


0526

124-7



PRACTICAL OBSERVATIONS
ON THE
IMPORTATION
OF
FOREIGN CORN.

0527

PRACTICAL OBSERVATIONS

ON THE

IMPORTATION

OF

FOREIGN CORN.

PRACTICAL OBSERVATIONS

ON THE

IMPORTATION

OF

FOREIGN CORN.

By LAYTON COOKE,

LAND SURVEYOR;

CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE OF AGRICULTURE OF
THE SOCIETY OF ARTS, MANUFACTURES,
AND COMMERCE, LONDON.

LONDON:

JAMES RIDGWAY, PICCADILLY.

MDCCLXXVI.

PRACTICAL OBSERVATIONS

ON THE

IMPORTATION

OF FOREIGN CORN.

The present state of the Corn Laws is such as to render it necessary to consider the expediency of a repeal of the present Laws relating to Corn, and allow, in future, on payment of an import duty, an unrestricted admission of foreign grain; it becomes imperative on those who are immediately interested in cultivating British corn, to take into their early consideration the effects likely to result from so important a revisal of enactments appertaining to landed property, and, by means prompt and decisive, to avert the ruin which an inadequate duty would occasion to the occupiers and proprietors of the soil.

It is not intended to discuss the policy of measures to be adopted, or to compare existing laws with those which it is expected will be substituted. My object is merely to take a short practical view of the subject, in order to establish data for determining the minimum price at which

LONDON:

PRINTED BY T. BRETTELL, RUPERT STREET, HAYMARKET.

PRACTICAL OBSERVATIONS

ON THE

IMPORTATION

OF

FOREIGN CORN.

THERE being no longer any doubt of the intention of His Majesty's Ministers to propose a repeal of the present Laws relating to Corn, and allow, in future, on payment of an import duty, an unrestricted admission of foreign grain; it becomes imperative on those who are immediately interested in cultivating British corn, to take into their early consideration the effects likely to result from so important a revisal of enactments appertaining to landed property, and, by means prompt and decisive, to avert the ruin which an inadequate duty would occasion to the occupiers and proprietors of the soil.

It is not intended to discuss the policy of measures to be adopted, or to compare existing laws with those which it is expected will be substituted. My object is merely to take a short practical view of the subject, in order to establish data for determining the minimum price at which

LONDON: PRINTED BY T. BRETTELL, RUPERT STREET, HAYMARKET.

corn can be produced in this country, and to assist in ascertaining the amount of duty requisite to enable British husbandmen to compete with foreigners.

It is assumed, that cultivators of land in these dominions are entitled to a protecting duty equal to expenses incurred beyond those attending the production of corn on the Continent, allowing, of course, for charges of freight, insurance, and other transit expenses. This conceded, the English farmer would be placed upon an equality with the foreign grower; to which, it is imagined, the most fastidious advocate for the importation of corn could not, at least with a shadow of equity, object.

Among impediments that exclusively attend the pursuit of agriculture in Britain, are direct and indirect taxes, tithes, poor, church, highway, and county rates, with a law preventing the free exercise of skill and capital in cultivating land to the most advantage. Why a duty should be imposed on the growth of hops, occasioning, in prolific seasons, a decided loss to many cultivators of that useful plant, causing the bounty of Heaven to be to them a source of regret instead of emolument, or why a heavy duty should attend the manufacture of malt (which is, virtually, a tax on barley), more than any other raw material, no good reason can be assigned; unless, indeed, their productiveness to the State be deemed a sufficient excuse for continuing those partial imposts; neither

can a law, preventing the growth of tobacco in greater quantity than one rod or pole of ground, will allow, on pain of losing the produce, be reconciled to the present desire for free trade.

Parochial assessment, for the relief of the poor in this country, is a burthen of serious import; increasing, with fearful rapidity, in manufacturing districts whenever trade is depressed, and bearing the heaviest upon the farmer when the manufacturers are most clamorous in their demand for cheap bread. In districts entirely agricultural, poor rates are too often felt in an inverse ratio to the ability of people to pay; pressing painfully upon occupiers in tracts of poor land, but with comparative lightness on those whose land is of better quality.

