212-2

A

# DEFENCE

OFTHE

#### ENQUIRY

INTOTHE

Reasons of the Conduct

o f

GREAT-BRITAIN, &c.

Occasioned by the PAPER published in the Country-Journal of Crafts-Man on Saturday, Jan. 4, 1728-9.

By the Author of the ENQVIRT.

LONDON:

Printed, and Sold by JA. ROBERTS, near the Oxford-Arms in Warwick-Lane, 1729.

**3** )

A

### DEFENCE

OFTHE

## ENQUIRY, &c.

BOUT two Years ago, I laid before the World an Enquiry into the Reasons of the Conduct of Great Britain, with relation to the State of Affairs then in Europe. In writing this, I had the strictest Regard to Truth and Decency. I had no Motive to engage me in such a Work, but the Importance of the Subject to my Native Country; and in the Profecution of it, I can truly fay, I did not Air one Step without being thoroughly satisfied of the Truth of what I afferted. If I have mistaken, or misrepresented, any one single Point, through the Whole; it must have proceded from an Inadvertency or Weakness not always to be guarded against: Because I am as: fure, as I am that I am now alive, that it could not procede from any wilful Defign, or Inclination, to put any falle Colours upon a Cause in which the whole Nation was so deeply interested. I could not think it unbecoming any

Member of a Society, let his peculiar Profession be what it will, to be follicitous to have Satisfaction Himself about the Dearest Interests of that Society; or, afterwards, to lay before Others what He fincerely thought He had found to be the State of their Case. If this be a Crime, I own myself guilty; and to have de-

ferved the Usage I have met with.

In the Manner of representing to the World what appeared plain to me, I pleased myself with the thought that I had preserved a Temper which at least could not offend any English Gentleman, how different soever his Sentiments might be from mine. I entered not into past Scenes of Action: I reproached no One of Those who ever had Power in this Nation at any Period of Time heretofore. I reviled no Dead Ministers; nor flattered any Living. To do the One I esteemed inglorious; and the Other, base and servile. Let their Deeds only, praise or dispraise Them; is, as I think, the Right Rule to go by.

What then is it I have done? To express it in a very few Words --- I shewed that the Kienna Treaties between the Emperour and Spain, were entered into for Purposes of the Worst Confequence to Ourselves and Other Nations of Europe: That such a Conjunction, to such Purposes, ought to be guarded against; and that the Measures Great Britain had then taken; the Alliances then entered into; the fending out Fleets, and preparing Forces to ach in Confequence of such Alliances; were just and prudent upon this Occasion. Could it possibly be ima-

gined

(5) gined that this Attempt should meet with the Returns it has, from fome Persons? But so it is. The personal Severities upon the Author. were prefently such, as I believe, can hardly be equalled. I willingly pass them over. The Manner of Writing, and the Style, were the Subject of much Wit and Pleasantry. This too is of small Importance. Nor shall I attempt to lesson the Satisfaction any Persons may have, in their own better Manner of writing, or their mean Opinion of mine. My Buliness was, in a plain Way, to convey to the Understanding of others, what was Evident to my own If I did this, I had my End. I easily leave it to Others, to write better. It never hurts me at all; but always gives me a fensible Pleasure. This therefore, I am little concerned about. Let the Book be Dull and Languid: Yet, in Fact, it awaken'd Multitudes out of a Dull and Languid State, into Life and Vigour. Let it be Heavy, as Sleep itself: Yet. it was not found to procure Slumbers either to those who liked it, or to those who disliked it. Let it be as mean and despicable, as it has been pronounced: yet, more than once, the same Mouths that pronounced it so, have expressed in Print their earnest Desire that some able

Hund would Answer it. But too much of this. The only Points of Importance are. Whether the Facts I have alledged there, are True, or not: And, whether my Reasonings from Them are just and conclusive; or not. With Regard to facts; if I were sensible that I had in any one

(6)

Instance, affirmed what is Not True; I should esteem myself obliged to be the First to undeceive Any of Those whom I had unwillingly led into a Mistake. With regard to Reasonings; There will often be a Difference amongst Perfons who honeftly mean the same Good to their Country. On one Side or the other, in such Cases, there must be an Error. And in all intricate Cases; and particularly in all Political Transactions, which are ever capable of various Turns in the Minds of Men, no Wife or Goodnatured Man will wonder at fuch Difference of Opinion; and no Honest Man can do any thing more, but receive Light when it offers itself to his Eyes; and alter his Opinion, upon sufficient new Evidence laid before him.

I have long waited for something of this Sort relating to the Great Points in the Enquiry ? resolved hitherto, to be ssent under personal, or little Imputations; but thinking it my Duty to answer, when the main Facts or Reasonings in that Treatife should be attaqued with any Appearance of Strength: At length, I find a very elaborate Piece, printed in one of the Weekly Papers, on Saturday Jan 4, 1728-9. in Which We may expect the Strength of what can be faid. It comes from a Person, who appears Not to be a Stranger to the Contests and Interests of the Princes of Europe. And I like it much the better for this; because I am now sure, as far as I am concern'd, I shall be instructed in so masterly a Manner, as to leave me inexcusable, if I do not yield my self captive to such Instruct

tion; or give the strongest Reasons, why I do not. But before I begin to examine, I must beg Leave to premise, that I shall take Notice of Nothing in this Piece but what is of Weight, and what concerns Myself, and the Cause in which

I appear'd before. In the Prosecution of my Design, I will, agreeably to what this Gentleman directs. go no farther back than to the King of Spain's Accession to the Quadruple Alliance. I will just state the Case, as I did in the Enquiry: And the rather, because many Perfons feem to have forgot the principal Facts and Reasonings, upon which the Strength of all that can be faid must be founded. I will take a short Notice of what has followed since the Date of that Book. I will then faithfully represent and consider what this Gentleman has advanced, either against any thing in which I can be supposed to be concerned; or upon any Subject of Debate which appears to me to be of Importance.

