

0508

72-9



S T A T E

OF THE

D E M A N D S

OF

Lieut. Col. de SCHEITHER.

S T A T E
OF THE
D E M A N D S

O F

Baron G. H. A. de SCHEITHER,

LIEUTENANT COLONEL COMMANDANT

Of a Corps of Light Troops, in the Service
of GREAT BRITAIN:

UPON THE

CROWN of GREAT-BRITAIN,

F O R

Money advanced by him, and Necessaries provided on
his Credit, for the Use and Support of the said Corps,
during the late War in GERMANY.

L O N D O N :

Printed in the YEAR M D C C L X V I I .

Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.

THE
S T A T E
OF THE
D E M A N D S
OF
Lieut. Col. de SCHEITHER.

ON the 19th of January, 1758,
Baron G. Hen. Albert de Scheither,
Lieutenant in the Hanoverian Horse
Grenadier Guards, and Aid-du-Camp to
his Royal Highness the late Duke of
Cumberland, and to his Serene Highness
Duke Ferdinand of Brunswick, received
an order from his late Majesty, George
the second, as Elector of Hanover, to
raise a corps of light troops, to consist of
four hundred men, three hundred of
them infantry, and one hundred cavalry,
for the service, and at the expence of the

[4]

Electorate of Hanover, and to take upon him the command of the said corps, with the rank of Captain.

On the 25th of December, 1758, this corps was, with the other troops of the Electorate of Hanover, taken into the service and pay of Great Britain; and in the month of November, 1759, was augmented with two hundred cavalry and eighteen canoniers, at which time Captain Commandant de Scheither was raised to the rank of Major, as he was in the year 1762 to that of Lieut. Colonel.

In the month of January, 1761, another augmentation, consisting of two hundred and eighty two men, one hundred and sixty two of them infantry, and one hundred and twenty cavalry, was made to this corps, by order of his Serene Highness Duke Ferdinand of Brunfwick, Commander in Chief of the British combined Army; which two hundred and eighty two men were raised at the expence of Major De

[5]

De Scheither. By this augmentation the corps consisted of nine hundred men.

The nature of the service of the light troops, who were continually in motion, and often at great distances from the established bakeries of the army, making it impracticable for them to be regularly served with bread from thence, it was the rule in the Hanoverian service, for the commanders, *without any written order or contract*, to provide for their corps on such occasions, for which the Chancery of war settled with them at the end of every month, and reimbursed the money they proved to have advanced for this purpose, at the rate of 1R. 12MG. *per month*, for each man.

According to this rule, there was due to the Captain Commandant De Scheither, on the 25th of December, 1758, when his corps was taken into the service of G. Britain R. 177:18MG.:1½PF. for bread supplied by him to the said corps, from the first to the 24th of December, 1758, inclusive

inclusive, the accounts of the former months having been all regularly settled and discharged by the Hanoverian Chancery of war.

When the care of supplying the troops was committed to the British Commissariate, no notice was given of any intention to alter this rule; and consequently Captain De Scheither continued to make provision for his corps, whenever it was necessary, in the same manner as he had done while the supplies of the army were in the hands of the Hanoverian Chancery.

The accounts of the bread, with which he had thus supplied his men in the year 1759, together with the arrear due for the month of December 1758; as before mentioned, were made up and laid before the Commissariate in the month of Feb. 1760, amounting to R. 5448:33 MG:6 1/2 PF. it having been impossible for him, amid the variety of services in which he had been

been engaged in that most active year, to attend to making them up sooner; but instead of receiving payment as he expected, he was told, that Mr. Intendant General Hunter was just going to England, nor could any thing be done in the affair before his return.