The aggregate of poor rate in England has been stated, in Parliamentary documents, at seven millions of pounds sterling per annum; and for cultivated land, it cannot be estimated at less than 4s. per acre. The mean amount of land-tax, highway rate, and duty, including church and county rates, may be taken at 4s. 6d., making a total of 8s. 6d. per acre.

But these serious imposts are not individually to be compared to the tithe, which causes the farmer's liability to extend to a loss of one-tenth of his annual produce; and although the tithe owner does not obtain so much, on account of the expense and risk of harvesting, collect-

ing, marketing, amount paid for poor rates, and other incidental charges, yet the farmer is unavoidably a sufferer to that extent whenever his tithe is taken in kind. In arranging a composition, in lieu of tithe, the tenant bears all expenses, and he is usually assessed on the amount to the parochial rates. In either case, therefore, the farmer, in estimating the disbursements, would be entitled to take credit for the amount of his liability, were not the clergy and lay impropiators very generally disposed to accept an annual payment considerably below that which they might legally claim. And as the farmer, with the nine-tenths, could collect and market the other one-tenth at a trifling additional charge, the tithes and expenses will be estimated at 7s. per acre.

The indirect taxes will, of course, be included in the general estimate.

In order to obtain a fair average of the expense of cultivation and produce of the kingdom, three systems of cropping, most commonly adopted on land applicable to the growth of wheat, are blended; namely, the four-course system of wheat, turnips, barley or oats, and clover or pulse, — the five-course system, in which the land remains two years in grass, — and the heavy land system of two crops and a fallow.

Working these three systems may be supposed to occupy 12 acres, of which there will be—

Acres.	Producing per Acre,	per Qr.	£ s. d.
In Wheat - 3	24	at 64s.	28 16 0
Barley - 1	36	" 32s.	7 4 0
Oats - 1	44	" 24s.	6 12 0
Pulse - 1	32	" 48s.	9 12 0
Clover			
2nd Year Ley } including Fodder Straw			25 10 0
Turnips, &c. }			
Fallow - 1			0 0 0
	12		£77 14 0

or, taking the 12th part for the average PRODUCE of one acre, the proportion will be—

	£. s. d.
Of Wheat 6 bushels, at 8s. per bush.	2 8 0
Barley 3 ditto ,, 4s. ditto	2 0 0
Oats 3½ ditto ,, 3s. ditto	0 11 0
Pulse 2½ ditto ,, 6s. ditto	0 16 0
Clover	
2nd Year Ley } and Fodder Straw - -	2 2 6
Turnips }	
	£.6 9 6

the total average produce per acre for one year, requiring a capital of not less than £.8 per acre; which is indeed barely sufficient; a larger capital, judiciously applied, would be more beneficial to the individual and the public.

Deduct average EXPENSES for one year, as follow:—

Manual Labour	£ 1 4 0
Animal Labour	1 3 0
Seed	0 15 0
One-twelfth of the Expense of Fording one Acre for Wheat	0 2 6
Land Tax, Poor, Highway, Church, and County Rates	0 8 6
Tithe	0 7 0
Wear and Tear of Horses, Implements, and incidental Expenses	0 5 0
4 per Cent. on Capital employed for Interest, Risk, and Personal Attention	1 4 0
	<u>£ 5 9 0</u>

The expenses £.5 9s., deducted from £.6 9s. 6d., the acreable produce, leaves a surplus of only 20s. 6d. per acre, for rent. The true principle of obtaining the annual value of estates being, to determine the rotation of crops to which the land immediately under consideration is applicable, and having deducted the expense of cultivation, the tenant's profit, and every local and contingent charge from the estimated produce, the surplus is all that can be assigned to the proprietor, which, in the present instance of a combination of rotations, on an average quality of land, does not exceed 20s. 6d. per acre. Yet exorbitant rents are quoted as a primary cause of the high price of provision; and their immediate reduction is stated to be the only legitimate means to save the manufacturing interest.

