .11

the first time hear of in history a few years afterwards. Soon after this, commerce and civilization began to make rapid advances, under the Princes of the house of Tudor, and have continued to flourish and extend themselves, ever fince, fo as to bring this country into its prefent state of unequalled prosperity and grandeur.-The improvements in agriculture, and its becoming more honourable, together with the introduction of potatoes, have been additional resources, in still later times.—And it is certainly none of the least advantages concomitant upon wealth and industry, that they have been instrumental in preventing fuch grievous calamities as famines. For, however deplorable the evils of the present day may be, how far short are they of the calamities of those periods, in which a year like the last would have been productive of famine, and perhaps of its usual concomitant, pestilence! the state of society and manners being then fuch, that the quantity of food, produced and imported, bore a less proportion to the population than it does in our days: and the prejudices of the age being such, as not to

allow

Having premifed this much with regard to past times, let us now inquire into the causes of the present scarcity and high price of provisions.

The fummer and autumn of the year 1799 was colder and more rainy than any in the memory of man, and crops have never, in our time, been fo fcanty, nor for badly got in. The enormous deficiency of one-third of an average crop is the least which any intelligent calculator has assigned, and many made it much greater. That was certainly one of those seasons, which, in the 14th century, would have been followed by a famine; and how has this been prevented, but by those operations of commerce whereby the confumption of the feveral seasons of the year, and of the various districts of the country, are duly equalized and compensated? Had the product of last year's crop been brought to market, in the quantities and at the prices of a year of plenty,

(13)

who does not fee that there must have been nothing to bring to the fummer markets. Could this economy have been effected by any other means than an advanced price, the necessary confequence of withholding from the confumption of one feafon what is requifite for the fupply of another? Had there not been men who accumulated and referved these supplies, and conveyed them to where they were most wanted, we must have gone without bread in the months of June and July last.

It is thought by many, that it would be a most fortunate circumstance for the country, if the farmers and graziers were all to fell their crops and cattle immediately at market, without the intervention of a middle-man. Let us fee what would be the confequence of this. If the farmer is a poor man, he must be under the necessity of felling for what he can get, in order to pay his rent, the prices would be at or near those of plentiful years, the market would be in danger of being glutted, and the commodity would be expended and confumed beyond the proportion

due to the other months of the year, just as happened in what may be called the ages of famine. On the other hand, those few farmers who may happen to be possessed of some capital, and who could afford to referve part of their stock for the fpring and fummer months must have a much larger profit than a dealer, in order to defray their expenses, and indemnify them for their loss of time in bringing fo fmall a quantity to market, Add to this the great cruelty of compelling a farmer or grazier, whether rich or poor, to refort to a distant market, at a great expense and loss of time, to the neglect and detriment of his domestic concerns, which it is of the utmost consequence to the public as well as himfelf, that he should attend to with unremitting labour and undisturbed vigilance and attention. It is evident, therefore, that it is only by means of a middle-man, possessed of a capital, that this admirable fystem of public economy can be carried into effect. There is in this case a fortunate or rather providential coincidence of private interest with public utility, accomplishing purposes which it is not in the power of human wifdom (15)

wisdom to bring about by the most elaborate system of regulation. This merchant, middleman, or forestaller, as he is sometimes nicknamed, is he who lays up and reserves for the day of want, which awaits us at the end of the season, what would have been heedlessly squandered in the beginning of it.

Is a merchant, middleman, and forestaller, then the same?

Let us fee whether it is possible to draw a line between these descriptions of people. Suppose a dealer in cattle goes sifty miles from the metropolis to purchase them, and there meets a grazier who has brought his cattle sifty miles farther, and has got so far on his road, but is desirous of disposing of them, in order that he may return home to mind his affairs: is it conceivable that any prejudice can arise to society, from the dealer purchasing these cattle, any more than from his purchasing those of the graziers on the spot? Now, if this is sair and legal, is it not equally so, to make the like purchases at one-half,

I beg leave here to digrefs a moment, in order to enunciate fome principles which I affume

his neighbours who witnessed it, suffer themselves

to be so imposed upon a second time? This an-

fwer will apply to all other cases.

(17)

fume in this reasoning, and that which is to follow.

First—That felf-preservation and self-interest are the principal incentives to the bulk of mankind, in their labours and their dealings.

Secondly—That men will, in general, take the most obvious and effectual means of compassing these selfish objects. If a particular individual, from imbecility or caprice, acts contrary to his obvious and fair interest, this is to be considered as an exception, such as occurs in all moral propositions, and like other exceptions, proves and illustrates the general rule.

Thirdly—That it is the part of a wife and equitable government to protect men to the utmost, in those pursuits which have self-preservation and self-interest for their object, in so far as they do not, by violence, fraud, or injustice, infringe the rights of others.

Arte o are medical

Unless

* In order to illustrate this farther, I shall borrow the worder of an elegant and profound writer.

"Men are tempted to labour, and to practice lucrative arts, by motives of interest. Secure to the workman the fruits of his labour, give him the prospects of independence and freedom, the public has found a faithful minister in the acquisition of wealth, and a faithful steward in hoarding what he has gained. The statesman, in this, can do little more than avoid doing mischief."

Fergusson's Essay on the History of Civil Society, P. iii. Sect. 4.

fore,

(19)

fore, to call forth the various faculties and talents subservient to the wants of society, not only the protection of persons, and the security of property, seem necessary, but the most persect freedom in augmenting, improving, and disposing of it, within the limits already mentioned. It is this, if I mistake not, which constitutes the dearest part of civil liberty, from which (according to some of the best judges), more than from our political liberty, is derived that enviable state of prosperity and happiness, wherein the state of this country stands so eminently contrasted with the tyranny and false policy prevailing in most other nations of the world.