The King of Spain acceded to the Quadruple Alliance, Jan. 20, N.S. 1720, into which the Emperour had before enter'd. By an express Article of that Alliance, these two Powers had engaged themselves to refer their remaining Differences to a Congres, under the Mediation of Great Britain and France. In the Midst of this Congress, and whilst some Differences were very hotly agitated between these two Princes, relating to the Pretensions of the Duke of Parma,

which the Mediators were attempting with all their Skill and Temper to make up; Without the least Provocation, on the Part of the Mediators, the King of Spain sent a Minister clandestinely to Vienna; who there entered into, and perfected. Treaties of Peace and Commerce with the Emperour, in Violation of the Quadruple Alliance, and of the Honour of the Mediating Powers.

This justly allarm'd the two Courts of Great Britain and France. They presently discover'd the Nature and pernicious Consequences of this Peace thus concluded; and of a Treaty of Commerce plainly founded upon the Violation of former Treaties of Commerce, and threatning Ruine to the Trade of Great Britain to the Indies, hitherto enjoyed exclusively of the Austrian Netherlands, under all the Sanctions that Princes and States can give to one another. And they were not long unapprized of Other, more secret, Engagements between the two Powers of Germany and Spain.

The Courts of Britain and France therefore, upon this sudden and unexpected Turn of Affairs, renewed their former Treaties with one another; enter'd into fresh Engagements for their mutual Desence; and thought it Time to provide seriously for their own Preservation, by a New Alliance, which was sign'd in Form at Hanver, September 3d, 1725. They then invited other Powers into this Alliance. The United Provinces evidently saw the Ruin of their whole Trade, and of their whole Republick (which depends

(9)

upon that Trade) to be inevitable, if the new Treaty of Commerce entered into at Vienna, should be put in Execution. And accordingly, They acceded to the Hanover Alliance: [ As afterwards the Kingdom of Sweden did. ] In Profecution of the Great End of this Alliance, Squadrons of Ships were fent out to several Parts of the World: New Levies of Soldiers were made: and every thing prepared for open War; if reasonable Propositions of Accommodation should not be listen'd to by Those who had broke in upon the Rights of their Neighbours, established by repeated Conventions and Treaties. This was thought a more prudent Method, than to come immediately to the last Extremities: Which, it would afterwards be faid, might have been prevented, had a little Patience been applied to the Evil; and a little Time allowed to the Allies of Vienna to consider the Consequences of Things. Thus Things flood at the Time the Enquiry was publish'd.

As these Preparations went on, it soon appear'd plainly to the World, what the Nature of the Vienna-Alliance was. For, though the Right of Great Britain to Gibraltar was founded upon Treaties sign'd by his Catholick Majesty himself; Yet, in Defiance of such Obligations, This was made the first Handle for an open Rupture; and Gibraltar was in Form besieged. It became necessary therefore, to send a sufficient Supply of Troops, and all Warlike Stores, for the Desence of a Place thus violently attack'd; and a strong Fleet to act, as Occasion should

require, in those Parts.

(10)

Upon these undisguised Proceedings of Spain, which were owing to the Vienna-Alliance, the Allies of Hanover found themselves obliged to think more in Earnest of every thing necessary to their mutual Defence. But at the fame Time they did not abate of their sincere Efforts for a reasonable Accommodation. Plans were formed for this Purpose; and Preliminary Articles drawn up, agreeably to the Sentiments of Great Britain, France, the Emperour, and the States-General: Spain still shewing a Backwardness to comply with what seem'd fatisfactory to all the other Powers concern'd; and continuing to all the Part of an Enemy. The Measures therefore enter'd into, did not produce so much Good, nor to foon, as might reasonably have been expected: And all Men must judge to whose Account this is to be charged. But then, We must not forget, what their immediate good Effects were. Their preventing the Execution of the worst Designs against Ourselves; The Protection and Encouragement given by them to those Powers abroad, whose Preservation nearly touches our own National Interest: And the like. But at length, they operated farther; and produced what was the main Thing aim'd at by them, viz. a sufficient Basis for a General Pacification; and what must and would in Fact have proved so before this Time, had not the Conduct of Spain, which could not be foreseen, prevented the natural and effectual Operation of Things. I mean that these Measures, after some Delays, produced and effected the signing of such Preliminaries, as in their plain literal Sense, and in that Sense of

( tr )

which alone they are capable, contain in them the principal Points aimed at by Great Britain, France, and Holland, with Regard to their Interests, Trade, and Possessions; and consequently, a folid and just Foundation for the resettling the Peace of Europe, as it stood before these last

Quarrels began.

These Preliminary Articles for a general Pacification, were signed May 19 1727, at Paris, by the Ministers of Great Britain, France, the Emperour, and the States General; as they were soon afterwards at Vienna by the Minister of Spain. And they were in due Time, ratisfied by the Emperour, the Kings of Great Britain and France; and by the States General. But, on the Part of the King of Spain, Difficulties began soon to be started; and such Interpretations to be put upon the Words of these Articles, as utterly destroyed the real Intent and Design of them.

The Two Points infifted on, by the King of Spain, were, "That the Preliminary Articles, "figned by his Ministers, did not oblige him to "raise the Siege of Gibraltar intirely: And, that "the South-Sea-Ship, with her Cargo, could not of Right be now demanded upon the Foot of these Articles." And with relation to these Two Points, it being declared, That his Catholick Majesty insisted upon his own Explications; it became impossible for the King of Great Britain to accept of a Ratissication founded upon such an Interpretation, as would turn the Articles sign'd into Articles of Advantage only to those who had attack'd the Trade and Possessions of his Sub-

B 2

jests; but of very great and sensible Damage

to his own People.