Rents thus obtained cannot be materially reduced, nor can the price of produce be permanently diminished, so long as existing burthens remain upon the land. To prove this assertion, it will only be necessary to show the difference a reduction (say of 18s. per quarter in the cost of wheat) would make, supposing a corresponding alteration to take place in the value of other descriptions of corn. The relative prices of grain, according to the regulations for importation, are, of barley, half that of wheat, —oats, one-third less than barley,—and beans and peas, about double the price of oats. The following deductions are made agreeably to those proportions, supposing the green crops to maintain their stated value.

By the preceding calculation (page 9), the proportionate average produce of one acre would be—

Of Wheat 6 Bushels, at a reduction of 18s. per Quarter, or 2s. 3d. per Bushel, is	0 13 6
Barley 3½ ditto ditto at 1s. 1½d. per bushel	0 3 9
Oats 4 ditto ditto „ 0s. 9d. „	0 3 0
Pulse 8 ditto ditto „ 1s. 6d. „	0 4 6
	<u>£ 1 4 9 6</u>

being a decrease in the value of land, more than equal to the annihilation of the rent. On inferior soils, where the produce is less; though the expenses are nearly as great, there would be no return in many cases, either for the proprietor or the

tenant; and lands of that description must, of necessity, go out of cultivation. We have witnessed agriculture linger for a time under a ruinous depression in prices, but it is a state of things that will not admit of being permanent; for unless the farmer can obtain a fair interest for his capital, he will withdraw it from so unprofitable a concern. If the landlord is deprived of an equitable rent, he will be prevented from sustaining his rank in society; and if the peasant cannot obtain a fair price for his labour, his very existence, as well as that of his family, will be at stake. The latter class, although the most numerous, yet being the weakest, have, unfortunately, been the first to suffer from a depreciation, and the last to participate in any benefits that result from the increased value of corn.

In these calculations, the wages of the labourer are estimated according to the average proportion they have borne to the price of wheat during the last century; and it would be politic in the farmer, and only an act of justice to the labourer, to regulate the wages of the latter in proportion to the price of corn, assigning the value of a given quantity of wheat in consideration for the labour of an able-bodied man.

The following is a statement of the average expense of cultivating WHEAT, according to the three systems above specified, showing the lowest price it can be afforded at in this country:—

THREE ACRES.		£. s. d.
Ploughing 9 times, (Once for the 4 course)	at 10s. per An-	4 10 0
num.	3 times "	5 ditto
Seed, per Acre, 30s.		10 0 0
Harrowing, sowing, weeding, rolling, har-		10 0 0
vesting, threshing, cleaning, and marketing,		4 17 6
per Acre, 32s. 6d.		
Expenses of turning, carting, and spreading		
manure on One Acre, 22s. 6d. and folding		2 12 6
One Acre, 30s.		
Wear and Tear, and Incidental Expenses, per		
Acre, 5s.		0 15 0
Tithe, per Acre, 14s.		2 2 0
Land Tax, Poor, Church, Highway, and		
County Rate, per Acre, 8s. 6d.		1 5 6
Rent, Three Years, 20s. 6d. per Acre		3 11 6
Profit on Three Acres of Wheat, per Acre, 34s.		2 0 0
DIVIDE BY ACRES—3		£:28 16 0
DIVIDE BY QUARTERS—3		£:9 12 0
The Price, per Quarter		£:3 4 0

The expense of tilling and manuring the summer fallow, being charged to the wheat; the rent and taxes of the fallow, to be placed to the account of the succeeding crop.

Thirty-four shillings per acre is calculated as the profit on wheat, instead of the average of 24s., the gain on some of the crops being less than the

average, and the acre in fallow would not, of course, yield any advantage. The same observation applies to the charges for tithing.