Fourthly—That commerce is rendered equitable to the parties, and beneficial to fociety, by the feller endeavouring to get as much as he can for his commodity, and the buyer giving as little as he can, while the former is compelled to part with his commodity, and the latter induced to accept it, by a fecond contention which takes place between the dealers, who endeavour

to gain a preference at market by under-felling each other. By this double struggle, equity and reason is maintained in the commercial World, just as the frame of the natural World is upheld in its existence and order by the compound action of counteracting forces; and it is nearly as prefumptuous in man to meddle with the one as with the other. From thefe contending principles, therefore, there arises an intermediate refult, which is, upon the whole, the most falutary to fociety.

The inference, from the whole of thefe principles, is, that the advantages to be derived to mankind, from labour and commerce, are to be attained only by fecurity and competition. For the farther illustration of them, I must refer to the juftly celebrated work of Dr. Adam Smith, on the Wealth of Nations. From the little effect that this work has produced, one is tempted to think that it has answered little other purpose than that of an elegant amusement to men of learning and leifure, and not that

(21)

that of the most valuable practical instruction that can be met with in any human compofition.

To return. The prejudice conceived against. these middle-men depends on a fallacy which it is not difficult to explain. A hasty consideration of the fubject leads most people to imagine that, in these transactions, there is an accumulated profit, at the expense of the consumer. They conceive that the grower parts with his commodity to the middle-man on the fame terms he would to the confumer. This is not the case. It cannot be the case. He lets the middle-man have his corn or cattle for less than he himself would take at the market, and which, of reason and necessity, he must and ought to have, had he been at the additional expense, of time and money, in proceeding to the market. Nay, it is plain, that the middle-man, upon the enlarged scale on which he deals, can afford to take smaller profits on each transaction than the grower could, upon fo fmall a quantity of the commodity.

As I cannot do fo much justice to this subject as Dr. Smith, I have transcribed, in a note, two passages from his work.*

But

* "The interest of the inland dealer, and that of the great body of the people, how opposite soever they may at first fight appear, are, even in years of the greatest scarcity, exactly the fame. It is his interest to raise the price of his corn as high as the real scarcity of the season requires, and it can never be his interest to raise it higher. By raising the price, he discourages the confumption, and puts every body, more or less, but particularly the inferior ranks of people, upon thrift and good management. If, by raifing it too high, he discourages the confumption fo much, that the fupply of the feafon is likely to go beyond the confumption of the feafon, and to last for some time after the next crop begins to come in, he runs the hazard not only of losing a considerable part of his corn by natural causes, but of being obliged to fell what remains of it for much less than what he might have had for it feveral months before. If, by not raifing the price high enough, he discourages the confumption fo little, that the fupply of the feafon is likely to fall fhort of the confumption of the feafon, he not only loses a part of the profit which he might otherwise have had, but he exposes the people to fuffer, before the end of the feason, instead of the hardships of a dearth, the dreadful horrors of a famine. It is the interest of the people that their daily, weekly, and monthly, confumption, should be proportioned

(23)

But it is alleged, that when articles, conftituting the necessaries of life, get into the hands

tioned as exactly as possible to the supply of the season. The interest of the corn-dealer is the same. By supplying them, as nearly as he can judge, in this proportion, he is likely to fell all his corn for the highest price, and with the greatest profit; and his knowledge of the state of the crop, and of his daily, weekly, and monthly, fales, enable him to judge with more or less accuracy how far they really are supplied in this manner. Without intending the interest of the people, he is necessarily led, by a regard to his own interest, to treat them, even in years of fcarcity, much in the fame manner as the prudent master of a vessel is sometimes obliged to treat his crew. When he foresees that provisions are likely to run fhort, he puts them upon fhort allowance. Though, from excess of caution, he should sometimes do this, without any real necessity, yet all the inconveniences which his crew can thereby fuffer, are inconfiderable, in companion of the danger, mifery, and ruin, to which they might fometimes be exposed by a less provident conduct. Though from excess of avarice, in the fame manner, the inland corn-merchant should sometimes raile the price of his corn fomewhat higher than the scarcity of the feafon requires, yet all the inconveniences which the people can fuffer from this conduct, which effectually fecures them from a famine in the end of the feafon, are inconfiderable in comparison of what they might have been exposed to by a more liberal

of great dealers, who are smaller in number, they are enabled thereby to combine, fo as to command

liberal way of dealing in the beginning of it. The cornmerchant himself is most likely to suffer from this excess of avarice; not only from the indignation which it generally excites against him, but though he should escape the effects of this indignation, from the quantity of corn which it necessarily leaves upon his hands in the end of the feafon, and which, if the next feafon happens to prove favourable, he must always fell for a much lower price than he might otherwise have had." Smith on the Wealth of Nations, V. II. p. 106, 1st edit.

It is supposed that there is a certain price at which corn is likely to be forestalled, that is bought up, in order to be fold again foon after in the fame market, fo as to hurt the people. But if a merchant ever buys up corn either going to a particular market, or in a particular market, in order to fell it again in the same market, it must be because he judges that the market cannot be fo liberally supplied through the whole feason, as upon that particular occasion, and that the price therefore must soon rise. If he judges wrong in this, and if the price does not rife, he not only loses the whole profit of the stock which he employs in this manner, but a part of the stock itself, by the loss and expenses which necessarily attend the floring and keeping the corn. He hurts himself, therefore, much more effentially than he can hurt even the par(25)

command the market, and produce all the effects of a monopoly.

I crave

ticular people whom he may hinder from supplying themselves upon that particular market-day, because they may afterwards fupply themselves just as cheap upon any other marketday. If he judges right, inflead of hurting the great body of the people, he renders them a most important service. By making them feel the inconveniences of a dearth somewhat earlier than they otherwise might do, he prevents their feeling them afterwards to feverely as they certainly would do, if the cheapnels of price encouraged them to confume faster than suited the real scarcity of the season. When the scarcity is real, the best thing that can be done for the people is to divide the inconveniences of it as equally as possible through all the different months, and weeks, and days, of the year. The interest of the corn-merchant makes him study to do this as exactly as he can; and as no other person can have either the same interest, or the same knowledge, or the same abilities to do it so exactly as he can, this most important operation of commerce ought to be trufted entirely to him; or, in other words, the corn-trade, fo far at least as concerns the supply of the home market ought to be left perfectly free.