It had also been the rule in the Hanoverian service for the commanders of the light troops to provide forage for their horses, whenever they were at too great a distance to be supplied from the magazines, for the same reasons as they provided bread for their men; for which forage the Chancery of War settled with and paid them, at the end of every month, as for the bread. According to this rule, Captain de Scheither had, on all necessary occasions, provided forage for his corps during the year 1758, the accounts of which were all settled and discharged when he was taken into the service and pay of Great Britain. As no notice was given of any design to alter

[8]

this rule, Captain de Scheither continued to provide forage for his corps in the year 1759, the account of which amounting to R.9821:12MG. was made up, and carried on to the last day of January 1760, a month longer than the account for bread, because bread had been supplied by the country from the first of January 1760, by order of Prince Ferdinand; whereas forage was supplied only while the troops were in quarters, but paid for on the march; which account was presented to the Commissariate, at the same time with that for the bread, and put off with the same excuse.

This delay laying Major de Scheither under great inconveniencies, to avoid the like for the future he applied to Sir James Cockburne and Mr. F. Halsey, Commissaries-general, for their directions, who made a verbal agreement with him to provide bread and forage for his corps at the same rates which he had charged

[9]

charged in the accounts for the foregoing year; which he accordingly did, and received payment for, but still could not prevail to have the former account liquidated, though founded on the same reason, and supported by the same principles of equity.

According to this agreement Major de Scheither proceeded in the year 1761 to provide bread and forage for the augmentation of 282 men, made to his corps in that year, by the order of Prince Ferdinand, and gave in the accounts of them at the end of the year, made up conjointly with those of the rest of his corps, to the Commissariate; but the Commissaries, though they made no objection to the accounts for the rest of his corps, refused to admit this for the augmentation, because he did not produce the order of his Serene Highness for making that augmentation; and when he soon after produced it, the Commissariate was changed, nor would the new Com-

Commissaries enter upon any accounts which had been left unsettled by their predecessors; a practice often pursued, without regard to the reason of their being so left, or the distresses which the claimants were put to by such a refusal.

On the 29th of May 1758, Prince Ferdinand ordered Capt. de Scheither to cross the Rhine with his corps, and attack a body of French at Homberg, between Wesel and Dusseldorffe, and at the same time gave him a piece of cannon for that purpose; of the advantageous use made of which, in the signal success of that attack, on the first of June following his Serene Highness was so well convinced, that he gave the Captain an order to keep that cannon for the future service of his corps, and to make the necessary disbursements for providing every thing requisite for the use of it, which he accordingly did; and at the end of the war in 1762, made up the accounts of what he had so disbursed, amounting
to

to R. 1505 : 24 MG : 4 PF. which he laid before the Control at Hanover, but could not prevail to have them liquidated, the Commissaries alledging that they had no authority to meddle with any matters of a date prior to that year.

The same reasons of the continual motions of his corps, and the various and great distances from the body of the army, at which he was generally employed, which made it necessary for Col. de Scheither to provide bread and forage for them, as has been shewn before, made it necessary for him also to disburse the money requisite for keeping their arms in repair, and providing ammunition for their use and service; the accounts of which money so disbursed, amounting to R. 2587 : 3 MG. he presented to the Control at Hanover, at the same time with those for the ammunition, and was put off with the same excuse.

For

[12]

For the same reasons also, he had been obliged to provide medicines for the sick and wounded men of his corps, while they were conveying to the established hospitals, from which he was generally at such a distance that without such provision the men must have died before they could be carried to them; as he was likewise obliged to provide drugs for the horses, whose continual and fatiguing service exposed them to more accidents and illness than those of any other corps in the army, and made immediate relief indispensibly necessary to their preservation. The accounts of the disbursements made by him for these two purposes, the former amounting to R. 2441 : 24 : 0, and the latter to R. 2237 : 2 : 0, were presented to the Control at Hanover, at the same time, and refused liquidation, for the same reason with those of the two last-mentioned articles.

On

[13]

On the order for bringing all outstanding demands on account of the war, to be laid before Commissioners in London for liquidation, these demands of Col. de Scheither amounting in the whole R. 41503 : 1MG. : 2½ pf. were sent over, and given in, in the month of December 1763, at the office of the said Commissioners, who, on the 29th of January 1765, made the following report upon them to the Lords of the Treasury.