Having shown the lowest value of wheat produced in this country, I shall borrow a statement from Mr. Jacob's Report, of the smallest expense at which corn might be imported from Dantzic, as follows:—

	£.	s.	d.	£.	s.	d.
Sale Price, 3s. per Bush. or per Qr.	1	4	0			
Loss estimated at 20 per Cent.	-	0	4	9		
Allowance for Rent, one-tenth of		0	2	3		
Gross Produce						
<hr/>						
				1	11	0
<hr/>						
Shipping Charges, or Merchant's		0	2	9		
Commission or Profit						
Freight, Poundage, and Insurance		0	8	0		
to London						
Lighterage, Landing Charges, and		0	1	3		
Commission in England						
<hr/>						
				0	12	0
<hr/>						
				£.2	3	0

How the 31s. per quarter arises, does not very clearly appear.

The rent of 2s. 3d. per quarter, should, it is presumed, be included in the 24s. and ought not to have been made a separate item; and a

charge of 20 per cent. on the amount for loss, appears excessive. Haying, however, no means of detecting any inaccuracy, if such there be in Mr. Jacob's estimate, I must conclude, that 43s. per quarter is the price at which the best wheat can be imported into this country from the maritime provinces of Poland.

The price of wheat, in our markets, frequently varies in the same day from 10s. to 15s. per quarter in quality; and although it is not expected that the most inferior foreign wheat would be sent, yet it is believed a certain description of corn might come in competition with our average crops, that could be afforded several shillings per quarter below the best Dantzic. But not being prepared to show that this is substantially correct, I am bound to admit the authenticity of Mr. Jacob's statement, and consider 43s. per quarter as the import price, exclusive of the merchant's profit, for which, adding 3s. per quarter, will make 46s. the lowest price, by his computation, that foreign corn can be sold at in our markets. And, if that sum be deducted from 64s., the lowest average value of British wheat, the difference (18s. per quarter) will be the amount of duty required to place the English farmer and foreign grower on equal terms*.

If a fixed duty were desirable, this might be adopted as the standard: it would be sometimes

* See graduated Scale of Duty.

against, and at other times in favour of, each Party; but as recent events have taught the farmer not to expect to derive any advantage in price on a deficient crop, to counterbalance the reduction in price he would sustain in years of abundance, it becomes a question—whether, instead of adopting any fixed duty, it would not be more advisable to permit the importation of foreign grain at all times, on payment of duty upon a graduated scale, established on an equitable basis? The average price of British corn might be taken monthly, or even weekly, and the duty payable upon the arrival of foreign corn in a British port, might be regulated by the average for the preceding week. It is true, this would perpetuate the average system, but not without depriving it of its present incentive to fraud; for the only difference occasioned by a variation in the average price would be, to increase or diminish the amount of duty, and not, as at present, to decide whether foreign corn should be admitted or excluded from our markets.

The annexed graduated scale is constructed agreeably to the foregoing calculations.

41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82
0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24	26	28	30	32	34	36	38	40	42	44	46	48	50	52	54	56	58	60	62	64	66	68	70	72	74	76	78	80	82

GRADUATED SCALE OF DUTY,
By which the Duty on Importation, and the Price obtained for Foreign Corn, would be equal to the Market Price of British Wheat.

Average Price per Qr. of British Wheat.	Import Duty per Qr.	Price per Qr. obtained for Foreign Wheat.
41	41	0
42	40	2
43	39	4
44	38	6
45	37	8
46	36	10
47	35	12
48	34	14
49	33	16
50	32	18
51	31	20
52	30	22
53	29	24
54	28	26
55	27	28
56	26	30
57	25	32
58	24	34
59	23	36
60	22	38
61	21	40
62	20	42
63	19	44
(P. 15.) 64	18	46
65	17	48
66	16	50
67	15	52
68	14	54
69	13	56
70	12	58
71	11	60
72	10	62
73	9	64
74	8	66
75	7	68
76	6	70
77	5	72
78	4	74
79	3	76
80	2	78
81	1	80
82	0	82