The popular fear of engroffing and forestalling may be compared to the popular terrors and suspicions of witchcraft. The unfortunate of every man of ordinary understanding, who, divesting himself of passion and prejudice, will candidly lend his attention.

I believe, according to all the rules, both of law and reason, the onus probandi lies on the affirmative side of a question. It is fair, there-

down weice litely would only be another

unfortunate wretches accused of this latter crime, were not more innocent of the misfortunes imputed to them, than those who have been accused of the former. The law which put an end to all prosecutions against witchcraft, which put it out of any man's power to gratify his own malice, by accusing his neighbour of that imaginary crime, seems effectually to have put an end to those search superstitions, by taking away the great cause which encouraged and supported them. The law which would restore entire freedom to the inland trade of corn, would probably prove as effectual to put an end to the popular search engrossing and forestalling."

Smith's Wealth of Nations, Vol. II. p. 118. 1st edit.

(27)

fore, to begin, by calling for the proofs of these combinations and monopolies. I have never heard any adduced. When proofs have been called for, we have heard nothing but a repetition of the affertion. When the advocates of it are pressed on this point, we are told, that though there may be no express covenant between the dealers and producers of corn, cattle, or butter, there is a virtual or tacit one implied in the common interest which binds them together. But as this remark (if there be any force in it) will apply to every branch of trade whatever, there could be no fuch thing as fair trade in the world: commerce itself would only be another word for confpiracy and oppression, and no article could any where be procured at a just and. reasonable rate.

When they are pressed still farther, they allege that provisions disser from other articles of trade, in this respect, that, as they are necessary to life, the consumer has no option, as in many other articles, and must therefore take them upon any

' anoth है है है है के हैं के उस के कार्य के कहा है है कि उस कर है जिस के कि कि कार्य

range E 2 to my the topic ten

terms. But if there were any truth in this obfervation, fociety would be more or less exposed to this injury at all times. The same capital could purchase a large quantity at a lower rate, as a smaller quantity at a high rate, so that it would be in the power of middle-men, at all times, to deal out provisions at an exorbitant price.

If there was any weight in this argument it would also hold with regard to other articles of necessity, for provisions are not the only articles necessary to life. Raiment is as necessary as food, but the fcarcity and high price of cloth has never, that I know of, been viewed as a matter of public grievance; nor have manufacturers and merchants ever been the objects of the clamour and odium with which farmers and corn-dealers have been persecuted, though this article, from the manner in which it is produced, and the limited number of those who deal in it, is infinitely more likely to become the object of combination and monopoly than corn. One cause of this is, that cloth is not liable to cafual fluctuations in quan29)

tity, as corn is from bad feafons. Another cause will be affigned hereafter.

There is the like blind infatuation in all the popular notions upon this subject 118 How abfurd, for instance, is the idea, that in times of fcarcity articles of food are destroyed, with a view to enhance the price of what remains. We are told that the Dutch, in confequence of sometimes widely mistaking in their calculations of the quantity of spices wanted for the markets of Europe, by reason of the remoteness of their settlements, and finding, on their arrival, that they have imported much more than they want, throw a large proportion of them into the fea. I am not competent to decide upon the truth of this; but I might fafely admit that it is not incredible that this operation of commerce may be practifed upon a superabundant article of luxury, the spontaneous production of those distant possessions from whence it is brought, But if we were told that when the Dutch destroy their spices, it is not when they are fuperabundant, but when they, are most fearce, who would believe this? This, however,

is a most favourite dogma of faith, with regard to corn; and it is with a mixture of pity and difgust that we sometimes hear those who pass for men of education not ashamed to confess their belief in it. If any man in his fenses could be found at once for wicked and foolish as to practice this, it would certainly be done in years of the greatest abundance. But when we confider that it is not in times of overflowing plenty, but in those of scarcity and distress that it is faid to be done; that it is not in an article of luxury but a necessary of life; that it is not a fpontaneous production of the earth, but the most precious fruit of human toil, infanity itself could not be guilty of fuch an action; and the belief of it is as difgraceful to human reason as that of any of the dogmas of the most groveling fuperstition agric of shoot of the country

sentimes they were much richer. The law * we have alluded to was admitted on the statute books about the same time that transubstantiation was expunged from the canonbook, and feems to have been the worthy fuc-

ceffor of that article of faith. I can as eafily believe that bread is beef, as that bread or beef of a wholesome quality can, in times of public diffress, be destroyed by any human being, for avaricious ends; or that the whole body of farmers, graziers, and dealers, in the kingdom can enter into a conspiracy against the consumers.

In the early stages of commerce it was confined to a few hands. Any one who possessed a moderate capital, with genius to avail himself of it, foon outfiripped his poor and ignorant neighbours. In the 15th century there arose in Europe the family of a merchant, which, by fuccessful commerce attained to the dignity and importance of that of a fovereign prince, fo as in the fucceeding century to give two Pontiffs to Rome, and two Queens to France. In the 16th and 17th centuries there were much richer merchants in England than at this moment, though commerce is now increased a hundred fold. Though there are now-a-days thousands who attain competency, hundreds who attain opulence, none by mere commerce attain princely

* I need make no apology to the noble families of Osborne, Gower, Capel, Waldegrave, and Craven, for ranking them with the House of Medici.