Here then once more we see a Stop was put to the happy Procedure of Affairs, by the Court of Spain. It took up some Time to get rid of this Difficulty. But in the mean while, effectual Care was taken that our Fleet should not leave Porto-Bello, till the Preliminaries should be ratified by the King of Spain, in their true and full Meaning. Finding therefore no Hopes of the Return of the Galleons, upon any other Foot than the plain Execution of his Part in the Preliminary Articles; He at length consented that, according to the plain Meaning of the fifth Article of the Preliminaries, all Hostilities of whatfoever fort, should cease; and that in Consequence of the third Article, which restores Commerce upon the Foot of Treaties antecedent to the Year 1725, the Ship Prince Frederick, and its Effects, should be restored according to the Assiento-Treaty. Accordingly, He ratified the Articles: He put an End to all hostile Appearances before Gibraltar: He sent Orders to the West-Indies for the Restitution of the South-Sea Ship, with her Cargo: And, in Consequence of the same Articles, Orders were dispatched from hence for the British Fleet to leave those Parts, and return Home. Thus far, by Degrees, the Measures taken by Great Britain, had brought Affairs, at the Beginning of this Year 1728. All Appearances of War, by universal Consent, were removed: The Oftend-Trade renounced by the Emperour for seven Years: Every Right and

(13)

Possession belonging to any of the Powers concerned, put upon the same Foot upon which Things stood according to the Treaties in force before this Rupture: And a Congress agreed to. not to dispute Points settled in these Preliminaries; but to adjust Disputes, and Matters of lesser Consideration; and to proceed still farther in the establishing of the Peace of Europe. Certainly, this is something. Here are Preliminary Articles, signed and ratified, which must be of Force for some Tears; unless any of the Powers concerned, against their own Stipulations, break into them; or refuse to let them have their natural and proposed Effect. And in Consequence of these Preliminary Articles, a Congress was appointed: And in this Congress, a Provisional Treaty, for securing and extending a General Pacification was drawn up; of which a Copy was foon printed in one of our News-Papers; and fince that, reprinted in a Treatise entitled Observations upon the Conduct of Great Britain, &c. which gives a full Account of it: And this Treaty now waits the Resolur tion of the Courts of Vienna and Spain. This is the present State of the Affair, conducted thus far by the Patience of Some bearing with the Delays of Others; not without Inconveniencies (it must be own'd) attending such a State of Uncertainty, and naturally creating Uncasiness in the Breasts of an Active and Brave Nation. These Inconveniencies being present and felt, make many apt to think that another and better Method might have been chosen; of

(14)

which not feeling the Effects at present, they judge the more savourably. But however They may judge of What They do not feel; This is Evident, That the Measures entered into by Great Britain, have higherto put a Stop to the Effect of the Vienna Alliance, in it's Design very destructive to our Possessions; and to our Trade, as well as that of the Dutch; have prevented the Return of the Galleons, till such Preliminary Articles were signed and ratissed, as put Assairs into their former Condition; and have in Consequence of them procured a Congress, in which a Provisional Treaty has been drawn up for a General Pacification.

Having just recapitulated what I had before faid, and brought our Affairs down to their prefent State; I must now consider what has been advanced on the contrary Side, and particularly in the Paper I have now mentioned.

The first Thing I must take Notice of, is the Turn this Writer has given to the very Beginning of this whole Scene; I mean the Vienna Alliance. In the Enquiry, p. 16, 17. an Argument is sormed, not from Surmises, or mere Imagination, but from Dates and Facts; that the sending back the Infanta from France, and the King of Great Britain's Resultance, and the King of Great Britain's Resultance, the Grounds of that Transaction. Upon this Subject, I think, this Writer has produced every thing that could be said. The Sum of it, in his own Words, is, "That from the Death of the Duke of Orleans, and about a Tear at least before the Vienna-Treaty was

(15)

" concluded, the Spanish Ministers were full " of FEARS and JEALOUSIES about " the compleating the Infanta's Marriage with " the King of France." And again, as positively as if he had known it to be fo, "The "Spaniards began to treat at Vienna, that They might prepare for the worst: And they de-"layed concluding their Treaty, till what they "feared happened," And again, "As fend-"ing back the Infanta was the certain and " immediate Cause of throwing Spain into the " Arms of the Emperour; so, our Refusal of "the fole Mediation, may justly be deemed " an Accessary Cause of it." And upon this Article of the Mediation, we are entertained with a Variety of Suppositions, and are let to understand, how good a Game Britain might have played at Madrid, had this fole Mediation been accepted: And all urged with great Earnestness. But let us now Answer, or yield.

It would be a full and sufficient Reply to all this, to frame a Scheme on the other Side; and to oppose Supposition to Supposition; and one arbitrary Interpretation of Appearances to another. I will say then, (deny it who can) that France and Great Britain knew of Ripperda's leaving Madrid, to go to Vienna in order to treat; that they knew the very Time of his Arrival there; the clandestine Manner of his acting; the seigned Name he went by; the Resolution taken by the Court of Spain to enter into Measures with the Emperour, and to act there without the Mediation of France and Britain, or of either of them: That this was

the

the greatest Affront which could be put upon the Crown of France, as well as of Great-Britain. I will go on, and from my own Invention, will add that, Moved by this Affront, which preceded, in Time, the Resolution about the Infanta: the Court of France could not think fit to submit to the Inconveniencies of the Spanish Match, for the Sake of a Court, which was now deeply engaged in a Project of a greater and ffricter Alliance with the powerful and inveterare Enemy of France: And upon this I will affirm, that the Resolution of sending back the Infanta from France, was occasion'd by the certain Knowledge of the Transactions between the Courts of Madrid and Vienna, which were in great Forwardness, before this Resolution of France was sent to Madrid. I will use the same Sort of Expression I have now quoted. "The French delay'd giving a positive Answer about the Fiançailles, till they could be certain, the " Negociations at Vienna were going on in Ear-" nelt. As foon as they knew that, they fent their Resolution about the Infanta to Ma-" arid". And what End of Debates; or what Volumes may we not write, if Fancy be indulged in this Manner? But would not any Man of Sense think it more than sufficient to answer to Motives to Spain for entering into such Negoall this, that tho' It may seem plausible, yet it is plainly groundless, because the Court of France never once hinted at this Affront of a Negociation set on foot at Vienna, as the least Inducement to that Step of fending back the Infanta, when there was most Occasion for some Excuse to sosten the Court of Spain. It is Rea-

(17)

fon of State, in his own Words, and not Pal-.

sion, which governs in these Cases.