It has frequently been contended, that this country is incapable of producing corn sufficient for its consumption; and this assertion was lately made by a writer of some eminence, in an Address, by way of *amende honorable*, to his agricultural constituents; he being nevertheless aware, that, for the last six years, this kingdom has, in that respect, depended wholly upon its own resources, no foreign wheat having been allowed to enter our markets, and the prices of British corn, during that period, having been very considerably below those at which foreign corn was admissible. Instead, therefore,

of acceding to this author's hypothesis, is it not fair to infer, that improvements in cultivation have kept pace with the demands of an increased population, and that these islands can afford an ample supply of grain for their inhabitants? As little is the doubt, that if security and encouragement were given to induce capitalists to embark their property in the cultivation of land, but agricultural produce would be progressively and sufficiently augmented to maintain any increase that may take place in the population.

Supposing the average quantity of wheat grown to be three quarters per acre, it would require, according to the usual calculation of one quarter per annum for each person, 4,200,000 of acres to supply England and Wales, and 600,000 acres for seed, making a total of 4,800,000 acres to be sown every year with wheat. Granting a fourth part

of the arable land, upon an average, to be annually thus appropriated, there will be nineteen millions of acres consigned to the purposes of aration. As England and Wales alone contain thirty-seven millions of acres, it may be reckoned, that something more than half the land is under the plough; and as better culture and proper application of manure have never failed to effect an increase of corn, a judicious expenditure of capital upon land now arable, would insure an augmentation in produce far beyond the quantity that has been imported.

The apprehension of famine, on account of a redundant population, is also at variance with the experience of the past and present ages. In every country, the greatest abundance of provision has been during the period of its greatest population; and it will not be possible to produce an instance to the contrary.

An able writer on rural economy, now no more, has demonstrated, that in a country situated as this is, every inch of soil, which is not actually in a state of uncommon fertility, is capable of being rendered, by industry more productive still; and that, under a judicious system of management, that productiveness may be made to augment, from year to year, for a succession of time to which no limits can be assigned, till at last it may be made to attain a degree of fertility of which we cannot, perhaps, at this time, conceive an idea. In

every country the increased production has kept pace with the increased population. Whether we look to Greece, to Egypt, to Palestine, to Sicily, or to Rome, in the time of their most extensive population, an abundance of corn was the result; but when the number of the inhabitants in their states had declined, in some instances, to one-tenth of their former amount, they invariably experienced the greatest difficulty in producing a sufficient quantity of corn for their diminished necessities.

While Spain was in the possession of the Moors, a civilised people, enjoying the comforts of social life, the population of the Southern provinces of Spain augmented to an extraordinary degree, and brought with it an abundance of every thing that was necessary for the convenience and comforts of life. From a population of upwards of thirty millions, it has decreased to eight millions; and there is every reason to believe, on account of its decrease in numbers, is in danger of being still further diminished: and the best account we have of the population and produce of China, at the present time, will also corroborate this proposition.

The best plan to secure a permanent supply of corn, at moderate prices, would be, to cause more capital to be employed in the cultivation of the soil: this being accomplished, the fashionable theories for limiting the extent of population might be wholly dispensed with.

A visionary scheme for supplying corn from

abroad, instead of raising it by British capital and industry, has long been a favourite topic with some of our political economists. In furtherance of the idea, it has been proposed to lay down to permanent grass the land now arable, for the purposes of dairying, and furnishing hay for horses in towns, and, generally, for the support of domestic animals.

The portion of arable land applicable to the business of the dairy, if profit be the object, is very limited. In the next place, the price of hay, even in London, is not sufficient to induce its removal from any great distance by land. And, lastly, the attempt to lay down to grass the principal part of the land now arable, and to continue it so, would prove abortive; for, in the course of a few years, the produce of two or three acres could scarcely sustain one animal.

