21

7

TREATISE

ON

CIVIL IMPRISONMENT

IN

ENGLAND.

À

# TREATISE

ON

CIVIL IMPRISONMENT IN ENGLAND;

wirh

THE HISTORY OF ITS PROGRESS,

AND

OBJECTIONS TO ITS POLICY,

AS IT RESPECTS

THE INTERESTS OF CREDITORS,

AND

THE PUNISHMENT, OR PROTECTION OF DEBTORS.

CONCLUDING WITH

THE PRINCIPLES AND GENERAL LINES OF A PLAN FOR AMENDING THE PRESENT LAW;

AND

AN APPENDIX OF NOTES.

BY THOMAS MACDONALD, ESQUIRE, OF THE INNER TEMPLE, BARRISTER AT LAW.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR J. MURRAY, Nº 32, FLEET STREET.
M.DCC.XCI.

# ADVERTISEMENT.

ROM some late discussions it would seem that the prefent laws of arrest upon actions, and of imprisonment for debt, are yet considered by many as, upon the whole, productive of general good consequences. The author of this treatise, which was written upwards of two years ago, has therefore been induced to publish it. He has endeavoured to place the subject in all the different points of view of which it was fusceptible; and might have added a regular plan for amending that branch of the law, which his inquiries and reflections had enabled him to form.—But, in the present mode of communication, he has thought it more becoming to offer only the principles and general ideas on which that plan proceeds. These will be found in the concluding chapter. Its objects are, by means of a plain and practical course of proceeding, to render imprisonment for debt more beneficial to the fair creditor; and less oppressive to the honest debtor.—On the one hand, to remove as much as possible the creditor's just complaint of its inefficacy

A 3

# vi ADVERTISEMENT.

as a remedy; and on the other, to preclude every argument, on the part of the debtor, for the neceffity of fuch occasional acts of infolvency as have of late been so wisely reprobated.

TC

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

FRANCIS, LORD RAWDON,

BARON RAWDON, OF RAWDON,

IN THE COUNTY OF YORK,

&c. &c.

MY LORD,

WHEN I do myself the honour of inscribing the following little work to your Lordship, I cannot prefume to think that by any expression of

A 4

772'Y

#### DEDICATION. viii

my personal respect I shall add to the general estimation in which you are justly held-But I gratify myself-And, if I had no fuch motives as act upon my mind with regard to your Lordship, the idea of placing your name at the head of a Treatise on Civil Imprisonment would naturally fuggest itself. The exertion you made for obtaining an alteration of our municipal law on that important subject ought not foon to be forgotten.

For my part, knowing, as I do, those sentiments of just and correct humanity, and that liberal, yet guarded reasoning on which your Lordship proceeded,

#### DEDICATION.

ix

ceeded, I cannot refrain from faying, that you there displayed a public spirit, of that character and description, which it is not flattery to extol.

I have the honour to be,

With great respect,

MY LORD,

Your Lordship's most obedient,

And most humble servant,

Inner Temple, March 1791.

THOS MACDONALD.

### PREFACE.

HE author of the following treatife knows little of his own mind, if any thing it contains can justly be ascribed to a presumptuous and intemperate spirit of reformation; which, even in matters of municipal law, abjures all respect for established practice. He admires the genius of that philosophy which refifts the prejudices of habitual opinion, and penetrates to the true character of things: but he is well convinced that its reasonings are often too abstract for application; and that the good to be derived in particular inflances from frequent alterations of fixed and familiar laws, would upon the whole be far overbalanced by the uncertainty arising from perpetual fluctuation. Yet the timid pedantry of that submission which too often fetters the minds of men, has nothing in it to bear the test of candid reslection. Bending to every abfurdity with implicit obedience, it denies to fociety all the benefits of experience; and aims prepofteroufly at holding stationary, what must for ever be progressive.

Numberless

PREFACE.

XIII

and judgments were necessary. These are but examples.

The existing laws of civil imprisonment, or, in other words, the laws which in this country give to plaintiffs and to creditors certain powers over the persons of defendants, only because plaintiffs make oath in support of their claims, and of infolvent debtors, only because they are insolvent, without even professing any purpose of discrimination, form a fystem, in all its parts, so singular, and apparently so repugnant to the general spirit of our jurisprudence, that the author of this treatife could not refrain from making an exertion to bring it fully before the public.—But he would not be mifunderstood.—He is aware of that dangerous species of bigotry which wears the character of liberal opinion; and, while he reprobates the laws of arrest and civil imprisonment, as they now stand, he accedes without hesitation to the following positions.

ought to be altered or suppressed, till by clear demonstration it is shewn to be essentially pernicious; and that the history of such a law or legal practice, however clearly its origin and extension may be thereby shewn to have arisen from an abuse of form or perversion of principle, ought only to have the effect of meeting that prejudice, or superstitious reverence,

<sup>\* 2</sup> William and Mary, st. 1. c. 5. † 1 Anne, st. 2. c. 9.

<sup>1 5</sup> Anne, c. 6. § 4 Anne, c. 16.

<sup>1 2</sup> Geo. 2, c. 22.

reverence, which precludes enquiry—beyond that point it ought not to weigh.

2dly, That no law whatever, however necessary or wise it may be, can stand the test of reasoning from extreme cases of private hardship or injustice suffered by individuals under its abuse.

3dly, That whatever may have been anciently the case, the arrest and sudden imprisonment of debtors, or those who are solemnly charged as such, are now, in certain circumstances, indispensably necessary.

And lastly, that a fair creditor is rarely a vindictive oppressor of his unfortunate debtor; and that fraudulent or dishonest debtors, are far more numerous than cruel creditors.

It was with the full impression of these truths upon his mind that the author proceeded to consider the present subject, and treated it in the manner he has done. Every presumption is in favour of a system, which, though frequently the subject of complaint, has yet subsisted for centuries. It was therefore necessary, by describing its origin and accompanying it in its course, to shake the ascendancy which, to the exclusion of fair reasoning, it had gained. The frequency of fraud, and the gross violations of common honesty and just sentiment, which the unprincipled and ungrateful conduct of many debtors was for ever presenting to the general

view, ferved to keep back the miseries of innocent and unfortunate men, who fuffered as infolvent debtors, from the attention which in justice they deserved. It was therefore necessary to bring them forward to observation in the circumstances which truly belonged to them. By a misapplication of the principle, That no law is to be cenfured because injustice may be practised under its abuse, every law, however noxious and unjust, if not always the instrument of iniquity, might be placed beyond the reach of objection. It was therefore necessary to shew that the root of the evil was the want of principle and confiftency in the law; that the various mischiefs it produced arose, not from it abuse, but from its regular execution; that it fwept over all, without discrimination; was less frequently beneficial to the honest creditor, than convenient for the extortioner; and in fometimes promoting the ends of justice, promoted them by chance.

It still remained to anticipate those arguments which, in order to balance what cannot be the subject of dispute, ingenious men are ready to support upon speculative ideas of some general good. With that view it was only necessary to try the law in question upon principles of general policy.

But having gone fo far, it became proper to go farther; for to prove that an evil exists, without offering the means of remedy, is but an unprofitable

# PREFACE.

use of words. The concluding chapter, therefore, suggests certain principles and ideas, with a view to the formation of a new law, for the great ends of protecting the unfortunate; punishing the dishonest; inducing the discharge of debt; and checking the extravagance of credit.

xvii

# CONTENTS

### PART I

## CHAP. I.

THE Establishment of the Superior Courts of Law Page I

# CHAP. II.

The Origin of the different Modes of bringing Parties
before the Courts of King's Bench and Common
Pleas — 6

# GHAP. III.

The extreme Forbearance, with regard to Personal Liberty, of the antient Proceedings at Law, in Civil Matters — 9

CHAP.

CONTENTS.

; **t** 

•

xviii CONTENTS.	CONTENTS. xix
CHAP. IV.	CHAP. X. The same Subject continued — 28
A Reflection — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	CHAP. XI.  The Law of Imprisonment still extended by the Legislature — 31  CHAP. XII.
C H A P. VI.  The next Law of Civil Imprisonment introduced in favour of the Merchants — 15	The Benefit of Summons or Warning, previous to the Arrest and Imprisonment of Defendants, taken away by new Contrivances, founded upon Supposition and Fiction  CHAP. XIII.
CHAP. VII.  Another Law of Imprisonment in favour of the BARONS — — 19	The Maxim " IN FICTIONE JURIS CONSISTIT " EQUITAS." — 36
C H A P. VIII.  Civil Imprisonment further extended by the Legislature — 21  C H A P. IX.  The Law of Imprisonment still further extended in Practice; but not by the Legislature — 24	CHAP. XIV.  Bail for the Appearance of Defendants—or "Bail. "TO THE SHERIFF." 38  CHAP. XV.  The imperfect Remedy applied by the Legislature, to Abuses practised under the Law of Bail, in the Reign of Charles the Second — 41
CHAP.	2 2 C H A P.

#### CONTENTS.

#### CHAP. XVI.

The Effects of the Statute of Charles the Second, upon the Warrants of Arrest and Imprisonment of the Court of King's Bench — 43

#### CHAP. XVII.

### CHAP. XVIII.

Civil Imprisonment in Execution; or Imprisonment of Debtors — 48

### PART II

### CHAP. I.

THE general Purpose of the following Chap-

CHAP.

# CONTENTS.

xxi

### CHAP. II.

The immediate Effect of the Laws of Civil Imprisonment upon the Situation of the Individual — with a Reflection on the Prejudice arising from false Ideas of Humanity — 52

#### CHAP. III.

The Blind Operation of the Laws of Civil Imprisonment — — 55

## CHAP. IV.

A simple Affidavit of Debt, the single preliminary Proceeding upon which a Defendant is arrested and imprisoned, on the Commencement of the Action against him — 58

#### C H A P. V.

The Question stated, Upon what Principle the Relation between Debtor and Creditor, WITHOUT ANY CIRCUMSTANCE OF FRAUD, draws after it the Consequence of that Imprisonment to which, by the Law as it stands, Debtors are subjected — 60

### CHAP. VI.

Civil Imprisonment in Execution considered as a Punishment — 62

a 3

CHAP.

xxii

#### CONTENTS.

#### CHAP. VII.

Civil Imprisonment in Execution considered as a Mode of Coercion — 67

## CHAP. VIII.

Civil Imprisonment in Execution considered as in itself
a Legal Satisfaction to the Creditor 69

# CHAP. IX.

Civil Imprisonment considered upon the Principle of an implied or tacit Agreement - 73

#### CHAP. X.

Givil Imprisonment considered with a View to the established legal Presumption of fair Intention, 'till the contrary is proved — 78

### CHAP. XI.

The Deference justly due to Reasons of Policy, or general Utility, upon this Subject 81

### CHAP. XII.

Reasons of Policy against the present Law of Civil Imprisonment founded upon the Authority of the Bankrupt Laws — 82 CHAP.

### CONTENTS.

XXIII

#### CHAP. XIII.

Thoughts on the proper Influence of Municipal Law, over the selfish Propensities of private Individuals; and on the general Nature and Circumstances of CREDIT—introductory to certain other Reasons of Policy against the Laws of Civil Imprisonment

#### CHAP. XIV.

The same Subject continued -

#### 93

### CHAP. XV.

Reasons of Policy against these Laws, as rather tending to encourage than restrain an Excessive Facility of Credit, and the Progress of Extravagance

— 95

### CHAP. XVI.

Reasons of Policy against these Laws, as affording Temptations to the Commission of Extortion and Fraud; by affording the ready Means of Oppression. 100

### CHAP. XVII.

Reasons of Policy against the present Law of Imprisonment for Debt, founded upon its known Inefficacy, as a general Remedy, to the fair and honest Creditor.

101

CHAP.

CONTENTS. XXIV CHAP. XVIII. The Law of Imprisonment for Debt considered with a View to the present State of those Frauds which it was meant to restrain -C H A P. XIX. The remarkable Provision contained in the Statute commonly called the Lords Act CHAP. XX. An Argument founded upon the frequent Institution of CHARITABLE SOCIETIES for the Relief of insolvent Debtors in Prison CHAP. XXI. Acts of Insolvency 115 C H A P. XXII. RECAPITULATION 119 CHAP. XXIII. Conclusion. - Containing THE PRINCIPLES AND.

GENERAL LINES OF A PLAN for amending the Laws of Civil Imprisonment — 122

CONTENTS

[ xxv ]

C O N T E N T S

OF THE

APPENDIX.

THE Jurisdiction of the Court of Common Pleas;
the Nature of different Writs; and the ancient
Course of Proceedings in Actions Page 145
et seq.

Chapter 29 of Magna Charta, "Nullus liber homo,"
&c. — 148

Mr. Burges's "Considerations upon the Law of In" solvency" — 149

Ancient Proceedings in the Case of Bailists or Accountants — 149

The

#### CONTENTS OF THE APPENDIX. xxvii XXVi The Law of Civil Imprisonment in Scotland, brought The Statute of Merchants 150 down from its first Establishment to the present Statutes against Bailiffs or Accountants 155 Lord Coke's Observation upon the Proceedings of Edward The Law of Civil Imprisonment in Holland, and in the First against his Judges 156 the trading Cities of Germany 177 A material Difference in Effect between commencing The Marquis of Beccaria on Imprisonment 178 certain Astions in the Court of King's Bench by Bill, and commencing them by Original Writ 157 Puffendorff and Barbeyrac on Insolvency Observation by Lord Mansfield, and Quotations upon The Prisons filled, in the Reign of Charles the Second, the Subject of legal Fictions and Subtleties with Persons who had been ruined by the Civil Wars, and the Fire of London 180 The Abuses mentioned in the Statute of the 8th of 160 Elizabeth The Statute of Richard the Second for preventing Debtors from enjoying too much of "the Sweet" of The Description of Abuses and Oppressions by Arrest, in Imprisonment 181 the Statute of the 13th of Charles the Second 161 A Prison a good Situation for a Miser—his Riches are The Statutes requiring an Affidavit of Debt to the secured to him by the Imprisonment of his Person, and Amount of Ten Pounds, previous to an Arrest 162 he can there enjoy the Poverty of others -Instances of absurd and oppressive Imprisonments stated Authorities to shew that the Imprisonment of the Debtor in the Preamble of 25 Geo. III. c. 45—and the liis considered, in law, as being of itself " an ample mited Imprisonments for small Debts under that " Satisfaction to the Creditor" 183 Statute Barbeyrac, Bynkershock, &c. upon the Power of Note of the Law, which, by putting into the Creditor's Creditors over the Persons of their Debtors among the Hands the Person, withdraws from him the Estate Romans of the Debtor 165

The

Persons

### xxviii CONTENTS, &c.

Persons insane, arrested as Defendants, and kept in Prison as Debtors—the Law cannot release them 185

Puffendorff upon the Insolvency of Merchants 186

An Observation on the Abuse of the Bankrupt Laws 187

An Extract from the Lords Act - 188

Remarkable Facts appearing from the Reports and Proceedings of the Society for the Relief and Discharge of unfortunate Persons imprisoned for Small Debts 192

The Practice as now established requiring Security for eventual Costs from Plaintiffs residing without the Reach of the Laws of England, — 195

### TREATISE

O

CIVIL IMPRISONMENT IN ENGLAND.

### PART I.

CHAP. I.

The Establishment of the Superior Courts of Law.

HE superior courts, which have been long established in England for the various purposes of judicial administration, are at this day, as courts of original jurisdiction, the noblest seats of justice in the world. If they have not been always so—if there have been times when they were defiled by corruption, or disgraced by ignorance, the people can look back, and, with a fuller satisfaction, rejoice in the sure possession of whatever rights the laws of their country have given them.

The old Saxon jurisdictions, which, under the fystematic policy of Alfred, had diffused the equal administration

The chief justiciar presided over their deliberations. He was the next man to his sovereign in rank, and often superior to him in consequence. Certain persons learned in the laws, called the king's justices, were appointed to instruct these great men in the law upon the subjects before them; but it did not follow that the law was to be so applied—the rights of the parties were often a secondary object.

In this state of things it frequently happened that the private contests among the people were either left to work themselves off in the violence of animosity and discord, or to be brought from the most distant parts, before this royal court, which followed the person of the king wherever he went. It was therefore one of the provisions of Magna Charta, that the Common Pleas, respecting the rights and transactions

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

transactions of the people among themselves, should not follow the king, but be determined in some certain place. Thus a branch was, in effect, split off from the Aula Regis, and a distinct court of Common Pleas became stationary in Westminster hall\*.

Yet the Aula Regis was still a vast and unwieldy. machine, the unequal preffure of which was feverely felt. It had long affected the power of the fovereign, as well as of the people: for those who held the administration of justice (as that which went under the name of justice was then administered) held the command of the kingdom. But this could hardly be feen by men who, blinded by the rude passions, the gross superstitions, or the narrow ambition, of barbarous times, had therefore no clear ideas of their own interests. It was Edward the first who discovered the necessity of breaking down the Aula Regis, for the support of royal authority. But to divide its powers without losing them. was a task which called for all his vigour and ability.

In delegating the functions of magistracy, it naturally occurs, that certain subjects can hardly be brought under the immediate inspection of the so-vereign himself. Such subjects comprehend the various questions which arise in the civil affairs of the people, and require a minute and laborious attention to all those intricacies in the application of municipal law, which sooner or later, in every state,

\* See Hargrave's notes on 1 Inst. note 2, 71 b.

refolve themselves into a science; increasing in magnitude and difficulty, as the rights of the people increase in value and variety. The superintendance, management, and controul of public revenues and accounts, form another branch, which cannot come under the immediate care of a monarch. But when these different articles are delegated, those which remain are the fit and necessary objects of his peculiar attention. As the father, and protector of his people, it is his duty to guard from injury the lives and persons of his subjects: as the great keeper of the peace of the public, he is bound to watch over its preservation.

It is the chief character of every wife establishment, to follow and give effect to the natural order of things. The distinct departments under which the objects of judicial authority thus naturally ranged themselves, were therefore wisely reduced into a regular system of policy by Edward. While he sounded, or rather restored, a variety of inserior jurisdictions, or subordinate ministerial offices, for the necessary purposes of spreading abroad over the different parts of the kingdom, and extending to cause of all civil descriptions, the salutary powers of justice, the greater and more weighty subjects of investigation were formed, in the above order, into three superior \* jurisdictions. The first-mentioned branch of affairs fell under the jurisdiction of the

The word *superior* is used, because the great barons of parliament still retained the *supreme* appellate jurisdiction over the kingdom.

Court of Common Pleas, which had been before fixed at Westminster, by virtue of the Great Charter. The second was made the department of certain officers styled Barons of Exchequer, whose chief functions were more of a ministerial than a judicial nature, but who, in exercifing their authority, respecting the king's accounts and revenues, over the king's accountants and debtors, foon acquired an ampler jurisdiction. Whatever remained of the functions of magistracy, still continued more immediately in the hands of the fovereign, and formed a royal jurisdiction, which comprehended not only fuch higher objects of cognizance as directly affected the lives and persons of individuals, or the peace of the public, but also the controul of inferior jurisdictions, and fuch causes of complaint as admitted of no precise definition, or had no other means of remedy. This high jurisdiction was exercised by a court in which the king himself was understood to preside. On that account, and in contradistinction to the Court of Common Pleas, or Common Bench, it was emphatically styled the Court of King's Bench; and possessed, inherently, such powers of legal discretion, superintendence, and pre-eminence, as were fufficient, in every possible case, to prevent a desect either of public or of private justice \*.

\* The above is presented as no more than a general sketch of the origin of the superior courts; which the author has briefly drawn as proper introductory matter to his main subject. Particular descriptions of the courts will be found in Brazzon, Britton, and Fleta; and most of the eminent writers of the law have treated of them fully and historically.

 $\mathbf{B}$  3

CHAP.

### CHAP. II.

The Origin of the different Modes of bringing Parties before the Courts of King's Bench and Common Pleas.

HE establishment of different jurisdictions for the determination of private disputes, with the necessary powers for compelling obedience, gives effect to the due and regular course of law: but it also serves to introduce a species of trespass upon the peace of individuals, perhaps not much less dangerous in its consequences to the happiness of the people, than even the violence of open outrage. It is not then enough that the law provides means for the security of right, or the reparation of civil injury: it must likewise guard against their abuse; that they be not perverted for the purposes of levity, resentment, or extortion.

The judges of the Court of Common Pleas, acting purely by delegated authority, issued their process in general by virtue of a power expressly given them, in every particular instance, by special warrant, ordering the party to appear before them. They could not of themselves call parties before them\*: that power still remained with the king, and was exercifed by his officer who had the custody of his great seal, styled the Chancellor.

\* Appendix, A.

Whoever

### ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

Whoever had a complaint against his neighbour in matter of civil right, was obliged to apply for the means of a remedy to the chancellor. His duty it was, in chancery, to hear the party complaining upon the particular nature of the complaint, and to give him a writ, or warrant, shortly describing the cause (from which these writs were denominated Brevia\*) directed to the sheriff, for the purpose of obtaining fatisfaction from the party, or his appearance before his majesty's justices at Westminster to answer to the charge. But the writ or warrant thus issued by the Chancellor was qualified with an express condition that the plaintiff should give security, by finding pledges or fureties, for his profecuting the fuit with effect; fo that if he proceeded without grounds, or from malice, he might be punished by a fine to the king for this abuse of the forms of justice, and trespass upon the peace of his fubject +. Till the plaintiff found good substantial fecurity to that effect, for which the sheriff was anfwerable, the fuit could not be commenced.

Thus the Court of Common Pleas derived its power of proceeding from the special warrant or writ of the king, iffued by his Chancellor ‡; and

> + Appendix, C. \* Appendix, B.

1 What is here stated applies to the general jurisdiction of the Court of Common Pleas in common actions, and when the parties were not officers, ministers, or privileged persons of the court. Where such persons are parties, the Court of Common Pleas "may hold plea by bill, without any original writ;" and they may also grant prohibitions " to keep as well temporal as ecclesiastical courts within their bounds and jurisdiction, without any original or plea depending." 4 Inft. 99.

that

that writ put a negative upon proceeding, if fecurity was not given for a fair and bonâ fide prosecution of the suit.

No fuch preliminary warrant from Chancery was however necessary in the administration of the king's own referved and pre-eminent jurisdiction respecting fuch matters of private right as were also of immediate public concern; and whatever contained in it any ingredient of criminality, was matter of immediate public concern, falling properly under that pre-eminent jurisdiction. There the sovereign exercised, by his judges, his own inherent functions; and precepts or warrants, of course, and in the first instance, issued from his own Court of King's Bench, for bringing delinquents, or perfons accused of forcible injuries, before him \*.

\* See the mode of bringing defendants before the Court of Exchequer, Chap. X. infra.

# CHAP. III.

The extreme Forbearance, with regard to Personal Liberty, of the antient Proceedings at Law, in Civil Matters.

HE original writ or warrant which issued from L Chancery for bringing before the court the party charged with an injury purely civil, was directed to the sheriff; and it might naturally occur, that the law gave him power in all cases without distinction, in executing his warrant, to bring the party, if he disobeyed, before the court by force. But this was not the case—his warrant was only the original ground of certain writs and proceedings; the course and operation of which give a just idea of that excessive regard which in those days was paid to personal freedom, even in the exercise of the highest and most important powers of civil authority\*.

After repeated acts of wilful disobedience and contumacy, by not appearing in court, the defendant was still suffered to be at large, and perfect master of his person; and as, perhaps, he never had any property to lose, or what he once had (which might have been fufficient to pay the debt) had gone to the king upon the writ of distress which issued for his disobedience, all the subsequent writs and war-

\* The course of these writs and proceedings is stated in the Appendix, D. rants

rants which fucceffively followed, were fulminated against a shadow.

If again the defendant obeyed the original writ, by appearing in court, and judgment passed against him, then still his estate only was answerable—his person was free.

Such was the course of legal proceedings against a man charged at the suit of another with the breach of an obligation purely civil. But where the injury was attended with violence, and therefore the case had something criminal in its nature, or, as the law-books express it, savoured of criminality, his person was not so inviolably secure, even where the proceedings, subsequent to the original writ, issued from the Court of Common Pleas. He was liable, in an action of that nature, eventually to imprisonment; but only in case he had no property to distrain, and not till after repeated warnings had been given him \*.

When a defendant, having been brought into court by force of distresses executed against his effects, had judgment given against him, he was ordered to pay a fine to the king for the public offence he had committed; and till that fine was paid †, he was detained in custody on account of the public; but still, if he satisfied the king for the interest of the public, the private creditor could only attach his property; he had no power whatever over his perfon.

\* Appendix, E.

† Gilbert's Law of Executions, p. 59.

#### CHAP. IV.

### A Reflection.

TT was a wild and unwarrantable liberty, which, in any case, suffered a private individual to set himself obstinately against civil authority, by repeatedly contemning a lawful command, which it was at all times in his power to obey. The original complaint might not charge him with any circumstance of violence against his neighbour; but he became criminal by his fubfequent conduct. His contempt made him an offender against the public, and therefore subjected his person to the coercive power of public authority. But if the original charge was for an injury attended with circumstances of force and outrage, his refusal to appear in court was a proof of his guilt; and the violence he had committed against one, was sufficient to shew that his liberty, while he remained unpunished, was dangerous to all.

Yet this extreme of personal freedom has been extolled as antient English liberty—for as such it is described in the declamations of those men, who, in viewing the rude and unprincipled simplicity of former times, are for ever dreaming of the ideal felicity and visionary virtues of a golden age.

The legal historian can inform us, that the perfons of men were often placed beyond the reach of public restraint, that they might be the surer victims of private slavery.

CHAP.

The first Law of Imprisonment for a Civil Injury, unaccompanied by Force, introduced in favour of the Barons.

THE progress from that extreme of private personal freedom, which counteracted the necessary powers of civil authority, to its opposite extreme, which deseated their best purposes, is now to be traced.

It was only by degrees that the idea of depriving a man of his liberty in any case, where he had not forseited it by the commission of some act of open violence, could insinuate itself into a system of laws which rose upon the soundation of a great charter of liberties\*, In very early times, dishonesty, or a disregard of the rights of others, assuming one uniform rude and turbulent character, effected its purposes by forcible means; but when the rights of individuals were guarded by the powers of a social compact more closely united, and better braced, dishonesty had to steal towards its ends by secret artistice, salsehood, and treachery.

By degrees, therefore, the idea which had been before annexed to acts of open violence, extended itself to the impositions practised under all the different modes of fraud and artifice. But till the laws adopted that idea, it may well be con-

\* Appendix, F.

ceived

ceived what enormities were committed by men, who having no property to lofe, and nothing to fear for their perfons, committed them with impunity: yet it was some considerable time before the laws did adopt that idea. The complaints of the poor and dependent could seldom reach the seat of government; and in those days every man was poor and dependent who was not great.

It was not therefore till the great felt the evil that a remedy was thought of. It had become a common practice with bailiffs and receivers who collected the rents of the Barons, to abfcond, without rendering an account of the fums they had received. In such a case the thought was natural, that the person of the man should be seized, for the purpose of compelling him to do what was clearly in his power, namely, to render an account of what he had received.

In the reign of *Henry the third* a law was accordingly passed\*, by which it was enacted, That bailists who failed to account to their lords, if they withdrew themselves, and had no lands or tenements by the seizure of which they might be distrained upon the common writ of attachment, should "be attached by their bodies."

Natural and rational as the general idea of this law certainly was, the novelty of it feems to have induced a degree of caution, in applying the remedy, which rendered it nugatory, or, at leaft, extremely inadequate. The arrest of the defaulter's person could only, after all, take place where two circum-

\* 52 Hen. III. c. 23. Stat. of Marlbridge.

stances

stances concurred. It was necessary not only that he should have absconded, but also that he should not have belonging to him a freehold estate in lands or tenements, even to the most trifling value\*: for it was strictly interpreted as being a law against liberty. If he either remained at home, or, rather choosing to go elsewhere with his booty, purchased an acre of land within the county, to evade the ftatute, he might refuse to render an account, and his person was free as ever +.

† Appendix, G.

#### CHAP. VI.

The next Law of Civil Imprisonment, introduced in favour of the MERCHANTS.