“ As this is the only account of the
 “ kind which has been presented as a de-
 “ mand against the Crown of Great Bri-
 “ tain, into this office, we shall make ob-
 “ servations on each article apart, and then
 “ report our opinion on the whole.

“ On the first article, amounting to
 “ R. 5448 : 13 MG : 6½ pf. in gold, we
 “ observe that the bread therein charg-
 “ ed, from the 1st to the 24th of Decem-
 “ ber 1758, does not come under our con-
 “ sideration, as by the tenor of our com-
 “ mission

" mission we are precluded from receiving
 " any demands, or examining any ac-
 " counts, prior to the said 24th of Decem-
 " ber 1758; and this part of the demand,
 " if well founded, should have been settled
 " with the Hanoverian Chancery of War;
 " and for the remaining part, we find that
 " the said Col. Scheither had no order,
 " contract, stipulation, or authority from
 " the British Commissariate, to supply his
 " corps with bread; and further observe,
 " that the said Col. Scheither settled ac-
 " counts for bread and forage, with which
 " he supplied his said corps, from the 1st
 " of November 1760, to the last of May
 " 1761, with Frederick Halsey, Esq; late
 " Commissary of accounts in Germany,
 " and received certificates for the same, and
 " consequently payment on said certifi-
 " cates; and if Col. Scheither's present de-
 " mand for this article of bread supplied to
 " his corps from the beginning of Decem-
 " ber 1758 to the last of December 1759,
 " had been well founded, Mr. Halsey would
 " of course have settled this article, as well

" as

" as the others, previous to the supply of
 " bread and forage wherewith he furnish-
 " ed his corps from November 1760 to
 " the end of May 1761; and we further
 " observe, that this pretended supply of
 " Col. Scheither is not supported by any
 " contract for supplying the corps; or by
 " regimental vouchers, proving that the
 " corps was so supplied; and therefore
 " we are of opinion that this article is no
 " just demand against the Crown of Great
 " Britain.

" On the second article, amounting to
 " R.9821:12 MG. in gold, for forage
 " said to have been purchased by Colonel
 " Scheither, for his said corps, in the year
 " 1759; we observe it is charged on a pre-
 " sumption that Col. Scheither's corps was
 " more than complete, and that these
 " words, viz. "*assignments given by me for*
 "*forage, which I paid for at 12MG. have*
 "*been drawn on the Military Chest itself;*"
 " are obscure, and want explanation; this
 " question naturally arising,--on what Mi-
 " litary

[16]

" lity Chest did Col. Scheither draw for
 " this forage? If it was paid by the Mili-
 " tary Cash of Great Britain, Col. Schei-
 " ther has no demand on the Crown! if
 " from the Hanoverian Military Cash, the
 " demand ought to be made by the direc-
 " tors of that cash. But at all events, the
 " reasons for disallowing the first article
 " are applicable to this, viz. want of au-
 " thority, order, or stipulation whereby
 " Colonel Scheither was empowered to
 " make this advance, which, if well found-
 " ed, why were not these demands pre-
 " sented to Mr. Frederick Halsey, that
 " they might have been liquidated pre-
 " vious to those given in by, and settled, and
 " paid to Col. Scheither, from November
 " 1760 to May 1761, for claims of the
 " same nature? And it is further to be ob-
 " served, that this article is not in any wise
 " supported by vouchers, or receipts for
 " the forage delivered to the corps; nor
 " by any document to prove that Col.
 " Scheither purchased and paid for the fo-
 " rage here charged: for all which reasons

" we

[17]

" we are of opinion that this article is no
 " just charge against the Crown.

" On the third article, amounting to
 " 5195 Duc.:1R.: 35 MG. and the fourth
 " art. amounting to 1153 D.: 1R.: 30 MG.
 " for bread and forage supplied to the said
 " corps, from 1st of Jan. to 1st of Decem-
 " ber 1761,---we observe that this bread
 " and forage is charged to the Crown for
 " a supply to an augmentation of 300 men
 " which Col. Scheither added to his corps,
 " by order of his Serene Highness Duke
 " Ferdinand, which augmentation took
 " place the first of January 1761.

