58-19

Insprupmentingengrapmentingengr

LETTER

From the Author of the

ARGUMEN

AGAINSTA

To the Author of the

Balancing Letter.

A False Balance is an Abomination to the Lord, but a Just Weight is his Delight, Prov. xi. 1.

Vendidit hic auro patriam, Dominumque potentem Imposuit, Leges sixit pretio, atque resixit.

Virgil. En. L. 6.

LONDON, Printed in the Year, 1697.

अति विकास में माने वर्षा व

Fielf Holand in an allowing the forest hand, but we we

e didiciona gariam, Deminuarque corcatem apolicie, Lagge Inic preno, exque religii.

Took Filipa Hale Delight, Book that

Od I ved i okciliraky kus prayton discoglish k

A LETTER from the Author of the Argument against a STANDING ARMY, to the Author of the Balancing Letter.

DY SAR SEE OF DEED SEED BY DE

HO the Journy-men Scriblers with all their Scurrility can't provoke me to give them an Answer, yet when I see my self levell'd ar in such soft Language and Gentleman-like Behaviour, I am the more asraid; Timeo Danaos, & dona ferentes.

You have been pleas'd in your last Paragraph to own the Matter to be 'so nice and important, that it ought to 'be severely examin'd, without false Colours and popular 'Rhetorick; and you are pleas'd to give your self the Character of one 'zealous for Liberty, a great Adventurer for it, and to have a great Stake in it. If you are the Gentleman I guess you to be, I believe your Stake is now considerable; but you being a great Adventurer in getting it, it is not worth magnifying your self for it: which gives me occasion to say I am not of A 2

(4)

a desperate Fortune, and what Stake I have being provided for me by my Ancestors, I am more asraid of losing it than if it were my own Acquisition. And after this short Presace, I will proceed to examine into the matter without false Colours or popular Rhetorick.

I think your Letter has shew'd these things.

- 1. What you would have.
- 2. How long you would have it. Which your
- 3. For what Reasons.

1st. I perceive you would have us believe we have 'an Honourable Peace to the wonder of the World, and 'that nothing can hurt us but Animosities and Jealousies 'amongst our selves: And secondly, you would have 'a Land Force to maintain this Peace. Now, Sir, I must beg vour Pardon if my Faith differs from yours; for I can't believe we have an Honourable Peace, in case we are oblig'd to keep up a Standing Force to maintain it. Peace is a Cessation of the Exercise of the use of Arms, that we may with Safety turn our Swords into Plough-shares, and Spears into Pruning-hooks; and the Prayers of the Church commanded by his Majesty for Thanksgiving for this Peace, have taken in that very Text: And if this our Peace will not an-Iwer this Character, it is not such a Peace as you would have us believe we are bles'd with. But fince we have not such a Peace (for you know better than I) I will go on for Argument-sake with yours, to supply this Impersection in it. You are pleas'd to

(5)

fay, Page 3. when you feem to prepare us to consider of the Necessity of a Land Force, 'You are far from the sthought of a STANDING ARMY. Now I'll tell you, Sir, what I apprehend a STANDING ARMY to be; Horse and Foot rais'd under Commission granted by the King, with Swords and Pistols. Pikes and Muskets, Powder and Ball to kill Men. If you by your Land Force mean none of all these, I am very impertinent in differing with you. But till you are pleas'd to diffinguish your Land Force from this Description, I believe all Men will think you mean the same by a LAND FORCE, as I do by a STANDING ARMY; which if you do, then you have declar'd your Thoughts against it, and made your self guilty of the most apparent Contradiction that ever I saw wrote in so good a Stile.

The next thing you shew is, How long you would have these LAND FORCES continue, and that is from Year to Year: which puts me in mind of a Covenant us'd in conveying Lands in Holland, whereby the Seller warrants the Land to the Purchaser for a Year and a Day, which according to their Law, is for ever; and so, I suppose, when you say from Year to Year, you mean in secula seculorum, as will appear by and by.