An alternation of corn and green crops, is the legitimate purpose to which the bulk of the land in this kingdom must be applied. Mixed husbandry is the only efficient system; it affords constant employment to labourers; plants furnishing the best food, are cultivated; and cattle possessing aptitude to fatten, are selected; whereby land becomes adapted to the production of abundant crops: one moiety of the soil bearing grain, while from the other is obtained animal food: and both in greater quantity than could be derived, if the whole of the land were directed to one species of occupation.

The anxiety of manufacturers to acquire a market for their productions, has induced them to hope that foreigners would take articles of British fabric in payment for corn. The hope is delusive, for it could scarcely be expected that, in their present state of society, Polish husbandmen and peasantry would demand the luxuries of life essential only to those who have arrived at a higher state of civilization. If, in their past dealings with us, they had taken our manufactured goods in return for corn, there might have been some reason for believing them inclined to cultivate a mutual interchange of commodities; but, having hitherto received cash for the amount, it is not probable they would in future be satisfied with any other mode of negotiation.

The British manufacturers have, by the aid of powerful machinery, produced an immense quantity of goods for which there is no adequate consumption. The natural activity of this class of society, prompting them to pursue, with vigour whatever they may consider to be to their advantage, regardless of the consequences to others, are endeavouring to force a trade by proposing to accept corn in payment instead of money, and assign, though wrongfully, their inability to contest in the foreign market to the high price of grain in England.

It is obvious, since manual labour has been superseded by machinery, that the value of corn can very little affect the charges attending the making of goods; the two systems of manufacture

not admitting of comparison, in regard to price, and the latter requiring but a very moderate proportion indeed of the essential article of life to keep it in action. When as much can now be worked by machinery as would be equal to the labour of twenty-four millions of artisans, the clamour against the landed interest must be totally void of foundation; and not only so, for, while this powerful machinery continues in activity, were the proprietors of estates to reduce their rents 50 per cent. the difference it could make to the consumer in the price of goods so produced, would be scarcely perceptible.

The advocates of a free trade in corn contend, that the restrictive laws are the cause of the great fluctuation in prices, and attempt to prove this by a statement of the variation in the averages for a number of years past; but those documents do not furnish evidence of their assumption. In their zeal for low prices, and free trade in corn, they omit even to hint at the more terrible revulsions that have taken place in manufactures and commerce. The excessive amount of the first, and the wild speculations in the latter to force an unnatural trade, have had a much more powerful effect on the price of agricultural produce than any Parliamentary protection that has been given to it. In proof of which, there has invariably been more activity in manufactures and commerce, when corn has been moderately high, than when unrea-

sonably cheap, which clearly shows, that the excess, and consequent depression in manufactures and commerce, has been both the cause and the effect of those ruinous fluctuations in agricultural produce, which have been falsely ascribed to regulations in its favour.

Let it be admitted, that all the grain to be hereafter consumed shall be imported for the whole of our population, more than one-third of whom, be it remembered, depend upon the culture of the land for their subsistence. By this measure, provided the whole country be laid down to grass, which affords only one-twelfth of the food that can be produced on an equal quantity of arable, one-fifth of the labourers would suffice; and the remainder of the agricultural population being, in England and Wales alone, upwards of four millions, would be destitute of employment, and must finally become burthensome to the persons to whose caprice they were sacrificed. Assuming the earnings of the four millions are £.10 per annum each, forty millions per annum, created from the best mine, the soil would be out of circulation; and the most valuable raw material, the land, rendered nearly useless. But when these, the main supporters of the Empire, are actively employed, they promptly diffuse their earnings amongst the petty chapmen, which soon ascend to invigorate the manufacturers and the merchants. And it is thus, by labour applied to land, that the wealth of nations is created.