" Col. Scheither produces no contract,
 " order, or stipulation by which he was
 " authorized to provide this augmentation
 " of his corps with bread or forage, nei-
 " ther does he produce any receipts from
 " the troops to prove that such bread and
 " forage was delivered to them; the attest
 " given in by Col. Scheither to support
 " these two articles, only proving that the

C

" aug-

“ augmentation was made by his Serene
“ Highness's orders. We are therefore of
“ opinion that the said two articles can
“ be no charge against the Crown of
“ Great-Britain.

“ On the fifth article, for a piece of can-
“ non furnished to his corps, amounting
“ to R. 1505: 24MG. : 4PF in gold,—we
“ observe that this article of charge is
“ more fully explained by a particular ac-
“ count given in thereof, and signed by
“ Col. Scheither, which consists of vari-
“ ous articles, amounting to the above
“ sum, viz.

- “ For an artillery cart.
- “ For a new ammunition waggon and cover.
- “ A number of horses to draw the carriages, new harnesses, collars, &c.
- “ For repairs of the carriages, smiths and farriers labour for the horses.
- “ For cloathing and maintenance for the drivers.

“ For

“ For cannon balls, gunpowder and car-
“ tridges.
“ Pay, portions of bread, &c. furnished
“ to a Subaltern officer, and four cannon-
“ niers, &c.

“ Instead of any authority to empower
“ Col. Scheither to advance this sum from
“ any person lawfully authorized to grant
“ the same, he produces an attest given to
“ him, at his own request, by the Hano-
“ verian Chancery of War, whereof the
“ following is a literal translation:

“ Whereas Lieut. Col. de Scheither
“ hath clearly represented to the Chan-
“ cery of war, that amongst other ac-
“ cidents happening to him, that of the
“ death of his regiment's Quarter Mas-
“ ter, Rosencrantz, and the following
“ long illness of his successor Borneman,
“ had occasioned, among other papers,
“ the loss of the orders given out by Duke
“ Ferdinand, which were in their hands;

C 2

“ and

(20)

" and whereby the said Lieut. Col. was
 " authorized to make the needful dis-
 " bursements for furnishing his corps
 " with a piece of cannon, together with
 " the fundry appurtenances thereto be-
 " longing; also to engage and pay the
 " fundry Artillerists and Train Servants
 " necessary thereto; and whereas the
 " Lieut. Col. hath occasion for an attest,
 " that the Chancery of War hath know-
 " ledge of this affair, and of the advance
 " made by him on his part, he having
 " acquainted the said Chancery there-
 " with at that time: It is not only here-
 " by attested on behalf of the said Lieut.
 " Col. that the said Chancery is to all
 " intents made acquainted that Duke
 " Ferdinand did not only order a piece
 " of cannon for the said corps, but like-
 " wise that the total equipment of the
 " same, together with the maintenance
 " of the Artillerists, was undertaken by
 " the said Lieut. Col. who actually ap-
 " plied himself to the Chancery of War
 " for his reimbursement; and deliver-
 " ed

(21)

" ed in his account in the year 1759,
 " though the said Chancery of war could
 " not engage themselves to the payment
 " of the said demand, seeing that in
 " their general publication, no Artille-
 " rists or Train Servants were inserted
 " or permitted. In confirmation of the
 " premises, this attest is subscribed by the
 " Hanoverian Chancery of War, and
 " sealed with our seal."

" Hanover Oct. 20, 1763.

" At His Britannic Majesty's Electoral
 " Chancery of War.

‘ Signed

“ VAN HARDENBERG.

" Which attest in our opinion is not suf-
 " ficient authority for this article; but
 " even supposing the authority admissable,
 " not a single account or voucher is pro-
 " duced in support of this charge; and
 " therefore it can be no just demand a-
 " gainst the crown.