In the Case of the sole Mediation indeed, It might seriously be faid, that the certain Knowledge of the Negotiations going on at Vienna, furnished one just Reason to the King of Great Britain to decline an Offer which he could not but know from thence, was a mere Piece of Mockery; at best only contriv'd to divide Him from France, whilst Spain was making sure of the Emperour. And without this, a Due Regard to his Alliance with France, and particularly to the Quadruple Alliance, by which he was constituted Joint-Mediator with the most Christian King; will ever be thought Just Reasons against accepting the fole Mediation, by All who do not think the Breach of Faith, and the Violation of Treaties, Matters of no Concern. And this Writer himself seems sensible of this, by his attempting to shew, that the Acceptance of this sole Mediation by Britain, could not but be extreamly acceptable to France itself; against the most publick Facts, and the plainest Appearances. To all which it is enough to answer, that as France knew better than He can, what was, or was not, at that Time agreeable to France: So spain knew better than He can, what were, and what were not, the real ciations at Vienna.

I now therefore go on, and observe that the Gourt of Spain never, in any Memorial fent to our Court, or in any Audience given to our Embassador at Madrid, so much as mentioned this (18)

Affront from France, as any Inducement to the Transaction at Vienna; or any the least Excuse for it. And how indeed could it have been done, at all Confistenly with Other Declarations made to Mr. Stanhope at Madrid, and with those made at London; viz. 'That Nothing had been ' done at Vienna, but upon the Foot of the Quadruple Alliance; Nothing against any former 'Treaties; Nothing that could justly offend any of the Allies; Nothing in short that wanted any Excuse. Violent Passions indeed were stirred up at Madrid, and exerted themselves openly, at the coming of the Resolution from France. This shews that it was not so certainly expected there, as this Gentleman thinks. But at the same Time there was too much Sense mix'd with this Anger, to fuffer such an Inconsistency to come out, as the making This the Motive to what had been long before fet on Foot. And then, as to the King of Great Britain's Refusal of the sole Mediation, the King of Spain did not only not once complain of this; or lay any Part of his Proceedings upon it; but declared to Mr. Stanhope that there was Reason in what was urg'd for His Majesty's declining it; and that it should never make the least Alteration in his Regards to Great Britain. So that here we have on one Hand, effectual Proof from the Court of Spain itself, that they did not look upon the Affront from France, so much as fit to be mentioned as an Excuse or Pretence for their Transaction at Vienna; or upon the King of Great Britain's Refusal of the fale Mediation, as having any Part in it: And on

(19)

the other Hand, the luxuriant Fancy of a Gentleman, furnishing Motives and Reasons for Spain, which Spain itself was always ashamed to make use of.

Besides, so great a Master in Politicks, as this Writer, must know, that Princes do not enter so heartily into such clandestine Negociations as this, upon mere Suspicion or Fear that something. may be done by another Power: which may afterwards serve for an Excuse for it. Yet the Light. this Writer has placed the Vienna-Alliance in, is this. It was feared that something would one Day be done, which the Spaniards could make an Apology for their Proceedings. Therefore, they as greed with the Emperour upon every Thing they had in view; but did not (fays he) execute their Agreements, till what they fear'd happen'd. Now, it appears that, as the Treaty of Peace was agreed. to, at Vienna, before what Spain fear'd from France was known at Vienna to have happen'd; fo, it was sign'd before the Refusal of Britain could be known there. True, he fays, " Not known at "Wienna, in Form, at that Time: But, the Certain " Knowledge of the Refusal of the Mediation might " be arrived there by that Time; nay, the Treaty, " might be signed upon this Knowledge, by vir-" tue of Instructions given with this Contingency " specified in them." It might be so, He says, And therefore, I think it evident, He means the Reader should conclude, It was so. For, tho' He carries. it not so sar, in this Passage; yer, He afterwards. makes an Apology for Spain, from this Refusal, which, according to his own Notions of the Affair, cannot be an Apology, unless He himself believes, and would lead others to conclude,  $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ 

( 20 /

that the Certain Knowledge of this Refusal actually came to Vienna, BEFORE the signing of the Treaty; and that the Instructions by which the Spanish Minister was then to act, had this Contingency specified in them: Of the Certainty or Probability of which, there is no Proof; but the Bare Possibility here insisted on. If this be the Strength of the Apology; I think it enough to fay. That, according to all the Rules of judging in such Cases, it is plain, That if the Refusal of the sole Mediation had been One of the Causes of this Transaction, and to be owned as fuch; the Minister of Spain would have been instructed to deferr signing at Vienna, till the Intelligence of this Fact should come to Him, by Authority and in Form. The Delay of a very fem Days would have been compensated by some little Grace this would have added to the Apology.

I will now go farther, and suppose much more than this Gentleman himself has supposed: That the sending back the Infanta, and the Resolution not to accept the sole Mediation, had both in form arrived at Madrid, before Ripperda was sent from thence. And I fay, even upon this large Supposition, these two Facts could not really have been, and would not have been pretended to have been, the Motives of what was afterwards done ar Vienna. I grant that the Former of these might have raised a present Resentment against France. I grant that the latter might have yielded a Pretence for faving that Spain was left without any Friend at Cambray; if it had been resolv'd by Spain to break with France, upon that Affront. But in the Light in which the Court of Spain it(21)

felf saw this Refusal, of the sole Mediation. when it did come; it never was pretended to be an Affront, or Injury, but indeed acknowledg'd to be a reasonable Proceeding. This being supposed the Case; what follows? The Court of Spain, I will not deny, might, by fuch Circumstances, be induced to try what honourable Terms the Em. perour would come to; without hurting the Interests of those who never had hurt them. But let us see what an excellent Argument this would be for Spain to use. 'France has affronted us: Therefore, we will enter into a Treaty with the Emperour, not to hurt France principally, but to hurt Holland, which has never offended us, in the most important Branches of Trade; and to injure Britain from which we have received no Affront or Offence, both in its I rade, ' and Possessions.' Much more, let us observe how this Argument would become the Emperour, without whose Concurrence Spain could have done nothing at Vienna. 'France has affronted Spain: Therefore, the Emperour who is not affronted. enters into a Treaty with Spain, to the great Prejudice of the Dutch, and English, who had no part in this Affront to Spain, and never injured the ' Emperour. ' But it seems, not a Word is to be said for his Imperial Majesty: And yet the Vienna. Treaty can never be justified, or excused; unless the Emperour, as well as the King of Spain, can be justified, or excused. In short, my Intent in the Supposition I have made, was to shew, That putting the Case of the Infanta as high as possible, on the Side of Spain; it could not have been the Motive.