TT was in the celebrated reign of Edward the first that the law first seized upon the personal liberty of a mere debtor. The same views of ambition which had led that able monarch to break down the grandeur of the Aula Regis, by annihilating its leader (the great justiciar of the kingdom) and feparating its parts, taught him the expediency of advancing some new acting power in the state, which might put the balance into his own hands, and enable him to preferve or destroy the equilibrium at pleasure. The Barons, having long been the lords of the common people, were hardly the fubjects of the king; and he faw that as long as the common people were the mere instruments of others, they would be the instruments of those who held over them the immediate means of oppression. It was therefore by extending the views, and raising the principles of the people at large, that he wifely thought of being indeed the monarch of his kingdom.

This could only be effected by enabling them to acquire rights and interests, under his patronage and protection, which should render them independent of their former oppressors: and these were only to be acquired by means of the extension and encouragement of trade.

But

16

In the eleventh year, therefore, of that monarch's reign, the statute of Acton Burnel, as it is called, was passed; by which it was made lawful to a merchant, whose debtor had acknowledged his debt before a certain magistrate, to apply, after the day of payment, for a warrant to sell his debtor's moveables and chattels; or, if no buyer was to be found, to have them delivered up to him, at a reasonable price, towards satisfaction of the debt; and if the debtor had no moveables whereupon the debt might be levied," the statute declared, that "his body should then be taken where it might be found, and kept in prison,

prison, until that he had made agreement, or his friends for him."

Two years after, the remedy was farther extended, with much feverity, by a new law\*, explanatory of the former; by which the debtor of a merchant was, after the day of payment, made liable to immediate imprisonment, without regard to his effects; but with express power to him, at any time within a quarter of a year, to fell his lands or chattels for the purpose of discharging the debt; and after expiration of that quarter, all his lands and goods were to be delivered to the creditor, "by a reasonable extent;" the debtor's body being still kept in prison till payment of the debt by means of his estate; the creditor sinding him bread and water for his sustance. It was declared that the benefit of this statute should not extend to the Jews.

Both these statutes have been understood as forming one entire law; both being entitled the Statute of Merchants, as exclusively in favour of that class of men; and its extraordinary severity against their debtors, arose from the circumstances of the times.

\* 13 Edward I. st. 3. The preamble of this statute points out the peculiar object of the law in these words: "Forasmuch as merchants, which heretofore have lent their goods to diverse persons, be fallen in poverty, because there is no speedy remedy provided whereby they may shortly recover their debt at the day of payment; and for this cause many merchants do refrain to come into the realm with their merchandize, to the damage of such merchants and of all the realm."—As several parts of the statute may afford matter of observation, the whole of it will be found in the Appendix H.

#### CHAP. VII.

Another Law of Imprisonment in favour of the BARONS.

I was not Edward's policy to attempt any sudden depression of the great men who surrounded his throne. He knew that they would fall, exactly as the commons rose; and it was only necessary to divert their attention from the turn which he had given to the balance.

The mercantile body had received fignal marks of favour; and if the barons had not at the fame time been foothed, they would, it is probable, have exerted all their power for the discouragement of that body of men; not as rivals of any note or confideration, but as being, in their estimation, unworthy and contemptible favourites.

The law which had been made in the last reign, for the purpose of compelling by imprisonment the bailists and receivers of the barons to render their accounts, was in itself a fit subject of amendment: for, even after the defaulter had complied with the law, by delivering an account, the substantial evil still remained. That account might shew a heavy arrear against him; and there the statute was silent. The Barons, therefore, now acquired a very strong remedy, giving them powers over the persons of their debtors, (for it may be presumed that, except their tenants, their receivers of rents were their only immedi-

ate debtors) still more ample than those obtained by the merchants. It was enacted\*, that their bailiffs and receivers, upon being found in arrear by the decision of auditors (who were appointed by these lords themselves) should be immediately committed to the nearest prison, where the sheriff, or his gaoler, was to keep them in irons; and where they were to live at their own expence, till they fully fatisfied their lords for the arrears which they owed †.

These statutes against bailiffs, in matters of account, are material to the present purpose, as they are referred to in subsequent laws, extending imprisonment to other causes; but of themselves, they operated only within a very narrow circle, and were directed against one particular object. They went, indeed, beyond the line which had long been held facred, and which protected every man from the loss of liberty, for any cause short of open outrage, or forcible injury: but they were also levelled against an act of the worst species of dishonesty; namely, a breach of trust, aggravated, in general, by a difregard of one of the strongest relations then known in the state.

> + Appendix I. \* 13 Edward I. c. 11.

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

#### CHAP. VIII.

Civil Imprisonment further extended by the Legislature.

TOR upwards of fixty years the law of imprisonment, for a cause purely civil, rested where it had been left by Edward the first, viz. with Barons who had been defrauded by dishonest stewards, and merchants, who required all the encouragement and aid which extraordinary privileges and protection could give. It operated upon fuch particular circumstances as at first justified its introduction, and afterwards facilitated its progress. The idea was no longer novel. The practice, in those special cases, had reconciled it, by habit, to the minds of the people. When, therefore, it was afterwards extended to others, it proceeded without observation; till at length it grew infenfibly into a common course of execution.

In those days, the circle of contingencies, in the affairs of men, was more bounded than it is now; their connections were fewer; their transactions less complicated. The effects, therefore, of future events, were more within the range and forefight of prudence; and it followed, as a necessary consequence, that fewer honest individuals could be ruined by unforeseen calamities. In general, an insolvent debtor was then an unworthy member of fociety. So uncertain were the obligations which, in antient times, arose from implied engagements, that a debt, tech-

nically

nically so called, being a right to demand a sum certain, was founded on some public, or judicial, act, or written instrument; or such a contract, without writing, as might reduce the fum demanded to a certain precise amount. To debts of this determinate nature the action of debt was confined; and if it proceeded upon a written instrument, such as a bond or deed, the defendant could run little hazard of fuffering by an erroneous or unjust judgment; because, if the debt had been paid, the bond or deed would have been cancelled. If it proceeded upon a simple contract, there was a privilege incident to the action, which indeed lay open to manifest objections in point of expediency as well as justice, but protected an honest defendant of fair character. He was permitted to clear himself of the demand, by swearing that he owed nothing; which was conclusive, if he got eleven of his neighbours (who were called compurgators) to support his credit, by making oath that they believed he fwore truly. This was termed waging bis law\*.

To this action of debt (for reasons perhaps founded upon the particular nature of such actions) and also to the action of detinue, in which the defendant had the same privilege of wager of law, the same process against the person of the desendant, which had been given to the Barons against their bailiss; or, in other words, the law of imprisonment by arrest, was extended in the reign of Edward the third.

In

In the action of detinue, the nature of the injury had fomething criminal, or at least grossly dishonest, in its composition. That action\* lay against a perfon who having, by fair means, or with the appearance of right, got the goods of another into his possession, afterwards wrongfully detained them. He had not indeed possessed himself of them by these or robbery; but, from the moment he resused to restore them to the right owner, he held them by no better title.

\* Now superseded in practice by the action of trover, in which there lies no wager of law: as the action of debt, on simple contract, is, (partly, for the same reason), superseded, in practice, by the action of indebitatus assumpsit, for breach of promise, or of assumpsit; which is the technical word for engagement or undertaking.

<sup>\*</sup> The source of this practice has been often traced, and is well known—Even now it is known in law.

<sup>† 25</sup> Edward III. c. 17.

The Law of Imprisonment still further extended in Practice; but not by the Legislature.

feveral courts of law, claims of right were most frequently both made and resisted with circumstances of force and violence, and the proper subjects of jurisdiction belonging to the Court of King's Bench, comprized, in those days, a great share of all the disputes which occurred among the people. An injury, in matter of private right, was then often truly, as well as technically, denominated a trespass vi et armis.

But the subjects of litigation changed of course with the manners of the people. Civil injury, without any mixture of violence, gave occasion to many actions; and as these were properly subject to the jurisdiction of the Court of Common Pleas, which had also cognizance of certain forcible injuries, by special warrant, or original writ from Chancery for each particular cause, that court scon came to determine most of the questions of private right which occurred.

The business of the Court of King's Bench was in this manner much abridged; and it would feem, that there have been judges who found less enjoyment in the honourable possession of their own proper pre-eminent jurisdiction, than in the constant exercise of judicial power, however trivial the subject.

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

The active spirit of industry began to be busy even on the bench; and its substantial gains soon bore down the dignity of magistracy\*.

The chancellor, in exercising his power of issuing the king's original writs at the fuit of the parties complaining, by which the Court of Common Pleas was authorized to take cognizance of causes, was frequently obliged to form new writs, according to the exigencies of particular cases, to which former precedents did not apply. This was indeed the fubject of a special statute; and an action founded upon any fuch newly-formed writ, was technically called an action of trespass on the case, to distinguish it from the action of trespass vi et armis, according to the different nature of the injury. For though both had the appellation of trespass, yet the trespass vi et armis, applied properly to an act which was "in itself an immediate injury to another's person or property." And the action on the case applied to an omiffion; or to an act which was not immediately, but only by confequence, and collaterally, injurious.

The various questions thus arising from the multiplicity of new rights, which the transactions of an improved society had introduced, were brought before either of the courts indifferently; according to the suggestion of the plaintiff at suing out his original writ from Chancery. And the Court of King's Bench came also frequently to act by a delegated, not an original authority, even in

\* Appendix K.

† 13 Edward I. c. 24.

judging of injuries which were held in law to be trespasses both against the private party and the public peace; and were consequently the proper subject of their own original jurisdiction\*.

From that time, those lines of distinction which had been clearly and strongly marked out at the establishment of the courts, were rendered obscure and confused. The courts came soon to be rival powers, and were busily superfeding the legislature by statious contrivances, which operated as new laws. Indeed, all their proceedings were sufficiently expressive of what was, in those days, their ultimate object—which was neither the protection of personal liberty; the security of property; the suppression of groundless litigation; nor the peace of the public.

The legislature, it has been observed, had already removed the natural impression (inconsistent, indeed, in its full extent, with the well-being of advanced society) that no civil power could touch the perfonal freedom of a man, who had committed no violent wrong, or offence against the peace of the public; and the people therefore were prepared to suffer the gradual progress of imprisonment, even for the declared purpose of preventing only a remote inconvenience.

The writs of trespass from Chancery came soon to be formed, with a view more to the process which might be convenient for the plaintiff, than to the nature of his complaint. Causes which had nothing in them of trespass, or active injury, but arose perhaps

\* Appendix L.

from

from omission, had yet been denominated trespasses: and partly, perhaps, to savour the jurisdiction of the Court of King's Bench, or to divide the business of civil actions more equally; but chiefly, to authorize the imprisonment of the defendant, for which purpose a charge of violence was indispensable, they were often seigned (without the smallest colour of truth) to have been attended with force.

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT

Thus in numberless instances, before the legislature had extended imprisonment, a defendant was deprived of his liberty by the false application of a word.

#### CHAP.

The same Subject continued.

Y means of fictions, confiderably bolder than that which has been just described, the laws of arrest and imprisonment were carried, in practice, still farther.

When a defendant was in the custody of the Court of King's Bench; that is, in prison, by its authority upon a charge of forcible injury, he might, if fued in another court, have got clear of that fecond action, by pleading the privilege arifing from his being already in the custody of the Court of King's Bench\*. Upon this a principle arose, that the court having him in cuftody for one fort of injury, was entitled to proceed against him for causes, of a nature totally different.

This principle, by a fiction contrived for the purpose, the officers of the court turned to good account. It was only supposing a man to be guilty of a trespass, or public offence, such as fell under the proper cognizance of the court, while perhaps they knew him to be innocent. The proper warrant of the court, viz. the Bill of Middlesex, (as it is generally termed) was iffued for feizing his person immediately, and taking him into the custody of the court, that he might answer (as it was feigned) for

\* Appendix M.

### ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

the trespass with which he was charged. He was brought accordingly, as a delinquent, before the court, and then given to understand, that the warrant had charged him with a supposed trespass, or forcible injury, for the fole purpose of entitling them to seize his person; and that now, being a prisoner, he was only to answer a complaint made against him by his neighbour, respecting a debt, or private transaction between them.

The Court of Common Pleas acted, of course, upon a fimilar plan, and proceeded upon a fictitious trespass, which authorized the subsequent process of imprisonment—for it is to be remembered, that without a charge of trespass, imprisonment, or capias, was no part of their process\*.

The Court of Exchequer proceeded also in a similar manner. Their jurisdiction was, in its original nature, ftrictly limited and very clearly defined. As mentioned in a former chapter, they had, minifterially, the management of the king's revenues, and judicially, the power of proceeding in matters respecting his debtors. When, therefore, they meant to exceed their jurisdiction, they supposed the plaintiff to be the king's debtor. Upon that false suggestion or fiction, they issued their own writ against the defendant, as being debtor to the king's debtor; averring, that the plaintiff, as the king's debtor, was thereby the less + able to pay the king's debt. Upon that

<sup>\*</sup> See chap. 3, Supra.

<sup>+</sup> The writ therefore obtained the name of Quo minus, from the introductory words of the fentence.

fiction, this debtor of the supposed debtor of the king. was feized, imprisoned, and brought into court; and then the fiction had done its office\*.

\* The encouragement and indulgence which the spirit, either of litigiousness or rapacity, derived from this eagerness of practitioners, belonging to particular courts, to acquire employment, may be eafily conceived-yet the fictions made use of to draw jurisdiction to different courts, in cases of which they had no legal cognizance, while they gave rife to many illegal imprisonments, may have been productive of one very important good confequence, as "they have probably," to use the words of Mr. Mitford in his treatise on the pleadings in Chancery, " had the effect of preventing that abuse of power which is too " often the consequence of the fingle jurisdiction of one supreme " court." The legislature however might, without the aid of fiction, have done the fame thing.

#### CHAP. XI.

The Law of Imprisonment still extended by the LEGISLATURE.

OR upwards of one hundred and fifty years, viz. from the 25th of Edward the third, to the 19th of Henry the seventh, the law of civil imprisonment was not extended by the legislature\*it was, on the contrary, alleviated in the reign of Henry the fixth, by the statutory introduction of bail to the sheriff, to be afterwards explained.

During that period, however, the industry of the practitioners of the law had been bufily and profitably employed in fictitious practices, which were fometimes attended with certain falutary effects; but, in extending imprisonment, without law, were clearly unjustifiable. Those practices met at length indirectly with the approbation of the legislature. The law of imprisonment, by arrest of the defendant, was extended by statute + to all actions upon the case (as they were technically denominated) that is, to all fuch actions, proceeding, as already explained, upon original writs, for damages, arifing confequentially . from the particular circumstances of each particular

This was extending the law of imprisonment,

\* Appendix N. + 19 Henry VII. c. 9.

without restriction or qualification, to almost every case which could occur\*, and putting it far beyond the forefight of any man to guard against it. -Obligations in law arifing from the most remote consequences, might at any time deprive him of his liberty.

But in former times, the particular genius of the monarch gave birth to particular laws for the government of the people; even where his own immediate interests did not require their aid. It was in the reign of Henry the seventh that the law thus extended the imprisonment of defendants upon mere civil. demands. The spirit of avarice was then seated on the throne of England; and the rights of perfonal freedom; the independence of integrity; the efforts of industry; even the facred claims of miffortune, were relentlessly facrificed at her shrine. The reign of Henry the seventh became the petty tyranny of an extortioner; not the fovereignty of a monarch: and the people were taught, by the base ministry of Empson and Dudley, and the frigid maxims of their mafter, that a failure in the punctual payment of money, was a wrong, which no diffinctions or circumstances could extenuate.

\* It was afterwards, by 23 Henry VIII. c. 14. extended to actions of annuity and covenant.

#### CHAP. XII.

The benefit of Summons or Warning, previous to the Arrest and Imprisonment of Defendants, taken away by new contrivances, founded upon Supposition and Fistion.

N fuch actions as proceeded upon an original writ from Chancery, the courts could only feize the person of the defendant by a subsequent writ of capias ad respondendum issued against him, eventually, and after the warning which preceding writs afforded him. The officers of the courts were thus frequently disappointed; as defendants who received fuch previous notice, had an opportunity of compromifing claims, or appealing to the reason and humanity of creditors.

But they had also an opportunity of flying suddenly from their country like felons; of relinquishing their early habits; breaking the dearest ties of nature; and wandering forlorn in a land of strangers -for to this state of misery, it was faid, a debtor would fubmit, rather than yield himself, a helpless prisoner, to answer for a debt he could not pay.

The practice of the courts, therefore, took a new course. By a chain of fictitious contrivances, they assumed the power of originating actions in such cases as, the law said, should only be originated by the king's writ from Chancery-plaintiffs were in substance, though not perhaps-in form, delivered

The minds of the few who observed such innovations were diverted to considerations of the conveniency, which, it was said, would result from a practice correctory of the law—And the plan was carried into effect; thus—

An original writ from Chancery was supposed—Pledges of prosecution, or security against groundless and malicious suits, were supposed—the notice to the defendant was supposed—the sheriff's inquiry for goods was supposed—his answer or return, that the defendant had no goods, was supposed—And upon these various suppositions, of important sacts\*, the writ for seizing the defendant's person issued on the instant; and, instead of being the very last, was the first proceeding against him. All the preceding steps were regularly taken in law, that is, (according to the meaning of the expression in many cases) they were not taken in truth.

The blow thus fecretly and fuddenly given, in every case, without regard to circumstances, it was impossible to ward off, even by fair and amicable means; and the credit and character of the desendant perhaps were ruined. But the officers of the court were made sure of emoluments,

\* Appendix O.

which

# ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

35

which might otherwise have escaped them. The legislature knew nothing of these new laws; but the people were told "In sictione juris consistit equitas."—All obstructions or precautions in the way of suitors, (because some had tended to the delay of justice,) were now thrown down; and the body of a defendant, which might, it was said, have been withdrawn from the plaintist by voluntary banishment, was thus secured to him by imprisonment\*.

\* It need hardly be observed, that whatever reslections may arise upon the conduct of the courts formerly, in not annihilating fees, but dispensing with proceedings, which stood, reasonably, between a mere defendant and imprisonment, and which it was their duty to have preserved wherever they had not been superseded by statute; and however far it may be correctly just to say, that such practices were dangerous and illegal, it does by no means follow that those exploded proceedings ought now to be restored in practice, or any thing similar to them adopted. It will appear from the sequel that they have not been stated with any such purpose in view.

### CHAP. XIII.

The Maxim "IN FICTIONE JURIS CONSISTITE EQUITAS."

SUCH general maxims as communicate no precise idea, are weapons equally dangerous in the hands of perfidy and corruption, or of ignorance.

Those strange mishapen powers, which prevailed in the reign of Charles the first, practised the worst of their preposterous follies and abuses, under the motto "Salus populi suprema lex." The people were pleased with the sound; and never considered that, of itself, it meant nothing, because it defined nothing.

The wildest latitude of opinion, or perversion of udicial authority, has, at times, found shelter under the adage "Est boni judicis ampliare justitiam."

The maxim "In fictione juris confifti equitas," when taken as a general maxim, degrades the dignity of justice, and is an insult to legislative wisdom. Yet this maxim has, in the writings even of these days, been repeated and extolled as a proposition which every instance demonstrates.

When from premises which have no foundation in fact, but are supposed in law, a sound conclusion is drawn, and a salutary effect produced, justice is administered by the machinery of legal siction. But justice

7

justice disdains to surrender her own natural character, or to distort her proceedings, by travelling through circuitous paths, to that which may be directly attained. Where the alternative is, that without the use of a siction, in form, either the legislature must strike at the root of a whole system to get at a particular evil; or judges be compelled to stretch out the arm of authority in support of palpable iniquity, the assistance of legal siction is there to be permitted. But when legal siction operates against the ends of justice, and the best principles of nature, it acts in opposition to the only purpose it can profess; and then deserves another name \*.

\* See an observation on the subject of legal siction, by Lord Mansfield, Appendix P.

#### CHAP. XIV.

BAIL for the Appearance of Defendants—or "BAIL TO THE SHERIFF."

THE personal liberty of defendants having become a lucrative subject of possession in the hands of the ministerial officers of the law, sheriffs and their followers let to farm the emoluments of their power—The consequences were dreadful. The country was over-run by bands of robbers, clad in the garb of office, and armed with the warrants of civil authority. The people were frightened at the name of their sovereign.

This had been the state of things for a long course of years, when, by a statute of Henry the sixth, some interruption was given to those depredations. By that statute \* such offices were no longer to be let in farm; and persons arrested by the sheriff upon actions, were, agreeably to the common law, to be set at liberty, upon reasonable sureties, or bail for their appearance.

\* 23 Hen. VI. c. 9.—The preamble of this statute shews the prevalence of those abuses which have been described above. It is in these words: "Item, the king considering the great perjury, extortion, and oppression, which be and have been in this realm by his sherists, under-sherists, and their clerks, coroners, stewards of franchises, bailists, and keepers of prisons, and other officers, in divers counties of this realm, hath ordained by authority foresaid, in eschewing all such extortions, perjury, and oppression, that no sherist," &c.

Yet they were only delivered from the hardships of immediate imprisonment. In fact, their persons were given up to their bail instead of the gaoler. If the desendant did not appear, the bail were answerable to the extent of the bail bond \*.

If the statute, in giving the benefit of bail to defendants, had also restored that part of the common law which required fecurity from plaintiffs for the fair and bona fide profecution of actions; or had provided fomething equivalent, the remedy might have operated. But fo long as it was in the power of a plaintiff to raife an action for any fum, without qualification or reftraint, however groundless the demand, he could gratify his malice, or accomplish his purpose of extortion, by prosecuting for a fum far beyond the credit of the defendant-And the fubsequent practice of the courts extended the evil; for, by virtue of their own discretionary powers, they required from the defendant substantial fecurity, or, as it was termed, special bail, in all cases without distinction where the plaintiff stated his cause of action to amount to f. 20 or upwards; and afterwards, instead of raising the fum with the rife of money and the circumstances of the people, they reduced it to one half that fum: fo that common bail, as it was termed, that is, the insertion of the fictitious names of Doe and Roe as

<sup>\*</sup> This bail for the defendant's appearance can only be discharged by his giving bail, after the return of the writ, for the costs and condemnation; or that he shall render himself a prisoner.

fecurities, was admitted only where the debt appeared from the plaintiff's own state of it to be under £. 10. In that case the defendant, though liable to arrest, was discharged out of custody upon nominal bail; but wherever the debt was £. 10 or upwards, and he could not give substantial bail, or pay the money, he had no means of regaining his liberty.

#### CHAP. XV.

The imperfect Remedy applied by the Legislature, to abuses practised under the Law of Bail, in the Reign of Charles the Second.

flatute of Henry the fixth. The fuperior courts imprisoned the defendant for want of bail in every case where the sum in question, according to the plaintiff's account of it, amounted to £. 10, or upwards; and in no case was it necessary for the plaintiff to state the cause of action in the writ upon which the desendant was arrested.

It is therefore hardly possible to conceive any thing more completely empty, or more absolutely false, than the form of writ by which, in the name of the king, his subjects were, for want of bail, daily thrown into prison; and yet, notwithstanding the gross outrages against justice and good order, which were thus committed, in evasion of the statute of Henry the sixth (and which did not pass unnoticed by the legislature\*) no preventive was thought of till the 13th of Charles the second: though during that long interval the laws of civil imprisonment had been greatly extended.

Exclusive of the fatal imperfection which has attended these laws from their first extension over the people at large, throughout their whole progress, viz. their indiscriminate and unbounded operation,

\* See 8 Eliz. c. 2. giving costs in certain cases to defendants vexatiously arrested.—Appendix Q.

there were here two manifest sources of endless abuse -1st. There was no check upon a plaintiff who was disposed, or found it convenient, to raise a groundless action for a sum beyond the credit of the defendant-And, 2d. No means were provided for informing the defendant, at the time of the arrest, for what cause he was arrested.

Although the former of these evils was more weighty than the latter, and the statute of Charles the fecond described both of them in very ample terms\*, yet it only provided a remedy for the latter; and fuch a remedy as removed but part of the evil. It went no farther than to ordain, that if the certain and true cause of action was not particularly described in the writ or warrant, the desendant should only be obliged to find bail to the extent of £.40. Whoever, therefore, was oppresfively arrested upon a writ or warrant which described a special cause of action; or who could not find bail to the amount of £.40, was as much without a remedy as ever.

What was the consequence? The multitude still groaned under all the evils which the statute described—the gaols were full of miserable wretches, oppressed as insolvent debtors; and the legislature expressed their regret, while they proclaimed their indolence, by inventing the feeble, and impolitic, expedient of an act of insolvency +.

## C H A P. XVI.

The Effect of the Statute of CHARLES THE SECOND upon the Warrants of Arrest and Imprisonment of the Court of King's Bench.

T was formerly felt as one of the inconveniences A attending those fictitious proceedings in courts of law, which were invented for fuch purposes as could not be avowed, that upon every alteration which the legislature found it necessary to make upon the legal course of procedure, inconsistent with actual practice, some fictitious regulations were engrafted by the courts themselves. It must ever be so. It is the object of fuch fictions, profesfedly for purposes of conveniency (which it is certain they often promote) to counteract or evade, while they feem to co-operate with law.

To preserve their existence, by faving appearances, those fictitious proceedings had, therefore, to accommodate themselves to whatever new shape the law might affume; and to extend or abridge their operation accordingly.

The law knew nothing of the fictitious practice by which the Court of King's Bench had acquired an original jurisdiction in certain civil causes; or of the fummary arrest and imprisonment to which defendants, in fuch civil causes, were subjected by a course of process which had been calculated only

<sup>\* 13</sup> Car. II. st. 2. c. 2.—See the description of the abuses which then prevailed, (and do still prevail) in the preamble of that statute, Appendix R.

<sup>+</sup> See chap. infra, upon acts of insolvency.

The Court of Common Pleas thought they had by this statute effectually recovered all their original jurisdiction in causes of a nature purely civil; and the officers and practitioners in the Court of King's Bench were alarmed at the prospect of losing so great and lucrative a subject of cognizance. Indeed the statute was so directly repugnant to the siction by which they held it, that the loss appeared inevitable.

But they found relief in one of those distinctions which practical men of words\* have frequently brought to bear with so much success against sense and reason. 'The statute,' it was said, 'will not be disobeyed, if what it requires is done, though something more shall also be done. It does, indeed, require that the true cause of action shall be specially described in the writ, but it does not say that a salse cause of action may not also be given; therefore the statute will be obeyed, if after stating a salse cause of action, viz. a sistitious trespass or forcible injury, a true cause shall also be described in the same writ. Thus the writ will have a two-sold operation—the first part of it, though salse,

\* The reasonings of the courts were, even at that period, as much confined, upon many subjects, by the narrow subtleties of language, as they are now enlarged by a wise liberality of principle.

will,

will, "according to the course of the court," found the jurisdiction—the second, being true, will comply with the law, and give notice to the defendant of the cause for which he is imprisoned \*.'

In this manner the new law produced only an addition to the old fiction; and those summary warrants of imprisonment which had been formed for such trespasses as by their outrage disturbed the quiet of society, still continued to issue, upon a false suggestion, against the liberty of the peaceable trader, or unfortunate debtor.

\* Accordingly the bill of Middlefex, or writ of latitat which followed it, in case the defendant was not to be found in Middlefex, from thencesorward not only required the defendant to answer as before to a plea of trespass, but contained an additional clause describing a special cause of action, thus; "And also (ac etiam) to a bill of debt, &c."—This is known by the name of the ac etiam clause in the bill; but it is only necessary in cases above £.40. And in such cases an ac etiam clause is added to the capias of the Common Pleas.

#### CHAP. XVII.

The Law of Civil Imprisonment, so far as it respects the Arrest of Defendants, or, technically, Imprisonment on Mesne Process, brought down to the present Time.