(33)

Let any one reflect for a moment, that, in order to establish a combination, with regard to the necessaries of life, not only all the merchants, factors, jobbers, and middle-men, of all descriptions, but all the growers must concur in forming a confpiracy against the public, mutually pledging their faith, that none of them will underfell another, and then let him ask himself, if he can believe this. It is confidered as next to impossible, that a conspiracy against the state of ten or twelve individuals can remain long a secret. How comes it then that among formany thousand farmers, graziers, and dealers, none have ever yet peached? The only difficulty in refuting such an affertion is the difficulty of finding adequate words to express its absurdity of I must refort again to my first argument, that not the least proof has ever been brought in support of the existence of such combinations and monopolies; fo that to attempt to prove a negative would be fighting with a phantom. Gratuitous affertions are as boundless as the wild imagination of man, and endless as the affirmative propositions arising out of the possible com binations of language. He, therefore, who

Let

should

should engage to prove that universal combination and monopoly, with regard to the necessifiaries of life, do not exist, or that these necessaries are not destroyed through avarice, during dearths and famines, would be undertaking the same fort of task as any one would who should undertake to prove the negative of the dream of an enthusiast or lunatic. It is impossible any longer to treat the subject seriously.

We hear daily, from men by no means deficient in good fense, that one of the principal causes of the present high prices is the quantity of capital in the country, and the facility of obtaining money by credit, whereby dealers are enabled to speculate and keep corn out of the market. But it will not be alleged that men, however rich, or however much at a loss to employ their money, will engage in any trade but with a view to gain by it. Now it is evident, that if they keep up the article beyond a certain point, they must lose, and if it is short of that point, these capitalists are the benefactors and saviours of the community, by feeding the markets, and referving

ferving such a stock, as under the influence of security of property, and the check of competition, will exactly serve to carry us round the year, and on terms proportioned to the total quantum of provisions, provided their speculations have been made upon sound grounds.

It appears that this must have been as nearly as possible the case last year, for that there is no surplus of last year's crop, nor of the unexampled importations that were made, is proved by its being necessary prematurely to thresh out part of the crop which has just been gathered in, for the daily supply of the market. This is sufficient answer to those who maintained that corn was unnecessarily kept up; and, after what has been faid, it would be an infult to the meanest understanding to use any words to disprove that it has been hoarded or thrown into the river. The fact appears clearly to be, that the crop of last year, together with what was imported, has been with great economy barely equal to the necessities of the country: and had the dealers in corn been

so blind to their own interest as to have hoarded a month's fupply, over and above what was wanted, after the gathering in of the new crop, how much less an evil would this have been than to have fold off the whole stock a month before the arrival of the new fupply; in other words, to have created a famine, which, I repeat it, would have been the infallible confequence of bringing the corn to market at the beginning of the feafon, at the price of a plentiful year. Ought we not in this, as in other instances, to adore the wisdom and goodness of Divine Providence, which, by the spontaneous and irresistable, though filent co-operation of natural and moral causes, accomplishes the most falutary ends, in foite of the vain efforts and officious interference of human policy?

The want of a furplus effentially distinguishes this year from former years, and goes far towards explaining the continuation of the high prices, more especially when it is taken into account, that the present crop is considerably below

al Park Contragations at most firm

an average one,* and that the potatoes, which have, for many years, been such an uncommon resource, have greatly failed this year, from the sollowing natural cause. The last summer was the hottest and driest of any upon record, no rain having sallen from the 4th of June, till the 19th of August, and the heat was unexampled. This forced the potatoes rapidly to maturity, without their attaining their usual size, and when the rains came, in August, in place of growing larger, they germinated, which has greatly spoiled their quality. It is evident from this, that the utmost economy will be necessary, in order to carry us round the year.

The last argument I shall use, in proof of the reality of the scarcity is the immense importation. From the necessity of importation, for many years

* The crops upon the clay grounds, which make a large proportion of the whole, have failed, in consequence of the earth being baked, as it were, by the long and excessive heat which succeeded the wet weather in May. The corn, also, which was standing after the 19th of August, when the rains came on, was generally spoiled, great part of it having grown as it stood.

tween 11 and 1,200,000 quarters.

While this statement proves the general deficient state of our agriculture, for a series of years, it carries irressible conviction, if any proof were still wanting, of the unequalled deficiency of last year; especially when it is also considered that this corn was attracted hither, notwithstanding its being high priced, and hard to be procured abroad; for, the crops were scanty last year, in the countries bordering on the Baltic; and the King of Prussia, at one time, prohibited the exportation of corn from his dominions: and, though there was a better crop in America than there had been for some years, it has been desicient there for the last seven

(39)

years, on account of the devastation of the Hessian fly.

The fcarcity, occasioned by the bad feason last year, being established as the main cause of the high price of provisions, let us next inquire whether there are not subordinate and secondary causes of it. Several of these have been urged with plausibility, and probably with some truth.

Ift, The depreciation of money.—The same denominations of coin will not go half so far, in purchasing the articles of living, as they did forty years ago; and the question is, whether the wages of the labourer have kept pace with this. It is well known that wages have been greatly raised, within these sew years, as well as the pay of the army and navy. Whether this has kept exact pace with the depreciation of money, is a very difficult and delicate question; but it would be highly impolitic, at any time, to raise wages by law; and it would be both impolitic and unreasonable to do so, in a case of casual and temporary

temporary diffrefs, fuch as the prefent, as the whole plan of public economy and fafety would thereby be deranged, and they could never again be reduced.

2dly, The increased confumption, in consequence of the war.—This is a point which admits of pretty accurate folution by calculation. The number of land forces employed is under 200,000, but we shall take them at that. The number of seamen and marines, voted by parliament, is 120,000. The prisoners of war have at times been above 30,000, though now under that number. Now, the two first classes would be confuming provisions, wherever they were, and provisions of this country; whereas a confiderable number, on foreign service, are maintained from the production of other countries; not to mention the diminution of confumers by the fword and deadly climates. It is, nevertheless, true, that foldiers and failors confume more provisions, particularly animal food, than they would in the fituation of peafants or artifans. Let us give an ample allowance, and fay that (41)

our 330,000 foldiers, failors, and prisoners of war, confume double the quantity they otherwise would do. The population of the three kingdoms, according to the latest and best estimates, is between twelve and thirteen millions. This increased consumption, therefore, is not quite one-thirty-fixth part of the whole. Now, what should we say to the master of a family who should allege, that he has wherewithal amply to maintain thirty-fix perfons, but that if a fingle one were added, it would be productive of the greatest distress to the whole? Is there a man, deferving the name of a Briton, who can entertain fo mean an opinion of the spirit and refources of his country, or who can employ so pitiful an argument, to cramp the national exertions necessary for public defence!