The third thing is, for what Reasons you would have this. And, first, 'you abhor to give his Majesty 'a Jealousy of his People, as if he were not safe amongst them without Guards: But you say the Case at present is, 'Whether, considering the Circumstances that

"we

(6)

we and our Neighbours are now in, it may not be prudent and necessary for us to keep up a reasonable. Force from Year to Year; and so you seem to lay a great stress upon the Fashion of other Countries. You say, Pag. 4. The whole World, more particularly our Neighbours, have now got into the missianly our Neighbours, have now got into the missian Notion of keeping up a mighty Force; and the most powerful of these happens to be our next. Neighbour, who will very probably keep up great. Armies, and we may appear too inviting if we are in an unguarded Condition.

Now, Sir, as to the Fashion of other Countries. I remember that God having declared Laws to the Israclines, commanded them to keep them, and not to sollow or hanker after the Fashions of other Nations, either in Worship or Government. And if we are in the Fashion of our Neighbours in having an Army, we must have their fashioned Government too. It is the Fashion of the F. K. to have a STANDING ARMY, and it is the Fashion of his Subjects to be Slaves under that STANDING ARMY. I observe Men that are addicted to Fashions, sollow them in every thing. Now to be Freemen under a STANDING ARMY is not the Fashion of our Neighbours. And I am afraid we shall never think our selves compleatly in the Fashion till we have got Wooden Shoes too.

But I see, Sir, you are not so much a Fop as to be in the Fashion for sashion sake, but that you think there is a necessity for it; for you are afraid of being invaded

(7)

by our Neighbours, 'the next and greatest of whom will probably keep up great Armies. And here by the way before I forget it, I would put you in mind of your Tenure from Year to Year; for I think by this Argument you would have our Land Force to continue as long as the French King is in a mistaken notion of keeping up great Armies, fo that from Year to Year is already become a Phrase for ever. For my part I should be unwilling to stay for any thing I wish for till the French King disbands his Army. Therefore, Sir, don't draw Men into your Proposals by sowing Pillows under them, by soft Language, of a Land Force, not Standing Army; from Year to Year, under the Consideration of Parliament. Let us have plain words, and then your Proposals, according to your own Reasons, must be for a Standing Army in England, as long as the French King, or any of his Successors, keep up a Standing Army in France: you had as good open your Matter fairly at first, for every thing else is but flourish till you come to the Point.

Now, Sir, I confess I give as little credit to the Words and Leagues of Princes as you do, and depend more upon their Interest than Integrity for the performance of them, and therefore am not for leading them into Temptations to attack us, and would always have a Defence sutable to our Danger. Nature hath armed all Creatures with Weapons to oppose those that assault them, and the Policy of Man hath sound out several Artificial ones for himself. Now the sole Debate between us is, In whose Hands these Weapons should be put.

Of this matter I have discoursed from the 18th to the 26th Page of my Argument, which you neither can or do pretend to answer, and therefore I refer you thither again: Indeed in your oth, roth and 11th Pages you tell us, 'That Regular and Disciplin'd Troops are far superiour to the best and strongest Militia in ' the World, admitting this Condition, that there are no Regular or Disciplin'd Troops in that Militia. But I. will make bold to tell you, that a Militia may be as well Disciplin'd as any Army; nay our own Army, if they were Disbanded, will most of them be sent to the Militia; and I suppose calling them by a new Name will not make them worse Soldiers. Now as to your Instances in History, I shall only make this small Objection to them all, That you are mistaken in every particular. For the Persian Army was made up of Standing Troops, kept up in the several Provinces of the Empire, and not of Militia, as you fally infinuate: and with these Armies they conquered easily the several Principalities which made up their vast Empire, and were defended by Standing Armies; but when they came to fight with the Greek Militia, all their mighty Armies came to nothing. Of this we have the. Instances of Xenophon, who with ten Thousand Greeks. marched three Thousand Miles through their Country in spight of a numerous Army observing him. Afterwards of Agefilaus, who with a small Spartan Militia had put an end to the Persian Empire, if the Factions of Greece had not call'd him home. The mighty. Army of Xerxes was destroyed by a Greek Militia. Nay the better part of Alexander's Army was.