HE legislature, in framing the statute of Charles the fecond, feem to have been earneftly defirous of obtaining a remedy, but not to have rightly apprehended where the stress of the evil lay. The miferies they described arose more from the total want of restraint upon the commencement of actions, by malicious or designing plaintiffs, than the inconvenience of not informing defendants by the writ, at the time of the arrest, for what cause they were arrested. Yet still the law continued to give to plaintiffs the same unbridled power by which, on the one hand, they might extort the hardest advantages, as the price of peace; and on the other, had only to fear the possible consequence of paying the costs of the action. It was a trade in which the hazard of loss, was far overbalanced by the chance of gain.

Thus stood the law, and all its attendant evils, as described in the statute of Charles the second, till the reign of George the first; when the legislature took notice of the real source of the mischies; and went a certain length to cure it. A statute\* made

in that reign, after enacting, for the prevention of arrests in trisling actions, that no person should be imprisoned upon any process issuing out of a superior court, where the cause of action did not amount to £. 10 or upwards; nor out of any inserior court, where the cause of action did not amount to 40 s. or upwards; ordains, that when the cause of action shall be of a sufficient amount to warrant the arrest of the defendant, an assidavit shall be made by the plaintist of such cause of action, before a judge, or a commissioner authorized for that purpose.

In the reign of George the fecond this law was made perpetual.

And in the present reign\*, arrests upon the process of inferior courts for any cause of action under the amount of £. 10 were prohibited; and all acts for the recovery of small debts, so far as they authorized the imprisonment of desendants upon process, for a cause of action under that amount, were repealed.

Thus stands the present law of arrest and imprisonment of defendants.

<sup>\* 19</sup> Geo. III. c. 29, § 70.—The exceptions to the general law, arising from these statutes, are stated Appendix S.

## CHAP. XVIII.

Civil Imprisonment in Execution; or Imprisonment of Debtors.

IMPRISONMENT in execution is, technically, in contradiffinction to imprisonment on mesne process: or, in other words, it is the imprisonment of a debtor, in contradistinction to the imprisonment of a defendant.

The power of compelling defendants to appear in the action, and that of enforcing obedience to the judgment pronounced, are the effentials of jurisdiction. If, therefore, a court can imprison the defendant during the pendency of the cause, that he may be ready to answer the event, a fortiori it can imprison him for the purpose of enforcing obedience to the judgment.

This feems to have been the original principle of the capias ad fatisfaciendum; that judicial writ which issues in name of the king upon a judgment; and is directed to the sheriff, commanding him to take and safely keep the debtor, so that he may have " his body" in court "to satisfy" the creditor for the sum recovered, and costs adjudged.

The capias ad satisfaciendum is thus the warrant of imprisonment in execution. In some instances, as in account against bailiss, and in debt \*, a capias

\* 13 Edw. I. c. 11. chap. 6, supra. 25 Edw. III. c. 17 chap. 7, supra.

of this nature was, as we have seen, expressly given by statute. But in general it stands upon a position which, on the principle already mentioned, has long been established as law, viz. that wherever capias lies in process, there, after judgment, capias ad satisfaciendum may issue \*—Or, in other words, that wherever a common law court can arrest and imprison the defendant in the action, it can imprison him as a debtor till he comply with the judgment †.

But if it happen that the debtor is infolvent, the power of the court is fpent when he is so imprisoned. It has taken from him his liberty, but cannot upon circumstances restore it; and he may remain for life in the hands of a public officer, but in fact the prisoner of a private individual.

## \* 3 Rep. 12. Sir William Herbert's Cafe.

- † The limited imprisonments for small debts of 40s. and under, by particular statute, are stated in the Appendix T.
- † The effect of imprisonment of the person, in depriving the creditor of all execution against the estate of the debtor, during his life, is stated in the Appendix V.

The law of Scotland, and also the laws of foreign commercial countries, such as Holland, are extremely different from the law of England, respecting the imprisonment of debtors.—See Appendix U.

## TO A TO CELL FE

## CHAP. I.

The general Purpose of the following Chapters.

henfive laws of civil imprisonment which hang over the persons of all the unprivileged commons of England, have been fairly described; neither their extraction nor their aspect will procure them a favourable reception from an enlarged or benevolent mind. But it is not by the loose suggestions of general impression, or of benevolent sentiment; that laws are to be tried. They are to be justified or condemned by conclusions, deliberately drawn, from their combined effects upon the immediate happiness of the individual, and the more remote interests of the community—effects which ought reciprocally to aid or correct, by reciprocally acting upon each other.

With

# ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

With this general observation in view, the subject proposed is now to be prosecuted. The progress of the laws of civil imprisonment has been traced. It remains to state their immediate effects; to point out their leading qualities; to examine separately their parts; and to consider the principles and purposes ascribed to them—in other words, to try their operation by their object.

The immediate Effect of the Laws of Civil Imprisonment upon the Situation of the Individual — with a Reflection on the Prejudice arising from false Ideas of HUMANITY.

A N Englishman, born in that country where the fettered African becomes free; breathing liberty from his birth; and generous, from the best cause of generosity, a sense of honest independence; is struck by the hand of missortune, rendered incapable of paying his debts, and reduced to poverty. His person is from that moment in the power of another, and his integrity affords him, in law, no possible means of relief.

Is he configned to flavery? No. The word flavery is not fuffered: His fituation is described in milder terms: he is committed to prison, and placed under the power of his creditor, because he is unable to pay him the debt which he owes him.

How miserably are we led astray from the true nature of things by a found!

The flave who toils in chains for his mafter, acts a part in fociety. He derives fome consequence from his labour; and perhaps has never known a better state. But the imprisoned debtor, who has tasted all the sweets of liberty, must drag out his tedious hours in bondage and in idleness; deprived,

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

53

deprived, perhaps for life, of all that life could enable him to enjoy.

If indeed we look into a gaol with the eye of philanthropy, a picture of human woe shall there present itself, such as imagination could never have formed. It is drawn from combinations of misery, which extend themselves far beyond the walls of the prison. They reach the sad dwelling of many a drooping family, reduced to the extremities of want, and driven perhaps to infamy—\*

There are men of no unworthy or obdurate character, who have taught their minds to take the alarm when, in the course of an argument upon a subject of general concern, pictures of private distress are presented to the feelings. They are jealous of the slightest encroachment upon what they hold to be the strict prerogatives of reason. Forgetful of the wise harmony of nature, which has ordered that no one power of the human mind can perform its due functions alone, they disable one, that another may act with better energy,

Nor is it surprising that this prejudice should often be cherished, while the weakness of those distempered feelings which disturb the judgments of men, is suffered to usurp the name of bumanity. True humanity looks steadily upon those private miseries which are subservient to the great purposes of general happiness. Tempered by the cool

\* Appendix W.

fuggestions of sober reflection, it can act with determined severity; and in the pursuits of a great and extended benevolence, is superior to the influence of narrow affections.

But he who is possessed of that just humanity which can be severe, will seel for those who sink unheeded and unknown under the sore and unnatural pressure of perverted laws. And his judgment will approve of the seeling.—For it is wise to indulge the tenderest sympathies of the soul, when they act in unison with the best principles of justice, and the sovereign authority of public good.

#### CHAP. III.

The blind Operation of the Laws of Civil Imprisonment.

I T is rightly faid that civil fociety must be governed by general, not by partial, rules; but it is the *principle* only that is general: the *direction* is special and relative. In municipal law, as in nature, it holds under a general principle, that different causes have different effects; that circumstances vary consequences; and yet the variation is controuled by rule.

This principle, in all its parts, would feem to be absolutely reversed by the laws of imprisonment. Let us trace their operation in a few familiar examples, beyond the line which the bankrupt laws have drawn around the trading world.

By some of those untoward accidents which cross the smoothest ways of life, or by the folly or villainy of others, a man of the purest principles and simplest manners is rendered incapable of doing justice to those who have trusted him. Reason instantly decides—he shall suffer, but yet have the benefit of his integrity; and others must partake of his misfortunes—it is the tacit condition of all our reciprocal obligations in society. But the law declares

that, guiltless as he is, he may be configned to endless imprisonment, by those whom he never meant to injure.

An innocent, nay a patriotic, projector, full of ideal improvements and fanguine expectations; active and industrious, but weak and visionary; comes under engagements which he has not a doubt of being able to discharge, but which follow the sate of his schemes—in due course of law they terminate in a gaol. He seels that he is unfortunate; but knows nor how he is guilty.

A prodigal, the sport of youthful levity, and prey of fashion; too much a coxcomb to be positively a villain; and yet too thoughtless to be truly honest, runs heedless along, till he falls into the gulph which the law has prepared for him. He is taught to reslect; but may never be suffered to profit by his experience.

And an abandoned cheat, a smiling polished thies, who can command his passions, practise simple manners, fascinate honour, and cajole unsuspecting honesty; whose laborious and systematic profligacy has, for the ends of deliberate wickedness, encountered and surmounted difficulties which virtue would never have known—bis course at length is run—be has no missortunes to lament; he cannot appeal to one honest action; but, as an insolvent debtor, he meets with no harder fate than those who went before him.

Thus it is—By the present laws of imprisonment for debt, which know indeed of no distinctions,

one

#### ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

57 nno⇒

one common fate indifcriminately awaits the innocent and melancholy victim of misfortune; the difappointed projector; the giddy prodigal; and the abandoned profligate\*.

\* And such examples of injustice are, to a certain degree, unavoidable. For if the laws of imprisonment did not in their first operation proceed against debtors indiscriminately, they would seldom proceed with effect. But the fact, that such confequences are at first unavoidable, affords strong reason why the law should, in its common course, take notice of the situation of prisoners, and afford means for the relief of the honest, and punishment of the guilty. To shew that this is practicable, and would be politic, is the object of the following chapters: but they are not meant to go farther,—Imprisonment for debt is indispensable.

A simple Affidavit of Debt the single preliminary Proceeding upon which a Defendant is arrested and imprisoned on the Commencement of the Action against

T would be matter of novelty to many a com-I mon practioner, to be told, that for the purpose of preventing the consequences of wanton, groundless, or malicious actions, the law requires substantial fecurity for the fair and bond fide profecution by the plaintiff of every fuit he commences in a court of justice: yet the fictitious names of John Doe and Richard Roe as pledges of profecution, by which the practice fatisfies the law, would be no novelty to his ear.

The fact is, that the fole preliminary towards the commencement of fuch an action as shall instantly deprive the defendant of his personal freedom is a fimple affidavit—the oath of the plaintiff that the defendant owes him a certain fum of money, This fingle act of an individual, executed as a matter of course, before a person who administers an oath with the most perfect official slight and indifference, has, for the time, upon the person of him against whom the proceeding is directed, all the effect of a folemn and deliberate judgment pronounced by a supreme court of judicature.

The affidavit must be positive and direct: it must expressly aver that the defendant is indebted to the ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

the plaintiff in a certain fum of money. This has been fettled in the Court of King's Bench \*, in order to counteract, if possible, by the apprehension of punishment, somewhat of the strong temptation to perjury which arises from the facility of the measure, by depriving it of the shelter which equivocal and indirect expression affords.

So much the affidavit, upon the faith of which the defendant is imprisoned, does positively statebut it is also fit to enquire what it does not state.

The affidavit does not state that the defendant obtained credit from the plaintiff upon false pretences.

It does not state that he is privately withdrawing bis effects, for the purpose of defrauding his creditors.

It does not state that there is reason to think he is about to abscond or conceal himself.

In short, it does not state any one circumstance from which it can be inferred, or even suspected, that the defendant either has committed, or means to commit, A FRAUD, by secreting his effects, or withdrawing his person.

Such is the nature of the only precaution in general practice for preventing a gross abuse of legal authority, and protecting individuals from one of the worst calamities which it is in the power of one man to bring upon another +.

\* Appendix X.

+ There are cases where the claim being for remote and uncertain damages, the defendant cannot be arrested without a special order of the court, or of a judge, upon a special affidavit of circumstances—but the above is the general law and prac-

#### CHAP. V.

The Question stated, Upon what Principle the Relation between Debtor and Creditor, WITHOUT ANY CIRCUMSTANCE OF FRAUD, draws after it the Consequence of that Imprisonment to which, by the Law as it stands, Debtors are subjected.

THATEVER may be the truth of the case in point of fact—whether the cause has been deliberately tried upon its merits, or the defendant has been unable to defray the cost of a defence, and has therefore despondently suffered it to take its course without interruption; it is necessarily and fairly to be inferred, after a judgment has been regularly pronounced against him by a court of justice, that he owes the debt for which he has been imprisoned. Every prisoner therefore in execution, that is, every person who is in prison upon a judgment, is the debtor of him at whose fuit he stands so imprisoned, to the full extent of the fum contained in the judgment. No private complaint or objection, tending to the contrary, is then to be listened to: the relative situations of the two parties are fixed; the one is a just creditor, the other an infolvent debtor. But it is fair to inquire upon what principle it is that the relation of debtor and creditor can ever, of itself, and without the ingredient of fraud, draw after it that imprisonment to which the debtor is by law subjected.

The

The distinct and proper character of imprisonment in execution for debt, as now practifed, seems no where to have received a correct and precise definition. It is to be found at one time loosely represented as a punishment\*—at another, as a mode of coercion†—and at another as in itself a satisfaction‡. It may also be considered by some as the effect of a tacit or implied agreement between the contracting parties; or as the means of giving effect to the just expectations of creditors;—and by others as matter of public policy.

This obscurity which covers its principle (if, as it has long been practised, it has any regular principle) may be the cause of that blind respect which it has in general met with, and the silence in which the people at large (and a people of sensibility) formerly viewed even its worst abuses, and most irregular consequences.

\* 2 Blackst. Com. 473.—Ibid. 3. 415.

† "The intent of the capias ad fatisfaciendum is to imprison the body of the debtor till satisfaction be made for the debt. Gosts, and damages." 3 Blackst. Com. 414.

† In the introduction to a very elegant panegyric upon the care and circumspection of the law, in maintaining to every individual (among other important objects) "the enjoyment of his civil rights, without entrenching upon those of any other individual in the nation," Sir William Blackstone observes that the execution of a judgment "puts the party in specific possession of his right, by the intervention of ministerial officers, or else gives him an ample satisfaction, either by equivalent damages, or by the confinement of his body who is guilty of the injury complained of."—Ibid. 422.

Here it is laid down that a creditor derives an ample saisfaction by the confinement of the debtor's body.

CHAP.

#### CHAP. VI.

Civil Imprisonment in Execution, considered as a Punishment.

If imprisonment in execution for a civil debt is to be considered as a punishment, the cause of it must be a crime: but this will be found to involve a multitude of difficulties.

The idea of *crime* is too well understood, to require or with propriety to admit of formal definition. It is one of the simplest ideas that can be presented to the mind, and therefore shall not be made the subject of discussion.

As every infolvent debtor without any fort of distinction (except that which arises from the bank-rupt laws in matters of trade, and what is called the Lords Ast, both to be afterwards considered) is subjected to the possible consequence of endless imprisonment; every insolvent debtor must of course, in this view, be equally and without distinction criminal.

The character of infolvency, simply considered, arises from contracting a debt, and failing to fulfil or discharge it.

If every infolvent debtor is criminal, there must be guilt either in one or both of these circumstances, in every case where they occur.—Let the contracting of the debt be first considered. In this age and country, it will hardly require argument to shew that a man commits no offence by contracting a debt which he has every probability in reason to think he shall have sunds to discharge. But it would be an outrage against common sense any where, for a moment to suppose, that a man who has a moral certainty of sulfilling an engagement, does any thing amiss in coming under that engagement; only because it is within the compass of possibility that he may never be able to discharge it \*.

In contracting the debt, therefore, which he afterwards fails to discharge, he may be perfectly innocent; because his intentions may be fair, and his prospects just and rational.

And in failing to discharge the debt he has contracted, he may be equally innocent; for that may happen in as many different ways as there are varieties in the unforeseen events of life.

If this be so, it cannot furely be said, that while each of the two ingredients is consistent with perfect integrity and innocence †, the law shall hold at the same time that there is guilt in the general character of insolvent debtor, which is composed of both; that it began by his contracting the debt, and was consummated by his failing to discharge it; and that, by one more of those sictions which sometimes elude the grasp of reason, amidst the subtleties of artificial system, the legal offence of failing to pay, by relating back to the contraction of the debt, taints the whole from beginning to

<sup>\*</sup> See Puffendorff and Barbeyrac, Appendix Y.

† Appendix Z.

end, and raises up a criminal in the eye of law, without the aid either of criminal act or intention—propositions there certainly are which are formed of no better materials.

But even when it happens that an infolvent debtor is not thus converted into a criminal by operation of law, without any consciousness or interpolition of his own; but is truly guilty of fraud, either by contracting the debt, without any view to the means of payment, or by not afterwards applying the means in his power towards discharging it; and that, in common with others, he is imprisoned, perhaps for life; he is so imprisoned, not as a perfon who has been guilty of fraud, but fimply as an infolvent debtor. The real truth of the case could never be discovered from this its legal consequence. Even the gaoler cannot, from appearances, diftinguish a prisoner of the former description from one of the latter; if it be not perchance by the levity of the one, and the despondency of the otherthe former, relieved by a fituation milder than he knew he deserved; the latter, depressed by a state of misery which he never did any thing to deserve.

If infolvency, as being often confiftent with the fairest intentions, cannot in itself be criminal; imprisonment in execution, for civil debt, cannot be rendered confistent with the idea of punishment.

But there are other reasons why that fort of imprisonment cannot be reconciled to the principles of punishment.

The punishment of crimes or offences is a matter of public concern, and inflicted by the public.

Punishment

#### ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

65

Punishment is also proportioned to the guilt of the offender: when, therefore, the guilt admits of distinctions and degrees, so does the punishment.

But imprisonment in execution is inflicted by one individual upon another, who therefore suffers privately, at the pleasure of a sellow citizen, without the knowledge, attention, or interference of the public: and although his insolvency, if at all to be considered as criminal, must admit of various degrees and modifications, the law prescribes no limits to the possible endurance of his sufferings. As an insolvent debtor he may be innocent, and his creditor permitted to torment him;—as an insolvent debtor he may be criminal, and his creditor suffered, from the impulse of the moment, to turn him loose and unpunished upon society.

Punishment is not to avenge, but to warn and instruct. There is a grandeur in public principle which disdains the little objects of passion. But imprisonment in execution, while it gratisties private vengeance, and may ruin the person who suffers it, can never operate by way of example for the good of others. The reason is most manifest; it falls from no height; it is the blow of an equal, perhaps of an avowed enemy; it provokes resentment or indignation; it is indiscriminate and capricious in its application—it is consequently uncertain and impotent.

A mind so constructed, or formed by mean habits, as to act chiefly from the fear of punishment, will also be industrious and cunning in discovering means to elude it; and where there is so

F

much

much uncertainty, there never can be any fixed

apprehension. Moreover, if imprisonment in execution for a civil debt is a punishment, it is a punishment of which the feverity may often rife in exact proportion to the innocence and worth of the sufferer. The depraved and grovelling foul of a villain can find enjoyments in a gaol—it is the weak, but generous dupe of artifice, or the prey of misfortune, who feels all its horrors \*.

\* Appendix A A.

## CHAP.

Civil Imprisonment in Execution considered as a Mode of COERCION.

TT has been held, and feems indeed, from the terms of the writ or warrant, to have been the original idea of the law, that civil imprisonment in execution is merely a mode of coercion, or an imprisonment till satisfaction be made—that is, until a dishonest man is compelled, squalore carceris, to do justice.

Then what is to be faid for it when it acts against an honest man, for the purpose of compelling him to perform an impossibility? The same thing which has been faid for torture when inflicted upon innocence, as the possible means of, fometimes, extorting a discovery of guilt.

The law, it is faid, prefumes that every man is able to perform the promise or engagement he has made; and concludes that his failure is therefore wilful. And this is right—but the law goes farther. The prima facie evidence of a legal prefumption is made to change its nature; and instead of being open to contrary evidence, is held to be absolute and conclusive: for the debtor has no possible means of proving his innocence and inability.

F 2

Imprisonment

# TREATISE

Imprisonment therefore for debt, in the present state of the law, if it acts as a mode of coercion, acts with the certainty of often offending against justice, and only the chance of sometimes promoting it.

## CHAP. VIII.

Civil Imprisonment in Execution considered as in itself
a Legal Satisfaction to the Creditor.

THERE are not only many fayings of lawyers, but also established legal distinctions, which represent imprisonment for debt as being in itself a satisfaction to the creditor. Considering it in this light, we shall be no less unsuccessful in our inquiries for something consistent or rational in its nature.

A legal fatisfaction must be fomething received in return for something given—Let this be applied— A creditor has of his own accord, and with the circumstances, to which he trusted, open to inquiry, lent his money, or fold his goods. In general he can only be repaid by money or goods. Yet the law does not lead the creditor first to inquire after his debtor's money or goods to which he trusted; but fays, he may instantly proceed to recover a satisfaction, not by receiving any thing himself, but by depriving his debtor of his perfonal freedom. For that purpose it arms him with the power of delivering over the body of his debtor into the custody of other men, whose duty it is to deprive him of the common air of heaven, and reduce him to the lowest state of animal existence.

F 3

A legal

A fatisfaction admits of gradation; it may be in part or in full: but imprisonment for an hour has the same value in law as imprisonment for life. If the debtor has once entered the walls of a prison as a debtor in execution, the creditor loses from that moment every substantial means of enforcing payment during his debtor's life. The debtor may possess the wealth of the Indies-if he is contented to possess it in a gaol, (a fecure and well-accommodated retreat for the enjoyments of avarice) he may laugh at the idle anger of his creditor, and dare him to touch his manors or his coffers \*.

If the fatisfaction which arises in law to the creditor from the imprisonment of his debtor, proceeds upon the idea that the debtor is made to fuffer in one way for what the creditor fuffers in another, the matter will be found equally inextricable. A debtor who is honest and unfortunate; who feels that high relish for freedom and independence, which is the chief felicity of worthy minds; who has hitherto perhaps enjoyed the best blessings of domestic life, and the innocent pleasures of society, suffers infinitely more by the loss of his liberty, than he who never knew how to use, and for ever abused, the

\* Appendix B B.

means

means of happiness—but the debt of the latter may be infinitely greater than that of the former. Confidering then the imprisonment of the debtor as in itself a satisfaction, of the nature described, the reafoning (if it deserve the name) terminates in this, that the loss of the creditor may be trivial, and his debtor rendered miserable; or his loss may be great, and his debtor hardly affected.

When we read the criminal laws of certain nations in a rude state of society, we find every member of the human body, as well as life itself, had its legal valuation in money. We are struck at the brutality of men who could thus coolly and deliberately, at the table of state, form, with minute and barbarous precision, a scale of compositions; according to which a man had only to count his money, in order to know how he could best afford to gratify the rage of a favage—whether by breaking the bones, or beating out the brains, of his enemy.

In England we dare not shed the blood of our neighbours; we cannot deprive them of their limbs, or of their lives; but, at a certain expence, we can effectually disable them from enjoying the use of them. Under the mask of friendship, a man may tempt the destined victim of his cruelty to become his debtor: he may practife on his failings, and encourage his follies; he may then deprive him legally of his liberty, and confign him to mifery. For £.20 he can take from under the orders of the king the person of a soldier in the immediate service of his country: for £. 20 he can lock up the body of an able feaman, when men must be hunted down to support the honour and fafety of the nation:

F 4

#### TREATISE

tion: for 2s. 4d. a week\*, or £. 5. 11s. 4d. a year, he can hold a fellow citizen in perpetual durance—fo that for little more than £. 100 a year, a man, in this land of freedom, may purchase the bondage of twenty wretched prisoners. It is enough to say that this is possible, and would be no more than the exercise of a legal right.

The question may now be asked, Which of the two, the *criminal* code of the antient Germans, or this branch of the *civil* laws of England, is the most barbarous?

If it is true (for the idea would feem to have arisen from the misapprehension of a figurative expression †) that the Romans ever received it as a law that creditors might cut their debtors in pieces, an example of mad ferocity in legislation is there afforded, which aftonishes reason, and shocks humanity. But those early laws of the most polished state in Greece (afterwards reformed by Solon) and of other nations, by which infolvent debtors, and even fometimes their wives and children, were used or fold by their creditors as slaves; or the law of Russia, by which a Muscovite is delivered over to his creditor, first to be well beaten by him, and then made his flave; have nothing in them fo irrational, at least, as the English law of civil imprisonment. For fuch laws are not both cruel and inefficient; they do not take every thing from the debtor, and yet give nothing to the creditor ‡.

‡ Appendix D D.

#### CHAP. IX.

Civil Imprisonment considered upon the Principle of an implied or tacit Agreement.

When fystem has lost sight of the simplicity of nature, and is pushed to the extreme of resorting upon every occasion to artificial principle, it consounds, instead of aiding, the reasonings of men. The mind is made to wander by a circuitous progress, through laboured and forced implications, in search of an object which lay directly before it; and the plainest truths are obscured by the intricacies of abstract demonstration.

The strength and energy of those principles of law and of equity, which are to be met with throughout all the different parts of Roman jurisprudence, have surnished rules and maxims of municipal law to every nation in Europe. But some of the theories which rose upon the principles of that great and justly celebrated system were much too refined for practical application. They gave employment to commentators; but could never reach the understandings of the people. Nor was it necessary they should—they were the mere play of words; or the combination of fanciful ideas, which had seldom any ultimate effect upon the subject. From the same sacts the same conclusion would have been drawn by the clown, and by the lawyer. Both would have

<sup>\*</sup> This is the subsistence allowed under the Lords Act, to be afterwards explained.

<sup>†</sup> Appendix C C.

We have had occasion to observe that no small portion of the same spirit of unnecessary subtlety and refinement (though affecting more the management of forms, than of abstract principles, and derived in most instances from a different origin) is to be found in many of the theories of law in this country. If so, it is not impossible that the imprisonment of an insolvent debtor may be represented as the sair result of public law, giving effect to a tacit agreement or

fulfilment of his engagement.

This would indeed be reasoning in a circle; but it will not be difficult to shew, upon other grounds, that the law of civil imprisonment can derive no aid

from any such argument.

By one of those honourable restraints which the genius of civil liberty puts upon the actions of men, no possible mode of bargain, transaction, or covenant, can place two individuals in the relation to each other of master and slave: for every subject of a free government is a citizen: a character which may be forseited by crime, but cannot be surrendered by contract.

Under fuch a government, individuals are taught to feel their importance by the immediate dependance of the public upon their exertions towards the general welfare. No middle power is interposed between them and the state. The blessings of public prosperity are not intercepted by a subordinate tyrant in their way to the cottage of the labourer. He feels their immediate effects, and can indulge the honest pride of having contributed directly towards them. In such a state it is, that public and private good are, in truth, co-existent and reciprocal: in others, they are only so in speculation.

But this vivifying quality of liberty; this mutual energy, which is for ever acting upon the individuals which compose the community of a free state; would speedily be lost, if under the necessity of the moment

<sup>\*</sup> In the same manner they accounted scientifically for obligations equally apparent, arising ex negotiorum gestione, tutela; rei communione, aditione hæreditatis, and the like. Appendix EE.

It is the constitutional boast of Englishmen, that the public good is an estate in common; of the free possession and enjoyment of which no law can deprive the humblest individual who is not convicted of a crime.

What multitudes then there are who are bereft of their civil existence by the laws of imprisonment! But is it possible to maintain that those laws have proceeded upon this solecism in the language of freedom; that a community can be truly free, while individuals may, by their own consent, be slaves?

Personal dependence, and the want of those civil rights which others enjoy, form the essence of slavery. Substantially they are lost to him who is rendered incapable of enjoying them: and such is the state of the prisoner for debt.

In letting out his labour to hire, no man loses a particle of his civil liberty. He is at that moment fpontaneously contributing to the general good. He is upon a footing with his employer: he gives in one way, and receives in another.

Would it be so with a man who stipulated that, in a certain event, his neighbour should be entitled to deprive

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

**77** 

deprive him of the use of his limbs; or that if he failed to pay a sum of money, his person should be locked up in idleness, and his existence rendered equally insignificant to himself and to the public?

—Would this be a lawful contract?

#### CHAP. X.

Civil Imprisonment considered with a View to the established legal Presumption of fair Intention, 'till the Contrary is proved.