It has been attempted to connect the war with the scarcity, in other respects. It can hardly be questioned that loans and increased taxes, by multiplying the circulating medium, have a tendency to depreciate money, and thereby unfettle, for a time, the due ratio between

n bil vinigh black black black black

ters, it is at once fo shallow and captious, as to deferve no answer.

3dly, Agriculture not keeping pace with population and manufactures. Dr. Goldsmith has been heard to confess, that his Deserted Village was merely a poetical fiction; and Dr. Price's statements and reasonings, in proof of the decrease of population in England, have been completely refuted. London, Liverpool, Manchefter, Birmingham, Hull, and other towns, afford fufficient proof of the great increase of population, from trade and manufactures, in the course of this century; while there is no proof of any decrease of it in villages. But if agriculture, which may be called the manufacture of corn, had advanced pari passu with other manufactures, there ought to be a fenfible increase of population in the villages also. This does not appear

(43)

appear to be the cafe; nor has there been an extension of agriculture, proportioned to the prosperity of the country in other respects. Though this, therefore, is here reckoned among the fecondary causes, in so far as respects the present scarcity, it is the main cause, in so far as respects the general high price of provisions, and the inadequate fupply of corn, by our domestic agriculture, for so many years past.-A limited quantity of land in cultivation can only produce a limited quantity of corn; and this is found not to be adequate to the demands of our population. The importation, which has for long been necessary, is an incontrovertible proof of this fact.

As the produce of the ground is subject to the same rules of reasoning as any other manufacture, we may derive some light by comparing it with the manufacture of cloth. These articles agree in being both necessaries of life; but they differ in this respect, that the production of corn is restricted by the limited quantity of cultivated land, which may be called the raw material of corn;

widioufly called forestallers and regraters. It has already been abundantly proved, that no definition can be given to discriminate forestallers from other dealers. All dealers must, therefore, be more or less apprehensive of being deemed criminal by construction of law. This crime is not a malum in se; therefore, no man's conscience can point it out to him: and it differs from all other mala prohibita, in not admitting of any precise description; so that, under the influence

(45)

influence of fuch ambiguous and unconscious guilt, they must live in a dread of our tribunals, fomething like what we may conceive heretics to do, under the awe of the inquisition.

Now, there is no maxim in commerce better established, than that profits must bear proportion to risks; and this is so fully recognised, in the practice of trade, that it would be losing time to set about proving it; but the present subject affords an apt example, whereby to illustrate it.

When a dealer, then, subjects himself to the penalties and opprobrium incident to a legal prosecution, and to the still more terrible vengeance of a misguided and tumultuous populace, aiming at the destruction of his character, property, and life, will it be a small additional prosit that can compensate for all this? Such men of character and capital, as are more timid, will be driven from the trade. What has saved us lately, from conslagrations and massacres, but the country happening to be in a state of armed preparation?

and temperate fystem of prevention been adopted. Doctrines of the most ferious tendency

had been propagated from the bench, the bar,

the hustings, and the prefs, directly, though

acts. Let us not, however, withhold our

due praise from a gentleman in a public

fituation, who having too precipitately and cre-

duloufly related in a public speech, the history

of a family driven to despair and suicide by fa-

the next public meetings, to make amends, by declaring his difbelief in monopolies and combi-

nations. And may we also presume to hope,

that those virtuous and learned judges, whose

decrees

habits and studies to which they so honourably devote themselves, it is no disgrace for them to

have formed a hasty opinion?

evil, by firiking terror into those who should fupply the markets! And they might have been the victims of their own fury, had not a firm 5thly, The affize of bread. - Historians mention that this was first instituted in the time of Henry III. an age of darkness and igunintentionally, countenancing the popular pafa norance. It directly militates against the freefions and prejudices, which incited to those dom of commerce, by establishing a maximum of profit, and must therefore be pernicious to society and individuals, if there is any reason or justice in those principles which I have humbly attempted to establish. I shall endeavour to point out some of the inconveniences of it mine, which was found by inquiries on the spot to which I believe have not been commonly atbe totally void of truth, took occasion at one of tended to.

1st. The baker, in consequence of his profit being fixed, has but little inducement to buy his flour as cheap as he can, which he would do if his profits were to arise to him like those of other

(47)

other tradesmen. This is sufficiently obvious But there is another consequence not so obvious, though equally true, of the utmost importance, and to which I folicit the most particular attention. The miller, knowing he may have what price he pleases from the baker, is little anxious how much he gives the farmer. He has been known to offer him more than he asked. Who does not fee that all this tends to raise the ultimate price on the confumer? who does not here fee that the greater the fcarcity the greater the temptation? who does not fee that it is to this, together with the discouragement given to the supplies of the market, by the intimidation of dealers, and not to the ignis fatuus of forestalling and monopolizing that we are to look for the real causes of the price of bread being higher than the scarcity will warrant? This I believe to be the case to a certain degree, and for these two reasons alone, which are perfectly adequate to account for it.

. 2dly. The objection above-mentioned applies to affize in the abstract, but I beg leave also 49)

to point out some great errors in the particular manner in which it is now conducted.

The difference of the price at which white and brown bread are directed to be fold remains the fame at whatever price bread may be. The difference in the quartern loaf is, at this time, three halfpence, so that supposing the price of the white loaf to be fixpence, that of the brown would be onefourth less: but supposing the price of the white loaf to be a shilling, that of the other would only be one-eighth less. Is not this giving an increafing premium on the confumption of white bread, proportioned to the rife of the price, fo that when there is the greatest dearth, there is the least inducement to eat brown bread; and there is a virtual prohibition of it when most wanted for the relief of the poor? It will be shewn still farther, hereafter, of what great detriment this is in these times.