(22)

Motive, nor would ever have been alledg'd as the Motive, to the entring into Treaties, to the great Detriment of other Nations, which had no Part in that Affront. Much less, therefore, will the bave Suspicion of an Affront to be given some time or other, be of any fuch weight: Which is all that is now under Consideration. Let it be tried-" The King of Spain suspects that France will "hereafter displease and affront him by sending " back the Infanta: And therefore, four Months " before this appears, fends a Minister to Vienna, " to enter into and finish Treaties, which shall "hurt other Nations, who can have no Part in "this Affront, in their dearest Interests." But this Justice I must do to the Court of Spain, that this Apology never came with any Shadow of Authority from thence: And tho' at first it was a little whilper'd about by some of their Agents; it was never afterwards own'd by them; but immediately shamed into silence, in which it remain'd, till this Gentleman has put it again into the Mouths of Men, with his own Embellishments about it.

Nor can I, upon this Gentleman's own Notions in this Paper, at all account for the King of Spain's resolute flying from the Mediator-ship of France; and carrying all his Affairs to Vienna, upon this Provocation. For he himself observes how very easy and speedy a Matter, a Reconciliation between France and Spain was, after this Affront. Never Resentment ran higher, says he, and yet one or two Sacrifices, a little Address, and a little Management pacified all; united

(23)

sted the two Courts; and restored to the French, in a short Time, such an Influence over Spain, &c. that, it seems, many more Marks of Favour were soon bestowed upon France which had given this Affront to Spain, than upon Britain which had had no Part in it. And indeed, to prove that this Affront was not the Cause of such a Proceeding at Vienna, He himself surnishes a very good Maxim in this Paper, "That Reason of State will determine the "Conduct of Princes; not Old, Stale, Resentments": I only add, exactly upon the same Grounds, "Nor New, Fresh, Resentments; especially such as the Princes in whom they are raised, know, can be easily, and presently removed, in another and a better Way".

I will say no more upon this Head, but that he is exceedingly mistaken in thinking, (as one would imagine him to do, from many of his Arguments) that the Court of Britain did not very, foon know, and very foon endeavour to guard against, the designed Alliance at Vienna. For as the Best Intelligence gave them Early Accounts of what was doing, so no Measures were neglected, Except Breach of Faith, and Violation of that very Alliance by which Britain was made Joint-Mediator with France. I thought my self obliged to consider at some length, what this Writer has framed for the first Ground of the Vienna-Alliance. I have found it, I think, and shewn it, to be utterly unsupported by Fact, as well as by that Court upon which he fixes it: And by this have sufficiently vindicated the Enquiry for having rejected this Account of the Affair at first.

gagement

(24)

I will now try his Reasonings and Imputations upon one or two other Heads, which are the Consequences of the Measures defended in that Book.

The most material Point, as he often professes, is that of Gibraltar: Concerning which, we know what Accusations and Outcries were raised around us: And all to this Purpose; That it was to be given up, or to be artfully betrayed, into the Spaniards Hands: And all Protessions to the contrary were in vain. But now it has been seen how vigorous a Defence was made of it, against the Spaniards; and that Preliminaries have been fign'd, in which Spain was obliged to acknowledge the withdrawing all Hostilities from that Place to be included; and accordingly to withdraw all Appearances of them: What doth all this avail? This Gentleman declares now that the Demand of this Place is not put out of Question by the Preliminaries; which Demand he founds very strongly and positively thus: [and I will go no farther back than He does.] "The Spaniards, " (says He) ground their Expectations, and " what they call their Right, on a New Engage-"ment taken by us, as they fay, fince all the Transactions (mention'd by Him) were over; on a Private Article, in a Treaty made with them " in 1721 stipulating the Contents of a LET-"TER to be written by the Late King; and on the Letter written in Pursuance of this Article, "the Original of which they offer to produce; "and which they pretend to be a positive En(25)

gagement to restore Gibraltar to them." The Writer of this Paper is, I am confident, a Person of very great Intelligence. Years have now pass'd, in which He might have satisfied Himfelf of the Certain Truth or Certain Falshood of what He here puts into the Mouth of Spain. There is no Excuse for such a Representation as this is, made in the Face of the whole Nation, unless it appears at last to be True. It is not enough for a Man of Honour, to fay, He took it from the Discourse of some Spaniards somewhere or other. He knows many, I dare fay, who have feen the very Treaty, here mention'd; and the Authentick Copy of that very Letter which alone can be here meant. They all know it to be True, that there is no fuch Article belonging to the Treaty mentioned. I have myself read the Treaty: and find no one Article belonging to it, which has the least Relation to this Subject. The Treaty is that of a Defensive Alliance between Great Britain, France, and Spain, sign'd June 13, 1721, in which Treaty the very contrary indeed, to the prefent Suggestion, appears to the Eyes of all who read it, viz. That the Preservation and Observation of the Treaty of Utrecht (which afferts the Right,) and of the Treaty of London (which confirms the Right of Great Britain to Gibraltar,) are particularly declared to be the Principal Objest of this Alliance. And as there is no such Article, in this Treaty, stipulating any Letter of any fort; so neither is there any such Letter in being,