C 3

“ On

(22)

“ On the 6th article for reparation of
 “ fire arms, gunpowder and balls during
 “ the years 1759, 1760, 1761, and 1762,
 “ amounting to R. 2587 : 3 M G. in gold,
 “ we observe that although there are pre-
 “ cedents for granting the light troops an
 “ allowance for the reparation of small
 “ arms; yet we apprehend that was on par-
 “ ticular contracts, or by particular orders
 “ from the British Commissariate; but Col.
 “ Scheiter has produced no such contracts
 “ or orders for this claim, and if he had,
 “ the insufficiency of the vouchers must
 “ have destroyed it, they being such as
 “ cannot be admitted for any disburse-
 “ ments whatsoever.

“ On the 7th article for medicines sup-
 “ plied to the said corps, amounting to
 “ R. 2441 : 24 M G. in gold, and on the
 “ 8th article for medicines for the horses,
 “ which the corps advanced during four
 “ years from 1758 to 1762 inclusive, a-
 “ mounting to R. 2237 : 20 M G. in
 “ gold: We observe that the sick men
 should

(23)

“ should have been sent to the hospitals;
 “ and that medicines for the horses and
 “ farriery are always regimental charges;
 “ except Col. Scheiter had obtained a con-
 “ tract, or entered into any agreement, or
 “ stipulation with the British Commissa-
 “ riate for these articles of charge; besides
 “ the vouchers for medicines to the sick
 “ men, to say no worse, are absolutely in-
 “ admissible; and with respect to the me-
 “ dicines for the horses, there are no vou-
 “ chers produced of any kind to support
 “ the same. We therefore think these two
 “ articles to be no just charge against the
 “ crown.

“ Having thus carefully examined the
 “ foregoing account, and given our rea-
 “ sons for setting aside each article, we do
 “ report that the said sum demanded by
 “ Col. Scheiter, amounting to R. 41503:
 “ 1 M G : 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ in gold being founded on no
 “ contract, authority or stipulation, and
 “ deficient in vouchers to prove the sundry
 “ disbursements therein charged, is not
 C 4 “ due

(24)

“ due to the claimant, and therefore *ought*
 “ *not to be paid.*

“ We beg leave to add that Mr. Ross,
 “ on behalf of Col. Scheithar, hath given
 “ in a supplement to the foregoing account
 “ charging interest on the several articles
 “ thereof, amounting to R. 10,505:8MG.
 “ 4½p.f. in gold; and also a claim for de-
 “ fending actions at law brought against
 “ him by his creditors; from whom he
 “ pretends to have borrowed the money
 “ for his disbursements now demanded;
 “ and for the expences of journies for his
 “ officers in soliciting the liquidation of this
 “ account: amounting to R. 3000 in gold,
 “ on which supplement we observe that it
 “ was presented at this office long after the
 “ time prescribed to all German claimants
 “ to give in their demands, which pre-
 “ cludes us to take it under consideration:
 “ But if that difficulty was removed, it
 “ can never be a just demand against the

“ crown

[25]

“ crown, as neither interest nor expences
 “ can become due on a demand which is
 “ void of all foundation.”

Though the foregoing state of these
 demands must have obviated all necessity
 of replying to most of the reasons al-
 leged in this Report for the rejection of
 them, yet a more particular answer shall
 be given in a few words to every one se-
 parately, in order to shew, in a still stronger
 light, the insufficiency and injustice of
 them, except in the one instance fol-
 lowing.

“ The first objection against the de-
 mand for bread, “ that so much of it as is
 “ for the bread supplied from the first to
 “ the 24th of December 1758, inclusive,
 “ should have been settled with the Hano-
 “ verian Chancery of War,” is admitted,
 and that part of the demands amounting
 to R. 177: 18MG: 1½ pf, accordingly with-
 drawn. How just it was to make such
 an error a reason for rejecting the whole
 demand, need not be observed.

The

[26]

The second objection, of "want of order, &c." is certainly one of the most extraordinary reasons ever offered, for refusing payment of a demand, the justice of which is not even attempted to be disproved, as will appear from the following recapitulation of the circumstances attending it.