3dly. The affize is so set, that the baker has a greater interest in selling white bread than brown bread. The flour of which the latter is made is

(50

less retentive of moisture in the oven, so that a greater quantity of flour is necessary, in order to produce the same weight of bread. It also requires more yeast. These circumstances have not been taken into account in the affize.

It would be too tedious here to enumerate all the inaccuracies in the affize. They have been pointed out by a very ingenious and refpectable clergyman, * who has employed great labour and attention on this subject. It is a strong objection to affize in general, that it is not in the power of calculation to construct a table which shall be equitably adjusted to all the varieties and fluctuations of the materials. In order even to make an approximation to equity. much more skill and science is required than can be expected from those to whom this is intrusted.

* The Rev. Luke Heslop, Archdeacon of Buchingham, in a work entitled " Observations on the Statute of the 31st of George II. &c.

Having

51 }

Having considered the causes of the present fcarcity and high price of provisions, it now remains to point out the remedies.

It clearly appears, from what has been already stated, that our agriculture is not adequate to our population. The necessity of importation for fo many years past is an incontrovertible proof of this.

It follows from this, that the primary object of the legislature will be to extend cultivation fo as to augment our internal production. The whole fecret of the remedy we are in quest of may therefore be expressed in this aphorism or rather axiom, that "When there is a deficiency of any thing in nature, it can only be supplied by increasing its quantity." This being selfevident, it would not be worth while to enunciate it in words, were it not for the unaccountable errors prevailing on the fubject; for it is plain that every other principle or plan of curing the evil must either be a mere palliative or quackery

quackery and juggle, affording neither substantial nor permanent relief.

Were we to hear, that either from some natural cause, or by a miracle, an island had started from the sea, in St. George's Channel, consisting of one million of arable acres of land, we should exclaim, that here was the remedy we wished for, and that we had only to let loofe a share of our capital and industry, in order to obtain in a few years an annual fupply of two millions or more of quarters of wheat, which would more than supply bread for the same number of people.

But would it not be still better news for us to hear that there is actually three times this number* of virgin acres awaiting the plough, in the bosom of our old island, and that neither a convulsion of nature, nor a miracle is necessary, but

merely

53

merely an effort of parliamentary interpolition, in order to call them into cultivation.

. It is in vain to look for any other means of effectually fecuring future plenty, and rendering ourselves independent of foreign supplies, which must ever be precarious but that of bringing waste lands into cultivation, by a law for the general division and enclosure of commons. Since about a million of quarters of wheat were imported in the twelve months preceding September last, besides other species of grain, there ought to have been half a million of additional acres of land in cultivation, in order to have fuperfeded the foreign fupply, allowing each acre to produce two quarters, which is below the average. But even in this case, the scarcity and dearth would have been the same, independent of foreign fupplies; fo that it may be fafely affirmed, that not less than a million of new acres, brought into cultivation, can secure future plenty, and therefore reasonable prices, in ordinary seasons. Even in this case, importation would be necessary, in order to keep up the usual plenty

^{*} See Sir John Sinclair's Address to the Board of Agriculture, Dec. 1795.

plenty after fuch bad feafons as that of 1799, There can be no doubt, that the wildom, power, and patriotism, of parliament, now about to affemble for this purpose, will devise and employ expedients for furmounting the obstacles. that have heretofore marred this falutary measure, whether they arise from popular prejudices, individual interests, or the forms of law. It will of course be the study of the legislature to encourage the cultivation of these lands, when appropriated, by fuch exemptions and abatements of burdens, as to their wisdom may appear expedient and effectual. Will it not be worth while to confider whether, in place of fuffering tythes to hang upon this new fource of wealth, as a perpetual tax upon industry and improvement, it would not be advisable to affign to the clergy, in the first instance, a certain proportion of the rough land, as a glebe? But it would be prefumptuous in me to enter into the details of a fubject, which is about to fall under the confideration of an able and enlightened fenate.

(55)

The culture of potatoes is an immense refource: There are none of the articles constituting the food of man, of which fo much can be raifed in fo small an area, and with fo little labour; and, as it is a victual requiring no preparation, like the grains, except the action of fire, each potato being as it were a little loaf ready baked by nature, this invaluable root might justly be named the root of fearcity. The increased cultivation of them will depend chiefly on the private advantage which individuals may find in applying their labour to this branch of tillage, in preference to that of grain. Some encouragement, by premium, or otherwife, will probably be thought of by the legislature, to give them a more decided preference, as this would add immensely to the general stock of wholesome food.

But these measures are only remote resources. The nation will look to the legislature for some immediate steps for the relief of the present urgent distress.

1st. Importation has already proved to be our main resource; the quantity imported the last twelve months having been one-eighth of the whole consumption of England,* a circumstance unexampled in the history of this country. It is this that must be our great resource in future. A free trade, with the affistance of bounties, will again fave us. It deferves to be remarked, that the whole of the immense importation of last year was made by private merchants; and we had, in the scarcity of the year 1795, a beautiful and instructive illustration of the wifdom of committing trade to the fpontaneous energies of felf-interest, and of not even approaching it with the hand of power. Our government, in that year, with the most paternal folicitude for the relief of the public, undertook to import, on their own account, and to fell at

* In a work, intituled, Corn Tracts, published between thirty and forty years ago, the proportion of the corn imported to that produced in England, is computed at one five hundred and-seventieth part. This work is ascribed to the Right Honourable George Grenville, with whom this branch of commerce is said to have been a favourite study.

a moderate price. The consequence was, that private trade was entirely damped, while this importation was carried on; for, though individuals will enter into competition with one another, who will enter into competition with the treafury? The error was foon perceived, and the measure, after being pursued for a short time, was abandoned, on the fame pure principles with which it had been adopted, and a most efficient importation has been made, ever fince, by private traders. A free trade, therefore, and a finall bounty, in addition to the indemnification enacted last year, in case of a fall of the market, pending the voyage, will carry this resource to its utmost extent. I say a small bounty, for a large one might cause such a sudden and alarming drain from the foreign countries, which supply it, that a prohibition might be the confequence, as happened with regard to the Pruffian dominions last winter.