(9)

made up of a Militia taken out of the several Cities of Greece.

The Instance you give of the Romans, makes as much against you; for they found more difficulty in conquering a few little Commonwealths about them, who fought by Militias, than Asia, Egypt, and all the Arbitrary Governments they conquered, which fought against them with standing Armies. Who will deny that Casar's Conquests over the Gallick Militia, were greater than Pompey's over the Asiatick standing Armies? And whereas you fay, Page 11th, 'That nothing stood before the Roman Armies whilst they were kept under Discipline; but when all their Order was broke, and they became a Militia, the Northern Nations in Em rope, as well as the Sarazens in the East, overrun the * Roman Empire; I must take leave to say, the just contrary to this is true: for whilst they fought by a Militia, they conquer'd the whole World; but afterwards in the time of the Emperours, when they kept up Standing Armies of three hundred and fixty thousand Men, as Tacitus reckons them, they were overrun by every Barbarous Nation that invaded them.

Your Instance of Hannibal is nothing to the purpose, for the Carthaginians did not beat the Romans, but Hannibal the Roman Generals: He got no Victory but by his own fingle Conduct; and when the Romans fought against any other General, they were seldom unfüccelsful, and place of the land production of the little state of the little state of the little state of the land of the la

which that has a this bearing it is most expecti

511113

The Turks also met with much more trouble in subduing the Hungarian and Epirot Militias, than all their Empire besides. Scanderbeg with a small Militia came off constantly successful in two and twenty Battles against their numerous Standing Armies. Huniades and his Son Mathias, sought always with Militias against the Turkish Standing Armies, and performed such Actions as Posterity can hardly believe, and I am sure were never equall'd by any other Force.

And whereas you say, 'the Preservation of England 'in Queen Elizabeth's time was by accident, and we 'must not always expect to live upon Miracles: I don't find but that Excellent Princess, and her Court, were of another Opinion. We don't find her, or her Creatures, after the Spaniards were defeated, to use this Cant to the Parliament. "Gentlemen, you see what " a Danger you have lately escaped, we were preser-" ved by Providence and Chance, but I hope you " will not always expect Miracles: It is necessary to "keep up a Standing Force, for I can't depend upon "the Defence of my People. She scorn d such Trash. and would have cag'd any evil Counfellor who durft give her such Advice: She thought her self safe in the Affection of her People, though this Gentleman. at above a hundred Years distance, tells her the con-

But you seem very apprehensive of being surprized without notice; and mention, Page 5th, the late Attempts from la Hogue and Calais; and that 'if in a time

(11)

'time of War and Jealousie we were so near being fatally overrun, without warning or intelligence, it is much more possible to see such Designs laid in times of Sloth and Quiet, when we are under no Fears, and may have no notice of it. Sir, I have no better Opinion of our Intelligence during the War, than you have. However the business of la Hogue was the Talk of the Exchange, and in all the publick Prints, besides the Gazette, two Months before it happened: And as to that of Calais, His Majetty. by his extraordinary Care, surprized the Enemy with feventy Sail on their Coasts, which they never expected. And that our Notice should be more difficult in Peace than War, I cannot understand, since in the latter all Ports are shut, and Merchants stopt; and in the former the Ports are open, Travellers abroad. Merchants at Sea, and an Embassador at their Court.

Page the 8th, you give a great Character of Arbitrary Government, where Men 'are ruined that fail in performing what is expected from them, in 'keeping the Secrets that are enjoined them, by which the Prince can execute things in other manner than can be conceived by those that live in free Governments. I am sure if Impunity of those who fail in performing their Duty, and in keeping our Secrets, be the Character of a free Government, we are free with a witness. But I can't see why the punishing of them should be inconsistent with a free Government.