In the Hanoverian service, it was the rule for the Commanders of the light troops to supply their men with bread, without any particular order for that purpose. When these troops were taken into the service of Great Britain, no notice was given, by the Commissariate, of this rule's being altered, and a particular order made necessary. To make the want of such an order therefore a reason for refusing payment for the bread, so supplied, is taking advantage of an act of omission of the Commissariate, which the claimant could neither prevent, nor see an occasion for preventing,
than

[27]

than which nothing can be more flagrantly unjust.

Of the same nature is the next objection, that "if this demand had been well founded, it would have been settled by Mr. Commissary Halsey, along with that for the following year."—It was regularly, and in proper time, presented to Mr. Hunter, who made no objection of any kind to it, but only deferred settling it till his return from England, whither he was just then preparing to go, and from whence he returned no more to the army. And when it was presented to Mr. Halsey, he gave no other reason for refusing to enter into any examination of it, but that it had been left unsettled by Mr. Hunter: a method of proceeding pursued in all the changes of the Commissariate, in many other instances beside this, to the severe distress, and sometimes ruin of the claimants. To give this therefore as a reason for
totally

totally rejecting the demand, is the most barefaced insult upon justice.

The ignorance from which the last objection to this demand, "that it is not supported by regimental vouchers, proving that the corps was so supplied," evidently springs, is equal to the baseness of the insinuation, in the word *pretended*, that this bread was not really supplied. To prove this it is sufficient to observe, that though receipts are given by troops for their *pay*, none ever are for *bread*, for this good reason, *that they could not live without it*; nor for money given in lieu of bread, because they must have means to purchase it, if not supplied; whereas without their pay, for the present time, they might live. Unless therefore it can be proved, that the corps of Col. de Scheither was supplied with bread, otherwise than by him, the want of regimental vouchers is no prejudice to his demand of payment for it. Beside, to whom, and by whom were such vouchers to

to be given? The commanding Officer always gives receipts for every thing supplied to the corps under him. As Col. de Scheither therefore was always with his men, he must have given vouchers to himself, or else some officer immediately under his command must have given them to him, the former of which is absurd, and the latter much more liable to objection than the want of them.

The same answers, respectively, refute the objections made to the demand for forage, supplied in the year 1759, as those objections are the same, with the addition only of a remark, that these words in Col. de Scheither's account, "affignations given by me for forage, which I paid for at 12 MG. have been drawn on the Military Chest itself," are obscure; in answer to which it is sufficient to say, that any person who fought not for obscurity, must have seen that nothing else was, or could be meant by the expression, but that a like charge for

[30]

for forage, and at the same price, had been paid by the Hanoverian Chancery of War, in the year preceding.

As to the observation, "that this charge is made on a presumption that Col. de Scheither's corps was more than complete," it is equally groundless and injurious in the implication. That his corps was *always* complete, always appeared to the Officers who paid them! How this could be, without having supernumerary men, the commissioners must explain, if they can! But for these men no charge was made, as the Commissioners were sensible, when they threw out this insinuation, that is, if they examined the accounts with any care, the charge being only for the appointed number of the corps. That his countrymen should be desirous of serving under an active, successful Officer, who shared his fortune with them, at a time when the calamities of war left them no other way of getting bread, but in
the

[31]

the service, was but natural; and this accounts for his being always more than complete.

The two first objections, made to the third and fourth articles in Col. de Scheither's demands, are sufficiently refuted by the answers given to the objections made to the two preceding articles; but to the third it is difficult to give a serious answer.

By an agreement made with Sir James Cockburne and Mr. Halfey, Commissaries-general, in February 1760, Col. de Scheither was expressly authorized to supply bread and forage to his corps, without limitation to its then, or any other number. In January 1761, an addition of three hundred men was made to this corps, by order of Prince Ferdinand, the same authority by which 200 men had been added to its original establishment, in the year 1759. This addition was employed and paid upon
the

the same footing with the rest of the corps. To this addition Col. de Scheither naturally supplied bread and forage, in the same manner, and on the same agreement as he had the year before supplied, and did for that year supply, the rest of his corps, never conceiving it necessary to make a new agreement for it, or that it could enter into the head of any one to object, *that a part was not included in the whole.* The bare explanation therefore of such an objection is a sufficient refutation of it.