HATEVER may be inferred to the contrary from the principles of certain doctrines, it will in general be found, that the reasonings of law, in the establishment of legal presumptions, are full of candour and liberality; proceeding upon maxims favourable to the characters of men, and excluding the idea of unfair or unjust intentions, till the contrary is established by evidence.

It is thus that it prefumes respecting the views of a creditor when he gives credit. In transacting with the debtor, he is prefumed to have looked no farther than to that which was fairly before him, viz. the ability of the debtor himself, by means either of present effects or probable acquirements, to discharge the debt: and, conformably to this presumption, whatever may tend to hang out false colours, that is, to give false appearances, and lead to credit, where there is not substance, is upon every occasion reprobated by the law.

The law of imprisonment, however, is inconfishent with this presumption; or makes use of it with partiality: it admits it on one side, and rejects it on the other.

It is manifest that there must be something wrong on one side or the other, when, in the common course of affairs, a man gives his property, upon a promise of something equivalent, to one who has neither property to support his promise, nor the probable means of acquiring it. Either the person who gives the credit has some malignant design against the man himself who receives it, or some rapacious view towards inordinate gain; or he who receives it has obtained it by falsehood and deceit.

In every case; without inquiry; by mere prefumption, the law imputes the whole to the latter; and yet it inflicts no public punishment upon him. As to the conduct of him who gave the credit, no notice whatever is taken of it. In every case; without inquiry; upon mere presumption, HE is yiewed as an injured man\*.

If considerations different from those which arise, bond side, from the apparent ability of the debtor, either by present means or probable acquirement, have weighed in the mind of the creditor; they may be such as the law ought not perhaps to reprobate; but they cannot be such as the law ought actively to support. If he knew that his debtor had neither sunds nor effects, and yet chose to trust to accident; that is, to run the hazard of loss, rather than sore go the chance of gain, he cannot justly apply to the law for its interposition, if the event is unfavourable; because it was directly under his view at the time of

<sup>\*</sup> Some of the points here generally stated, are more fully considered in subsequent chapters.

#### TREATISE

the transaction, and he had knowingly made a bargain of hazard. Or if, apprifed that he never could be paid by the debtor himself, he trusted to the secret expectation that the debtor's friends would be induced, from motives of benevolence, to fubject themselves to the loss, rather than suffer him to go to gaol, the expectation was both unjust and illegal; unjust, because it went beyond the terms of the bargain, which proceeded fingly upon the credit of the debtor himself; illegal, as having been inconfistent with the principle that the province of law is not to create new securities, but to render effectual those which have been given-not to enlarge, but to carry into effect the agreements of parties \*.

\* In the case of Smith v. Bromley, Lord Mansfield, reprobating the iniquity of a creditor, in having taken money from the fifter of his debtor for figning his certificate as a bankrupt, or, in other words, for agreeing not to put him in prison, expresses himself thus: " If any near relation is induced to pay " the money for the bankrupt, it is taking an unfair advantage, " and torturing the compassion of his family."—Douglas, 696.

The reader need hardly be reminded, that the observations which have been made respecting the principle of civil imprisonment, refer to the common case, where direct fraud, or deception, has not been alledged against the debtor; and where he is not only willing to give up his effects to his creditors, but ready to submit his conduct to the closest inspection.

## CHAP. XI.

The Deference justly due to Reasons of Policy, or general Utility, upon this Subject:

CUPPOSING it to have been shewn that the D law of civil imprisonment, in its utmost extent, as now practifed, can be referred to no regular principle: that it acts neither as a punishment: nor as a mode of coercion: nor as a fatisfaction: nor as the refult of an implied agreement, or legal prefumption: still it is to be supported, if it can be shewn, that whatever may be its nature; however untenable it may appear in argument or speculation; its effects must, upon the whole, be falutary and conducive to the general good: and much more fo if it can be shewn, that it is necessary for the prevention of general mischief. If again the very reverse of these propositions shall be made out, it cannot stand, whatever may be its principle.

Let the question therefore be now tried and decided upon that issue.

# CHAP. XII.

Reasons of Policy against the present Law of Civil Imprisonment, sounded upon the Authority of the BANKRUPT LAWS.

As the imprisonment of the person of a debtor, who had committed no forcible injury or palpable fraud, was first introduced in favour of a particular body of men, for the purpose of promoting the interest of trade; so it has received its first check for the purpose of promoting the same end. The principle was the same in both instances; but it was the same principle, acting upon the different circumstances of far different times.

The privilege given to merchants (and wifely so given) in the reign of Edward the third, of arresting and imprisoning their debtors for debts contracted in trade, soon recoiled, by its abuse, upon the merchants themselves; who were no sooner seen to bend under a casual loss, than they were immediately thrown down by imprisonment, at the suit of competitors. Their effects were torn to pieces by those who could first reach them; and their persons became useless matter in prison.

By a variety of statutes commencing in the reign of *Henry the eighth*, and brought down to the prefent times, certain acts and circumstances are deferibed and ascertained, as legal symptoms of a situation

fituation inconfistent with the fair capacity of trading. The person in such circumstances, if a trader, is to be declared a bankrupt—that is, his transactions in trade are instantly to stop; his powers of acting are for a time to cease; his affairs from that moment to become stationary; and his course of life, in the world of business, again to commence anew.

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

Nothing can carry along with it, upon a general view of its principle, more striking marks of strong sense and sound policy.

But it was not till the reign of Queen Anne that a bankrupt, who had done every thing in his power towards the fatisfaction of his creditors, was in any degree protected from the laws of imprisonment. Till then the bankrupt's person was at the mercy of his creditors; and the caprice or resentment of any one of them, might deprive his country of the industry of an intelligent trader \*.

\* By the 5th of George the fecond, c. 30, upon a certificate figned first by four-fifths of the creditors in number and value, and then by the commissioners of bankrupt, purporting, that the bankrupt has conformed himself to the law, and made a fair surrender; if that certificate be allowed by the lord chancellor, he is free and discharged for ever from all debts owing by him at the time he became bankrupt; and if he is in prifon for such debts, he obtains his liberty.

The first act of parliament giving the benefit of certificate was 4 & 5 Anne, c. 17; and by that act the commissioners of bankrupt only were to fign it. The 5 Anne, c. 22, added the creditors—and so the law was continued, or revived (with some interruption) till the above statute, 5 Geo. the second, put the subject of certificate upon its present sooting.

Lord Hardwick somewhere says, that the first act of Q. Anne giving bankrupts the benefit of certificate, was intended as a temporary provision, on account of the losses sustained by our trade in the succession war.

The bankrupt laws as they now stand, however imperfect they may, in certain respects, be thought by some, are perhaps, upon the whole, a fit subject of panegyric: and they have been fo treated by that elegant commentator, who, in displaying the outlines, and enlarging upon the leading points of English jurisprudence, has presented so pleasing a picture to the general view of the people. He has expressed himself as follows: "Trade cannot be " carried on without mutual credit on both fides: " the contracting of debts is therefore here not " only justifiable, but necessary. And if by acci-" dental calamities, as by the loss of a ship in a " tempest, the failure of brother traders, or by the " non-payment of persons out of trade, a mer-" chant, or trader, becomes incapable of dif-" charging his own debts, it is his misfortune " and not his fault. To the misfortunes therefore " of debtors the law has given a compassionate " remedy, but denied it to their faults; fince " at the same time that it provides for the se-" curity of commerce, by enacting, that every " considerable trader may be declared a bankrupt " for the benefit of his creditors as well as himself; "it has also, to discourage extravagance, declared, " that no one shall be capable of being made a " bankrupt, but only a trader, nor capable of re-" ceiving the full benefit of the statutes but only " an industrious trader \*." And after mentioning, that the bankrupt is protected from the law of imprisonment, he sums up its just and beneficial effects as follows; "Thus the bankrupt becomes a clear " man again; and, by the affiftance of his allow-" ance, and his own industry, may become a use-" ful member of the commonwealth; which is the " rather to be expected, as he cannot be entitled so to these benefits, but by the testimony of his cre-" ditors themselves of his honest and ingenuous " disposition; and unless his failures have been " owing to misfortunes, rather than to misconduct " and extrayagance †."

That the bankrupt laws ought to be extended to all honest insolvent debtors, without variation or distinction, is not to be urged. They are in many respects inapplicable to the circumstances of other descriptions of men. The machine is too great and costly for the slight and minute materials upon which in that case it would have frequently to act. Besides, the great end for which it was constructed is to arrest for a time the active powers of men, who, in struggling against a tide to which they ought patiently to give way, are not only wasting their own strength in vain, but also endangering others.

> \* 2 Blackst. Com. 474, Appendix F F. + Ibid. 484.

But does it follow, that therefore no part of tho spirit of these laws should be extended to other defcriptions of men? They provide "against the \* inhumanity of the creditor, who is not suffered to « confine an honest bankrupt after his effects are " delivered up; at the same time taking care that " all his just debts shall be paid, so far as the ef-" fects will extend." They have, for the declared objects of their protection, those persons who are " liable to accidental losses, and to an inability of " paying their debts without any fault of their own," making them "clear men again, in order that they " may become useful members of the commonwealth." In short, "they give to the misfor-" tunes of debtors a compaffionate remedy, but deny it to their faults."

This is the language of the bankrupt laws. But does it not apply to every bonest insolvent debtor? The answer generally given is in the negative; and the reasons have been quoted. Let them now be examined.

1. " Trade cannot be carried on without mutual credit on both sides."-But as the world is now situated, in the midst of that infinite variety of mutual and reciprocal dependencies which render the whole line of things but one continued chain, can any of the affairs of men be carried on without mutual credit? - Most certainly no. - Shall the husbandman fit idle till the price of his crops becomes due? or the artist desist from the prosecution of useful improvements and discoveries, and work as a labourer, rather

rather than borrow the means of present support from those who are willing to trust to his future ability? Must the landed man convert his acres into gold; receive his rents in weekly payments; or decline the offer of a valuable purchase rather than contract a reasonable debt? Or is the monied man to keep his coin in his ftrong box; and, like the Don Bernard of Gil Blas, to count out with daily care the price of his daily subfiftence?

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

2. In trade " the contracting of debts is not only " justifiable, but necessary"—it is certainly so-but where is the fituation in which the fair contraction of a debt, with a certain or rational prospect of having the means of repayment, requires a justification?

3. " If by accidental calamities, as by the loss of a ec ship in a tempest, the failure of brother traders, or by the non-payment of persons out of trade, a mer-" chant or trader becomes incapable of discharging his " own debt, it is his misfortune, and not his fault." These circumstances are said to furnish the principle upon which the relief given to infolvent debtors under the bankrupt laws can be extended to traders only; but if these circumstances are either ideal, or not peculiar to traders, they cannot support the distinction.

That a trader may fuffer a fatal calamity by the loss of a ship in a tempest, is a fact just within the compass of possibility; but neither with respect to the present time, nor to the time at which the conditional protection in question was first afforded to. traders, can the supposition go farther. There was a time,

a time, it is true, when the whole fortune of a merchant might have been scattered by the winds of heaven and irrecoverably lost: but now he can sleep in quiet. He may be deprived of a profit, or fustain a partial loss, but the division of hazard by insurance must, with common prudence, protect him from any fatal calamity.

The other calamities which have been stated as peculiar to traders are "the failure of brother traders," or the non-payment of persons out of trade."

If these are truly peculiar to traders; if none but traders do in fact suffer by the failure of traders, or of persons out of trade, it is sit that none but traders should have any remedy against the indiscriminate severity and injustice of the laws of imprisonment. But if there are no such peculiarities, it follows, that a principle which the legislature has acknowledged to be wise, as well as just and humane, should be extended and adapted, by proper qualification, to the unhappy circumstances of others in a similar situation.

If we do but look into the world as it truly is, we shall see, that men of all descriptions are liable to the pressure of such remote and unforeseen calamities, as arise from the necessary action and reaction of credit; that every man must in one form or another avail himself of it; that it is in fact the cement which binds together the several materials of an advanced society, and gives force and energy to the whole.

\* Appendix G G.

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

89

But in enquiring how far this principle may be the subject of regulation by municipal law, its general nature, and some of the circumstances which attend it, ought to be particularly considered.

#### XIII. CHAP.

Thoughts on the proper Influence of Municipal Law, over the selfish Propensities of private Individuals; and on the general Nature and Circumstances of CREDIT—introductory to certain other Reasons of Policy against the Laws of Civil Imprisonment.

TT is the perfection of legislative wisdom to de-I rive ingredients, for the general good, from the selfish propensities of individuals. Vices are to be corrected, but felfish propensities are only to be regulated: the former, by direct; the latter by indirect means. Both must vary with the ever varying course of things. But the laws of a free, and still more of a commercial country, will, at all times, and in all circumstances, leave every man the master of his own conduct, where it encroaches upon none of the legal rights of his neighbour-for it is in the fluctuation of that rapid and irregular tide which the use, abuse, or neglect of circumstances creates, that indolence or incapacity finks into infignificance, and merit is carried forward to the fuperiority it deserves. There the laws will neither dictate nor command: a spirit of opposition or of evafion would rife up to defeat them. But they will instigate or diffuade. They will insensibly lead the individual to choose, of his own accord, and for his own private purposes, that course, which, with with his own, may most promote the good of others.

. In the rudest state of society men are only stimulated to exertion by the immediate impulse of appetite: their activity is violence; and their indolence, stupidity. In such a state, that covetousness which is inherent in human nature leads to rapine. By the hand of cultivation, the same spirit is meliorated into the principle of industry. Refinements are devised in the supply of reciprocal wants. The fimple barter of goods for goods, gives way to the immediate delivery of goods by him who has them to dispose of, for the promise of greater value in return, at a future day, by him who has them not at present. Present wants are thus supplied by means not only of present superfluity, but also of future acquisition. The mercantile fystem is founded; and the progress of social happiness rapidly accelerated.

That confidence which thus communicates the possessions, while it encreases the enjoyments of the individual, and diffuses vigour through the community, by directing the felf-interest of each to the good of all, receives, emphatically, the appellation of CREDIT.

Such is the general nature of the principle which now pervades almost all the transactions of society in itself sufficiently well understood; but, in some of its circumstances, the subject of much prejudice, of fome difficulty, and of weighty importance.

As it collects upon one point the full force, not only of the means which we possess at prefent,

## TREATISE

but also of those which stand within the compass of reasonable expectation, its powers are immense. Hence its vast utility when it acts under the direction of that judgment, which can rightly estimate the value of the paffing occasion.

Nor is it necessarily productive of general bad consequence when misapplied. If one man shall fustain a loss, another may thereby reap a fair advantage, and the equilibrium is preserved.

But that equilibrium, which the natural vibrations of credit have the effect to preserve, may be destroyed by the LAW.

There is therefore a time when it is the part of the legislature to encourage and promote the operations of credit in the hands of industry; as there is also a time, when, if they ought not to be restrained, they are not at least to be forced beyond their natural bounds, by extraordinary favour, or public privilege. The lax and feeble powers of infancy, or the infirmities of old age, may fometimes stand in need of aids, which would be destruction to manhood in its middle stage. A skilful physician will beware of ever correcting by medicine, what nature herself can throw off. Her salutary exertions are not to be fo disturbed. The fever of physic would ensue; and the symptoms of the original disease be confounded with those of the intended remedy.

## CHAP. XIV.

The same Subjett continued.

HAT vice of the mind, which bears the A appellation of boarding avarice, is doubtess of pernicious consequence to society. But the evils it creates are of the negative fort. The individual is reduced to a state of infignificance; and loses all the best faculties of nature. In himself therefore he is more an object of compassion than of resentment; and we only smile at his preposterous folly. The injury he does to Tociety consists in his withholding the means of industry and happiness: he does no positive harm, and must be left the prey of his own wretchedness.

But there is a species of avarice which is of an active quality. It springs up and thrives only in commercial states, and all the mischiefs it creates are positive and direct. It arises from the same disease in the mind which leads to hoarding avarice; acting only upon a different character, and in different circumstances—the same disposition to engross the goods of life, to the exclusion of our neighbour, affords the motive. But it deceives the world, because it bears a respected name. It is industry; but industry become morbid and excessive.

The trader, who acts under the impulse of this reftless and rapacious spirit, may frequently bring ruin upon himself, but must with certainty bring it upon others. On the one hand, by the arts of monopoly, he cuts off those wholesome springs which circulate the bleffings of real and fubftantial industry. On the other, with a view to inordinate gain, he foreads far and wide the goods he has engroffed, by holding forth to the adventurer, in the lower orders of trade, all the temptations of extraordinary credit and confidence. The needy adventurer in his turn, with a blind and fatal activity, exchanges his goods for the mere name of every moneyless prodigal; and the prodigal, allured by the fame facility of credit, is encouraged to take a share in the common mischief, by contracting debts which he never can discharge.

Thus it happens that in the whole course of the circle, the fubstance or property of the person contracting the debt, may never once have been the fubject of enquiry.

If any delusion, held forth by the law, tends to promote the course, or obstruct the natural corrective of these errors, it calls for the animadversion of the people.

#### CHAP. XV.

Reasons of Policy against these Laws, as rather tending to encourage, than restrain, an Exces-SIVE FACILITY OF CREDIT, and the Progress of Extravagance.

T is for the generous character of candid and I unsuspecting good faith, that the British merchant is justly renowned over the world. But every virtue has its neighbouring vice. It is the lot of humanity. The covetous principles of monopoly, and of mercantile avarice, follow hard upon the right spirit of enterprize; and a blameable looseness of transaction is too frequently the effect of an unfuspecting liberality.

Unfortunately it requires no argument to prove, that a forced and fictitious trade, rifing out of an inordinate spirit of speculation, has become a great and growing evil in the commercial world. Those bands of desperate adventurer's, who float in air, afford too many proofs of its existence. The selfish propensity which leads to it cannot with safety be restrained; but the means by which it acts, and which are of its own formation, ought not at least to be aided by the laws.

It acts by means of a general and extreme FACIS LITY OF CREDIT\*.

If there is any truth in what has been before advanced, this extreme facility of credit proceeds, at least as much from him who gives, as from him who receives it. To extend beyond all bounds their feveral lines of trade may be the object of both, if both are traders; but as traders deal with persons who are not in trade, it must often be the object of him only who gives the credit. Yet it seems hitherto to have been understood that the laws, in restraining that excess in the operation of credit, by deterring from its abuse, take notice only of him who receives, not of him who gives the credit.

In other words, with an object of public magnitude and immediate general concern before them, the laws have confined their view to a matter of private manners, affecting only the individual; namely, the prevention of private extravagance—a thing beyond the power of direct and politive law, without the tyranny of fumptuary regulations.

The laws of civil imprisonment, it has been faid, fevere, indifcriminate, disproportioned, and unprincipled as they may otherwise be, are just and wife upon the whole, because they tend to prevent all those miseries which extravagance, and the ease of obtaining credit, if unrestrained by the terrors of these laws, would unavoidably produce. Let this idea be analysed.

A man who is about to contract a debt, which he cannot discharge, is checked, it is said, by the reflection that he may be thrown into gaol by his creditor.

Let it be supposed that a prodigal thus reasons upon the possible consequences of things, and even that they act upon his mind as they would upon the mind of him who makes the supposition. Then one man, it must be confessed, is thereby dissuaded from taking credit: but is not another, by the felffame means, encouraged to give it?

It is not enough to confider the reflections which may possibly arise in the mind of a man who is about to become a debtor. It is necessary to give equal weight to the reflections of one who is about to become a creditor. A person offers him a confiderable order in the line of his business—he has just heard of him, and knows where he resides\*.--He hesitates, but is soon resolved—" This order," fays he, "will be lucrative, and the man will pay " me rather than go to gaol." His mind is fatiffied. The idea of his power, as a creditor, over the person of his debtor, co-operates with a spirit of industry, already too keen, and leads him aftray from the substantial inquiry he might otherwise have

<sup>\*</sup> This expression is made use of to prevent circumlocution; and denotes not only the ease with which credit is obtained, but also the fatal rashness with which it is given. these

<sup>\*</sup> Or perhaps the same man may have before given him an order for some trifling articles, and punctually paid him-for this is-a common artifice.

made. He gives the credit: the day of payment arrives; the debtor fails—the creditor then fees the delufion to which he trufted; he is disappointed and provoked—he vents his anger according to law; drags his debtor to prison; and purchases peace to his resentment, by the merited, but unavailing, distress he inflicts.

If these opposite effects of the laws of imprisonment upon credit, restraining one man from taking it, while they encourage another to give it, are even equal, the argument in support of their policy is destroyed. But they are not equal. How are the parties described, by those who maintain that the laws of imprisonment are a check upon extravagance? One of them as a man of business, cool, collected and deliberate; weighing confequences, and judging of the ideas of others by his own. The other a prodigal, careless, diffipated, and extravagant — of course inattentive to the view of future consequences. It is impossible that any impression, arising from reflection, can ever operate, with equal force, upon such opposite characters.

Are then the present laws of civil imprisonment wise, in putting a check upon the improvident; when it is the improvident only who never think of them?

Are they wife, in affording a motive, which may accelerate the course (already much too rapid) of a loose and unguarded credit?

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

90

Or are they wife, in holding forth to the creditor's view an illusory and fictitious fatisfaction; and thereby diverting his attention from that which ought to have been the substantial object of his inquiry?

Or

# CHAP. XVI.

Reasons of Policy against these Laws, as affording Temptations to the Commission of Extortion and Fraud; by affording the ready Means of Oppression.

Y means even of those impersect lights, which, in matters of practice, professional books afford, many avenues to fraud and oppression may be clearly discerned among the laws of civil imprisonment; and some of them have been already pointed out. But he who wishes to see the true extent of such abuses, must leave his library, and look abroad into the world.

The growth of dishonesty, when once it takes root among the lower orders of men, is incredibly rapid; and nothing is more certain, than that those who have themselves been bruised by oppression, become often the most unrelenting oppressors. Many a miserable man there is, who was honest till he was ruined by injustice. Having surrendered, or been deprived of his all, and yet forced to labour under the hourly terrors of a gaol, in a wretched state of filent dependence upon a villain, the feelings of nature take a course, which nothing but the higher principles of religion, or a fense of honour, can obstruct—the former unhappily now possessing but little influence over even the lower ranks—the latter, in no respect adapted to their habits. He has proved, as he thinks, the folly of being honest; and

OI

and learns to despise, or detest, those laws which, instead of protecting him, when he had done his utmost to discharge the obligations he lay under, furnish chains to bind him down to misery without end.

Thus he is foon qualified to become the instrument and confederate of his former oppressor.

The general obloquy of the public has long stigmatized a fet of men who bring discredit upon a very useful branch of the profession of the law, and many worthy individuals who belong to it, by those enormous and inconceivable iniquities which they daily and hourly commit, among the ignorant, the needy, the diffressed, and unprotected. They ply in all the haunts of vice, or of vulgar diffipation. They help forward the wicked, and liften to the tale of the simple. They catch at every dishonest wish; mount it into a claim; and are intrusted with the invention of means, and conduct of measures, to perfect it into the legal form of a right-How is it that fuch wretches subsist?—Upon the fruit of false arrefts; fictitious writs; determined perjuries; and base conspiracies!

The inferior officers of the law, from the bailiff to the follower's follower, are as keenly industrious as the lower order of attornies. But the laws, it is faid, have been provident in imposing sufficient checks and restraints upon their conduct.—Supposing this to be true, is a poor ignorant creature, trembling under the horrors of a sudden arrest, and deprecating the power of a mercenary and unprincipled tyrant, to appeal to the statute book in the

H 3

moment

#### TREATISE

moment of diffress; or look for evidence to the walls of a spunging-house?

It would be well if fuch laws were instruments in the hands only of men who are possessed of that generous liberality of character which too frequently indeed suffers villainy to escape the lash it deserves, but feels no interest in the personal distress of an unfortunate debtor. The majority of creditors, in the circles of trade in particular, are of that description. But there are many whose gains are dependent upon their power of oppression.

Extortion is the fafest of all the forms of robbery. It is generally practised under circumstances which impose silence upon the sufferer; and those circumstances the laws of imprisonment render perpetual. A poor friendless debtor is as much in durance, under the power of threats, as under the force of bolts. He is, for ever, at the disposal of his creditor, and of course under his command.

If, then, the laws of imprisonment afford number-less temptations to fraud; are the means of extortion; and give subsistence to wretches who prostitute the forms of law to the purposes of both, it will be difficult to defend them. Let such offences, it may be said, draw down upon the offenders all the rigour of exemplary punishment—Most certainly they ought to do so—But it is unnecessary to prove how much better it is for the legislature to withdraw temptations, than to prescribe punishments.

#### CHAP. XVII.

Reasons of Policy against the present Law of Imprisonment for Debt, founded upon its known Inefficacy, as a general Remedy, to the fair and honest Creditor.

feverity of the measure; its well-known general inefficacy, as a remedy to the honest creditor\*; and the trouble it may occasion; are strong circumstances, which must weigh in the mind of every rational man, in common prudence, against the imprisonment of his debtor. That measure, therefore, would seldom be adopted, if these circumstances were not overbalanced by other considerations. Yet there is but one single consideration which the law can recognize, as a just object of the creditor's proceedings; namely, the prevention of fraud in the debtor; or, in other words, the enforcement of such a satisfaction as it may be in his power to give.

But if that were to be held as the only purpose in the mind of a creditor which the present law of civil imprisonment endured, there would be an end of the subject of these reslections. In that case no

\* Were it not perfectly well known, and univerfally acknowledged, that the fair creditor is very rarely indeed the richer, but very frequently the reverse, by imprisoning his debtor, it might be proper here to be particular in stating circumstances to warrant a general conclusion on this subject.

#### TREATISE

hasty, unprincipled exercise of the power of imprisonment; no unjust attempts to practise on the benevolence of others; no possibility of perpetual confinement (but as the punishment of some specific crime) would be suffered. An inquiry into something more than the bare existence of a debt would precede so strong an exertion of authority at the suit of a private party: a proceeding somewhat more solemn than the mere issuing of a writ of course, would be required to consirm, as just and necessary, a total forseiture of a debtor's personal liberty.

The prevention of fraud, or the just enforcement of a satisfaction in the power of the debtor, cannot then, it is manifest, form the only object, in the view of a creditor, to which the law of imprisonment gives its aid. It gives the same aid, of course, to malice, to resentment, or the most corrupt and profligate purpose which can be supposed ever to actuate a man who stands in the legal character of a creditor. And these, it has been shewn, are likely to be at least very frequent inducements to a measure, which is, in itself, so generally unprofitable.

But is it fit that those municipal laws, from which manners must gradually receive their current and direction, should give countenance and support to such principles of conduct? In vain shall it be said that the laws cannot possibly distinguish between the honest pursuit of a just satisfaction, and such iniquitous purposes.—They cannot indeed distinguish if they do not inquire.

## CHAP. XVIII.

The Law of Imprisonment for Debt considered with a View to the present State of those Frauds which it was meant to restrain.

F the law of imprisonment for debt, in its full extent, had, to balance its manifold faults and infirmities, the merit of any efficacy in (what it chiefly professes) the suppression of fraud and extravagance, there would, comparatively speaking, exist but little of these vices in this country.

Is this then the fact? The question need hardly be answered. In the metropolis of England alone there is perhaps a more wonderful variety of fraudulent arts, than in all the world besides. Banditti of various forms, in the garb of every rank, and with the privileges of every profession, infest it in all quarters, from its purlieus to the court. Every species of lure is displayed for the deception of the wife, as well as of the unwary. New and unheardof devices are brought daily into practice; and new words must be added to the language, in order to describe the practitioners. Some are trading, or mercantile adventurers; who for a course of years have been shewing false colours to the world; have put their fignatures to a thousand lyes; planted partnerships in every quarter of the kingdom, to afford names for the circulation of fictitious credit; and,

with all the port and appendages of wealth, have been conscious that in truth their capitals were infufficient to purchase the paper on which their falsehoods were written. To fuch men-even to fuch men-the certificate of bankrupt, too frequently affords its protection. Some are less eminent adventurers. They have no home; they are for ever on the wing; they vary both scene and character as circumstances suggest, and elude inquiry and detection with all the flight of long habit and practice. Others are more open and exposed—but these are cool determined villains, who think lightly of a gaol, and even rely for protection upon their abandoned characters. Nor are they disappointed. They have no friends: they possess no man's regard; therefore none will fatisfy a creditor for their relief.