2dly. The abolition of the affize, or if popular prejudice will not allow of this, the framing of

it on more correct principles, and particularly

taking care that it shall encourage, in place of

discouraging, the use of household bread. The

objections to the existence of any affize at all

have been already stated; and, with regard to

the abuses of it, as it actually exists, there is

evidence, on the records of parliament; for it

appeared, from the examination of Archdeacon

Heslop, and others, in the month of February

last, that the difference of the produce of white

flour, and that of brown flour, affording bread

equally wholesome and nutritious, and to most

people equally palatable, was in the proportion

of 30 to 52 in 60 pounds of wheat. This pro-

digious difference in the produce of wheat, and

the effect of it as a matter of public economy,

must forcibly strike the attention of every consi-

derate person. And it is observed, by the same

committee, in their report of the 6th of March

last, that, " from the manner in which the affize

is now fet, the profit of the baker is far more

considerable upon pure wheaten bread, than on

that of a coarser quality. This is a matter of

very confiderable importance, and one of those which will, no doubt, draw the attention of parliament.

3dly. To take steps for stopping profecutions against dealers in corn, or, if popular prejudices will not admit of this, fo to modify the laws, that the execution of them shall not be detrimental to the public. If this is not done, men of credit, character, and capital, through whom alone a fair and regular fupply of the market can be obtained, will be entirely driven from the trade, which will fall into the hands of hucksters and adventurers. I know, for certain, that these prosecutions have already had a bad effect, and if they should still go on, will tend to frustrate, in some measure, the beneficial effects of the comparatively plentiful harvest. Notwithstanding the crop of this year has failed to a certain degree, and is not affifted by that furplus of the preceding crop, which used to ferve for three months, or more, after harvest, the public will have a right to expect some farther fall. If this shall not be the case, it will

be difficult to assign any other cause than the dimunition of competition from narrowing the trade, the great profits necessary to enable growers to bring their own produce to market, and the inhancement of profits in confequence of the inhancement of risks to those unintimidated dealers, who may still continue to supply the market.

It was perhaps the intention of the legislature to have repealed the whole of these laws, when the statute of Edw. VI. was repealed in 1772.*

* I am informed the following circumstance gave rise to that act of parliament: London was at that time supplied with immense quantities of fresh butter, from that part of Yorkshire, called Holderness. The dairies were farmed by London dealers, who were in the practice of accommodating other shop-keepers with what they could not dispose of themfelves. It is plain, that it was entirely out of the power of farmers to bring this commodity to market themselves, and as it is a very perishable article, the prompt method that has been described, was the best possible for the public benefit. In the course of this traffic, however, one of these dealers was brought under the predicament of Edw. VI.'s statute, and was convicted. Lord Mansfield, from principles of juffice and humanity

(61)

The preamble to this bill, and the speeches in the debate, particularly that of Mr. Burke, fet

humanity, and perceiving that the infliction of the penalty would ruin this trade, contrived to suspend judgement, and fuggested the repeal of the statute in the interim.

In the year 1767, in consequence of complaints concerning the high price of provisions, and petitions having been prefented to the House of Commons on that subject, ascribing it to the practices of forestallers, jobbers, &c. a bill was ordered to be brought into parliament, to enforce the laws against such offenders; but the committee appointed to confider these laws came to the following refolutions, viz.

Ist. "That it is the opinion of this committe, that the feveral laws relating to badgers, engroffers, forestallers, and regrators, by preventing the circulation of and free trade in corn, and other provisions, have been the means of raising the price thereof in many parts of the kingdom."

2d. " That it is the opinion of this committee, that the House be moved for leave to bring in a bill to remedy the evils occasioned by the said laws."

In consequence of fresh petitions from the country, to the fame purport as the former, the matter lay over till 1772.

the

the impolitic tendency of the ancient laws in the strongest point of view.

In case the total repeal of those laws should not be judged fafe, in the present irritated state of the public mind, I beg leave to fuggest, with that diffidence which becomes one who does not belong to the profession of the law, that the sting might perhaps be taken from it, by enacting, that no conviction shall follow, unless the act committed shall be proved to have been detrimental to the public.*

4thly. Enforcing the act of last fession, respecting stale bread -I understand this is so frequently infringed, that it is likely foon to go into defuetude. There is the following observation in the report of the committee of the 10th of February: "Your committee is strongly induced to

Institutes of the Laws of England, p. iii. recommend **63**)

recommend this, from the confideration that a very respectable physician has given it as his opinion, that new bread is far less wholesome than that which has been baked a number of hours." This was the only legislative measure adopted last session, in consequence of the report of the committee, and it was confidered as of fo much consequence, that, to prevent delay, the act was passed, without waiting for the usual formalities. And in the report of the 6th of March, after this act had taken effect for a few weeks, it is certified, on the testimony of fix bakers, that the confumption had been thereby diminished one-sixth part. Upon inquiring * yesterday, at the baker who supplies my family, how this law came to be fo much neglected, he gave as one reason, that, owing to the intimidation of dealers in wheat and flour, the fupply had been at times of late fo short, that he could not bake enough to enable him to keep it for twenty-four hours, as the law directs.

* 5th November, 1800.

5thly. The

^{*} This idea feems to meet with some countenance, from an expression of Lord Coke, who says, that " an engrosser may be indicted at the common law, as for an offence malum in

5thly. The stopping of the distilleries, and of the making of starch and hair-power. These make a very inconsiderable part of the total consumption of the country; but this prohibition will argue at least the paternal care of the legislature, in attending even to the smallest means of economy, and will serve as an example to private families, to make voluntarily every possible retrenchment.