(26)

being, as That here represented to be a Positive Engagement to restore Gibraltar to Spain. I appeal to the Lowest Observer of the World, as well as the Highest, whether it be credible, that if any such Article were in being, or any such Letter, Spain would not long ago have produced them. The Spaniards are ready (it seems) to produce the Original of such a Letter. Who, or what has hinder'd them from doing it all this time? The real Impediment is, that they know there is no fuch Article in any Treaty; and that therefore, this could not be made a National Concern to Great-Britain: and that there is no Letter with fuch a positive Engagement. The Letter referr'd to, was written in 1721. Many have seen the Copy of it, and must acknowledge that it would not have been of any Moment to the Spaniards to have produced it, and that This alone could be the Reason why they did not, even in the Life of the late King. For, whether a Letter, in the Tenor of which it is plainly implied, that the Parliament of Britain must judge of that Matter; and the utmost Engogement in which is, To take a favourable Opportunity to regulate that Matter with the Consent of His Parliament, can be, with any Justice, a positive Engagement to restore that Place to Spain, I may leave to the meanest Judge of Language and Expression.

To proceed, It was After the Death of the late King, that Spain ratified the Preliminaries; and in these Preliminaries, I say, the Right and Possession

(27)

Possession of Gibraltar is ascertain'd to Great Britain; and consequently all Claim of Spain to it again extinguish'd. This Gentleman denies this, and calls for the Words that contain, this. I appeal to the Second Article, which fays, in express Words, "Rights, or those things which are posses'd by ANY of the "Contracting Powers, by Virtue of the Trea-"ties of Utrecht and Baden, and the Quadruple Alliance, &c, ihall remain untouch'd. " Now the Right to Gibraltar was fix'd to Great Britain by the Treaty of Utrecht, and confirm'd by the Quadruple Alliance. Both the Utrecht Treaty and Quadruple Alliance are sign'd in effect, by figning this Preliminary Article. Therefore (it follows) Spain, which has fign'd and ratified this Article, has once more not only confirm'd and allowed the Right to Gibraltar to be in Britain, but consented that it shall remain Untouch'd. And as the Possession of it could not be faid to be Untouched, as long as Any Hostile Appearances were about it; so the Right to it cannot be said to be Untouch'd, whilst any Demand of another Power upon it subsists. Spain therefore, having long since that Letter, ratified this Article, which declares that the Right to Gibral. tar shall remain Untouch'd; has plainly given up all Demand upon it which could have accrued from such a Letter, even if it had contain'd a positive, absolute Promise.

Whoever may not see the Force of this Argu. ment, I am very sure this Gentleman will see it and own it; because he argues strongly, in

D 2

this

this very Paper, that, supposing any Promise made to the Spaniards of restoring Gibraltar to them, before the Accession of Spain to the Quadruple Alliance, That Accession effectually vacated the Promise. His Reasoning is this. "That All " Possessions are mutually confirm'd by that Trea-" ty, cannot be denied. The Possession of Gi-" braltar was therefore, again, confirm'd to us " by the King of Spain when he acceded to " that Treaty, unless he can shew that our Posses. sion of it was excepted, or can produce any " private Article, or Declaration, which made a "Reservation of his Right to this Place, not-" withstanding the Cession of it made at Utrecht. "But nothing of this can be shewn, &c." I desire no more, but that This, which is certainly Right Reasoning, may in all like Cases be equally allowed and approved. I have shewn that there was no such private Article, or Promise, as this Writer mentions, even before the Preliminaries were ratified by Spain. And supposing there had been (which is putting it with all the Advantage to himself) I have now specified the very Words, in which Spain has again engaged that the Right to Gibraltar shall remain Uniouch'd, by confirming the Quadruple Alliance; the Accession to which at first, this Author rightly assures us, ascertain'd that Fortress to Britain. Having done this, change but the Words Quadruple Atliance, in his Argument, into the Second Preliminary Article, and it must stand in full Force to prove that Care has been taken, as far, as the Words of Treaties can do it, to ascertain this again (29)

again to Britain, so that our Right to it shall remain UNTOUCH'D; unless he can prove that either in this Treaty itself, or by any proper Act of Authority since the Ratification of these Preliminaries, any Reservation has been made con-

trary to this Article.

And, as I have now answered his Demand, and shew'd him, in the Preliminaries, an Article which is indeed as express, and as effectual a Confimation of our Right to Gibraltar, as if the Word Gibraltar had been put into it; and must be allowed by himself to be so, according to his own Argument about the Quadruple Alliance, viz. because it confirms the Treaty of Utrecht, in which Gibraltar is named, and our Right established; it lies upon him either to give up this Part of his Charge, or as he has undertaken, to shew those General Words, in these Preliminaries, upon which any Pretence can be founded for the reviving any Demand of it, either at the present Congress, or any where else. I seriously profess, that after my utmost Search, I can find none. On the contrary, I will observe farther, that the Latter Part of this Second Article, greatly strengthens the Former; and, being designed to declare expresly what IS to be left to the Congress, effectually declares what is Not to be left to it. The Terms of it are these. " But if any Thing shall " be found to have been ALTERED therein," [speaking with Respect to the Rights and Pos-[essions established in those Treaties;] " or any "Thing NOT TO HAVE BEEN PUT IN

(30)

" EXECUTION; the ALTERATION Made; " or The Thing NOT EXECUTED shall in "the Congress to be held, be Discussed and " DECIDED according to the TENOR of the "SAID TREATIES and CONVENTIONS." Now, Nothing either as to the Right of Great Britain to Gibraltar, or to the Possession of it, has been at all altered: Nor has there been any Non-Execution, as to that Right and Possession. Great Britain has a Right to it by Treaties, uncontested: And has been long in Possession of it; and that Possession, tho' not quite unmolested, yet still unchanged. Therefore neither the Right to. nor the Possession of, Gibraltar, can be possibly included in this Description of such Points as are left to be discussed in the Congress.