This is the present state of *fraud*: and as to extravagance, the folly which leads to fraud, seldom fails to shew itself in every species of wanton expence and dissipation. There is a dignity of mind about a man of integrity, which cannot relish the enjoyments of a desperate and unprincipled profusion. They certainly never amount to happiness—for villainy has always at least enough of reslection to make itself miserable.

But are not these banditti, it may be asked, liable to be interrupted by the laws of imprisonment as insolvent debtors? They are; but only in common with those who become innocently insolvent through misfortune, and with many chances of escaping which honest men have not. A creditor who first acted from passion, may asterwards act from

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT. 1

from prudence. In time his anger cools; and the fame interest which determines him to prolong his honest debtor's confinement, in expectation that the unfortunate man's worth may induce some friend to pity and relieve him, will also determine him to turn loose upon the world those abandoned wretches, who have grossly defrauded him, and for whom no man will interfere. All this they know and anticipate; and having also, in common with honest debtors, the chance of certain laws, under the shelter of which they can escape in the croud, they consider imprisonment for debt as a slight and familiar occurrence; never in their eyes disgraceful, and often desirable.

#### CHAP. XIX.

The remarkable Provision contained in the Statute commonly called the Lords Act.

prefumption, founded upon the supposed impossibility of making distinctions, to vindicate the laws of civil imprisonment, when they act as instruments of private oppression. There is no room for presumption. The voice of the legislature has, by repeated acts, solemnly declared, that every man has a right, at a certain expence, to gratify himself by the imprisonment of his insolvent debtor.

By the 32 Geo. II. c. 28, commonly called *The Lords Act*, which was founded upon the 2 Geo. II. c. 22, and five subsequent amending or reviving acts \*, and was confirmed and extended (only four years ago) by 26 Geo. III. c. 44, a variety of regulations are provided, for the purpose, among others, of compelling such insolvent debtors as shall be imprisoned for no greater sum, each, than £.200†, to do all in their power for the satisfaction of their creditors, by surrendering every thing in the world that belongs to them—nay more—by pledging (and it is just they should do so) their suture industry,

\* The 2 Geo. II. c. 22, was altered by 3 Geo. II. c. 27; explained and amended by 8 Geo. II. c. 24; continued by 14 Geo. II. c. 34, and 21 Geo. II. c. 33; and revived by 29 Geo. II. c. 28; which being allowed to expire, the 32 Geo. II. c. 28 was immediately enacted.—See Burr. Reports, 799.

+ By the 32 Geo. II. c. 28, the sum was £. 100.

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT. 109

the fruits of their labour, for the full payment of

their former debts.

To a man of plain understanding, with common notions of justice, it would seem that here the law had amply done its office. But this statute—an Act of Grace—says, No: the legislature must preserve inviolate the right of the creditor to what still remains, namely, to the body of his debtor. They may invite him to part with it: they may burden the exercise of his right with the expence of preserving the existence of that which is the subject of it: they may clog the gratification of his passions and resentments with terms which may tempt him to forego the satisfaction: but they must secure it to him as it may be his pleasure to enjoy it.

And so it is ordered. After the statute has satisfied every particle of substantial interest, immediate or contingent, which the creditor can have in the poor prisoner's confinement (in expectation, doubtless, that, for the good of the public, his person shall then be restored to the community) it is formally and solemnly, by a special clause for that purpose, put in the power of the creditor, without assigning a reason, to step in between the public and his debtor; still to insist upon the confinement of the poor prisoner's body, for the satisfaction of his own malice and caprice, or the accomplishment of some secret and unjustifiable purpose.

Thus a public law, enacted for public purposes, is at once to be defeated, by a spiteful, dishonest, or designing individual!

But

But this legal transgression against every principle of policy and sentiment of sound humanity, is not to be committed by an individual without expence. The creditor must pay four pence a day for the purpose of keeping the body of his debtor alive. The sullen pride of a low tyrant is gratisfied by the price: it makes the prisoner still more his own: he throws down his groat; and while he has a daily groat to give, his wretched debtor's imprisonment is prolonged, and may continue, during the tedious course of a vile existence \*.

\* Those who are not professional men will here be apt to fuspect that the author has mistated the import of this extraordinary, modern, law; as in truth it is in general but little adverted to. An extract from the flatute shall therefore be given. It enacts (section 13) That all prisoners who have made a fair affignment and conveyance of their estates and effects, and submitted to repeated examinations, in the manner prescribed by the act, shall be discharged, " unless such " creditor or creditors who shall have charged any such prisoner " or prisoners in execution as aforesaid, his, her, or their ex-" ecutors or administrators, doth or do insist upon such prisoner " or prisoners" (who had before fairly discovered and given up every thing) " being detained in prison; and shall agree by writ-" ing, figned with his, her, or their name or names, mark or " marks, or under the hand of his, her, or their attorney, in " case any such creditor or creditors, &c. shall be out of Eng-" land, to pay and allow weekly fuch a fum, not exceeding two " shillings and four pence, as any such court shall think fit, unto the " faid prisoner, to be paid every Monday in every week, so long as " any fuch prisoner shall continue in prison in execution at the " fuit of any fuch creditor or creditors: and in every fuch case " every such prisoner and prisoners" (after having done every thing possible for the satisfaction of creditors) " shall be re-" manded back to the prison or gaol from whence he, she, or they "was or were so brought up, there to continue in execution:"—The reader

# ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT. 11

reader shall look in vain, throughout all the different provisions in this act, for any possible means by which such honest and unfortunate prisoners can be rescued from perpetual imprisonment. If their weekly pittances are regularly paid they are completely forlorn.

To torture the compassion of friends (as Lord Manssield emphatically expresses it) and by that means extort payment from those who are not bound for the debt, is probably the general object of fo gross a proceeding. But it may arise from various motives. Many a gallant veteran, whose knowledge of affairs hardly ever extended beyond the lines of a camp, or the limits of that little characteristical world which a ship of war contains, duped into insolvency, and irritated by wrongs, has by rough unpolished manners provoked the anger of his creditor, and been thus wretchedly fed in gaol for his imprudence. There are men even of integrity, who can in fuch a case forgive the injury, but not the insult; and convert the laws of their country into instruments of resentment. Many other instances might be supposed; and one other shall be mentioned. All the generous fensibilities of manhood revolt at the idea, but it is certain that the cold depravity of vice may fuggest a motive for thus loading with misery a solitary and unprotected woman.—See Appendix H H.

#### CHAP. XX.

An Argument founded upon the frequent Institution of CHARITABLE SOCIETIES for the Relief of insolvent Debtors in Prison.

THE heart of man is, doubtless, improved by knowledge. This is an enlightened, and a charitable age; and if there is much vice, there is also much virtue in the world.

In an age of refinement, when compared with fimpler times, the modes of vice are more various, and (much it is to be lamented) they are thereby more generally diffused among the people. But the modes of virtue are also more social, rational, and humane. The virtue of a Barbarian is debased by that pride which accompanies ignorance: while the virtue of a cultivated character can regulate or direct the sensibilities of nature, for the good of others; and transmit, unseen, its generous and beneficent influence to those who know not the hand that helps them.

In fuch an age the general fense of the people demands the respect of the legislature. There must be fomething wrong when the deliberate, collected, charity of humane affociations, is feen making efforts (too often in vain) to raife up, not a fallen individual, but a whole body of men, whom the law has thrown

thrown down. There are errors and infirmities; which enter into the motive, where the object is an individual, fuffering under the eye of the benevolent: In fuch inftances, the mind is generally too much occupied by compassion for the sufferer; to think of the cause for which he suffers. But it is not so when the attention is directed to the idea of a whole body of men, in the aggregate; placed in a deplorable fituation by the law. There, the nature of the cause is the first object of reflection. A robber, or a thief, in chains, and under fentence of death, meets with compassion; and, for the moment, his offence is forgotten: but no fuch feeling arifes, to lead off the judgment from the nature of the thing, when the idea of fuch offenders, in general, and the fituation, to which, as fuch, they are reduced, is prefented to the mind. The feelings of men are affected by the circumstances of individuals; but it is their reason which chiefly acts upon the collective fituation of a whole body.

The melancholy case of many prisoners for debt has become matter of much concern to those who can reason as well as feel. Subscriptions are set on foot-focieties are established \*- representations are published, and the people are solicited to affift their unhappy fellow-creatures who labour under a species of distress, which no length of time can alleviate, or effort of the fufferers remove.

It has already been faid—it has often been faid, and it cannot be too often repeated, that neither in the civil nor the criminal code, ought laws ever to

#### 114

#### TREATISE

that general sense can be collected, it certainly may, respecting the laws of civil imprisonment. They confound the plain distinctions of reason, between right and wrong; they shock the understandings of mankind, by exhibiting precisely in the same situation, two characters notoriously the reverse of each other. They tend, in consequence, to destroy that reciprocal considence between the governor and the governed, which, in a free country, is the principle of obedience; and they transfer the general prejudice, which ought always to affect those against whom such laws are executed, to those who execute them.

## CHAP. XXI.

Atts of Insolvency.

known. They have already been mentioned; but the subject demands that they should be particularly examined. They have been applied as public remedies; but if they assume a beneficial appearance, by suppressing, for a time, some of the symptoms, while in fact they add virulence to the disease, it is time that the delusion should give way to something more substantially and permanently remedial.

An act of insolvency is defined to be "An occa"fional act, frequently passed by the legislature,
"whereby all persons what soever, who are either in
too low a way of dealing to become bankrupts,
or, not being in a mercantile state of life, are not
included within the laws of bankruptey, are discharged from all suits and imprisonment, upon
delivering up all their estate and effects to their
creditors, upon oath, at the sessions or assizes."
By an act of insolvency then, all the members

\* 2 Blackst. Com. 484.

of the state declare their concurrence with the general

imie

fense of the people, against the existing laws of civil imprisonment.

But by an act of infolvency the legislature takes abruptly from a creditor the privilege it had deliberately given him; and that too at the very moment when he is exercising it under the law as it stands, and to which he may fairly have trusted. Yet the same legislature resuses to prevent others from being so disappointed in suture by a similar interruption—that is, they will not prohibit, restrain, or regulate the exercise of the privilege by any prospective law; but after suffering creditors bond side to act, they will then on a sudden, and by retrospect, counteract them.

An act of infolvency, if it has any principle at all, must proceed upon the principle of its being either an act of mercy, or an act of justice, or an act of policy.

An act of mercy, that is, a public pardon for a public crime, it cannot be; because the prisoners relieved have never been tried and sound guilty of any crime. Their imprisonment was inflicted by private hands, and (except in exigencies of state) neither punishment nor pardon can be directed to any body of men without discrimination; both must have an individual for their object, and special circumstances for their cause.

As an act of justice, it cannot with any confistency be considered:—for then the imprisonment and detention of debtors were acts of injustice; and the legislature would be accusing themselves of having failed

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

failed in the effential duty of protecting the people from a long continued course of oppression, practised in their sight, at the same time that they resulted to take any measures for preventing such acts of oppression in time to come.

And as an act of *policy*, it can with as little confiftency be confidered: for if there was any policy or utility in fuffering fo many individuals to be locked up from fociety, for an unlimited time, and without the means of relief, there can be none in bringing them back with blunted feelings, corrupted manners, and blafted characters.

Acts of infolvency impose no other terms upon infolvent debtors than those which every honest infolvent debtor imposes upon himself. But dishonest debtors, who must be compelled to do what is just, have the same measure served out to them; they also are relieved; because those acts make no distinction, and proceed upon no such inquiries as can exclude the unworthy. They operate in savour of "all act of insolvency fills the gaols with all the unprincipled banditti who can get consederates to arrest them for the occasion\*.

It is little the part of the legislature to strike blindly, from the impulse of the moment, at the regular effect of what it still holds to be law. Let laws be corrected wherever they are inconsistent or unjust;

<sup>\*</sup> The act, it will be faid, provides several preventives of this.—But is it in fact, or can it in the nature of things, be prevented?

1 2 but

### TREATISE

but let the people be taught that, while the laws remain, no power can, by retrospect, controul the free course of their execution.

The laws of civil imprisonment strike promiscuously at all, least they should miss the guilty; and acts of insolvency operate indiscriminately in favour of all, least they should miss the innocent.

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

### CHAP. XXII.

RECAPITULATION.

IT is now time to look back, and recal to mind the fubstance of what has been said.

THAT which determines the judgment to view with veneration and respect, whatever in law, or in legal practice, has been long established, is the just and fair prefumption, that it was originally established by the wisdom of our ancestors for the good of the people. Destroy that presumption, and there is an end of its consequence. The laws of imprisonsonment have, therefore, been traced from their origin. It has been shewn that at first their operation was extremely limited, both with respect to persons and to things; that the arts of dishonest practice were the means by which they were carried far beyond both the common law and the acts of the legislature; that they grew into use, and assumed the character of legal procedure, by the deceptions of groß falsehood and pitiful verbal evafion; that they were extended by the parliament of Henry the feventh, under the administration of Empson and Dudley\*, without re-

\* These two prossigate lawyers, who have been already mentioned, tainted the very source of justice and good government—One of them was speaker of the house of commons—and they were both hanged.

straint,

ftraint, distinction, or limitation, to almost all forts of civil transaction; that the miseries and mischiefs which were the necessary consequence of these solemn deviations from justice, were upwards of a century ago described in an instrument which proves every word which it states, namely, the statute of Charles the second, for the prevention of vexatious arrests; and that many of the worst evils which are there described are still existing.

The immediate effects of the laws of civil imprisonment have been examined; and they have presented one scene of misery, inequality, and inconsistency.

Their consequential effects have also been examined: they have been found to give colour and legal form to fraud; encouragement to the worst propensities in nature; the means of oppression to the unjust; and an illusory satisfaction to the injured.

The attempt has been made to try their wisdom by their principle—but, considering them in their full extent, no regular principle has been discovered.

The experiment has further been made, by reafoning upon their policy, in respect to the interests ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT,

121

of trade. But if they have there any influence at all, it is to give wings to fiftitious credit; and facility to the course of extravagance.

Finally, those means of relief which, with a timid and irresolute hand, are held forth by the law to the wretched prisoner who happens to be confined for debts within a certain amount, on condition that it shall please his creditor to suffer such means of relief to have effect; and that occasional interposition of the legislature, by act of insolvency, which, with a retrospect, disappoints the execution it approves, have, upon examination, been sound to carry along with them all the weakness, inconsistency, and danger of little expedients.

CHAP.

Conclusion—containing the Principles and general Lines of a Plan for amending the Laws of Civil Imprisonment.

TT must be apparent to all who observe the pro-Leedings of the fuperior courts of law, that their practice is now diffinguished by a steady regard for the personal liberty of the subject. They are strict in its vindication, and liberal in whatever may tend to advance it. But the liberality of courts must be correct. Their rules and orders are to be bounded by those fixed and positive lines, which give stability to their determinations, and certainty to the laws they administer. The evils which have been described go far beyond their power. The legislature must therefore interpose; and it is full time that they should. For it concerns the honour as well as the immediate happiness of the country, that so foul a stain should be wiped from the system of our municipal law, as the possibility of inflicting perpetual imprisonment upon an unfortunate debtor; while fraud and iniquity, in their most palpable forms, are fuffered to pass with impunity—And it will not be found that the difficulty in attaining the object is proportioned to its importance,

In proposing any material alteration upon a whole system of law, the following general propositions ought never to escape our recollection.

That

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT. 123

That theoretical or speculative ideas of perfection (which exists but in the imagination) have a tendency rather to obstruct than to promote the attainment of real and permanent improvement.

That the best system which can, with most simplicity, be reduced into practice, and not the best which can abstractly be conceived, is therefore the substantial object of our pursuit.

That zeal for the prevention of certain evils is often blind to the danger of admitting others, of a different nature, but equally or more pernicious in their consequences.

And that in amending or altering established laws, the legislature ought ever to be more guarded and circumspect than in the original formation of laws for new cases: because the spirit of reformation is often rash and intemperate; and though seldom dishonest, is always partial,

With these general ideas in view, the principles of a law to be proposed, for the purpose of bringing civil imprisonment to act with consistency and effect, may be suggested and considered with sufficient caution.

The subject in general naturally divides itself into two parts.

The first respecting those laws which relate to the arrest and imprisonment of defendants, upon what is called mesne process, in actions.

The second, respecting the imprisonment of debtors in execution.

#### TREATISE

But to avoid repetition, the principles and ideas which are to form the fubject of the present Chapter shall be ranged under the following Sections.

#### S E C T. I.

## Of the Arrest of Defendants.

power of sudden arrest is just and necessary: and it ought to be a first principle, that in such cases the power of immediate arrest should be within the reach of every plaintist without distinction. It would ill become the impartiality of the law to abridge the poor man's remedy, by throwing obstructions in his way which he could not possibly remove. Therefore, whatever provision may be made in directing the subsequent proceedings, with a view to possible cases, no preliminary security ought to be required of a plaintist before the arrest; because that poverty which possibly arose from the very injury of which he complained, might deprive him of the means of satisfaction or redress.

On the other hand, no arrest of the defendant ought to take place unless where the plaintiff can make oath to circumstances, within certain lines of description, which may be drawn by the law\*: for the simple affertion (though upon oath) of one man that another owes him a certain sum of money, can never in reason be deemed, of itself, sufficient.

\* Let it be remembered, that this applies only to the arrest of defendants—no restriction is to be proposed of the arrest of debtors in execution, which requires no assidavit.

The

#### ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

The affidavit ought to state, in addition to the debt, either that the desendant obtained the credit by artifice and deception — Or, as the plaintist has reason to believe, that he intends to conceal himself or abscond in order to avoid his creditors—Or that he has fraudulently secreted or conveyed away, or is about fraudulently to secrete or convey away, his estate or essects—The circumstances upon which the plaintist's belief is sounded, to be positively stated. This affidavit, when allowed and indorsed by a judge as sufficient, ought to entitle the plaintist to his writ or bill for immediately arresting the desendant.

The general purpose of an arrest is to procure bail; which is an additional security obtained by the interposition of judicial authority. But an additional security cannot in justice be demanded of a mere defendant, when there are not special circumstances to warrant that extraordinary interposition. As therefore, on the one hand, there are sew cases where the defendant truly ought to be arrested on the commencement of the action, or during the subsequent proceedings, in which the plaintist could not safely make oath in such terms as have been suggested; so, on the other, the alteration proposed would of course prevent a number of imprisonments which take place under the present law, in cases where the defendant ought never to have been arrested.

To diminish the number of arrests upon actions would, doubtless, be beneficial to the public. The defendant, when arrested, must either give bail to the sheriff or go to prison; and it will be found that by far the greater number of arrests take

place,

T 26

place, either where an arrest is unnecessary, the defendant being sufficiently able to answer the event; or fruitless, the defendant not being able to find bail or satisfy the debt; or where the bail are duped and imposed on by the defendant, and the debt in the end only changes hands—For the alternative of a gaol is a strong temptation to dishonesty. A defendant who has been merely unfortunate, and, of course, innocently insolvent as respecting the plaintiff, may be thus tempted to act dishonestly towards his bail, in deluding them by a salse account of his situation and affairs. The plaintiff recovers his money of the bail, and the bail become plaintiffs. The debt is still due by the defendant; and the

When to fuch inftances are added the numberless examples of arrest for the purposes of extortion or oppression, there is surely weight enough in the scale to counterbalance the advantages which may, in some very rare and special cases, be derived from the arrest of desendants under the present law, where the plaintists could not have made oath to any of the circumstances stated in the affidavit proposed,

law has only had the effect to fatisfy one man at the

expence of others, and to induce a fraud which

would not have been committed if the defendant

had not been arrested.

In judging of several original expedients for new cases, that which has upon the whole the balance in point of utility or advantage in its savour, though that balance should be but inconsiderable, is to be preserved. But in judging of a proposed alteration

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT. 127

of law, the benefits to be derived from the alteration must be comparatively great before an established law should be shaken. Deciding therefore by the latter rule, with every possible degree of caution, the restraint suggested upon the arrest of defendants would seem to be expedient.

#### SECT. II.

The Case of Defendants imprisoned at the Suit of Men who have neither Substance nor Character.

TT is certain that there are many evils arifing from the mere abuse of the law, which it is impossible to prevent. But that idea has too often the effect of diffuading from every attempt to discourage fuch abuses, and thereby, in part, to prevent them. It is the fubject of daily complaint, that actions are brought, and obstinate litigations maintained by defigning or adventurous men, either in their own names, or in the names of others, who, though persons existing, have little or no interest in the event. It happens, therefore, too often, that when a defendant has at length, after a vexatious litigation, obtained a verdict, he has not the most distant chance of ever recovering his costs. Yer he must pay his attorney, and may of course be ruined.

Such hardships it is impossible in the common course of practice entirely to prevent, without striking too strongly at the civil rights of every individual.

But when a defendant has been arrested, and must

In certain particular cases, for reasons peculiar to the nature of the actions, a rule may be obtained to stay proceedings till a responsible plaintiff is named. And wherever the plaintiff refides beyond the reach of the law of England, a fimilar rule may be obtained to stay proceedings till he give security for the costs \*. Although therefore a plaintiff ought not to be obstructed in arresting the defendant wherever he can make oath to certain circumstances, yet the above cases afford precedent for the introduction of a rule by which a defendant might obtain his discharge from prison, unless the plaintiff gave fecurity for costs, and eventual damages on account of the imprisonment, if it appeared upon trying the matter, on affidavits to circumstances, that the plaintiff was not a responsible person, and that the defendant ought to be so discharged: for it might appear from circumstances, that although the plaintiff was not, in point of substance, a responfible person, or could not give security, yet the defendant ought not to be discharged out of custody. A line might be drawn for that purpose, without limiting the court to precise descriptions, and yet without giving that loofe discretionary power, the exercise of which is as difficult and oppressive to an upright judge, as it is dangerous to the public.

\* Appendix K K.

SECT.

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT. 129

SECT. III.

The Case of a Defendant who has been arrested and kept in Prison during the whole Course of the Proceedings; and yet finally obtains a Judgment in his Favour.

TT is hardly possible to conceive a case, against the hardship and injustice of which the understanding more forcibly revolts, than that of a defendant, who, to the ruin of himself and his family, has lain in prison for a tract of time at the suit of a plaintiff who has proceeded perhaps by way of experiment, and is eventually found to have had no foundation whatever for his action—and yet this injured man has no means of fatisfaction: for costs are no more than a reimbursement; and not even so much. An action for damages lies where the arrest can be shewn to have been malicious, or such as the plaintiff himself certainly knew to be clearly groundless: but innumerable instances occur of arrests which are groundless and vexatious, but where a defendant who has lain for months in gaol has no redrefs whatever.

If, however, it is impossible to devise any means for removing, in a considerable degree, the hard-ship above described, which desendants, who have been so imprisoned, must frequently suffer under the present law, without putting plaintists in a situation of too much danger, the evil must be classed with those to which, in the impersect state

TREATISE

of all human inftitutions, the people must submit. For as, in the formation of rules for regulating the course of judicial proceedings, it is a leading principle that the avenues to justice are to be preserved as free and open as possible, that principle could have little effective utility if suitors were discouraged, by the apprehension of eventual damages, from the prosecution of claims which appeared to be just, or the use of measures which circumstances suggested as prudent or necessary.

But there is furely no fuch impossibility. As in the case of malicious or grossly vexatious arrests, where the law, as already observed, has given an action, an action might be given in ordinary cases; and the powers of the jury, under the direction of the judge, in case of verdict for the desendant, or of the judge by certificate (no novelty in the law), in case of nonsuit, might be so extended as to remove the ground of complaint; which is not that every defendant who has been imprisoned, and yet obtains judgment in his favour, cannot have redress or reparation for the imprisonment he has suffered; but that there exist no possible means, if malice or vexatious purpose cannot be positively shewn, by which any defendant who has been so imprisoned upon a groundless action can receive such reparation. It would feem that the verdict or certificate proposed might be so applied by a very simple as well as fafe and practical course of proceeding.

SECT. IV

Imprisonment of DEBTORS in Execution.

THE present law of imprisonment of debtors in execution is of so little avail to creditors, that almost every alteration to be proposed on that branch of civil imprisonment must have for its immediate object the interest of the creditor. As the law now stands, the imprisoning creditor may only obtain an ideal satisfaction, and must, in consequence, suffer a substantial loss: for he must lose his right of execution against the substance of his debtor, that is, against his lands and goods, during his life.

It is therefore an idea too manifest to require the support of argument, that the creditor's right of execution against the lands or goods of his debtor, should remain unaffected by the imprisonment. For imprisonment is only for the purpose of discovery; or of inducing the debtor to do all that he can for his creditor's satisfaction; and ought never to be considered as in itself a satisfaction\*.

Nor can it be faid that thus the creditor might have a double remedy; or that while he imprisoned his debtor for the purpose of compelling him to discharge the debt, he might at the same moment deprive him of the means of discharging it, by seizing

\* See part ii. chap. viii. supra.

# TREATISE

his effects. The creditor might or might not seize the debtor's estate or effects, as he should think proper; but if he did, he would of course be obliged, by an express provision in the law for that purpose, to give notice when he levied or recovered his debt by such means; and the debtor's discharge out of custody at his suit would follow the satisfaction so obtained.

#### SECT. V.

# The Discharge of Insolvent Debtors.

On the other, the proper ends and purposes of imprisonment must not be deseated, but are on the contrary to be promoted.

If the law knew no fuch remedy as imprisonment for debt, and a creditor were confined to his execution against the estate or effects, the debtor might not only refuse payment of the debt, but withhold all means of satisfaction, by concealing, secreting, or covering his effects from the view of his creditors. This serves to point out the objects which the laws of imprisonment ought to profess—and it would seem that they may be reduced to the following:

First, to induce the debtor, if he has money, to apply it; and if he has not, to procure it, by means of his effects, for the purpose of discharging the debt.

## ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

debt. This is indeed the professed object of the present law.

Secondly, to compel him to discover his estate and effects, in order that the creditor himself may be able to take measures for his own satisfaction. This cannot be an object of the present law, because the creditor cannot avail himself of any such discovery, by proceeding against his imprisoned debtor's estate or effects.

Thirdly, to expose extravagance or negligence to public animadversion, and punishment.

And lastly, to subject the conduct and affairs of insolvent debtors to strict examination, for the purpose of relieving the honest, and bringing the fraudulent to public trial and conviction.

While fuch objects, or any of them, are attainable, imprisonment acts upon principles which are plain and intelligible. But a debtor who lies in gaol under circumstances which demonstrate that the further duration of his confinement cannot lead to any one of those objects, is deprived of his perfonal freedom against good policy as well as justice. As matter of common right, founded upon eternal and unalterable laws, he is therefore entitled to have it restored to him.

But the question is, how can such lines be drawn, or general rules established, by which it may be determined when imprisonment, in every particular case, has done its office, and when it ought to cease? The question is answered thus:

For a certain given time \* the debtor's confine-

\* Suppose three months from the commencement of the debtor's imprisonment in execution.

К 3

ment

ment might be considered merely as a mode of coercion, for the purpose of compelling him to satisfy the creditor or creditors at whose suit he stands imprisoned—And such a limited time it would be reasonable to give, without subjecting the debtor to any thing beyond the mere imprisonment: for a man whose intentions are honest may sometimes be so situated that he must go to gaol; and yet within a short time he may be able to provide the means of satisfaction.

But a person who has remained a debtor in execution beyond that given time, ought to be obliged, for the fake of the public as well as of creditors, to disclose the state of his affairs, in order that his creditors may judge of his conduct, and take proper measures for their own satisfaction, or public justice. He ought, therefore, to be obliged, at the expiration of that time, to deliver to the gaoler, for general inspection, a full statement of his affairs, with an account of the causes of his infolvency. There would in this be a degree of danger or of punishment, to certain characters, which might induce them to make every possible exertion, for fatisfying the creditors at whose fuit they stood imprisoned, either before any such general discovery should become necessary, or (if they made no fueh discovery at the time prescribed by the law) before they could be exposed to those subsequent proceedings, which, if they remained in gaol, would unavoidably take place, and bring their fraudulent practices to light.