Why employ the peasantry of foreign lands to furnish bread to Britain, to feed, not only the merchant and manufacturers, but also the husbandmen? Who is to pay for it? and how, if paid for, will the money be applied, but to improve continental husbandry to the destruction of British agriculture, and to establish and extend foreign manufactures eventually to exclude our own?

The writer of the article on the Corn Laws, in the last number of the Edinburgh Review, very generously tells the landowners, "they must neither murmur or repine, should every shilling of their rents be ultimately required for the support of workhouses and beggars." This is very considerate in him, to inform the proprietors of the soil, that they must build workhouses for the abandoned factory artisans, whose masters have no compunctious visitings for the misery created by the mischievous system of removing the poor weaver and his family from his humble cottage (where the labours of the loom were exercised in free and wholesome air), to the immense factories erected for immuring hundreds of human beings in one great receptacle of vice, misery, and sickness, where the poor wretches either end prematurely a life of slavish poverty, or draw out a suffering existence in a workhouse, which, according to this philosophic writer, is to be provided in future by the owners of the land; for the half million of agricultural labourers he proposes to throw out of employment, by abandoning the poor lands,

are not to be permitted even to obtain that refuge;—no, they, he says, are to go and do something else; but what, he does not condescend to tell us: it is enough for this author, that he gets rid of them by any means.

Are the energies of England to be paralyzed by these sophistries; and the most useful part of the population destroyed, to secure this author's system of equality of price over all Europe? Does he forget there is no equality of taxation,—no equality of population per square mile,—no equality of wealth or civilisation? Yet

he seems inclined to sacrifice the comforts, the riches, and the independence of Britain, to his desire of a visionary equality in the price of bread.

The time is arrived for the proprietors and occupiers of the land to emulate the energy of the manufacturers; and, by respecting their own interest, which is, in fact, that of the community, no longer suffer themselves to be the dupes to the artifices and calumnies of their active rivals.

Can it be possible, that more than one-third of the population of Great Britain, engaged in and dependent on the production of food,—employing a capital amounting to not less than 300 millions, exclusive of the value of the soil,—who contribute largely to the civil and religious institutions, and mainly to the support of the poor, should suffer from any enactments, unless the leading individuals of their own body be supine and disunited?

I call upon the Members of the two Houses of

Parliament to support the most vigorous class of society, and determine whether Britain shall be depopulated, and sink in the scale of nations, or our numerous villages and rural occupations shall flourish, and agriculture, the main stay of the empire, be protected.

If the condition of Ireland be considered (and I presume few will think it unimportant), the importation of corn into England, from the Continent, will be destructive of the small dawn of improvement that has appeared in that ill-fated country.

The importation of wheat from Ireland has been, on an average of the last twenty-five years, 187,438 quarters per annum, and, on an average of the last ten years, 303,286 quarters per annum. And of all sorts of corn, the average of the last twenty-five years, is 865,968 quarters per annum; the average of the last ten years, 1,341,855 quarters per annum; and for the year 1825, alone, 2,203,962 quarters.

Is this positive benefit to the sister country to be put to hazard for the chance of exporting more manufactures to the Continent, and realizing the visionary scheme of an equality of prices of bread corn over the whole Continent of Europe?

Shall the cupidity of the manufacturers, who are possessed of machinery equal to the amount of twenty-four millions of labourers (as has been already stated), be allowed to crush the exertions of four millions of industrious individuals, dependent for subsistence on agriculture?

I call upon the Members of the two Houses of

The soil already contributes many millions, annually, to the parochial rates; a considerable portion of which is for the support of the manufacturing poor, the produce of whose labour having been obtained by their employers, then abandon them, and transfer those wretched beings to the farmer for future support.

It is not possible the Legislature should listen to the complaints that emanate from so questionable a source, without first considering the true situation of the country; and, having given to this important subject the attention it demands, they will not suffer the foundation that supports the national superstructure to be destroyed, but, by wise enactments, give protection to agriculture, not merely for the benefit of the landowner, but also for the manufacturer, and every other branch of the community.

THE END.