As I was obliged in the Enquiry to Mention the Squadron of Ships sent to the West Indies, in order to block up the Galleons, &c. this makes it almost unavoidable to me, to take a little Notice of what is faid upon that Matter, in the Paper now before us. As to this Writer's Scheme for the taking all their Riches at first, into our own Power, and becoming the Diffributors of them to all their Proprietors; (which, by the Way, appears to have been impossible, by Admiral Hoster's first Letter just now published;) it is enough to fay to it, that fuch an Order as that, given by the Court of Great Britain, at a Time when the Allies of Hanover professed only to act upon the Defensive, and before any open Hostilities had been committed on the part of Spain, must have put Europe into a Flame,

(3I)

by putting all the Proprietors of those Riches. whether French, Dutch, or Spanish, into the greatest Uneasiness. This Method indeed, if the Galleons with their Riches, had attempted by Force or Stealth, to come out, had been reasonable; in order to prevent those Riches falling into the Hands of Spain, and being made use of to prosecute the Designs projected by the Treaties of Vienna; and Justice must have been done in that Case to all concern'd, as well as it could. And this was Part of the Admiral's Orders, upon that Supposition. The Orders given to the Admirals in those Parts, are now printed; and an Account given of that whole Affair, in a Book entitled "Observations on the Conduct of " Great Britain, with regard to the Negotiations " and other Transactions abroad;" to which I refer the Reader. But I will not neglect a very material Question, ask'd by this Gentleman, upon this Subject. "The Galleons, says he, were " block'd up for a great while, at our Expen-" ces and with the Loss of many Lives. They " are now come or coming home. But has " Spain renounced those Designs which our Fleet " was fent thither to prevent, by preventing the "Supply of that Treasure?" Truly, I can't tell; nor can any one in the World, who is not in the Secrets of the Court of Spain. But I fee no good Argument in this. "A Fleet is ordered " to the West-Indies, to prevent the coming "away of fo much Riches; which is a probable Method of preventing the carrying on the bad Designs we were in sear of. The unhappy

Consequence of this is, That Ships decay, and many Lives are lost, in that Climate; which is as much an Argument against any Equipment of this fort, as against this. What is the Consequence? Spain is brought by these Measures to ratify the Preliminaries: in consequence of which, he raises the Siege of Gibraltar; sends Orders to restore the South-Sea Ship; and promises that the Effects of the Galleons, upon their Return Home, shall be deliver'd, as in Time of Freedom and full Peace. The Court of Britain, upon this, act the part which the Law of Nations requires, and honestly perform their Duty, by ordering away their Fleet, as they were strictly obliged to do. The Galleons, as it was articled, are now come, or coming. But has the King of Spain renounced his Projects? Yes, undoubtedly, as far as Articles ratified by him can bind; and as far as any contracting Powers can be bound by Treaty to one another. But whether he will go on to act an open and honest part, to secure still farther the Peace of Europe, and the Interests of Great-Britain: Nay, whether the Riches of the Galleons will be distributed, as is expresly stipulated; All' this is just as uncertain, (neither more nor less) as it always was, and always will be, Whether any Treaty in the World will be observed by All concerned in it. This does not argue, that Treaties must not be made; or, when they are made, not honestly performed by us: Neither does it at all derogate from any Articles, or any Treaty, that there are Princes in the World (33)

who may break through them, when they have obtained any End of their own by signing them.

I can think but of one thing more, in which I am concern'd, and that is, the polite Triumph over the Author of the Enquiry, " That he has " now the Mortification to see himself given up " in EVERY material Article, by both Parties, even by Those who set him to work." How eafy are fuch Words as these? But how hard to support them? All the material Articles of the Enquiry, take their Rise from the Evils threaten'd by the Vienna-Alliance. The Importance of Gibraltar is one of the material Articles. This Gentleman himself thinks it the most material. perhaps. And who of Those he opposes, has declared against me in it? So that instead of being given up, I am supported on both Sides in ir. The Oftend-Trade is another; and I cannot think that he himself can be against me in this, unless in the Degree of the Importance of it. But who of those he opposes, has declared against me in this? And as to the other two main Articles; This Gentleman does not contradict them; nor have Those he opposes. Thus true, it is that in EVERY ONE of them, I have been given up. As to the Ostend-Trade, and the evil Consequences in Time to ourselves from it, it is not the least Contradiction to what I have advanced, that it primarily affects the Dutch, and is therefore principally their Concern. This does not hinder but that the Ill Effect of it will as certainly end with our Trade, as it begins with theirs. Nor did I ever see the least Answer to what I advanced

(34)

vanced upon that Head, chiefly from a Treatise upon that Subject, which, I acknowledged, then lay before me. But supposing any Person who writes his private Thoughts in one Paper, as this Gentleman writes his own Sentiments in another, had contradicted every material Article of the Enquiry: Is this, in Honour, to be laid upon those who never saw, nor heard of the Paper before it appear'd in the World? And, above All, shall even this supposed Contradiction to the Enquiry, pass for an Argument that this very Paper was writ by the Author of the Enquiry himself, or by those who approved of it?

I thought it a Point of Duty, once to review the Enquiry, after it has been long enough publish'd to have the Falshcods it contains, either in its Facts or Reasonings, laid open. I could by no Means be willing to appear to the World under the Character some have pleas'd to give me upon this Occasion. I say again, I had sufficient Conviction of the Facts I have set forth. It was this Conviction that set me to work; and I assure this Gentleman, that nothing but that, was ever proposed to me as a Motive to what I did; and that All that this World could propose to me, could not have set me to work without that Conviction. And, whatever has been done fince the publishing of that Book, I have not hitherto stir'd one Step beyond that Period of Time. I have now gone a little farther, and just mentioned what has follow'd upon the Measures laid before the World in that Book, and the present State (35)

in which the Negociations abroad are: Of which the Observations I have before cited, give the

World a more particular Account.