A debtor who had remained still longer in prison, ought to be considered as a proper object of public attention:

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

135

either that the creditor or creditors at whose suit he had been so long in confinement, prolonged his imprisonment without any rational object; or that his conduct had been such, either in point of negligence, extravagance, or fraud, as to call for punishment at the hands of the public. In both cases he ought to be discharged out of custody as a debtor in execution; but in the latter he ought, on conviction, to suffer the punishment he deserved.

Every debtor, therefore, who had continued a certain time\* as a prisoner in execution, ought to be brought up in Westminster-hall; or, if in custody beyond a certain distance from London, at the afsizes; where fuch proceedings, of a fafe but summary nature, might take place under the special provision of the law +, as would enable the judge, upon examination of the infolvent, and hearing the charges against him (if any were made), to determine, either that his farther imprisonment could answer no good purpose, to the creditors or to the public; in which case he ought immediately to be discharged as an insolvent debtor, and set at liberty -Or, that sufficient cause had been shewn by creditors, why he should be still longer detained a prifoner in execution; in which case he ought to be

K 4

remanded

<sup>\*</sup> Suppose fix months from the commencement of his impriforment in execution; that is, three months after delivering an account of his affairs, and of the causes of his insolvency.

<sup>†</sup> As the present is only a general view of the plan proposed, the particular forms and proceedings here alluded to are not stated.

remanded as fuch—Or, that his infolvency had arisen from a gross degree of extravagance or negligence; in which case, though discharged as an insolvent debtor, he ought to be committed to prison for a limited time as a direct punishment—Or, that there were circumstances which fixed upon him suspicions of fraud sufficiently strong to warrant his commitment, for the purpose of preventing his escape (during a certain time) from the prosecution and punishment which the law ought to provide for such fraudulent insolvents; in which case, though discharged as an insolvent debtor from a fruitless execution, he ought to be so committed for safe custody.

A debtor might be remanded in execution on account of difficulty or particular circumstances in his case; but every debtor so remanded ought (if not discharged by his creditors) to be brought up a second time, either at Westminster-hall within a certain time, or at the next assizes, as the case might be: and, in order that imprisonment in execution might in all cases whatever have a limited duration, he ought then to be discharged as an insolvent debtor; but might be committed for punishment or trial, as the judge should see cause.

In this manner every debtor in execution would be discharged by the public, out of that kind of custody, at a certain period: and, if honest, he would have nothing to sear: but if he deserved punishment, he would stand justly exposed to it. Creditors would have all the advantages of imprisonment in execution

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

137

execution which they can fairly enjoy at present; because their debtors would be in their power for a fufficient length of time to answer every just purpose-And, not to mention the great benefit of a concurrent remedy by execution against the debtor's estate and effects during his imprisonment, as well. as after it, they would have this additional advantage, that dishonest debtors would then have cause to dread a gaol. For as cruelty or unjust design could carry oppression but a certain length, so fraud or dishonesty could never hope, after a certain time, to effect an escape by the influence of address, or through the weakness of compassion. The severities of a gaol would form an Ordeal for diftinguishing the innocent from the guilty; and creditors, in vindicating their own private rights, would be promoting the course of public justice.

#### SECT. VI.

Of the Estate and Effects of Persons discharged as Insolvent Debtors.

present) the power of proceeding against the estates or effects of their imprisoned debtors, it would be impossible to propose any permanent law for discharging insolvents, without particularly providing for the surrender of their effects to their creditors at large, as the condition of their discharge. It is an idea which naturally suggests itself; but every scheme, of which it forms a part,

part, involves in it a ground of unanswerable obiection. All the various forms and proceedings which, in such cases, are indispensably necessary for regulating the transfer of the debtor's estate to his creditors; the investiture of trustees; the administration of these trustees; the distribution of the refidue, after the fund has been worn down to half its original fize; and the effect of that distribution upon the creditor's claims against the future estate of the debtor, form a complicated machinery, full of the means of abuse; and too great, in general beyond all proportion, for the value and extent of the obiect \*.

But if creditors could proceed in the regular course of execution, not only against the estates and effects of their infolvent debtors, during their imprisonment, and when discharged as such, but also against their future acquisitions, unless protected by the certificate of bankrupt; and had besides the benefit of positive laws to deter their debtors from the frauds of concealment or voluntary misapplication of the means of satisfaction in their power (which ought to form an effential part of every plan of amendment) the mere discharge of their persons, after every rational purpose of imprisonment had been answered, could never substantially affect the rights of creditors; or be the proper ground of any. new fet of measures, to throw the course of lawout of its ordinary channel.

\* The Lords' Act, occasional infolvent acts, and the unavoidable abuse of the bankrupt laws, when applied to trisling cases for the sole benefit of the bankrupt, afford examples of what is here stated.

S E C T'e

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT

SECT.

The Effett of the Discharge of a Debtor as an Insolvent Debtor.

THE discharge of an insolvent debtor ought only to protect his person; and as, in every instance, the law should lean in favour of the creditor, that protection ought to be so qualified, that the burden of shewing himself entitled to it should be thrown upon the debtor: nor ought it to affect the right of a creditor who had not received timely notice of the debtor's imprisonment previous to his discharge. Therefore it might justly be part of the law, that before a discharged insolvent debtor, arrested and imprisoned upon a debt prior to his discharge, could be discharged out of custody upon such arrest, he should be obliged to shew, either that the creditor had notice of the former imprisonment served upon him a certain time before the infolvent's discharge; or that due enquiry had been made respecting such creditor's residence, without effect: and this might be shewn by the affidavit of the person who served the notice, or made the enquiry. On the other hand, a debtor so arrested or imprisoned for a debt, prior to his discharge as an insolvent debtor, at the fuit of a creditor who had fuch regular notice of the infolvent's former imprisonment, previous to his discharge, ought to have his action for such subfequent arrest and imprisonment, and recover damages on proving fuch notice.

With

With respect to the case of a bankrupt trader thus discharged as an insolvent; the complaints which have often justly been made, that certificates of bankrupt are obtained with too much eafe, regard in general the material operation of fuch certificates in protecting the future effects of the bankrupt. But the law now proposed would afford no cover to the future effects of an infolvent debtor; and therefore a bankrupt trader so discharged would in that effential respect be as much dependent upon the certificate as ever. His person indeed, though he were uncertificated, would be free from arrest for former debts-but that freedom could only be obtained after a previous imprisonment and proceedings which would aid and promote the operation of the bankrupt laws; and (in confequence of a provision in the law for that purpose) expose the bankrupt, as a discharged insolvent debtor, to eventual punishment, in certain cases, for which those laws do not provide.

#### S E C T. VIII.

The Punishment of Frauds committed by Persons who have been discharged as Insolvent Debtors.

Thas been shewn to be one of the many bad consequences of imprisonment for debt, as now established, that as every infolvent debtor is liable to perpetual imprisonment, the frauds of insolvent debtors, considered merely as such, have never been the subject of precise legal description, and direct criminal prosecution. And yet there are many instances

### ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT. 141

instances of fraudulent conduct in insolvent debtors, which admit of precise description, and are too gross to escape the correction they deserve.

Besides the punishment, therefore, which might be inflicted for gross negligence or extravagance, by summary commitment, as already proposed, every person discharged as an insolvent debtor ought to be indictable for certain fraudulent acts, as correctly described in the law; any improper delay in delivering the statement of his affairs and causes of his insolvency required by the law, or in delivering an unfair statement, being of course so described as fraudulent. Upon conviction, every fraudulent insolvent debtor ought to be punishable by imprisonment, pillory, and transportation, singly or together, as the court, from circumstances, should think proper.

To be discharged as an insolvent debtor would, therefore, be no desirable object to those who might otherwise be disposed to avail themselves of that measure for dishonest purposes. To such persons it would be a measure full of danger.

#### SECT. IX.

Public Notice of the Proceedings respecting Insolvent Debtors.

WHATEVER may be the particular case of an individual who has unavoidably fallen by misfortune into a state of infolvency, it ought to be inculcated as a general idea, that a certain degree

of reproach is necessarily attached to that situation. It implies fomething wrong either in the principles, or the manners, or the prudence of the infolvent. It is a species of prima facie evidence against him.

It concerns the public, therefore, that perfons who have been the subjects of legal proceedings as infolvent debtors should be known, as such, to the public. It does fo for two reasons: First, because the reproach which necessarily belongs to the character, must induce men who have any regard for reputation to avoid a fituation which exposes them fo unfavourably to public observation: and, Secondly, because it is convenient that other men, in the most distant parts, should have an opportunity of knowing the fact, not only with a view to more than ordinary caution in future transactions with fuch persons; but in order that such discharged infolvents may be brought to trial and punishment, if they have been guilty of frauds described by the law, which may not have come to light in the course of the proceedings previous to their difcharge.

But if this applies to the case of a mere insolvent, who may have been discharged as such without difficulty or hefitation, and immediately fet at liberty, it must apply still more strongly, in different degrees, to the case of an insolvent who has been remanded as a debtor in execution, or committed either for palpable negligence or extravagance, or on suspicion of fraud.

It would, therefore, be no superfluous provision in a new law, to make it the duty of the proper of-

ficer

ON CIVIL IMPRISONMENT.

ficer of the court to publish without delay, in the London Gazette, a lift of all infolvents brought up under the act, and either immediately discharged and fet at liberty; or remanded as debtors in execution; or committed, immediately after their difcharge as infolvents, for matters appearing against them.

THE Author now concludes—He has stated his ideas with that freedom which he feels to be confistent with infinite respect for the opinions of others. and a just impression of the magnitude and difficulty of the fubject. It is not indeed for every individual to act the part of a legislator; but every individual ought freely to state the suggestions of his mind upon those topics of common concern, which, from his fituation and habits, have naturally engaged his attention: and he may be affured, that fuch fuggestions will ever be best received by those who are best able to judge of them.

APPENDIX.

# APPENDIX

# NOTE A, p. 6.

THE ABET rex etiam curiam et justiciarios in banco residentes qui cognoscunt de omnibus placitis de quibus auctoritatem habent cognoscendi; et sine warranto jurisdictionem non habent nec coercionem.—Bracton, lib. 3. f. 105.

# NOTE B, p. 7.

Dicuntur brevia cum fint formata ad fimilitudinem regulæ juris quæ breviter et paucis verbis intentionem proferentis exponunt, ficut regula juris, rem quæ est, breviter enarrat; non tamen ita debet esse breve, quin rationem et vim intentionis contineat.—Fleta, lib. 2, c. 12.

# NOTE C, p. 7.

These writs were of two different sorts, and had two different technical appellations, viz. a Pracipe, and a Si te securit securum: the former, peculiar to certain actions where the defendant had an option given him either to do the thing required, or shew cause why he did not—the latter,

147

latter, peculiar to other actions, and commanding the defendant peremptorily, and in the first instance, to shew cause. But both required security or pledges from the profecutor, as the condition of compelling the defendant to appear.

### NOTE D, p. 9.

The course of those writs and proceedings was as follows: - A man was charged with the breach of an obligation purely civil; as for example, to pay a certain fum of money upon a day certain—the day of payment elapsed, and the creditor proceeded thus: He gave a short note of his claim into the office of Chancery; an original writ, or, as it is shortly termed, an original, was from thence issued: the creditor giving fecurity either in Chancery, or afterwards before the sheriff, that he should prosecute the suit with effect. By virtue of the writ thus obtained from Chancery the debtor was commanded by the sheriff, in the king's name, either to pay the particular fum therein specified, or to appear upon a day certain before the king's justices at Westminster (the Court of Common Pleas) to shew cause why he did not. The jurisdiction of the court now attached upon the cause thus brought before it, by the writ from Chancery, and therefore it had authority to proceed afterwards by means of its own powers. The debtor disobeyed the writ from Chancery, and neither paid the money nor appeared in court. A writ then issued from the court itself, termed a writ of pone or attachment (which, with all subsequent writs, were called judicial writs, as proceeding from the court) directed also to the sheriff, by which he was commanded, in the king's name, to take certain of the debtor's goods which should be forfeited, and fureties who should be amerced (technically, to take gage and safe pledges) if he did not appear upon another day certain to answer to the demand, and to shew cause why he had disobeyed and still his person was free. Another writ issued, called a distringus, but it was only against his property. By it the sheriff was commanded to seize, in the king's name, all the debtor's lands and chattels within his county, and to answer for the issues and profits thereof, not to the creditor but to the king, who had a right to the whole forfeiture, in consequence of his subject's disobedience.—Finch, l. 352—3 Blackst. Com. 280.—A succession of these writs, one after another, might then issue ad infinitum (termed distress infinite) so as to deprive him from time to time of every thing he acquired, until he chose to obey the commands of his sovereign.

APPENDIX.

### NOTE E, p. 10.

Where the injury was attended with violence, or was fo charged, the original writ was joined with that which in the former case issued only in the second place, viz. the pone or attachment, by which the sheriff was ordered to take gage and pledges for the defendant's appearance; and yet another writ against his property, such as also issued in the former case, viz. the distringus, had to run its course. Nor was it till the sheriff had made a return nihil (as it is called) that is, given for answer to the writ, that the perfon charged had nothing within his county by the feizure of which he might be diffressed, that a warrant was at length iffued for bringing in his person to answer to the charge, which was termed a capias ad respondendum. Or if the sheriff of the county to whom the writ was directed (which was always the county where the court fate) returned for answer, that he could not find the defendant, then another writ, called a testatum capias, was issued, directed to the sheriff of another county, where it was faid the defendant lurked; and repeated writs of the same fort L 2 (called

This was the regular course of proceeding; but according to the present practice the capias, or warrant to seize the desendant's person, is usually the commencement of the action; a sictitious original writ and return being put upon record "to give a colour of regularity to the proceedatings."

These proceedings have been stated upon the authority of Lord Coke, Lord Chief Baron Gilbert, Sir William Blackstone, and others—Nor is it material here to examine how far the authority of these great names in the law is shaken by some passages in Braston, with regard to the more ancient course of common law proceedings, supposed by some to have taken place, in such personal actions. It appears certain, that at one period, at least, the proceedings established by long practice were as they have been stated—and, in many respects, are the regular course of proceeding at this day.

# NOTE F, p. 12.

This refers to the general spirit of Magna Charta, and not to any article in particular. Chapter 29th of that great instrument, indeed, is in the following words: "Nullus "liber homo capiatur vel imprisonetur, aut disseinetur de "libero tenemento suo, vel libertatibus, vel liberis consuetudinibus suis, aut utlegatur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo distruatur; nec super eum ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale judicium parium suorum, vel per legem terra." And upon this chapter an argument has been sounded, to shew that every subsequent law, or practice recognized as law, which authorized imprisonment, except

upon a trial by jury, is against Magna Charta. This argument is maintained in a book (which the author has perused since he wrote the preceding treatise) intituled, " Considerations upon the Law of Insolvency," published by Mr. Burgess about eight years ago, in which much curious matter is collected, and a very full detail is given of all the statutes upon this subject. But the contrary opinion is held by many, who think with Lord Coke, that by the above article, qualified as it is by the words " vel per " legem terræ," it was meant " that no man" (unless by the verdict of a jury) " should be taken, imprisoned, or put cout of his freehold, without process of the law; that is, " by indictment or prefentment of good and lawful men, " where fuch deeds be done, in due manner, or by writ " original of the common law." 2 Inst. 50. See also "The History of the English Law," vol. ii. p. 442.

### NOTE G, p. 14.

The writ which issued upon this statute against the bailiffs of lords, was called a writ of monstravit de compoto-See Registrum Brevium, 136, F. N. B. 259. And the defendant's person was not only free, if he had any lands within the county, but he had in that case immediate relief and damages against the master he had defrauded, if, having lands, he was arrested by him upon the above writ, which necessarily averred that he had no lands within the county. For this purpose a special writ was given him, by which, without regard to his own fraud and offence, the loss of liberty only of a man who had lands in the county, was considered. The lord, his master, at whose suit he was imprisoned, is there said to have maliciously obtained the writ de compoto against him, "et sic eum, per corporis « sui attachiamentum multipliciter ea occasione gravari 66 procuravit, L 3

This shews how heinously the spirit of the law felt itself, in those days, offended by the imprisonment of a man, in the only civil case which could authorize his imprisonment, if any other possible means occurred for enforcing the satisfaction demanded.—And it was held (somewhat absurdly indeed) that property, even to the smallest extent, afforded such means.

### NOTE H, p. 17.

The flatute of merchants, 13 Edw. I. fl. 3 (anno 1285) is as follows: " Forafmuch as merchants, which hereto-66 fore have lent their goods to divers persons, be fallen in co poverty, because there is no speedy remedy provided whereby they may shortly recover their debt at the day of payment; and for this cause many merchants do rec frain to come into the realm with their merchandize, to the damage of fuch merchants, and of all the realm; the " king and his council, at his parliament holden at Acton & Burnel, after the feast of St. Michael, the eleventh year of his reign, ordained establishments thereupon for the 46 remedy of fuch merchants; which ordinances and esta-" blishments the king commanded that they shall be firmly kept and observed throughout this realm, whereby mer-" chants have had remedy, and have recovered their debts with less inconvenience and trouble than they have had " heretofore. But forasmuch as merchants after complained " to the king, that sheriffs misinterpreted his statutes, and fometimes by malice and false interpretation delayed the execution of the statute, to the great damage of merchants, the king, at his parliament holden at Westminster " after

after Easter, the thirteenth year of his reign, caused the ce faid statute made at Acton Burnel to be rehearsed; and for " the declaration of certain articles in the statute aforesaid, " hath ordained and established, that a merchant who will 66 be fure of his debt shall cause his debtor to come before the mayor of London, or before some chief warden of a city, or of another good town where the king shall ap-" point, and before the mayor and chief warden, or other " fufficient men chosen and sworn thereto, when the mayor so or chief warden cannot attend, and before one of the ce clerks that the king shall thereto assign, when both cannot attend, he shall acknowledge the debt, and the day of copayment; and the recognizance shall be enrolled by one of the clerks hands being known, and the roll shall be double, whereof one part shall remain with the mayor or chief warden, and the other with the clerks that " thereto shall be first named; and further, one of the said clerks with his own hand shall write an obligation, to which writing the feal of the debtor shall be put with the se king's feal provided for the fame intent; which feal " shall be of two pieces, whereof the greater piece shall " remain in the custody of the mayor or the chief warden, and the other piece in the keeping of the foresaid clerk. " And if the debtor do not pay at the day limited unto him, " then shall the merchant come to the mayor or clerk with " his obligation; and if it be found by the roll or writing " that the debt was acknowledged, and the day of payment expired, the mayor or chief warden shall cause the body of " the debtor to be taken (if he be Lay) when soever he hapse peneth to come in their power, and shall commit him to " the prison of the town, if there be any; and he shall remain se there at his own costs until he hath agreed for the debt." The original, ex Rot. in Turr. Lond. expresses this passage thus: " E si le dettur ne rende al jour qe lui est assis, si veigne " le marchaunt al meyre e al clerk ove sa lettre de obligacion, & e si trove seit par roule ou par lettre qe la dette sust conue, e

APPENDIX.

se le jour assis seit passe, si face le mayre ou chief gardeyn rendre le cors al dettur (sil est lay) quel beure qe il seit trove en son poer, et liverer a la prison de la vile, si prison y seite ce la demoerge a ses custages propres, desque ataunt qil eit se fet gre de la dette." "And it is commanded that the keeper of the town prison shall retain him upon the dece livery of the mayor or warden: and if the keeper shall " not receive him he shall be answerable for the debt, if " he have whereof; and if he have not whereof, he that se committed the prison to his keeping shall answer. And sif the debtor cannot be found in the power of the mayor or chief warden, then shall the mayor or chief warden " fend into the Chancery, under the king's feal, the recog-" nizance of the debt; and the chancellor shall direct a writ unto the sheriff in whose shire the debtor shall be found, for to take his body (if he be Lay) and fafely to keep 46 him in prison until he hath agreed for the debt; and se within a quarter of a year after that he is taken his chattels shall be delivered him, so that by his own he " may levy and pay the debt; and it shall be lawful unto "him, during the fame quarter, to fell his lands and tene-" ments for the discharge of his debts, and his sale shall " be good and effectual. And if he do not agree within st the quarter next after the quarter expired, all the lands and goods of the debtor shall be delivered unto the mer-"chant by a reasonable extent, to hold them until such so time as the debt is wholly levied, and nevertheless the " body shall remain in prison as before is said; and the " merchant shall find him bread and water." In the original it is thus expressed: " E sil ne face gre dedenz le quarter passe seient liverez au marchaunt tutz les biens del detter. " e totes ses terres, par resnable estent, a tenir desque ataunt qe " la dette pleinement serra levee, e ja le plus tart le cors de-" moerge en prison cum avaunt est dit, e le marchaunt luy " truisse pain e ewe." " And the merchant shall have "fuch feisin in the lands and tenements delivered unto him go or his affignee, that he may maintain a writ of novel " deffei fin,

APPENDIX.

et desseisin, if he be put out, and re-desseisin also as of freehold, to hold to him and his affigns until the debt be paid; and as co foon as the debt is levied the body of the debtor shall be delivered " with his lands. And in such writs as the chancellor doth c award mention shall be made that the sheriff shall certify "the justices of the one bench or of the other, how he " hath performed the king's commandment, at a certain day; at which day the merchant shall sue before the si justices, if agreement be not made; and if the sheriffs do " not return the writ, or do return that the writ came too so late, or that he hath directed it to the bailiffs of some " franchife, the justices shall do as it is contained in se the latter statute of Westminster. And if in case the " fheriff return that the debtor cannot be found, or that " he is a clerk, the merchant shall have writs to all the 66 sheriffs where he shall have land, and that they shall de-« liver unto him all the goods and lands of the debtor by se a reasonable extent, to hold unto him and his assigns in \* the form aforesaid; and at the last he shall have a writ to what sheriff he will, to take his body (if he be Lay) and " to retain it in manner foresaid. And let the keeper of the prison take heed that he must answer for the body, " or for the debt. And after the debtor's lands be delivered to the merchant, the debtor may lawfully fell his land, " fo that the merchant have no damage of the approve-" ments; and the merchants shall always be allowed for " their damages, and all costs, labours, suits, delays, and " expences, reasonable. And if the debtor find sureties which do acknowledge themselves to be principal debtors, " after the day passed, the sureties shall be ordered " in all things as is faid of the principal debtor, ce as to the arrest of body, delivery of lands, and other ce things. And when the lands of the debtors be delivered " unto the merchant, he shall have seisin of all the lands "that were in the hand of the debtor, the day of the re-" cognizance made, in whose hands soever that they come se after, either by feofment or otherwise. And after the e debt "debt paid, the debtor's lands, and the issues of lands of "debtors by feofment, shall return again, as well to the see feoffee as the other lands unto the debtors. And if the « debtor or his furcties die, the merchant shall have no " authority to take the body of his heir, but he shall have " his lands, as before is faid, if he be of age, or when he fhall be of full age. And a feal shall be provided that " shall serve for fairs, and the same shall be fent unto every " fair under the king's feal by a clerk fworn, or by the " keeper of the fair. And of the commonalty of the mer-" chants of the city of London two merchants shall be " chosen that shall swear, and the seal shall be opened be-" fore them; and the one piece shall be delivered unto the " foresaid merchants, and the other shall remain with the " clerk; and before them, or one of the merchants (if both " cannot attend) the recognizances shall be taken, as be-" fore is faid. And before that any recognizances be in-" rolled, the pain of the statute shall be openly read be-" fore the debtor, so that after he cannot say that any did " put another penalty than that whereto he bound himself. "And to maintain the costs of the said clerk, the king " shall take of every pound a penny in every town where 46 the feal is, except fairs, where he shall take one penny " halfpenny of the pound. This ordinance and act the « king willeth to be observed from henceforth throughout " his realm of England and Ireland, amongst the which « people they that will may make fuch recognizances (ex-" cept Yews, to whom this ordinance shall not extend). 44 And by this statute a writ of debt shall not be abated; and the chancellor, justices of the one bench and of the other, the barons of the exchequer, and justices errants, " shall not be estopped to take recognizances of debts of " those who are willing to acknowledge them before them: " but the execution of recognizances made before them " shall not be done in the form aforesaid, but by the law and manner before used, and otherwise provided in other " statutes." The

The statute is followed by the form of the writ to issue in consequence of it in these words: " Rex vic. salutem: « Quia coram tali majore vel custode talis villa, vel coram « custode figilli nostri de mercatoribus in nundinis in tali « loco, et tali clerico nostro, A. recognavit debere B. " tantum quod solvisse debuit tali die, et tali anno, quod se idem A. nondum folvit, ut dicit: tibi præcipimus quod " corpus prædicti A. si laicus sit, capias, et in prisona nosse tra falvo custodiri facias quousque de prædict debito se satisfecerit. Et qualiter hoc præceptum fueris executus, se scire facias justiciariis nostris apud Westmonasterium « per literas tuas figillatas, et habeas ibi hoc breve. S Teste, &c."

#### NOTE I, p. 20.

The first statute against bailiss or accountants is the 52 Henry III. c. 23. (stat. of Marlbridge) and is in these words, as in the Cotton M.S. " Provifum est eciam, quod se ballivi qui dominis suis compotum reddere tese nentur, se subtraxerint, ct terras et tenementa non ha-" buerint, per que destringi possint; tunc per eorum corpora attachientur, ita quod vicecomes, in cujus balliva invess niantur, eos venire faciat ad compotum suum redend." The next statute, here referred to, is the 13 Edw. I. c. 11. (flat. of Westminster the second) in these words: "De " servientibus balivis camerariis et quibuscunque receptori-" bus qui ad compotum reddendum tenentur concorditer est statutum et ordinatum quod cum dominus hujusmodi Gervientium dederit eis auditores compoti et contingat 66 ipsos esse in arreragiis super compotum suum arrestentur « corpora ipsorum, et per testimonium auditorum ejusdem compoti mittantur et liberentur proxime gaole domini " regis in partibus illis, et a vicecomite seu custode ejusdem gaole recipiantur et mancipentur carceri in ferris

44 sub bona custodia, et in illa prisona remaneant de suo pro-« prio viventes quousque dominus suus de arreragiis plenarie " fatisfecerint." The statute, however, gave them an appeal from the auditors to the Court of Exchequer, which produced the writ of ex parte talis - Registrum 137. F. N. B. 120 f.—And upon giving fureties they were fet at liberty till the appeal was discussed, but again committed if found in arrear.

By this statute, and subsequent statutes authorizing imprisonment, process of outlawry (which for some time after the conquest took place in cases of selony only) was made competent against the defendant if he fled.

### NOTE K, p. 25.

Lord Coke, after commenting upon the destruction of the Jews by the statute de Judaismo proceeds with this obfervation: " At the parliament also of this noble king, " Edward the First, in the 18th year of his reign, another " kind of Jews were feverely punished, viz. the judges of " the King's Bench, and of the Common Pleas, the barons " of Exchequer, and the judges itinerant." 2 Inst. 506.

The punishment alluded to was for taking bribes in the groffest manner from the parties in every case that occurred.

The contrast afforded by such pictures certainly adds to our relish of the present times.

### NOTE L, p. 26.

In the introduction to Crompton's Book of Practice, (p, 52) the concurrent jurisdiction of the Court of King's Bench is thus stated: "In this manner has the Court of " King's Bench obtained a concurrent jurisdiction, and

40 now holds as it were a divisum imperium with the Court of Common Pleas over all civil actions of a personal, and " fome of a mixt nature. Its original jurisdiction we shave seen of causes between subject and subject, was only of fuch as were of a tortious nature, as all trespasses, refcous, or pound-breach, actions of deceit, conspiracy, forcible ce entry, ejectment, replevin, and trespass on the case. Whereas " its acquired jurisdiction, or rather that jurisdiction which is by consequence only, as being founded upon the de-" fendant's being in the supposed or actual custody of the " marshal, comprehends all actions of contract, whether express or implied, debt, annuity, covenant, account, case " for negligence, nonfeasance, misseasance, and malfea-& fance."