To the objections made to the fifth article, for the supply of a piece of cannon, &c. it is sufficient to answer, that until any other authority, beside that of the Commander in Chief, can be proved necessary or proper, in such a case, Col. de Scheither will rest his demand on that of Duke Ferdinand; and as to his not producing vouchers in support of his charge, *he appeals to the services performed by his corps, that this piece*

piece of cannon was well supplied; and until it shall be proved that it was supplied otherwise than by him, thinks these vouchers sufficient.

To *the same vouchers* he appeals for proof that the arms of his corps were always kept in good repair, and always well supplied with powder and ball, in answer to the objection made to the insufficiency of his vouchers for the sixth article in his demands: at the same time that he knows not what more sufficient vouchers could be produced for the repair of the arms, than the receipts of the artificers, who repaired them, which he produced. As to his not having proper authority, he had supplied these articles without any particular order or agreement, while in the Hanoverian service, nor ever received notice that a different rule was established in the British, as has been observed in other instances. That he did not officiously undertake this supply with a lucrative view will appear

D from

[34]

from the amount of his charge, if it is compared to that of any other regiment in the army, and the services performed by his considered.

Equally groundless are the objections made to the 7th and 8th articles, "for medicines for the sick and wounded men, and for the horses." That the men should be sent to the hospitals is most certain! but were they to be left destitute of medicinal assistance, till they could be carried thither, especially as they were often at the distance of several days journey from them? And for the medicines supplied on such occasions only, this charge is brought. As to the vouchers being inadmissible, it is difficult to conceive what others, besides those of the Surgeon-major appointed to attend the corps, which are here produced, could be admitted, or for what purpose he was appointed to attend the corps, if he was not to be supplied with medicines. —For the medicines for the horses, no vouchers

[35]

vouchers could be had, but from persons so immediately under the influence of the Colonel, that their vouchers would have been much more liable to objection, than his charge, as has been observed in another instance. But what other vouchers can with reason be required than the services performed by the horses, as it cannot be conceived that without such they could have performed it. As to these medicines being a regimental charge, that is only with regular, and not with light troops; and accordingly these troops were paid for them in the year 1758, without any express order by the Hanoverian Chancery of War, nor was any notice given by the Commissariate of that rule's being altered, which is a sufficient answer to the objection of the want of such order, &c.

The delay made in settling these demands gave rise to two others, which were given in to the Commissioners on the

[36]

the 17th of December 1765; one of 10505R. : 8MG. : 4P. for interest; and the other of 3000R. for defending actions at law brought against him by the persons from whom he had borrowed money to buy, or taken upon his credit the articles for which he had made the former demands; both of which the Commissioners rejected in consequence of their having rejected the others upon which these are founded.

Though this reason has been sufficiently refuted by the refutation of that rejection, it may not be improper to explain the particular circumstances of these demands, in answer to an insinuation against the justice of them, in the words "*pretends to have borrowed.*"

That this money was really borrowed by Col. de Scheither for these purposes, and that he really had suits of law commenced against him for it, and for his other debts contracted on the said account, is sufficiently proved by his Majesty's having

[37]

having been graciously pleased to put a stop to those suits, in his Electoral dominions, for four years, in which time at the farthest the Colonel expected that he should receive the payment here claimed by himself to enable him to pay them; an interposition of grace never granted, but upon the clearest evidence of justice; as his being under a necessity of suing for such an interposition is the strongest proof of Col. de Scheither's disinterestedness and regard to justice, in all his transactions.

Having thus severally and fully refuted every reason given by the commissioners for rejecting his demands (except that of R.177 : 18 : 1½, for the bread supplied from the 1st to the 29th of December 1758, which he withdraws) Col. de Scheither thinks himself entitled by every principle of justice, to the payment of them from the crown of Great Britain.



[The text on this page is extremely faint and illegible. It appears to be a list or a series of entries, possibly containing names and dates, but the characters are too light to transcribe accurately.]