 \mathbf{B}_{2}

And

And after all, you feem to apprehend as ill Confequences frem a STANDING ARMY as I do, as Page the 14th, where speaking of the Dangers of it, you fay, This is a large Field, and History is fo full of Instances of this kind, that it will be easy to open copiously on the Subject. From the Prætorian * Cohorts down to our Modern Armies, enough can be gathered to give a very frightful Representation of a STANDING ARMY. And afterwards, Page the 15th, 'I do not deny but several Inconve-* niences may be apprehended from a STANDING FORCE, and therefore I should not go about to perswade you to it, if the thing did not seem indispenfibly necessary. Now I suppose by indispensible Necessity you mean, you are sure without this Army that our Neighbours will invade us, and that it is impossible our Fleets or Militia, however managed; can be able to defend us: whether there is such a necessity or not, I refer you to my Argument; and if there is not, you have given up the Question: For you, in effect, admit a certain Slavery on one side, and if there is but contingent Ruin on the other, it is easy to determine of which side the Balance lies. But you say that the Parliament Mall overlook it; but will you be Security the Army shall not overlook the Parliament? O but that can't be if they are kept up from Year to Year! 'Casar with all his Ge-"nius could not work his Army to it in less than ten Years.

Sir, If that be the exact time of corrupting an Army, pray confider that ours hath been kept up nine Years already. But I am as far from any Jealousie of His present Majesty as you are, and yet I am not afraid to say, that Army which can do no hurt, can do no good.

It is impossible to consider of a STANDING FORCE which shall be sufficient to oppose a Foreign Power, without considering it at the same time sufficient to suppress the Subject at home: for they must beat those who you suppose can beat us; and I must confess I am unwilling to depend on their good will.

Sir, Page 15. you seem to think me a Jealous, Melancholy and Timorous Man, overrun with the Spleen; but I fancy my self as free from all this without a Place, as perhaps you are with one. Come don't fear your Sticke, I dare give you Land Security that you will come off a Winner.

And as for the Gallant Gentlemen of the Army, whom you fear will be Lofers, I shall be as ready as you to recompense them for their Bravery. But to suppose our Fleets to be surprized and betrayed, our Militia to be recreant, and all our Intelligence, Fidelity and Courage to be lodged in a Standing Army, I must confess is out of my power.

In Page the 8th you say, You can't see some Men spow all on a suddain such wonderful Patriots, so jealous of the Prerogative, such Zealots for publick Liberty, without remembring what their Behaviour was in the late Reigns. Now I must own to you, I am better pleased to see this, than to see some Men who were such wonderful Patriots, &c. in the last Reigns, act the same part now as much as in them lies, as the others are said to have done formerly.

dell'i della d Before I have done I must take notice of one Pasfage in your 10th Page. You fay, Whenever the fatal time comes that this Nation grows weary of Liberty, and has neither the Virtue, the Wildom, 'nor the Force to preserve its Constitution, it will deliver up all, let all the Laws possible, and all the Bars imaginable be put in the way to it. It is no more possible to make a Government immortal, than to make a Man immortal. When I join this to the sensible Impressions you seem to have, of the Danger of a Standing Army in the next Line, and yet an indispensible Necessity of keeping one, methinks you give broad, Hints that you think our time is come. But I doubt not there is Virtue enough yet in England to preserve our Constitution, though a wifer Head than yours designed its Ruine.

I will conclude in telling you we have a happy Government, where the King hath all the Power necessary to execute the Laws. All Title arises upon (r5)

an equal distribution of Power; and he that gets an over-balance of Power (for you and I are balancing) takes away the Title from the rest, and leaves them a Possession without a Right, which is a Tenure at the Will of the Lord.

Now Sir, if a Parliament should subject all the Lands of England to this Tenure, I make no doubt your Stake and mine would be as safe during His Majesty's Reign, as in our own Possession; and yet if you will promise me to bring in a Bill to that purpose, I am contented that all I have said about a standing Army shall go for nothing.

Sir, In hopes you will keep up your Correspondence, I conclude my self

Your most humble Servant.

FINIS.

Som Surj. if a Persigname Bourd Bould River all tick in the Series of Series Series Series of Series Series of Series Series of Series o

Tom night hymble Streams.

ZTVIK