The Court of King's Bench proceeds either by bill, or upon an original writ, from Chancery, at the option of the plaintiff-When upon an original writ, the course of proceeding is exactly the same as that of the Court of Common Pleas-1 Crompton, p. 15.—But there is this material difference between these two modes of commencing the action, that where an action of debt, detinue, covenant, account, case, ejectment, or trespass, is commenced in that court (which it is when it proceeds by bill) a writ of error lies to a court of appeal instituted by 27 Eliz. c. 8. called the Exchequer Chamber, confisting of the judges of the Common Pleas and barons of Exchequer; and from thence to the House of Lords-Whereas, if the action proceeded upon an original writ from Chancery, the above statute does not apply, and therefore no writ of error lies to the Court of Exchequer Chamber, but directly to the House

of Lords.

# NOTE M, p. 28.

This matter is fully stated by Coke, as follows: "The ce Court (of King's Bench) hath power to hold plea by so bill for debt, detinue, covenant, promife, and all other " personal

#### 158

### APPENDIX

" personal actions, ejectione firmæ, and the like, against any "that is in custodia mareschalli; or any officer, minister, " or clerk of the court; and the reason hereof is, that if they should be sued in any other court; they should have "the privilege of this court; and least there should be a " failure of justice (which is so much abhorred in law) "they shall be impleaded here by bill, though these actions ce be common pleas, and are not restrained by the said act of " Magna Charta, &c." " And all this appeareth by Bracce ton, who lived when Magna Charta was made, ubi supra " where he faith, 'Et aliorum omnium per querelam vel " c per privilegium, five libertatem; and continual expe-" rience concurreth with antiquity herein."-4 Inst. 71.

## NOTE N, p. 31.

The 7th of Henry the Fifth, against the makers and publishers of false deeds, is no exception to what is here stated.

## NOTE O, p. 34.

The proceedings which were thus supposed are before described. Note E. An original writ for summoning the defendant, with the answer or return to it by the sheriff, appear, however, upon the record of the proceedings as if they had really existed. - The empty form was preserved, and only the substantial operation of the writ thrown aside; because the officers of court, not the legislature, held those proceedings to be unnecessary, in as much as the fees of court could be kept up, though the proceedings were suppressed.

### NOTE P, p. 37.

It is proper here to observe, that fictions of law are in general so moulded and contrived as to promote the object they profess, viz. "the furtherance of justice:" but it might be otherwise; and it is natural to ask, why the legislature should leave the courts to such indirect modes of proceeding? "It is a pity," fays that great and venerable judge, Lord Mansfield, "that the legislature should be " filent, and force the courts, in order to attain the ends of justice, to invent legal subtleties, which do not come "up to the common understanding of mankind."-Douglas, 523.

"It is repugnant both to the duty and wisdom of law," as Mr. Eden (now Lord Aukland) observes in his "Principles "of Penal Law," "to feek any ends by the harsh and un-" feemly intervention of subterfuges and fictions. The " candour of legislation should ever be inviolable." P. 197.

The learned author of "Observations on the Statutes," observing upon the statute of Henry the Sixth, which makes it competent to meet by contrary evidence the fiction then made use of in the Marshalsea Court, that the plaintiff or defendant belonged to the king's houshold, expresses himself thus: "This seems to be a very wife pro-"vision of the legislature, as all such fictions derogate " from the proper weight and dignity in the proceedings " of a court of justice. Use may in some measure have " taken away from the ridicule of the fiction of a quo minus in the Exchequer, as well as other fictions; but the " nature of them cannot be thoroughly altered, fo as to make that proper which in its commencement was a " ridiculous and false surmise." P, 307.

The

The same author has the following note (p. 94) I still in infift that mystery should always be removed, especially when no one bad consequence can be suggested from such a removal." Fabian Phillips, in his Treatise on Capiases and Process of Outlawry, gives this account of the introduction of the lease and release used by our conveyancers: "It was first contrived by Serjeant Francis Moore, at the request of the Lord Norris, to the end that fome of his relations should not know what settlement he had made." P. 115.

### NOTE Q, p.41.

The flat. 8 Eliz. c. 2, authorizing the judges to give costs and damages in certain cases of vexatious arrests, takes notice of some of the abuses which were then practised in the following preamble: "Whereas divers persons of their malicious minds, and without any just cause, do many times cause and procure others of the queen's majesties " loving subjects to be very much molested and troubled " by attachments and arrests made of their bodies, as well " by process of latitat, &c. sued out of the court commonly called the King's Bench, as also by plaint, bill, &c. " in the court commonly called the Marshalsea, and within " the city of London, and other cities, &c.; and when the " parties that be arrested and attached are brought forth " to answer to such actions and suits as should be objected " against them, then many times there is no declaration or " matter laid against the parties so arrested or attached " whereunto they may make any answer; and so the party arrested is very maliciously put to great charges and ex-" pences, without any just or reasonable cause; and yet " nevertheless hitherto, by order of the law, the party so " grieved and vexed could never have any costs and da-" mages to him to be judged or awarded for the faid unjust " vexation

lest the party wronged to bring his action for the recovery of such costs and damages as should be awarded under it.

## NOTE, R, p. 42.

This statute, 13 Charles II. st. 2. c. 2. is entitled, & An act for prevention of vexations and oppressions by " arrests, and of delays in suits of law?" The preamble of it states, "That by the ancient and fundamental laws of this realm, in case where any person is sued, im-" pleaded, or arrested by any writ, bill, or process issuing out of any of his majesty's courts of record at Westminet ster, in any common plea at the suit of any common person, the true cause of action ought to be set forth and " particularly expressed in such writ, bill, or process, " whereby the defendant may have certain knowledge of " the cause of the suit; and the officer who shall execute " fuch writ, bill, or process, may know how to take security for the appearance of the defendant to the same; " and the furcties for fuch appearance may rightly under-" stand for what cause they become engaged. And whereas there is great complaint of the people of this realm, that, 66 for divers years now last past, very many of his majesty's " good subjects have been arrested upon general writs of " trespass, quare clausum fregit, bill of Middlesex, latitats, " and other like writs iffued out of the Courts of the King's Bench and Common Pleas, not expressing any " particular or certain cause of action, and thereupon kept prisoners for a long time for want of bail, bonds with " sureties for appearance having been demanded in so great " sums, that few or none have dared to be security for the ap-" pearance of such persons so arrested and imprisoned, although " in truth there have been little or no cause of action, and c oftentimes

163

oftentimes there are no such persons who are named plaintiffs; but those arrests have been many times procured by
malicious persons, to vex and oppress the defendants, or to
force from them unreasonable or unjust compositions for obtaining their liberty; and by such evil practices many have
been, and are daily undone, and destroyed in their estates,
without possibility of having reparation, the actors employed
in such practices having been (for the most part) poor and
ulrking persons, and their actings so secret, that it hath
been found very difficult to make true discoveries or proofs
thereof."

## NOTE S, p. 47.

The 12 Geo. I. c. 29, amended by 5 Geo. II. c. 27, and afterwards made perpetual, enacts, "That in all cases where " the cause of action shall not amount to the sum of ten pounds " or upwards, and the plaintiff or plaintiffs shall proceed by " way of process against the person, he, she, or they shall " not arrest or cause to be arrested the body of the defen-" dant or defendants; but shall serve him, her, or them, " personally within the jurisdiction of the court, with a copy " of the process; upon which shall be written an English " notice to fuch defendant, of the intent and meaning of " fuch fervice, for which no fee or reward shall be de-" manded or taken; provided nevertheless, that in particular franchises and jurisdictions, the proper officer there " shall execute such process." It is further enacted, " That in all cases where the plaintiff's cause of action " shall amount to the sum of ten pounds, or upwards, an " affidavit shall be made and filed of such cause of action; which affidavit may be made before any judge or comes missioner of the court out of which such process shall " issue,

se iffue, authorized to take fuch affidavits in fuch court, or es else before the officer who shall issue such process, or his « deputy; which oath fuch officer, or his deputy, are im-" powered to administer; and for such affidavit one shil-" ling, over and above the stamp duties, shall be paid, and " no more: and the fum or fums specified in such affidavit " shall be indorsed on the back of such writ or process; " for which fum or fums fo indorfed the sheriff or other " officer, to whom fuch writ or process shall be directed, " fhall take bail, and for no more." It is also enacted, "That if any writ or process shall issue for the sum of ten " pounds, or upwards, and no affidavit and indorfement " shall be made as aforefaid, the plaintiff or plaintiffs shall " not proceed to arrest the body of the defendant or de-" fendants, but shall proceed in like manner (except as to " the notice) as is directed in cases where the cause of ce action does not amount to the sum of ten pounds, or up-« wards."

The above is the general law: The direct exceptions to it are those in favour of volunteer seamen in his majesty's service, who by I Geo. II. c. 14, and subsequent acts, cannot be arrested for a debt under twenty pounds; and of soldiers, who have now the same exemption by the annual mutiny acts. There must be an affidavit of debt to the same amount by 11 & 12 W. III. c. 9, before a desendant residing in Wales or the counties palatine can be held to special bail upon any process issuing out of the courts at Westminster. The courts have also, in practice, imposed as many restrictions upon the arrest of desendants as could be imposed under their authority. These are to be found in the books of practice.

APPENDIX.

APPENDIX.

### NOTE T, p. 49.

Till within these very few years, this execution of the law against debtors descended even to the minutest cases, and fruitlessly locked up the person of the meanest labourer. The Courts of Conscience, established by different acts of parliament, who could not arrest a defendant upon mesne process, could yet imprison a debtor in execution for the smallest debt; and it appears that creditors were often fo vindictive and abfurd as to keep such miserable debtors in prison for the merest trifles. This might appear incredible, if it were not proved by the preamble of a statute so late as the 25th of his present majesty, c. 45, which is as follows: "Whereas, " by various acts of parliament now in force, and made to establish or regulate courts for the recovery of small " debts, particularly in the city of London, &c. there is no " uniform time limited for the duration of the imprisonment of a debtor against whom an execution, process of contempt, or precept in the nature of an execution, is " iffued, for non-payment of fuch debt and costs, sum or " fums of money, as by the order or orders of fuch courts " fuch debtor or defendant is ordered or directed to pay; " but fuch debrors and defendants are by fuch acts of par-" liament to be committed to prison, there to remain for an indefinite length of time, until he, she, or they, shall cc perform the order of the court or commissioners in that ce behalf; so that it frequently happens that a poor person, who is not of ability to pay a debt of or under forty shillings, ce is imprisoned for many months, AND SOMETIMES FOR K YEARS, WITHOUT A POSSIBILITY OF BEING DIS-" CHARGED."

The statute enacts, That where the debt does not exceed twenty shillings the debtor shall not be confined for more

more than twenty days; and when it does not amount to or exceed forty shillings, he shall not be confined for more than forty days.

### NOTE V, p. 49.

It is the effect of imprisonment in execution, by putting into the hands of the creditor the person, to withdraw from him the estate of his debtor. To those who are not accustomed to observe this consequence in practice, and to reason upon the principles which give rise to it, this appears a very fingular operation of law-and therefore it may not be altogether superfluous here to recite part of the statute of 21 James I. c. 24, which makes the creditor's right to execution against his imprisoned debtor's estate revive upon the debtor's death, if he should die in prifon. That statute states the law upon this subject, as follows: "Forafmuch as heretofore it hath been much " doubted and questioned if any person being in prison, " and charged in execution, by reason of any judgment siven against him, should afterwards happen to die in « execution, whether the party at whose suit, or to whom " fuch person stood charged in execution at the time of " his death, be for ever after concluded and barred to have « execution of the lands and goods of fuch person so 49 dying -And for asmuch as daily experience doth manifest that divers persons, of sufficiency in real and personal estate, " minding to deceive others of their just debts, for which they " flood charged in execution, have obstinately and wilfully chosen rather to live and die in prison than to make any se satisfaction according to their abilities; To prevent which deceit, and for the avoiding of fuch doubts and questions hereafter, Be it declared, explained, and enacted, &c. 66 that M 3

" that from and after the end of the present session of par-" liament the party or parties at whose suit, or to whom any person shall stand charged in execution for any debt " or damages recovered, his or their executors or adminif-" trators, may, after the death of the said person so charged and dying in execution, lawfully fue forth and have " new execution against the lands and tenements, goods and chattels, or any of them, of the person so deceased, " in fuch manner and form, to all intents and purposes, as " he or they, or any of them, might have had by the laws and statutes of this realm, if such person so deceased had never been taken or charged in execution."—But this flatute left the law as it was with regard to the debtor's estate during his life, nor has it yet been altered. The debtor's imprisonment protects his estate from the execution of all those creditors at whose suit he is imprisoned or detained.

## NOTE U, p. 49.

A fhort deduction shall here be given of the law of arrest and execution against the person, or of civil imprifonment, in Scotland:

In that country, where the laws are in some respects justly, but in others erroneously, supposed to bear harder upon the private rights of individuals than in England, no arrest of the person of a mere defendant, as the effect of ordinary process in an action, is or ever was suffered to take place. The plaintiff, however, has it in his power to attach, by arrestment, the money and effects of the defendant in the hands of third persons, till he give bail, or find caution to the amount of the arrestment, as a security for the fums which the plaintiff may eventually be entitled to recover upon the decree or judgment. He may also, by ferving

ferving upon him, and publishing a writ called an Inhibition, disable him from aliening his lands or real estate pending the action, and obtain a fecurity over them to answer the event. But if it be made appear to the Court of Session (the supreme civil court) that it is intended vexatiously or maliciously, they will stop the inhibition. These are the preventive measures which in Scotland stand in place of the arrest and imprisonment of defendants.

But in certain special cases there are summary arrests for civil causes. A foreigner may be so arrested for a debt due to an inhabitant of the country till he find bail. I Bankton, 461.—And upon the oath of a creditor, stating his debt, and that he has reason to think his debtor is in meditatione fugæ, intending to withdraw fuddenly from the kingdom, in order to disappoint his creditors, a warrant will immediately issue for arresting and imprisoning him till he find caution judicio sisti (i. e.) to appear in court. But the person so arrested will recover heavy damages if it appear that the arrest was without good reason; and the creditor may be obliged to give security to that effect. By special act of parliament (1672, c. 8) restrictive and correctory of former practice, " a " merchant" (which also means shopkeeper and retail dealer) "innkeeper or stabler," within a royal borough may arrest a stranger who resides without the borough, for articles furnished to him in the proper course of the creditor's dealing, till he give security to appear in the court of the borough; provided such merchant, &c. has no other fecurity for the debt than his own books. Border warrants, which take place on the border between England and Scotland, also afford instances of summary arrest in Scotland.

Anciently there was in Scotland no execution against the person of a debtor. It was not a natural idea that there should be any such execution: and the same principles which rendered it long inadmissible in England had the same effect in Scotland.

In

169

se anent payment of merchants debts." And the first section of it is in these words: "If ane merchant has proved his « debt owing to him, by an affize, or by any other manor ner, the mayor or alderman of the town, or the keeper

of the village, shall take and apprehend the debtor, if se he be ane laick man, wherever he may find him within

"his jurisdiction, and deliver him to the prison of the so town, if he has ane prison; and there the debtor shall

se remain upon his own proper expences until he fatisfy

" and pay the debt: and command shall be given to the

« keeper of the prison of the town, that he receive him at " the command of the mayor, or of the alderman. And if

the jaylor receive him he shall answer for the debt, if he

" has fo much in goods and geir."

Section 4. " If the debtor cannot find the way to pay the debt, the mayor or the jaylor, under his feal, shall certify the king's chancellor of the quantity and clearse ness of the debt, within ane quarter of ane year after the debtor was taken. And the moveable goods, and

se the lands pertaining to the debtor, shall be taken, com-" prifed, and given for payment of the debt.

5. "And if his goods are not sufficient for payment of

the debt, his body shall remain in prison until all the debt be paid, and the creditor shall find to him bread and water."

This statute contains many o her provisions respecting the debtor's estate and the creditor's security.

Soon after, a writ came in practice to be iffued at common law against every person who had become bound to do any specific act (ad factum præstandum, as it was technically expressed) by which he was ordered, in name of the king, to fulfil his obligation, or "furrender his person in ward," that is, go to prison; on pain of being declared a rebel.—And afterwards, by act of parliament, 1584, c. 139, ratifying an act of sederunt (i. e.) a general rule of

In the reign of Alexander the Second, and he began to reign in the year 1214, the law of execution for debt was fettled or declared by the following statute:

"If the debtor, or his tenants, has moveable goods, first of all they shall be distrained for payment of the debt to " the creditor.

2. "And if they have no distrainable goods, the sheriff " and the king's fervants, before the court rife, shall adver-

" tise the debtor that for inlaik (defect) of moveable goods, "they are bound by the law to fell his lands and possessions

" to fatisfy the creditor within fifteen days.

3. " The debtor not doing this within fifteen days, the " fheriff and the king's servants shall sell the lands and " possessions pertaining to the debtor, conform to the con-" suetude of the realm, until the creditor be satisfied of

" the principal fum, with damage, expences, and interest.

4. "And if the lands are holden of the king, the sheriff " shall infeft the buyer, by ane precept, who buys them.

5. "And if they be holden of ane baron, and he would " buy them, by the law he should have them.

6. "And if another man will take them upon the king's " price, the sheriff shall inseft him in such form as the " debtor possessed them, with all his rights and pertinents whatfomever.

7. " And at command of the sheriff the baron shall re-" ceive the buyer to be his tenant, and shall give him such co possession as the debtor had, without any question or im-" pediment."

Thus flood the creditor's right of execution in Scotland in the beginning of the thirteenth century.

In the beginning of the fourteenth century the right of execution against the persons of debtors was introduced in favour of merchants, by a statute merchant for Scotland, of the same import, in that respect, with the statute merchant which had passed some little time before in England. This was by the 2 stat. of Robert the First, c. 19. It is entitled

" aneni

the Court of Session, made two years before, a writ was authorized, by which all debtors in sums of money ascertained by judgment were commanded to pay, on pain of rebellion: and if they were declared or denounced rebels, a writ issued for seizing and imprisoning their persons. Upon this footing the law of personal execution was surther extended and regulated by various acts of parliament, and of sederunt, till it came to be fixed and established.

Execution against the person, as thus established, proceeds either upon the decree or judgment of a competent court—or a regular bond, or written obligation, containing a clause of consent that such execution shall issue, and made a record of court by registration—or, upon a bill of exchange or promissory note, duly protested, and also made a record of court by registration. The exemplification, or extract, as it is called, upon such registration, either implies or contains a precept or warrant for execution.

The precepts or warrants of inferior courts, however, do not (with one exception) of themselves authorize imprisonment. They only authorize the proper officer to charge or command the debtor to pay within a certain number of days; after which his effects, within the jurisdiction of the court from which the warrant issues, can be pointed (i. e.) seized and delivered to the creditor, by appraisement, towards satisfaction of the debt.

The exception above alluded to respects the precepts of the magistrates of royal boroughs, who can imprison the debtor upon what is called an act of warding, if he fail to pay after the time allowed to him by the precept for paying the debt is elapsed. Of this privilege the magistrates of royal boroughs have been long in possession. It is with reason supposed to be the remains, in practice, of the statute merchant.

In all other cases of execution, the imprisonment of the debtor proceeds upon writs or warrants which issue from the Court of Session;—the nature and course of which may be very shortly stated.

Letters

Letters of horning, as they are termed, (for a reason which will appear in the sequel) are the writs which must first issue and run their course, before imprisonment in execution can take place. They proceed, of course, upon the decrees or judgments of the Court of Session, or upon the written instruments already mentioned, when registered in that court; and they also proceed upon the decrees or judgments of inferior courts, or such written instruments registered in such inserior courts; but not till warrants are obtained from the Court of Session, authorizing the execution. Such warrants are granted of course, upon production of the precepts of the inferior court, after the expiration of the inducive allowed to the debtors by such precepts.

The writ thus issuing under the authority of the Court of Session is of the nature which has already been generally mentioned, viz. a command in the king's name, to do the thing or pay the money which the judgment or precept of the court ordered the person against whom it issued to do or to pay, within a certain number of days (which is different in different cases, but in no case, unless when the debtor refides in Orkney or Shetland, does it exceed fifteen days) " under the pain of rebellion, and putting him to the horn." It also contains a warrant for poinding the debtor's effects. A civil rebellion is the legal consequence of his failing to obey this writ; and unless he shew good cause to the. Court of Session why he should not obey it, by a bill of sufpension, that is, a petition to have the execution suspended, he is declared a rebel at the Market Cross of Edinburgh, or of the head borough of the district wherein he resides. This is supposed to be done with great solemnity, by proclamation of Oyeses, and blast of horn. The horn was the trumpet of simple times.

When the debtor has been proclaimed or "denounced" a rebel, and put to the horn by virtue of the letters of horning, which appears by the return of the proper officer upon the writ; and when the horning, and the service of it with

he

the "denunciation," have been recorded in a special register appointed for that purpose, another summary application is made to the Court of Session, and letters of caption or capias issue of course. By this writ the king commands his sheriffs and messengers to "fearch for and apprehend" the debtor, and put him in prison, there to remain on his own expences till he obey the command of the former letters or writ; that is, till he do the thing or pay the debt required. Upon this writ, which contains a warrant to break open locks and doors in executing it, he may be immediately seized and imprisoned, wherever he can be found within Scotland.

These writes of horning and caption, with all other warrants of execution authorized by the Court of Session, pass the royal signet, being first signed by one of the clerks or writers to his majesty's signet—a respectable body of men, whose official functions, privileges, and rank in the law of Scotland, cannot be described by any thing analogous to them in England.

A person imprisoned by letters of caption under the authority of the Court of Session, and of his majesty's signet, as already described, may be set at liberty, according to modern practice, upon the discharge or acquittance of his creditor or creditors so imprisoning or detaining him: but there are other modes in which he may by law regain his liberty—and these are now to be shortly stated.

A bill of suspension has been already described as a petition to the Court of Session, shewing cause why execution upon a decree or precept ought to be stayed or suspended. This bill or petition is also signed by a clerk or writer to the signet, as the writs of execution are so signed; and if the desire of the petition is granted, a writ called letters of suspension is issued under the signet, and signed in the same manner, commanding all officers of the law to desist from putting the decree or judgment or precept in execution.

tion. But such letters staying execution, which, in spite of every attention in the power of the judges, are productive of much delay and vexation to just creditors, cannot, in the general case, pass till caution or bail is found for the amount of the sum in dispute, and of such costs or damages as may afterwards be awarded by the court, in case the execution shall ultimately be ordered to proceed—the sufficiency of the bail or caution being approved by an officer of court, whose business it is to make the necessary enquiries, and who is responsible for the discharge of his duty. If the debtor cannot give sufficient caution, he must make oath that it is the best he can give, and at the same time execute a disposition or conveyance omnium bonorum as a further security. This is technically called juratory caution.

The proceeding by suspension usually takes place where the debtor thinks he has grounds for it, before he is imprisoned: but even after he is so imprisoned he may apply to the Court of Session by a similar bill or petition for having the execution suspended, with an additional prayer to discharge him out of custody while the matter is in dependence. This, when passed, is called a suspension and liberation, and is not passed without much precaution and deliberation.

A civil debtor in prison, who makes oath that he is not able to defray the expence of his subsistence, may be discharged out of prison by the judge or magistrate of the jurisdiction, unless the creditor at whose suit he is in prison agree, within ten days after a petition has been served upon him, to pay his debtor for subsistence not under three pence a day. This is by a special act of parliament (1696, c. 32.) which has been called an act of grace. Its operation is not restricted to the case of debtors within a certain amount, nor does it afford any suture protection either to the person or estate of the debtor; but he is entitled to his action for damages,

damages, if he is imprisoned by the creditor for the same debt without any new reason for so doing; that is, if he is to imprisoned oppressively.

But the general remedy in Scotland to all debtors who are imprisoned for civil debts which they cannot pay, and who have not been guilty of fraud, is the action of ceffio bonorum; a proceeding which has its imperfections, but is founded upon a just and rational principle.

The action of ceffio bonorum is a very ancient common law remedy. It proceeds upon the principle, in Scotch law, that the fole purpose of imprisonment is to force the debtor, fqualore carceris, to give every fatisfaction in his power, or make a full discovery of his effects. Imprisonment for debt is not there considered either as a punishment or a satisfaction, but as affording means which may lead to both.

The law declares, therefore, that an imprisoned debtor who has committed no fraud, and has no effects to deliver up, or is willing to discover all that he has, is entitled to his discharge: and yet that in certain cases, even without fraud, he is liable to punishment.

When a debtor has been one month in prison he may bring his action of ceffio bonorum before the Court of Session, which states the cause of his insolvency, and his readiness to deliver up all his effects, and, having made his creditors parties, demands his release, as matter of common right. "He " must exhibit upon oath a particular inventory of his " eftate, and depose that he has neither heritage nor move-" ables, other than is contained in that inventory, and that " he hath made no conveyance of any part thereof fince " his imprisonment, to the prejudice of his creditors: he " must also declare upon oath whether he hath made any " fuch conveyance before his imprisonment, and point out " the persons to whom, and the cause of granting it; that the court may judge whether he has by any fraudulent or col-" lusive practice forseited his claim to liberty; and he must make over to his creditors the whole of his estate abso-" lutely, and without the least reservation."

If any thing fraudulent appear against him, he is confidered as one who has "forfeited his claim to liberty," and is denied the benefit of the action. But if no fraud appear, and if he deliver up his effects, and in all other respects conform himself to the rules and orders of court, a decree is pronounced in his favour, and he is discharged out of cuftody.

The effect of this decree is as follows—

With regard to his person, it frees him from future imprisonment for debts then due to those creditors whom he made parties to the action. Those who had not an opportunity of opposing the decree are not bound by it.

With regard to his eftate, future acquisitions are not protected by it: they are liable to the creditor's execution as if there had been no fuch proceeding; with the refervation only, out of fuch future estate, of a beneficium competentiæ, that is, as much as the court shall think necessary for the debtor's subsistence.

And with regard to his reputation, the decree of cession was formerly made to carry along with it circumstances of difgrace to the person who obtained it, which it is imposfible to reconcile with the ideas and principles on which it proceeded. Although the infolvent could not obtain it if he had been guilty of any thing fraudulent, or without difcovering and giving up his effects, yet by act of sederunt. or general rule of the Court of Session, May 17, 1606, a pillory was ordered to be erected at the Market Cross of Edinburgh, with a feat upon it, where all fuch infolvents difcharged by cessio bonorum were once to be exposed, on a market day at noon, with a hat or bonnet of yellow colour, to be worn constantly by them, under the pain of three months impriforment if apprehended at any time without it: and in the year 1669 another act of sederunt was made upon this sub-

# APPENDIX

ject; in these words: "The lords ordain that in all ded" creets of bonorum to be decerned hereaster, there be an express clause insert, ordaining the party in whose factor vour the bonorum is granted to wear the habit of bankrupt; and that they take on the habit before they come out (of prison) and come out therewith; and that it shall be lawful and free to their creditors to seize and imprison any of them whenever they shall be found wanting the habit upon them. And the lords declare that the habit is to be a coat or upper garment, which is to cover their clothes, body, and arms, whereof the one half is to be of a yellow, and the other half of a brown colour, and a cap or hood which they are to wear on their head, as faid is."

It is remarkable that this permanent mark of difgrace was thus to be inflicted indifcriminately upon all infolvents, or, as they were technically termed, dyvours, or bankrupts, discharged by cessio bonorum; but a severity so rigorous, and often so preposterous and unjust, could not fail in time to correctiffelf. Accordingly about twenty years after, the Lords of Session declared, indirectly, by another act of sederunt, (July 18, 1688) that they would dispense with the partycoloured habit in cases of insolvency through mere missortune; but by act of parliament 1696, c. 5. they were prohibited from difpenfing with it in any other case, and unless such misfortunes were clearly alledged and proved.— This continues to be the law at this day; and although it is now hardly ever put in practice, yet there have been some instances, but a few years back, where the Court of Session have refused to dispense with the habit.