I am not now going to fet myfelf up for a Judge of the Fitness and Wisdom of all the Steps that have been, or might have been taken, for the settling the Affairs of Europe. Ithink myselfuncapable of fuch a Province. The Judgment from the Event of Things merely, is not the Judgment of Reason; but it is that which is easiest and most pleasing to the Generality of Mankind. But the true Judgment can arise from nothing but a comprehensive Knowledge of that Variety of Circumstances which always surrounds an Affair of this Nature, in which the Interests of almost all the Nations of Europe are concern'd. In the present Case, They who judge only by the Event of Things, must wait a little longer; or must judge partially by what they now feel of Inconveniencies from the present State of Things only, whilst they cannot feel the Inconveniencies of what has not been tried. They may think the State of an universal War in Europe, should have been some time ago chosen, before the prefent State. They who are at the Helm, it is evident, have thought otherwife. In this View, the worst that can be said of them is, That they have been too unwilling, and too backward, to bring all Europe into a State, which, even when absolutely unavoidable, is in itself the most terrible, and in its Event the most uncertain of all States; and that they have rather chose, for too, long a Time, a State of some Evils, in order to

(36)

try to prevent that State which would foon bring along with it Other and Greater Evils, as well as louder Complaints under them. But whether worse than this might not have been said, before now, had the opposite Scheme been follow'd; let those consider, who can, with Temper and Candor, sit down and weigh all the probable Contingencies, all the good and bad Consequences of each State.

I know myself to have as good Designs, as fincere a Regard for my Country, and as uncorrupted and unbiassed a Resolution of prosecuting the Good of it, as any one of Those can have, who have been the most severe upon me. And, as I would abhor to treat the meanest of them in the Way in which I have been treated; fo nothing of that Sort shall ever move me from an impartial Regard to what is offered as Truth, let it come to my Ears from what Mouth it will; and let it be accompanied with as much bitterness as it will. But, if upon Examination, it does not appear to be Truth; I must be excused. And therefore, if I still differ from this Gentleman, in all the Points before-mentioned; it is really because they still appear to Me in quite annother Light than they do to Him. I have nothing to go by, but the Evidence that appears to Myjelf: Neither do I censure Him, or any others for not feeing as I fee, or judging as I judge.

My Views in what I First wrote upon this Subject, were not those base and ignoble ones, which have been in so unkind; and unhandsome Manner,

(37)

Manner, imputed to me; but were really fuch as become a Christian, and a Briton. And that I now vindicate fuch Part of what I then publish'd, as I think has been greatly mistaken, or add one Word to it, is extorted from me by the Force of what seems Truth to me. I have no Attachment to Ministers, but what I should now be willing should appear naked and open to every impartial Eye. And how should I? I thank GOD, I want Nothing which the most powerfull in this World can give me; and I appeal to GOD, I seek after nothing. I think myself obliged, on one hand, to like them better, than Worse; and on the other hand, to be always ready to rejoyce to see much better in their Places. The Praise which arises from any Fact, or Conduct, beneficial to their Country, is justly due to Them: This no Man need be ashamed of offering.

Those, who think fit to oppose Them, I have not provoked by any personal Disregard. In what I have agreed with them, before the Opposition began, I trust, they cannot condemn me. In what I have differed from them since, I am sure I have preserved all Decency of Respect; and have no Crime toward them, but the mere Differing from them. And for this alone, I have been treated in the manner the World has been Witness to.

The Views imputed to me I have faid as much to, as it is fitting for me to fay. Whatever Fault there may be in my Head; I know my Heart to be good. But not only fuch Views have been

been imputed to me, as have been at the fame time declared to be the Views of the Worst of Men: But many Papers, either in Matter, or Manner, disagreeable to some Persons, have been positively laid upon me, and made the Occasion of much Wit, and Satyr, and Triumph. This makes it necessary for me to speak now plainly to the World, upon this Subject. I therefore declare, with all possible seriousness, That I have not writ, or dictated, or advised; or, directly or indirectly, had the least Part in the Writing or Publishing any Paper which has appear'd in the World, in any Form, from the Time of Writing the Enquiry, and for some Time before that, to this Day, January 20, 172%: Unless I must except One or Two upon Subjects in which All are agreed, the precise time of my feeing which I cannot now recollect. This Declaration, and for that Space of time, I make particularly with a View to Papers printed in the London-Journal; in all which I have been utterly unconcern'd either directly or indirectly. I think myself obliged, to do myself Justice particularly with regard to those Papers in the London-Journal which concern'd the Liberty of the Press, by declaring That I never either faw or heard of them, before Publication; or read them since, or any part of them, but what I found cited in another Paper which imputed them to me. And this I mention, not to censure what I have not read, unless as Others have represented it; but because I would not willingly suffer in the Opi(39)

nion of those whose Rights and Liberties I have studied thro' my Life to defend, as at last betraying them in a Point which ever appeared to me to be of the greatest Importance to them. My Principles upon this Subject, are known both to the Highest and Lovest of all I converse with. I never had any Ground to think that there was any Design of making the least Alteration in the Laws relating to this. Nay, have had the Pleasure to hear it utterly disown'd. And, as for myself; if there be a Point of Liberty more than all others, my favourite Point; it still is, as it has been ever fince I could think, this of the Liberty of the Press. I can never think it a Reason for laying any Restraint, that Ministers are ill-treated or abused. They that will have Power, ought to be contented with this Perquifite, which will always attend upon it.. And should I myself be the Subject of Wit and Satyr every Saturday throughout the Year, this shall never make any Alteration either in my Judgment or Behaviour with regard to this: But I will still support what hurts myfelf, because I truly think it is the Support of the whole Liberty we enjoy; and that the Fall of this one particular Instance of Liberty, will soon be follow'd by the Fall of Others. If this be the Truth, as I know it to be; how furprizing must this be to those who have been Witnesses to the Imputations laid upon mé, not only in publick Papers, but in the Conversation of Places of publick Refort? And, after what I have now decla-

#### (40)

red, how I shall be treated for the future, I must leave to the Consciences of Others.

I have thus once more laid before the World, what I think reasonable upon some Important Subjects which made part of the Enquiry. And I now submit all that I have said to the Judgment of every unprejudiced Lover of his Country.

#### FINIS.