Having thus humbly stated what I conceive to be the most expedient and practicable means of relief, in the present distress, it would be entering into too wide a field, to specify what should not be done. There is one measure, however, upon which I should not have thought it necessary to make any remark, had I not heard it mentioned, by those from whom I should not have expected it, as a thing which might be advisable in the present hour: I mean the establishment of a maximum of price. Without entering into any reasoning, I shall just make one or two references to history. A maximum

was tried under Edward II. during one of the worst administrations that England ever saw, and was abandoned, as mischievous and impracticable. It was tried in France, during an administration still more execrable and slagitious, namely, that of Robespierre, and was equally abandoned, for the same reasons. As it is a scheme that could only be dictated by the grossest ignorance and tyranny, and cannot, therefore, have even entered into the minds of our present rulers, no more need be said on the subject.

I have thus, my Lord, unburdened my mind, by humbly communicating what I felt it my duty not to withhold. I wish it were more worthy of your acceptance, and that of the public. I am sensible this letter might be rendered much more perfect, as to matter, as well as illustration and arrangement; but it has been composed, since the report of his Majesty's intention of assembling the parliament, at those short intervals of leisure which the indispensable duties of anactive profession allowed. The whole of this subject is a matter of the utmost delicacy and,

importance to the cause of humanity and public spirit, and upon which it behoves every man to throw all the light his abilities enable him. The first step towards alleviating the miseries of the poor is to ascertain from whence they proceed; and, if we cannot immediately relieve their wants, to endeavour, at least, to sooth their discontents, by shewing them that the ground of their evil is imputable to natural and unavoidable causes, and not to inslame their passions, and exasperate their sufferings, by representing them as slowing from the crimes of their fellow subjects, and thereby impelling them to acts that must aggravate the evil ten-fold, and lead to the most tragical and fatal catastrophies.

If it were not taking up too much of your Lordship's time, it would be interesting to inquire upon what the strange credulity of mankind, on these points, is founded. I shall only shortly remark, that it is the nature of the human mind, when galled by suffering, to yield readily to jealousy and suspicion; and, in this temper, "trisles light as air" are confirming evidence.

evidence. Fear is also very favourable to credulity, and it is upon this that superstitious credulity is chiefly built; for, whatever relates to the world of spirits, excites the strongost emotions in the mind. Next to this, animal fubfiftence feems to excite the deepest interest, as may be exemplified in the irrational anxieties of avarice, and the blind credulity with regard to the points in question; fo that these aberrations of the human mind may be ftyled temporal fuperstition. It is stated by one of the ancients, as your Lordship knows, as the principal advantage attending the cultivation of reason, by education, that it enables the mind to furmount these vain fears. But, as this subject relates to the indifpenfable necessities of our animal nature, and is full of specious fallacies, it is perhaps one of the most difficult, for an uneducated mind, upon which to form a correct and dispassionate judgement. The time will come, when our more enlightened posterity will be as much aftonished that the belief in forestalling and monopolizing the necessaries of life being the causes of the scarcity and high price of pro-

K 2

vifions,

visions, should prevail at the end of the 18th century, as we are at the grave characters who believed in ghosts and witches at the beginning of the 17th century. It is not quite two hundred years, since not only the King on the throne, and the judge on the bench, but the majority of the whole legislature of England, believed in witchcraft, as appears by an act of parliament passed against that imaginary crime in the reign of James I.

However mortifying it may be to human pride, that those who are called the better fort, give into such errors, let us make every allowance for those who have not the same advantages of information, and who, living from day to day by their labour, are much more deeply interested in the question, while they have not the advantage of liberal and enlightened minds to counteract their prejudices. When this is duly considered, we ought rather to admire the quietness and patience of the commonalty of England, than be surprized at their late transient and partial excesses. Whoever will study the character

character of the common people of this illand, will find much to admire in them, particularly that aversion to the shedding of blood, and to the vindictive use of edged weapons, which remarkably diftinguishes them from all the nations of Europe, particularly the more fouthern. How cruel, then, to abuse the generous nature of fuch people! It feems particularly incumbent on those in power, and on all persons of education, to footh, confole, and instruct, the industrious artisan and labourer, on a subject on which they are fo prone to errors of the most dangerous and fatal tendency; to represent to them that this island is like a ship at sea, on a voyage of twelve months, with an inadequate store of provisions on board, and with only a precarious chance of any farther fupply, and that too great an expenditure, in the beginning of the voyage, would produce a famine before they could arrive in port; that therefore it becomes them to submit with Christian patience to being put on short allowance, not giving way to unmanly repinings, much lefs difgracing themselves by mutiny. This class of society should also have it explained

plained to them, that it is only by means of high prices that general frugality and diminished confumption can be effected: and it can be made plain to them, that the farmer ought to have such prices as to indemnify him for the shortness of his crop, and to enable him to continue and increase his tillage the ensuing year; that the farmers who produce, and the dealers who bring that produce to market, for the accommodation of society in general, and of the poor in particular, instead of being the objects of their indignation, ought to be considered as their best friends.

I have only farther to add, that, as this letter is intended for the public eye, and as a question may arise concerning the purity of the author's motive, he thinks it right to declare, that he is not only no dealer in any of the articles of life, but that he has not the smallest acquaintance or connection with any one who is so, nor with any of their connections. And, lest it should be said that he is probably some one in the pay of government, he equally disclaims this charge. He

(71)

can bring proof that these were his opinions before any such questions were publicly agitated, and that he freely and publicly declared them since they have been agitated, at a time when it was generally believed that the majority of his majesty's ministers were of a different way of thinking.

But my great fecurity against the miscon-struction of my motives is, that the public think too well of your Lordship to believe that you would suffer yourself to be addressed by a fordid trader, or an unprincipled mercenary, but by one who is known to your Lordship to be, with the sincerest attachment and respect,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's

Most faithful and

Most obedient servant,

London, 8th November, 1800.

Gilbert Blang

T. Burton, Printer, ? Little Queez-freet.

can