The result of the whole is, that in Scotland no defendant is in the ordinary course of process liable to arrest: that no debtor can be arrested or imprisoned in execution without a previous charge or notice, by service of a writ ordering him to pay within a certain number of days: that upon the expiration

piration of that time, if he has not obtained a stay of execution, upon cause shewn, and bail or caution given, he may upon another writ or capias be immediately arrested and imprisoned: that, if he is so arrested unjustly, he may, upon good cause shewn, obtain his release by a suspension and liberation: that if he has no cause to shew against the debt or proceedings, but yet has done nothing fraudulent, he is certain of regaining his liberty by the action of ceffio bonorum; while his creditors, who are made parties, lose nothing but the power of again putting him in prison: that although he has done nothing directly fraudulent, yet if his infolvency has not arisen from mere misfortunes, as, for example, if it has arisen from gross extravagance, or from losses in the course of an illicit trade, the infolvent or bankrupt (for these words are on this subject used synonymously) may be exposed to public reproach, by being obliged to wear a partycoloured habit: and that if he has done any thing fraudulent, he is confidered as one who has forfeited his claim to liberty.

It need hardly be added, that as civil imprisonment in Scotland is only for the purpose of discovery and coercion, and is not considered in any sense as a satisfaction, a creditor who has imprisoned his debtor is not thereby deprived of his right of execution against his estate or essects. Whatever may have been the case anciently (for the learned Craig has said that the law was different in his time, but was soon altered) it has for these two last centuries been the law of Scotland that the creditor can resort to execution against his imprisoned debtor's lands and goods, as a concurrent remedy.

By the laws of Holland no defendant can, as such, be arrested on process in the action. A debtor only, after

after judgment obtained against him, may be imprisoned. But he has the action of ceffio bonorum, by which an honest infolvent debtor regains his liberty, as in Scotland-And the laws of some of the great trading cities of Germany are nearly the same.

### NOTE W, p. 53.

The Marquis of Beccaria, in his Essay on Crimes and Punishments, treating of the imprisonment of a person who by misfortune has been deprived of the means of paying his debts, expresses himself thus: "Why is he ranked " with criminals, and, in despair, compelled to repent of "his honesty? Conscious of his innocence he lived easy and happy under the protection of those laws which, it is « true, he violated, but not intentionally; laws dictated co by the avarice of the rich, and accepted by the poor, 66 feduced by that univerfal flattering hope which makes e men believe that all unlucky accidents are the lot of others, and the most fortunate only their share. Mankind, when influenced by the first impressions, love cruel ce laws, although, being subject to them themselves, it is so the interest of every person that they should be as mild " as possible; but the fear of being injured is always "more prevalent than the intention of injuring others." Chap. 34.

#### NOTE X, p. 59.

The practice of the Court of Common Pleas is different from that of the Court of King's Bench in receiving affidavits of debt to hold to bail. In the Court of Common Pleas the defendant may file a cross affidavit, and then the plaintiff may file an additional one in supplement of the former; consequently it is not thought necessary that the affidavit should be so positive in the Court of Common Pleas as in the Court of King's Bench. See 1 Term Reports, 716.

### NOTE Y, p. 63.

Puffendorff, as translated by Barbeyrac, expresses himself upon this subject as follows: "Car comme l'avenir est incertain par rapport aux hommes, et q'ainsi il peut arri-" ver, ou que nos forces diminuent par quelque accident ss imprevu, ou q'une revolution foudaine nous fasse per-" dre l'occasion d'agir, ou nous la rende du moins « plus difficile a trouver; comme d'ailleurs toute le péné-« tration du monde n'empêche pas que l'on ne se trompe « souvent dans l'examen de ses propres forces, et des difficultés de la chose a quoi l'on s'engage: on doit toujours " presumer que les contractans n'ont jamais perdu de vue " la foiblesse de la nature humaine, et q'ainsi en determi-" nant ce qu'ils devoient faire a l'avenir les uns pour les autres, ils ont supposé, comme une condition tacite, que leur " forces, et l'occasion d'agir, demeurassent au même état,

" ou qu'ils n'eussent pas conçu une trop haute ideé de leur pouvoir présent. En ces cas la, on a raison de dire, que celui qui fait tout ce qui depend de lui, remplit exactement son devoir; sur tout si, dans l'execution même, il survient quelque obstacle invincible qui en empêche l'effet, ou qui le detourne ailleurs."—Puffendorff de Jure Gentium et Naturæ, traduit par Barbeyrac, lib 3, c. 7, § 4.

Barbeyrac's translation is quoted, because the translator is himself an authority, as well as a commentator upon his author.

# NOTE Z, p. 63.

We have the authority of the legislature to fay, that infolvency is legally confident with honesty.—The preamble to the 22 & 23 Car. II. c. 20. is in the following words: " Forasmuch as very many persons now detained in prison " are miserably impoverished, either by reason of the late unhappy times, the sad and dreadful fire, their own misce fortunes, or otherwise, so as they are totally disabled to es give any satisfaction to their creditors, and so become, without advantage to any, a charge and burden to the « kingdom, and by noisomeness (inseparably incident to « extreme poverty) may become the occasion of pestilence " and contagious difeases, to the great prejudice of the « kingdom," &c. And section 13 of that act, after stating the practice of lodging debtors and felons in one room and bed, goes on thus: "Whereby many times honest " gentlemen, tradesmen, and others, prisoners for debt, are " disturbed," &c.

NOTE A A, p. 66.

If it were not the general idea, fortified by authority, that punishment is a leading principle of the present law of imprisonment for debt, so much should not have been said to shew that it is utterly inconsistent in all its parts with the principle of punishment; more especially as the matter seems to be decided by Lord Coke, in the following passage: "A man in prison by process of law ought to be kept in salva et arsta custodia, and by the law ought not to go out, though it be with a keeper, and with the leave and sufferance of the gaoler; but yet imprisonment must be custodia et non pæna, for (speaking of civil imprisonment) Carcer ad homines custodiendos, non ad puniendos dari debet." I Inst. 260.

And the idea of imprisonment for debt being for the purpose of coercion by means of close confinement, seems to have been sufficiently established in the reign of Richard the Second, by a statute for the purpose of preventing prisoners for debt from being suffered to go at large, and thereby enjoying " greater sweet of prison," as it is whimsically enough expressed, than they ought to have. By that statute (I Richard II. c. 12.) "It is," among other things, " ordained, that if any, at the suit of the party judged to « another prison for debt, trespass, or other quarrel, will confess himself voluntarily, and by a seigned cause debtor " to the King; and by that means to be judged to the faid ce prison of the Fleet, there to have greater sweet of prison "than elsewhere, and so to delay the party of his recovery; " the same recognizance shall be there received, and if he be " not debtor to the King of record, his body shall incontinently be remanded to the prison where he was before, " there N 3

"there to remain till he hath made gree (tan qil avera fait gree) to the faid party, and, the fame gree made, he shall immediately be sent again to the Fleet, there to abide till he hath made gree to the King of his recognizance aforesaid."

### NOTE BB, p. 70.

It is wonderful that mifers do not more frequently contract debts, purchase lands or goods, and then provoke imprisonment: for the chief enjoyment of avarice arises from that fort of felf-gratulation which the reflections of a mifer fuggest, when he compares his own abundant means, with the wants of the wretched or improvident around him; and as nothing but fear can restrain him from acts of villainy for the increase of that store which is the basis of his ideal fuperiority, a voluntary imprisonment would, to such a man, be a fafe and profitable species of robbery. He could not be hanged; his acquisitions would be secured to him for life; and his place of residence might afford him the best possible opportunities of relishing the poverty of others. -In fact, many fuch instances did occur before the statute of James the First (already stated in note N) as appears from the preamble of the second section, in these words: " And for a fmuch as daily experience doth manifest that divers et persons of sufficiency in real and personal estate, minding to " deceive others of their just debts, for which they stood charged " in execution, have obstinately and wilfully chosen rather to " live and die in prison than to make any satisfaction accord-" ing to their abilities." - And as that statute only restored to the creditor his right of execution against the debtor's estate if he died in prison, such instances as are there deferibed have fince, not unfrequently, occurred; to the amazement

amazement of unprofessional men, who cannot conceive from what cause or principle so strange a consequence should follow.

This arises from the position in law, stated in general p. 61, and considered in the chapter now referred to, that imprisonment in execution is of itself a satisfaction to the creditor. Sir William Blackstone has said that "the confinement of the debtor's body" is considered in law as even "an ample satisfaction to the creditor," and "that the confinement is the whole of the debtor's punishment, and of the satisfaction made to the creditor." Nor can this fort of execution require, or indeed, upon the above principle, admit of supplementary aid by means of any other; so that although it may be resorted to for the purpose of making up for the defects or inessicacy of other modes of execution, it has been shewn that no other mode of execution can come in aid of it. The maxim on this subject has been, corpus humanum non recipit assimationem.

The books of practice state this remarkable part of the slaw thus: "An elegit" (that is, an execution against the debtor's lands) "may be had after a fieri facias" (that is, an execution against his goods.) "But if once the body is taken there cannot be a fieri facias, or elegit; for the body is deemed the highest satisfaction the plaintist can have."—"If you first sue out a fieri facias against the defendant's goods, and levy part thereof, you may afterwards have a capias ad satisfaciendum against his body for the residue, or a second fieri facias or an elegit for the residue; but if you first imprison upon a capias ad satisfaciendum, you cannot have a fieri facias or an elegit elegit."

So completely is it established that imprisonment in execution is a full and ample satisfaction to the creditor: and accordingly many distinctions will be found in the books, in cases of escapes, which are intelligible upon that principle only.

### NOTE CC, p. 72.

Barbeyrac, in a note upon Puffendorff, expresses himself on this subject thus: "On avoit crû que chez les Romains, "une loi de 12 tables permettoit aux créanciers de mettre en pieces le corps de leur debiteur, et de le partager entre eux; et cette opinion etoit même appuiée sur l'autorité de Quinstilian, Inst. Orat. lib. 3. c. 6, d'Aulu Gelle, Nost. "Att. lib. 20. c. 1, et de Tertullian, Apologet. cap. 4. Mais Monsieur de Bynkersbock vient de prouver evidemment, dans ses Observations, lib. 1. c. 1. qu'il s'agit la seulement d'une vente de la personne même du debiteur, saite par voie d'ençan." Lib. 3. c. 7. n. 7.

The law and practice of the Romans respecting debtors were indeed sufficiently cruel and barbarous, without any such hideous and absurd brutality as has been ascribed by certain authors to that extraordinary people. In the early ages of the republic, and before the introduction of the cession bonorum, the person of the debtor was the property of his creditor, who either sold him as a common slave, or confined him in privato carcere; whipping and torturing him occasionally, either for the purpose of making him discover effects, or of gratifying a savage resentment.

# NOTE DD, p. 72.

Were it necessary to prove that this is not speculation unsupported by fact—that while it is certain there are many debtors who deserve the utmost severity of law, there are also many creditors who persist, with the most absurd and unseeling obstinacy, in confining debtors who have have evidently failed through misfortune, and are absolutely unable to discharge their debts — were it necessary to prove this by facts, the multitude of debtors who were thrown into prison after the great fire of London, many of whom had lost their all, and been rendered insolvent by that great calamity, and who were nevertheless kept in gaol, and the most wretched misery, by their brutal creditors, would afford sufficient evidence to support the affertion, that such things do very frequently happen. The fact alluded to is known historically, and proved by the preamble of the 22 & 23 Car. II. c. 20.

Nay repeated instances have occurred, even of late, where creditors persisted in keeping the body of a debtor in gaol after the mind was gone, that is, after he had become insane.—And the courts have been obliged to resuse (certainly with reluctance) to discharge debtors who were admitted to be in that miserable situation. See Kernot against Norman, 2 Term Rep. 390.—There are even instances of persons arrested when in a state of insanity; and the Court of King's Bench was under the necessity of resusing an application last Michaelmas term to discharge a man whom his creditor, knowing him to be insane, had so arrested, and still kept in prison, though raving mad, as a debtor in execution.

Were further evidence yet necessary, it might be found in the proceedings of a charitable society to be particularly noticed in the sequel,

# NOTE EE, p. 74.

A very ingenious man and philosophic lawyer, observing upon the litis contestatio of the Romans, after mentioning that it ceased to be a judicial contract, goes on in these words: "But then it was defined to be a quasi contract, which,

which, in plain language, is faying, that it hath nothing of a contract except the name."

Lord Kaims's Law Trasts, 296.

### NOTE FF, p. 85.

Without meaning to adopt the following opinion of Puffendorff, it may be of some use to contrast it with the arguments quoted in this chapter from Sir William Black-stone. "Considerandum preterea hic est, quam quis causam aut necessitatem habuerit debitum contrahendi. Prout enim hæc gravis aut levis suerit, savor debitoris inopis aut miseratio intenditur aut remittitur. Unde recte duriore habentur conditione mercatores, etiam qui per casum fortuitum solvendo esse desierunt, quam alii qui ex peculiari quadam necessitate pecunias mutuo sumere sunt adacti. Illos enim lucri cupido debita contrahere subigit. Et cum ipsi artem ditescendi prositeantur vix culpa carent qui etiam fortuito non præcaverunt; puta, qui omnes suas fortunas uni casui exposuerunt."

Puff. de Jure Nat. et Gentium, lib. 3. c.7. § 3.

Sir William Blackstone's Commentaries have been particularly referred to, because that work is not confined to the collections of lawyers, but justly holds a distinguished place in the library of every gentleman. It is an authority, therefore, which can be consulted by every body.

### NOTE GG, p.88.

The application of this principle in the bankrupt laws perhaps requires correction. The object of it is, that deferving men, who have suffered by innocent errors and miffortunes in trade, shall be protected, and preserved to their country; but that deferving men only, (as far as law can diffinguish that character) shall be so protected. The objection is, that the law does not discriminate so much as it might: that provided a man has sufficient address to procure a concurrence of four-fifths of his creditors, and no direct act of fraud appear against him, he may receive the protection of the bankrupt law in its fullest extent (if no objection is stated to the lord chancellor) although he has been all along playing at hazard in trade, with the money of others, and wilfully exposing them to the utmost jeopardy, merely to purchase to himself a very remote chance of gain, or immediate support in a line many degrees above his fair pretentions. This, it must be confessed, is a delicate subject, and too many conditions might defeat one great object of the law; but furely, upon the fame principle that gaming to a certain extent, or giving a certain fum of money to a daughter upon her marriage, are circumstances which by the statute exclude the bankrupt from all benefit under it; others still more prevalent, in that line of life, might be added. Were there more precaution in that respect, so as to place in the situation of common infolvents those bankrupt traders who have been guilty of certain mal-practices which admit of definition, there would not be so much room for the reflection, that the bankrupt law is much less resorted to for the benefit of creditors than for that of debtors—and men of integrity would be less frequently shocked at the unprincipled arrogance of those stately bankrupts who are to be seen in all the public walks of trade, looking down upon their indigent creditors, and grown great by dishonesty.

APPENDIX.

### NOTE HH, p. 111.

That part of the Lords Act which relates to the difcharge of the prisoner, after having directed the manner of his being brought up, the oath he is to take, and the affignment and conveyance he is to execute in favour of his creditors, proceeds (section 13) in these words: "And " upon every fuch affignment and conveyance being executed by any fuch prisoner or prisoners, he, she, or they s fhall be discharged out of custody by rule or order of fuch court, which shall be petitioned by any such " prisoner; and such rule or order being produced to, and « a copy thereof being left with, any fuch fheriff, gaoler, " or keeper of any prison as aforesaid, shall be a sufficient " warrant to him to discharge and set at liberty forthwith « every fuch prisoner and prisoners, who shall be ordered as so aforesaid to be discharged, without taking any see, or « detaining him, her, or them, in respect of any demand " of any fuch sheriff, warden, marshal, gaoler, or keeper, " for or in respect of chamber rent or lodging, or otherwife, or for or in respect of any fees theretofore claim-" ed or due to any fuch sheriff, &c. or any employed by " or under him or them; and no fuch sheriff, &c. shall, " afterwards be liable to any action of escape, or other suit " or information on that account, or for what he shall do « in pursuance of this act; and the person or persons to whom the estate and effects of any such prisoner or coprisoners shall be affigned and conveyed, shall, with all " convenient speed, sell and dispose of the estates and effects " of every fuch prisoner which shall be so affigned and conveyed; and shall divide the nett produce of all such estates and effects among the creditors of every such pri-" foner and prisoners, if more than one, who shall have " charged

s charged every such prisoner in execution, before the time of se such prisoner's petition to be discharged shall have been pre-" fented, rateably and in proportion to their respective " debts; but in case the person or persons at whose suit " any fuch prisoner or prisoners stood charged in execution as aforesaid shall not be satisfied with the truth of any " fuch prisoner's oath; and shall either personally, or by his, " her, or their attorney, if he, she, or they cannot per-" fonally attend, and proof shall be made thereof to the se fatisfaction of any fuch court as aforesaid, defire further "time to inform him, her, or themselves, of the matters contained therein, any fuch court may remand any fuch " prisoner or prisoners, and direct him, her, or them, and " the person or persons dissatisfied as aforesaid with such oath, " to appear either in person, or by his, her, or their attorney, on some other day, to be appointed by such said court, " some time at furthest within the first week of the term " next following the time of fuch examination, but sooner " if any fuch court shall so think fit; and all objections which shall be made as to the insufficiency in point of " form against any prisoner's schedule of his estates and " effects, shall be only made the first time any such pri-" foner shall be brought up; and if at such second day " which shall be appointed the creditor or creditors disla-" tisfied with fuch oath shall make default in appearing, " either in person, or by his, her, or their attorney; or in " case he, she, or they shall appear, if he, she, or they " shall be unable to discover any estate or effects of the prisoner " omitted in the account set forth in such his or her petition, "then and in any fuch case such court shall, by rule or order thereof, immediately cause the said prisoner or pri-" foners to be discharged, upon such prisoner or prisoners exe-" cuting such assignment and conveyance of his or her estates and effects in manner as affignments and conveyances " of prisoners estates and effects are hereinbefore directed " to be made, UNLESS such creditor or creditors who shall " have

s have charged any such prisoner in execution as aforesaid, co his, her, or their executors or administrators, DOTH OR DO " INSIST UPON SUCH PRISONER OR PRISONERS BE-" ING DETAINED IN PRISON, and shall agree, by wri-"ing figned with his, her, or their name or names, mark cor marks, or under the hand of his, her, or their attor-"ney, in case any such creditor or creditors, his, her, or se their executors or administrators, shall be out of England, " to pay and allow weekly a fum not exceeding two shillings s and four pence, as any such court shall think fit, unto the " faid prisoner, to be paid every Monday in every week, so « long as any fuch prisoner shall continue in prison in execucution at the fuit of any such creditor or creditors; and in every se such case every such prisoner and prisoners shall be remanded " back to the prison or gaol from whence he, she, or they was or were so brought up, there to continue in execution; but if any failure shall at any time be made in the payment of the weekly turn which shall be ordered by any such court " to be paid to any fuch prisoner, fuch prisoner, upon ap-" plication in term time to the court where the fuit in which any fuch prisoner shall be charged in execution was commenced or shall have been carried on, or in the of prison of which court any fuch prisoner shall stand com-" mitted on any habeas corpus, or in vacation time to any " judge of any fuch court, may, by the order of any fuch court or judge, be discharged out of custody on every se such execution, proof being made before such court or " judge on oath of the non-payment for any week of the " fum of money ordered and agreed to be weekly " paid; but every fuch prisoner and prisoners, before " he, she, or they shall be so discharged out of custody by any such rule or order, shall execute an affign-" ment and conveyance of his, her, or their estates and " effects in manner hereinbefore directed: and if any " prisoner who shall petition or apply for his, her, or their discharge under this act, shall refuse to take the es faid oath hereinbefore directed to be taken, or taking "the fame, shall afterwards be detected before any such " court or judge of falfity therein, or shall refuse to execute fuch affignment and conveyance of his, her, or their " estates and effects as aforesaid, as hereinbefore is required to be made by him, her, or them respectively, he, " fhe, or they shall be presently remanded and continue " in execution.—Sect. 14. Provided always, and be " it further enacted, That when more creditors than one " shall charge any prisoner or prisoners in execution, and " shall defire to have such prisoner or prisoners detained in prison, " each and every fuch creditor and creditors shall only re-" spectively pay such weekly sum of money, not exceeding " one shilling and fix pence a week, on every Monday in every week, to or for fuch respective prisoner, as the " court before whom any fuch prisoner or prisoners shall " be brought up to be discharged, shall at the time of his, "her, or their being remanded, on such note for the payment of the weekly fum ordered to be paid being given; " direct or appoint."

The rest of the act is taken up with the proceedings appointed to be followed for disposing of the insolvent's effects, and diffributing the produce among the creditors purposes which cannot in any case be accomplished without the necessity of many and very complicated proceedings; and yet it can hardly be supposed that there are many imprisoned infolvents under the description of this act whose effects amount to any thing upon which those provisions can operate. But it is impossible to draw a line. Laws which aim, with minute and trivial attentions, to accomplish too much, foon end in nothing. In many cases it feems impossible to observe them, and therefore they come to be neglected in all.

## NOTE 11, p. 113.

In May 1773 a fociety was instituted for the relief and discharge of unfortunate persons imprisoned for small debts. throughout England. Lord Romney was prefident; and Lord Viscount Beauchamp, Lord Chief Baron Smythe, Mr. Justice Nares, and John Thornton, Esq; were viceprefidents. They had before received benefactions, and acted upon the plan then adopted; fo that they began, as a regular fociety, with a report of proceedings during the course of no more than fifteen months preceding, which cannot but appear extremely striking. They had, with only f. 2892. 195. 4d. discharged no sewer than 986 prisoners, who had 566 wives and 2389 children; that is, they had in the course of fifteen months relieved from a state of misery very near four thousand persons; the greater number of Whom were manufacturers, seamen, and labourers.

This is a remarkable fact, which can hardly fail to produce a very strong impression on every reflecting mind.

The fociety went on, and still proceed with equal success and effect. They publish annually a statement of their proceedings; and the following extract from their last year's account may be considered not only as curious, but extremely pertinent to the present subject.

The account was figned by the members present at their general court, held the 5th of May 1790, and the following persons are there mentioned as forming that court: The Right Hon. Lord Romney, President.

The Right Hon. Earl Radnor, The Right Hon. Lord Viscount Beauchamp, The Hon. Philip Pusey, dents. Sir Charles Middleton, Bart. James Neild, Esq; Treasurer, Sir Joseph Andrews, Bart. Rev. John Hunt,

Rev.

Rev. John Grindley, Gustavus Adolphus Kempenfelt, Esq: William Townsend, Esq; Joseph Waring, Esq; Frederick Mathew, Efg; Josiah Dornford, Esq; Mr. Thomas Dawes, Mr. Samuel Welchman, Mr. Charles Steuart. Mr. Graffwell, Secretary.

It exhibits the following interesting view of the proceedings from the beginning:

eccungs nom th					
	N° of debto discharged	rs 	f.	s.	d.
From 1772 to 1	774—1722	for the fum o	f 4622		
	775— 996		1724		
and the second of the second o	776— 673				
the state of the s	777— 877		1842		
			1729		
	778— 779	-	1764		
	779— 811		1611		3
_	780-628		1288	17	1
	781— 321	-	828	15	9
I	78 <b>2— 3</b> 89		935	3	9
	78 <b>3</b> — 547	-	1121		
	7 <sup>8</sup> 4— 535	-	996		3
	785— 463	-	904	9	I
	786— 339		715		9
7	7 <sup>8</sup> 7— 343		A Section 1		
	788— 710		749		10
			1566		24
· ·	789— 612	•	1926		31/2
1	790— 798		2303	9	3 =
			<del></del>		*******
	11543				
and the second s	had 7112 1				
	and 21531 (	children.			
		Persons 7			
	40180	immediately }	26631	4	3 <sup>±</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
	Ĺ	benefited, for			
	O			7	That

That upwards of forty thousand persons should have been relieved at the expence of little more, upon the average, than forty shillings each debtor, and not above thirteen shillings (as the account states) for each individual of the families belonging to the debtors released, is a fact which warrants many inferences. But in order to give it its proper weight, some particulars, from the society's plan and minutes, must be stated.

They compound the debt; and the highest composition for any one debt is ten pounds. They give many instances of persons who had never been suspected or accused of any act of dishonesty, and had nevertheless lain for years in prison for a sum which they were able, according to these rules, to compound for ten pounds. One instance may be mentioned to shew the extreme folly as well as cruelty of such conduct. A young man who had an employment in one of the public offices, with a wife and five children, having been unable to discharge some demands for the support of his family, was arrested and detained in prison for several sums, amounting altogether to little more than a hundred pounds. He lost his employment; his situation was hopeless; his family destitute; and his petty debts were of course irrecoverably lost-yet his creditors were still keeping him in gaol, when the fociety interposed. He had been a prisoner for fifteen months, and they released him for fix pounds!—Such cases require no commentary.

To shew that they do not proceed blindly, and without proper enquiries, it is one of their rules "that each peti"tioner shall be obliged to name two reputable housekeepers as vouchers for his integrity, sobriety, and industry; to whom the society constantly refer their enquiries, and bythis means preclude almost the possibility of
imposition."—And "any attempt to impose on the society
in any particular will prevent the petitioner's being
relieved."

Their leading rule, with regard to the objects of their charity,

charity, is in the following words: "That such debtors "shall have the preserence as are most aged or infirm; have the largest families unprovided for; are the most likely to be useful to the community, and appear to have lost their liberty by unavoidable missortunes; at least not by fraud, vice, or extravagance."

The society extend in particular their bounty to all the country gaols, where, to use their own words (and they speak from experience) "a prisoner is frequently confined at a distance of eighteen or twenty miles from his place of abode. The creditor, for want of importunity, forgets him; and the miserable being himself can receive no temporary relief from his family or his friends."

To those who think lightly of the consequences ascribed to the present laws of civil imprisonment, as tending to deprive the country of many useful hands, and to load multitudes with unmerited and unavailing distress, this note is directed. Facts attested by such men as are at the head of this society, supersede the necessity of innumerable cases which might be stated to the same purpose; and afford the best possible evidence that thousands of unfortunate families are irretrievably ruined by the regular operation of laws which were intended for the purposes of justice.

# NOTE KK, p. 128.

It is but a few years fince the practice was thus justly and rationally settled—for it was formerly held, that a plaintiff who resided in a foreign country, or beyond the reach of the laws of England, could not be obliged to give security for eventual costs. In Michaelmas term 1774, in the case of Nundcomar v. Burdett, where the plaintiff resided at Calcutta, in the East Indies, a motion was made by Mr.

# APPENDIX.

Willes, on the part of the defendant, to stay proceedings till fecurity should be given for eventual costs, and (in the absence of Lord Mansfield) the motion received this anfwer from Mr. Justice Aston: "It is every day refused-I " have many notes of its being fo." Cowper, 158.—And there are indeed in the books many fuch cases. But a similar motion having been made in the case of a plaintiff residing in Georgia, in North America, and opposed upon those former cases, the court granted the rule; and Mr. Justice Buller expressed himself thus: "There have been " feveral late cases to the contrary; and for this reason, that if a verdict be given against the plaintiff, he is not " within the reach of our law, fo as to have process served " upon him for the costs." I Term Reports, 267 .- And the law upon this point of practice was fully and comprehensively stated by the same learned judge, in a case of ejectment, as follows: "There are only three instances " in which the court will interfere on behalf of a defendant " to oblige the plaintiff to give security for costs. The " first is, when an infant sues, the court will oblige the " prochein amy, or guardian, or attorney, to give security " for the costs: 2dly, When the plaintiff resides abroad, in " which case the court will stay the proceedings till se-" curity is given for the costs: and, 3dly, Where there " has been a former ejectment; but there the rule is to " stay the proceedings in the second ejectment till the " costs of the former are paid, and till security is given for " the costs of the second."—I Term Reports, 491.

To extend the application of the principle upon which this practice proceeds, by empowering the courts, when defendants are imprisoned, to require of plaintiffs, in certain circumstances, security for eventual damages as well as costs, is one of the objects of that part of the plan to which this note refers.

FINIS.